

RT-MAT 2003-6

On the representation dimension
of some classes of algebras

Flávio Ulhoa Coelho and
Mária Inês Platzeck

Abril 2003

Esta é uma publicação preliminar ("preprint").

ON THE REPRESENTATION DIMENSION OF SOME CLASSES OF ALGEBRAS

FLÁVIO U. COELHO AND MARÍA INÉS PLATZECK

ABSTRACT. We show that the representation dimension of the following classes of algebras is at most 3: (a) Artin algebras A such that the functor $\text{Hom}_A(D(A), -)$ has finite length (or dually, $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ has finite length). These algebras coincide with the right (left) glued algebras, as introduced in [1]; and (b) Trivial extensions of iterated tilted algebras.

The concept of representation dimension of an artin algebra A , denoted by $\text{rep.dim} A$, was introduced by M. Auslander [3] in the early 70's in an attempt to, paraphrasing him, *give a reasonable way of measuring how far A is from being representation-finite* (see Section 1 below for the appropriate definitions).

For some time, this notion stayed apart from the main lines of investigation in the representation theory of algebras. Recently, its interest was revived by some works of Xi [11, 12] but mainly by the connection, which we shall recall in the sequel, with the finitistic and Nakayama conjectures given by Igusa and Todorov [6] (see also [9]).

It has been shown by Auslander that an algebra A is representation-finite if and only if $\text{rep.dim} A = 2$. On the other hand, despite shown in [9] that $\text{rep.dim} A$ is always finite, so far there is no example of algebras with representation dimension greater than 3. It follows from [6] that if A has representation dimension at most 3, then its finitistic dimension is finite. It is clear, then, the interest in deepening the study of the representation dimension. For a discussion on the connection between the finitistic and the Nakayama conjecture we refer the reader to [8].

The purpose of the present work is to calculate the representation dimension for some classes of algebras. We will show, for instance, that it is at most three for the following algebras:

- (a) Artin algebras A such that the functor $\text{Hom}_A(D(A), -)$ has finite length (or dually, $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$ has finite length). These algebras

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G70, 16G20, 16E10.

Key words and phrases. artin algebras, representation dimension, trivial extensions.

coincide with the right (left) glued algebras, as introduced in [1]. The class of right glued algebras includes all the hereditary algebras as well as all tilted algebras with complete slices in their preinjective components.

(b) Trivial extensions of iterated tilted algebras.

For that, we shall prove a criterion which appears implicitly in the works of Auslander [3] and Xi [11]. Also, as a consequence of this criterion, we get a better insight of the relations between the representation dimension of an algebra which is a one-point extension $B[M]$ of an algebra B , and the representation dimension of B itself. This extends some results of [11].

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section, after recalling some preliminary notions needed along the work, we state and prove the above mentioned criterion. Sections 2 and 3 deal with the calculation of the representation dimension of the algebras mentioned in (a) and (b) above while in Section 4, we show some results concerning one-point extension algebras.

This work was done during reciprocal visits supported by the exchange project CAPES-SCyT between Argentina and Brazil and by FAPESP. The first named author also acknowledges support from CNPq and the second from SECyT, UNS.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Throughout this paper, all our algebras are artin algebras. For an algebra A , we denote by $\text{mod}A$ its category of finitely generated left A -modules and by $\text{ind}A$ a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$ having as objects a full set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the indecomposable A -modules. Also, given $M \in \text{mod}A$, we denote by $\text{add}M$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$ having as objects the direct sums of indecomposable summands of M . We denote by $\text{pd}_A M$ (or $\text{id}_A M$) the projective dimension (or injective dimension, respectively) of M . Finally, we denote by $\text{gl.dim}A$ the global dimension of A , that is, the supremum of the projective dimensions of modules in $\text{mod}A$.

We recall that an A -module M is a *generator* (or a *cogenerator*) for $\text{mod}A$ provided for each $X \in \text{mod}A$, there exists an epimorphism $M' \rightarrow X$ (or a monomorphism $X \rightarrow M'$) with $M' \in \text{add}M$.

For unexplained notions and facts needed on $\text{mod}A$ we refer the reader to [4].

1.2. The notion of representation-dimension of an algebra was introduced in [3] by Auslander. We refer to this work for the original

definition. For us, it will be more convenient to use the following characterization, also proven in [3].

DEFINITION. The *representation-dimension* of an artin algebra is the number $\text{rep.dim } A$

$$= \inf \{ \text{gl.dim}(\text{End}_A M) : M \text{ is a generator-cogenerator of } \text{mod } A \}.$$

1.3. The first aim is to show a criterion for the calculation of the representation dimension of an algebra. From now on, A will denote an artin algebra, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory of $\text{mod } A$. We recall that a map $f : C \rightarrow M$ is called a right $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation of the A -module M if C is in $\mathcal{C}$ and the sequence $(-, C) \rightarrow (-, M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, we will say that an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \xrightarrow{f_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M \rightarrow 0$$

is a $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation resolution of M if C_i is in $\mathcal{C}$ for all i and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (-, C_r) \xrightarrow{f_r} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} (-, C_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} (-, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\mathcal{C}$. We say that r is the *length of the resolution*.

DEFINITION. An A -module $\bar{X}$ is said to have the r -resolution property if each A -module M has an $\text{add } \bar{X}$ -approximation resolution of length r .

REMARKS. (a) The condition of the above definition can be replaced by a similar one holding for indecomposable modules M .

(b) Clearly, the modules in $\text{add } \bar{X}$ always have an $\text{add } \bar{X}$ -approximation resolution of length 1.

(c) Given a module M in a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$, one can, dually, define a *left $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation* of M , and a *$\mathcal{C}$ -approximation coresolution* of M . Also, one can look at the notion of *r -coresolution property*.

1.4. **EXAMPLES.** (a) Let A be a representation-finite algebra, and let $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s$ be a set of all isoclasses of indecomposable A -modules. Clearly, $\bar{X} = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_s$ has the 1-resolution property, since $\text{add } \bar{X} = \text{mod } A$. It is also not difficult to see that if an algebra A has a module M satisfying the 1-resolution property, then A is representation-finite, and $\text{add } M = \text{mod } A$.

(b) Let H be a non-semisimple hereditary algebra and let $\bar{X} = H \oplus D(H)$. We show that such $\bar{X}$ has the 2-resolution property. Let M be an indecomposable H -module not in $\text{add } \bar{X}$, and consider the minimal projective resolution $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M . Clearly,

$\text{Hom}_H(H \oplus D(H), M) = \text{Hom}_H(H, M)$ because M is not in $\text{add } \bar{X}$ and therefore is not injective, so the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (H \oplus D(H), P_1) \longrightarrow (H \oplus D(H), P_0) \longrightarrow (H \oplus D(H), M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and we are done.

1.5. We will see in the sequel that if a module $\bar{X}$ satisfies the r -resolution property then $\text{gl.dim}(\text{End}_A \bar{X}) \leq r + 1$. If, moreover, $\bar{X}$ is a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod } A$, then $\text{rep.dim } A \leq r + 1$. This result has been used by Auslander in [3] and by Xi in [11], in order to give bounds for the representation dimension of some classes of algebras.

In the following theorem, which is the main result of this section, we will prove that the converse statement also holds.

THEOREM. *Let A be an artin algebra. The following statements are equivalent for a positive integer r :*

- (a) $\text{rep.dim } A \leq r + 1$;
- (b) *there exists a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod } A$ satisfying the r -resolution property.*

Though, as we mentioned above, the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) has been implicitly proven in [3] and [11], for the convenience of the reader we shall provide here a complete proof of this result. For that, it is convenient to recall some facts.

1.6. For a module Y , denote by $\mathcal{F}_Y$ the category of all coherent functors $F: (\text{add } Y)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$, where $\mathcal{A}b$ denotes the category of abelian groups. Recall that a functor $F: (\text{add } Y)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is called *coherent* provided there is a morphism $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ in $\text{add } Y$ such that the sequence

$$(-, Y_1) \rightarrow (-, Y_2) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add } Y$. Here we denote by $(-, C)$ the restriction of the functor $\text{Hom}_A(-, C): \text{mod } A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ to $\text{add } Y$. It follows from [3] (Proposition, Ch.III, p.104) that the categories $\mathcal{F}_Y$ and $\text{mod}(\text{End}_A Y)$ are equivalent and so, in particular, $\text{gl.dim}(\text{End}_A Y) = \text{gl.dim}(\mathcal{F}_Y)$.

If an A -module M has an $\text{add } Y$ -approximation resolution of length s , then $\text{pd}(-, M) \leq s - 1$. If, moreover, Y is a generator of $\text{mod } A$, then the converse holds. In fact, if $\text{pd}(-, M) \leq s - 1$, let

$$0 \longrightarrow (-, Y_{s-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (-, Y_0) \longrightarrow (-, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $Y_i \in \text{add } Y$, be a sequence which is exact in $\text{add } Y$. Since, by hypothesis, A is in $\text{add } Y$, by evaluating the above sequence at A , we infer that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_{s-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing the above one, proving then that M has an $\text{add} \bar{X}$ -approximation resolution of length s , as desired.

1.7. *Proof of Theorem 1.5.*

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Let $\bar{X}$ be a module satisfying the r -resolution property. We will show that $\text{gl.dim} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}} \leq r + 1$, leading to the required result. Let F be a functor in $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}}$. By definition, there exists a morphism $X'' \xrightarrow{f} X'$ in $\text{add} \bar{X}$ such that

$$(*) \quad (-, X'') \longrightarrow (-, X') \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add} \bar{X}$. Denote $M = \text{Ker} f$. Now, since $\bar{X}$ has the r -resolution property, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X_r \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with $X_i \in \text{add} \bar{X}$ such that the induced sequence

$$(**) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (-, X_r) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (-, X_1) \longrightarrow (-, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add} \bar{X}$. Glueing together (*) and (**) we end up with a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (-, X_r) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (-, X_1) \rightarrow (-, X'') \rightarrow (-, X') \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

which is exact in $\text{add} \bar{X}$, showing that $\text{pd}(F) \leq r + 1$. Therefore, $\text{gl.dim} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}} \leq r + 1$ and $\text{rep.dim} A \leq r + 1$, as required. This proves the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Suppose $\text{rep.dim} A = s \leq r + 1$. Then there exists a module $\bar{X}$ such that $A \oplus D(A)$ is in $\text{add} \bar{X}$ and $\text{gl.dim} (\text{End}_A \bar{X}) = s$. By the above remarks, $\text{gl.dim} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}} = s$. We claim that $\bar{X}$ has the $(s - 1)$ -resolution property. In fact, let $M \in \text{mod} A$, not in $\text{add} \bar{X}$, and consider a minimal injective presentation $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} I_1$ of M . Hence, for $F = \text{Coker}(-, f_0)$, we have that

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (-, M) \longrightarrow (-, I_0) \longrightarrow (-, I_1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since $\bar{X}$ is a cogenerator of $\text{mod} A$ we get that I_0, I_1 are in $\text{add} \bar{X}$, thus $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}}$. Now, M is not in $\text{add} \bar{X}$, so $(-, M)$ is not projective. Since $\text{gl.dim} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{X}} = s$ we then infer that

$$\text{pd}(-, M) = \text{pd}(F) - 2 \leq s - 2$$

As observed before the proof of the theorem, this implies that M has a right $\text{add} \bar{X}$ -approximation of length smaller than $s \leq r + 1$. This ends the proof of the theorem. $\square$

1.8. COROLLARY. *Let A be an artin algebra. Then $\text{rep.dim} A = r + 1$ if and only if there exists a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod} A$ satisfying the r -resolution property but there is none satisfying the s -resolution property for $s < r$.*

1.9. COROLLARY. *Let A be a representation-infinite algebra. Then $\text{rep.dim} A = 3$ if and only if there exists a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod} A$ satisfying the 2-resolution property.*

2. GLUED ALGEBRAS

2.1. We will prove in this section that the algebras such that the length of $\text{Hom}_A(D(A), -)$ is finite (or dually the length of $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$) is finite) have representation dimension at most three. These algebras were studied by Assem-Coelho, who introduced in [1] the right (left) glued algebras, which coincide with them. We refer the reader to this work for their original definition. We will prove the result for right glued algebras, the corresponding result for left glued algebras follows by duality. We shall use here a characterization whose proof can be found in [1, 2]. Given $X, Y \in \text{ind} A$, we say that X is a *predecessor* of Y or that Y is a *successor* of X provided there exists a sequence $X = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_t = Y$ of non-zero morphisms between indecomposable modules. For a given algebra A , define the subcategory

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \{X \in \text{ind} A : \text{for each predecessor } Y \text{ of } X, \text{pd}_A Y \leq 1\}$$

THEOREM. [1, 2] *The following statements are equivalent for an artin algebra A :*

- (a) *A is a right glued algebra.*
- (b) *the length of $\text{Hom}_A(D(A), -)$ is finite.*
- (c) *all but finitely many indecomposable A -modules have projective dimension at most one.*
- (d) *$\mathcal{L}_A$ is cofinite in $\text{ind} A$.*

Clearly, the class of right glued algebras includes all the representation-finite ones. Not so immediate, but it also includes all the tilted algebras with complete slices in a preinjective component. Further examples can be found in [1].

2.2. Our main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM. *Let A be a representation-infinite right glued algebra. Then $\text{rep.dim} A = 3$.*

Proof. By (1.7), it is enough to exhibit a generator cogenerator of $\text{mod} A$ satisfying the 2-resolution property. Since A is right glued, the set $\mathcal{X}_1 = \text{ind} A \setminus \mathcal{L}_A$ is finite. Also, by [2](1.5), the set

$$\mathcal{X}_2 = \{Y \in \mathcal{L}_A : Y \text{ is a successor of an injective in } \text{ind} A\}$$

is finite. So, the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_1 \cup \{P : P \text{ is a projective in } \text{ind} A\} \cup \mathcal{X}_2$$

is finite, say $\mathcal{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_s\}$. Write $\bar{X} = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_s$. Clearly, such module is a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod} A$, and we claim that it satisfies the 2-resolution property. Let now M be an indecomposable A -module not in $\text{add}(\bar{X})$. Then $M \in \mathcal{L}_A$, $\text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{X}_1, M) = 0$ because $\mathcal{L}_A$ is closed under predecessors, and $\text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{X}_2, M) = 0$ because $\mathcal{X}_2$ is closed under successors. Moreover, since $M \in \mathcal{L}_A$ and is not projective, $\text{pd}_A M = 1$.

Let now $0 \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$ be the minimal projective resolution of M in $\text{mod} A$. We will prove that this sequence is an $\text{add} \bar{X}$ -approximation resolution of M . This amounts to prove that $(X, P_0) \rightarrow (X, M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for each indecomposable $X \in \text{add} \bar{X}$. This clearly holds if X is projective, and it also holds if X is not projective, since then $\text{Hom}_A(X, M) = 0$, as observed above. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

2.3. The following result is the dual of Theorem 2.2. We leave the details of the proof for the reader.

THEOREM. *Let A be a representation-infinite left glued algebra. Then $\text{rep.dim} A = 3$.*

2.4. The above results imply Theorem 5.1 of [11]. Also, for reference, we mention the following corollary which improves Corollary 5.2 of [11].

COROLLARY. *Let A be a tilted algebra. If A has a complete slice in either a postprojective component or in a preinjective component then, $\text{rep.dim} A = 3$.*

3. TRIVIAL EXTENSIONS OF ITERATED TILTED ALGEBRAS

3.1. Along this section, H will denote a hereditary algebra. We will prove here that the representation-dimension of the trivial extension $T(H)$ is at most 3. As a consequence, we will have the same bound for the representation dimension of the trivial extensions of iterated tilted algebras, using results by Happel [7] and by Xi in [12]. We shall first

recall some background on this construction. For further details, we refer the reader to [5] and [10].

The *trivial extension of an algebra* A is the algebra $T(A) = A \ltimes D(A)$ defined as follows. As a vector space, $T(A) = A \oplus D(A)$, and the product is defined by $(a, f)(b, g) = (ab, ag + fb)$, for $a, b \in A, f, g \in D(A)$. The algebra $T(A)$ is selfinjective.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an additive functor. The *trivial extension* $\mathcal{A} \ltimes F$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by F , defined in [5], Section 1, is the category whose objects are the maps $\alpha : F(A) \rightarrow A$ such that the composition $\alpha \cdot F(\alpha) = 0$. For objects $\alpha : F(A) \rightarrow A$ and $\beta : F(B) \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{A} \ltimes F$, a morphism $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is a morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\beta F(f) = f \alpha$. When A is an artin algebra and $F = D(A) \otimes_A - : \text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } A$, then $\text{mod } A \ltimes F$ is equivalent to $\text{mod } T(A)$. For an A -module X and a morphism $f : D(A) \otimes_A X \rightarrow X$, the $T(A)$ -module structure is defined on X by $(a, g) \cdot x = ax + f(g \otimes x)$, for $x \in X, a \in A$ and $g \in D(A)$ ([5], p.19).

In the case we are primarily interested, that is, the trivial extension of the hereditary algebra H , the modules in $\text{mod } T(H)$ can be seen as triples (X_1, X_2, f) with $X_1, X_2 \in \text{mod } H$, and $f : D(H) \otimes X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ a surjective H -map. A morphism from (X_1, X_2, f) to (Y_1, Y_2, g) is a triple $(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{21})$ of morphisms in $\text{mod } H$, $\alpha_{11} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, $\alpha_{22} : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $\alpha_{21} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ such that $\alpha_{22}f = g(1 \otimes \alpha_{11})$. This description of the $T(H)$ -modules was given by Tachikawa in [10]. To see that the morphisms are appropriately defined we use the equivalence between $\text{mod } T(H)$ and $\text{mod } A \ltimes F$, considering the triples as elements in $\text{mod } A \ltimes F$ in the following way.

The element in $\text{mod } A \ltimes F$ corresponding to the triple (X_1, X_2, f) is the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} : D(H) \otimes (X_1 \oplus X_2) \simeq D(H) \otimes X_1 \oplus D(H) \otimes X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \oplus X_2$$

Then a morphism from (X_1, X_2, f) to (Y_1, Y_2, g) corresponds to a map $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\text{mod } A \ltimes F$, that is, a map $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} : X_1 \oplus X_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} (id_{D(H)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This is satisfied precisely when $\alpha_{12} = 0$ and the triple $(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{22}, \alpha_{21})$ satisfies the above stated condition.

Since H is hereditary then $D(H) \otimes X$, being a homomorphic image of $D(H)^n$ for some n , is injective. Thus, $D(H)X$ is also injective, and $X \simeq D(H)X \oplus X/D(H)X$ in $\text{mod } H$. Observe that the triple associated to the $T(H)$ -module X is $(X/D(H)X, D(H)X, f)$, where $f : D(H) \otimes X/D(H)X \rightarrow D(H)X$ is the multiplication map.

From now on, we will write the adjoint functors $D(H) \otimes_H -$ and $\text{Hom}_H(D(H), -)$ by F and G , respectively, and by $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow Id$ and $\eta : Id \rightarrow GF$ the adjunction morphisms.

Following [10], to a given indecomposable H -module X , two indecomposable $T(H)$ -modules can be assigned as follows. The $T(H)$ -module $(X, 0, 0)$, called module of the *first type* and which we shall also denote by X . On the other hand, we consider a fixed minimal injective coresolution $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow I_0(X) \xrightarrow{f} I_1(X) \rightarrow 0$ of X , and assign to X the indecomposable $T(H)$ -module $\tilde{X} = (G(I_0(X)), I_1(X), f\epsilon_{I_0(X)})$. We say that $\tilde{X}$ is a module of the *second type*. It follows from [10] that an indecomposable nonprojective $T(H)$ -module can be identified either with an H -module or with a module of the second type.

We extend the above notation to arbitrary H -modules $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$, with X_i in $\text{ind}A$ by writing $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \tilde{X}_n$.

3.2. Given $X, Y \in \text{ind}H$, there is naturally a morphism

$$\psi : \text{Hom}_{T(H)}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(X, Y)$$

defined by the commutative diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & I_0(X) & \xrightarrow{f} & I_1(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi(\alpha) & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & I_0(Y) & \xrightarrow{g} & I_1(Y) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{21}) : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$, where the middle vertical map is $\epsilon_{I_0(Y)} F(\alpha_0) \epsilon_{I_0(X)}^{-1}$.

Then ψ is surjective, functorial in X and Y , and induces an isomorphism

$$\underline{\psi} : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{T(H)}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(X, Y)$$

as follows from the following lemma. Recall that

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X, Y) / P(X, Y),$$

where $P(X, Y)$ denotes the set of morphisms from X to Y which factor through a projective module.

LEMMA. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_{21}) : (X_1, X_2, f) \rightarrow (Y_1, Y_2, g)$ be a morphism in $\text{mod}T(H)$ and assume that there exists $\rho : X_2 \rightarrow F(Y_1)$ such that $F(\alpha_1) = \rho f$. Then:

- (a) $(0, 0, \alpha_{21})$ factors through the projective module $(G(Y_2), Y_2, \epsilon_{Y_2})$.
- (b) $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)$ factors through $(Y_1, F(Y_1), id)$.

(c) If Y_1 is a projective H -module then α factors through a projective module.

Proof. (a) Observe that $(0, 0, \alpha_{21})$ is the composition of

$$(0, 0, \alpha_{21}) : (X_1, X_2, f) \longrightarrow (G(Y_2), Y_2, \epsilon_{Y_2})$$

and

$$(0, id, 0) : (G(Y_2), Y_2, \epsilon_{Y_2}) \longrightarrow (Y_1, Y_2, g).$$

(b) Since $F(\alpha_1) = \rho f$, using that f is surjective we get that $\alpha_2 = g\rho$. Then we can write $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0) = (id, g, 0)((\alpha_1, \rho, 0)$. (c) It follows from

(a) and (b) observing that $(Y_1, F(Y_1), id)$ is projective in $\text{mod} T(H)$ when Y_1 is projective in $\text{mod} H$. $\square$

3.3. The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM. *Let H be a hereditary algebra. Then $\text{rep. dim } T(H) \leq 3$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{X} = H \oplus D(H) \oplus T(H) \oplus \bar{H}$ be in $\text{mod} T(H)$. We shall prove that the generator-cogenerator module $\bar{X}$ satisfies the 2-resolution property. Let $N' \in \text{ind} T(H)$.

Case 1. $N = N'$ is a module of the first type (that is, $N' \in \text{mod} H$). As seen in (1.4)(b), $H \oplus D(H)$ satisfies the 2-resolution property in $\text{mod} H$, and so, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_2 \longrightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

such that

$$0 \longrightarrow_H (-, Y_2) \longrightarrow_H (-, Y_1) \longrightarrow_H (-, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add}(H \oplus D(H))$. Clearly, also

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow_{T(H)} (-, Y_2) \longrightarrow_{T(H)} (-, Y_1) \longrightarrow_{T(H)} (-, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add}(H \oplus D(H))$ (as $T(H)$ -modules). Since $T(H)$ is projective, then $(*)$ is also exact in $\text{add}(T(H))$. The proof will be complete in this case once we prove that $\text{Hom}_{T(H)}(\bar{H}, -)$ preserves the exactness of $0 \longrightarrow Y_2 \longrightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$. So, let P be an indecomposable projective H -module. Then $\bar{P} = (Q, I_1(P), h \epsilon_{I_0(P)})$, where

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow I_0(P) \xrightarrow{h} I_1(P) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a minimal injective resolution, and $Q = G(I_0(P))$. Observe that a map $f: \bar{P} \longrightarrow N$ is given by $(\alpha_0, 0, 0)$, where $\alpha_0: Q \longrightarrow N$. Since Q is projective, there exists $\beta: Q \longrightarrow Y_1$ such that $g\beta = \alpha_0$, and

$(\beta, 0, 0): \tilde{P} \rightarrow Y_1$ lifts $(g, 0, 0)$, as desired.

Case 2. N' is not a module of the first type (i.e. $N' \notin \text{mod} H$).

So $N' = \tilde{N}$, for some $N \in \text{ind} H$. As above, consider an exact sequence $(*) 0 \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $Y_1, Y_2 \in \text{add}(H \oplus D(H))$, which remains exact under $\text{Hom}_H(H \oplus D(H), -)$. Let I_0, I_1 be injective modules so that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & N \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (*) \quad 0 & \longrightarrow & I_0(Y_2) & \longrightarrow & I_0 & \longrightarrow & I_0(N) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & I_1(Y_2) & \longrightarrow & I_1 & \longrightarrow & I_1(N) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

is exact and commutes. Observe that $I_0 = I_0(Y_1) \oplus I$, $I_1 = I_1(Y_1) \oplus I$, where I is an injective module and $f: I_0 \rightarrow I_1$ is $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$ in the above decomposition with σ being an isomorphism. Clearly, we get a sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q \rightarrow \tilde{N} \rightarrow 0$$

with $Q = (G(I), I, \epsilon_I)$, which is projective in $\text{mod} T(H)$. To prove that this sequence is exact we observe two facts:

- (a) The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_0(Y_2) \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_0(N) \rightarrow 0$ splits, and therefore it remains exact under G .
- (b) All the maps in $(*)$ are of the form $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)$, with α_i in $\text{mod} H$.

Then, using that a short exact sequence is exact in $\mathcal{A} \times F$ when the corresponding sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ is exact ([5], Corollary 1.2) we obtain that $(*)$ is exact. Also, each of $\tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2$ belongs to $\text{add}(\tilde{H} \oplus \widetilde{D(H)})$, and therefore to $\text{add} \tilde{X}$, because $\widetilde{D(H)} \simeq (H, 0, 0)$. We shall now prove that

$\text{Hom}_{T(H)}(\bar{X}, -)$ keeps $(\tilde{*})$ exact.

Let Y in $\text{add } \bar{X}$. We proved in Lemma 3.2 that maps of the form $(0, 0, \theta_{21}) : Y \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ factor through a projective module, and therefore they can be lifted to $\tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q \rightarrow \tilde{N}$. Thus it is enough to prove that maps of the form $(\theta_0, \theta_1, 0) : Y \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ can be lifted to $\tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q \rightarrow \tilde{N}$. Consider first $Y \in \text{add } (H \oplus D(H))$ and let $\theta = (\theta_0, 0, 0) : Y \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ (so, $\epsilon_{I_0(N)} F(\theta_0) : F(Y) \rightarrow I_0(N)$). We then have the following diagram, where $\mu = \epsilon_{I_0(N)} F(\theta_0)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi(g)} & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \nearrow \epsilon & \downarrow j & \nearrow \delta & \downarrow j' & & \\
 F(Y) & & & & I_0(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow g_1 \text{ id} & \nearrow \alpha & \downarrow & \nearrow \mu & \downarrow & & \\
 F(Y) & & I_0 & \xrightarrow{g_0} & I_0(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & I_1 & \longrightarrow & I_1(N) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

First observe that $\mu = \epsilon_{I_0(N)} F(\theta_0)$ lifts to $\delta : F(Y) \rightarrow N$. Since $H(D(H), -)$ keeps the sequence $(*)$ exact, and $F(Y)$ is injective (see (3.1)), we infer that δ lifts through $\psi(g) : Y_1 \rightarrow N$. So $j'\delta = \epsilon_{I_0(N)} F(\theta_0)$ and $\delta = \psi(g)\epsilon$, for some $\delta : F(Y) \rightarrow N$ and $\epsilon : F(Y) \rightarrow Y_1$. Let $\alpha = j\epsilon$. Then $g_0\alpha = g_0(j\epsilon) = j'\psi(g)\epsilon = j'\delta = \epsilon_{I_0(N)} F(\theta_0)$. Let $\alpha_0 = G(\alpha)\eta_Y$. Then $(\alpha_0, 0, 0) : Y \rightarrow (G(I_0), I_1, f\epsilon_{I_0}) = \tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q$ lifts $(\theta_0, 0, 0)$, as required.

It remains to show that $\text{Hom}_{T(H)}(\tilde{P}, -)$ keeps $(\tilde{*})$ exact for each projective H -module P . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T(H)(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Y}_1) & \longrightarrow & T(H)(\tilde{P}, \tilde{N}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 (*) \quad \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 H(P, Y_1) & \longrightarrow & H(P, N) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

where the lower sequence is exact and the vertical arrows are the isomorphisms defined in (3.2). Then we have that the upper sequence is exact. Consequently, $(\tilde{P}, g) : T(H)(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q) \rightarrow T(H)(\tilde{P}, \tilde{N})$ is

surjective. It follows then that

$$(\tilde{P}, g) : {}_{T(H)}(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q) \longrightarrow {}_{T(H)}(\tilde{P}, \tilde{N})$$

is also surjective, because $g : \tilde{Y}_1 \oplus Q \longrightarrow \tilde{N}$ is an epimorphism. This ends the proof of the theorem. $\square$

3.4. COROLLARY. *Let A be an iterated tilted algebra. Then $\text{rep.dim } T(A) \leq 3$.*

Proof. It follows from [7] that such an A is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra H . So, by [12], $\text{rep.dim } T(A) = \text{rep.dim } T(H)$ and the result follows using our theorem above. $\square$

4. ONE-POINT EXTENSION ALGEBRAS

4.1. In this section we compare the representation dimension of an artin algebra B and the representation dimension of a one point extension of B , under appropriate hypothesis. More precisely, we will prove the following proposition, extending results proven in [11] for one point extensions of finite dimensional algebras by simple injective modules. We refer the reader to [4] for an account on the one-point extension construction. We also observe that a dual version of this result holds for one-point coextensions. We leave to the reader the details of the corresponding proof.

PROPOSITION. *Let B be an artin algebra, D a division ring, M a B - D bimodule and $A = B[M]$ the one point extension of B by M . Assume that the set of successors of M in $\text{ind } B$ is finite. Then:*

- (a) $\text{rep.dim } B \leq \text{rep.dim } A$;
- (b) *If $\text{ind } B$ is cofinite in $\text{ind } A$ then $\text{rep.dim } B = \text{rep.dim } A$.*

Proof. (a) Let $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_t\}$ be the set of successors of M in $\text{ind } B$. We will consider the A -modules as triples (D^n, X, f) , with X in $\text{mod } B$ and $f : M \otimes D^n \longrightarrow X$ a B -morphism, in the usual way (See, e.g., [4], III,2). We start by observing that any A -module K can be written in the form $K = (D^n, Z, g) \oplus (0, N, 0)$ with $Z \in \text{add}(Z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_t)$. In fact, let $K = (D^n, K_1, h)$, and let N' be an indecomposable summand of K_1 which is not a successor of M . Then ${}_B(M, N') = 0$ and therefore $(0, N', 0)$ is a summand of K .

Let $\text{rep.dim } A = r + 1$ and let $\bar{X}$ be a generator-cogenerator of $\text{mod } A$ satisfying the r -resolution property, $\bar{X} = (D^m, X, f)$ with X in $\text{mod } B$ and $f : M \otimes D^m \longrightarrow X$ a morphism of B -modules.

Let $\bar{Y} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t Z_i \oplus X$. It follows from the description of the projective and the injective modules in $\text{mod } A$, ([4], III, Prop. 2.5), that $B \oplus D(B)$ is in $\text{add } \bar{Y}$ because $A \oplus D(A)$ is in $\text{add } \bar{X}$. So $\bar{Y}$ is generator cogenerator

of $\text{mod} B$, and we will prove that it satisfies the r -resolution property, and thus $\text{rep.dim} B \leq r + 1 = \text{rep.dim} A$. This amounts to prove that $\text{pd}_B(-, N) \leq r - 1$ for each N in $\text{ind} B$, where ${}_B(-, N)$ is considered as an element of $\mathcal{F}_Y$, as observed in (1.6).

We will also consider ${}_A(-, N) \in \mathcal{F}_X$, and show that $\text{pd}_B(-, N) \leq \text{pd}_A(-, N) \leq r - 1$. This will end the proof of (a). We will prove the inequality by induction on $k = \text{pd}_A(-, N)$. We may assume that $N \notin \text{add } \bar{Y}$.

If $k = 0$, then the result clearly holds. So let $k > 0$ and consider a right $\bar{X}$ -approximation $X_1 \rightarrow N$ of N . Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be exact. Then $0 \rightarrow {}_A(-, K) \rightarrow {}_A(-, X_1) \rightarrow {}_A(-, N) \rightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{add } \bar{X}$, and $\text{pd}_A(-, K) < k = \text{pd}_A(-, N)$.

We write $K = (D^n, K_1, f)$ and $X_1 = (D^d, Y, g)$ with $Y \in \text{add } X \subseteq \text{add } \bar{Y}$. We have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, as we observed above, $K = (D^n, Z, h) \oplus (0, N_1, 0)$ with $Z \in \text{add}(Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t) \subseteq \text{add } \bar{Y}$. Since $\text{pd}_A(-, N_1) \leq \text{pd}_A(-, K) < k$ we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude that $\text{pd}_B(-, N_1) < k$. On the other hand, $K_1 = Z \oplus N_1$, and $Z \in \text{add } \bar{Y}$. So $\text{pd}_B(-, K_1) = \text{pd}_B(-, N_1) < k$. Thus, to prove that $\text{pd}_B(-, N) \leq k$, we only need to show that the sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow {}_B(-, K_1) \rightarrow {}_B(-, Y) \xrightarrow{(-, \alpha)} {}_B(-, N) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add } \bar{Y}$. Since $N \notin \text{add } \bar{Y}$ we have that N is not a successor of M and therefore ${}_B(Z_i, N) = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, t$. So we only need to prove that $(*)$ is exact in $\text{add } X$.

Let $\theta : X \rightarrow N$ be a map in $\text{mod } A$. Then the composition $M^m \simeq M \otimes D^m \rightarrow X \rightarrow N$ is zero because ${}_B(M, N) = 0$. So $(0, \theta) : \bar{X} = (D^m, X, f) \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in $\text{mod } A$, and thus it can be lifted through $X_1 \rightarrow N$, because ${}_A(-, X_1) \rightarrow {}_A(-, N)$ is surjective in $\text{add } \bar{X}$. Since $X_1 = (D^d, Y, g)$, then θ can be lifted through $Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} N$. This proves that $(-, \alpha)$ is surjective, as desired.

(b) Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_t$ be the successors of M in $\text{ind} B$, let $\text{ind} A \setminus \text{ind} B = \{D_1, \dots, D_s\}$, and assume that $\text{rep.dim } B = r + 1$.

Let $\bar{Y}$ be a generator cogenerator of $\text{mod } B$ with the r -resolution property, and let $\bar{X} = \bar{Y} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s D_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^t Z_i$.

Let N in $\text{ind} A$ but not in $\text{add } \bar{X}$. Then N is in $\text{ind} B$. Considering again ${}_A(-, N) \in \mathcal{F}_X$ and ${}_B(-, N) \in \mathcal{F}_Y$, we will prove that $\text{pd}_A(-, N) \leq \text{pd}_B(-, N) \leq r - 1$, by induction on $k = \text{pd}_B(-, N)$. The result holds for $k = 0$, so we assume $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in $\text{mod } B$ such

that $Y_1 \in \text{add} \bar{Y}$ and

$$0 \longrightarrow {}_B(-, K) \longrightarrow {}_B(-, Y_1) \longrightarrow {}_B(-, N) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact in $\text{add} \bar{Y}$. Since ${}_B(-, N)$ is not projective we have that $\text{pd}_B(-, K) < k = \text{pd}_B(-, N)$ and then by the induction hypothesis we conclude that $\text{pd}_A(-, K) < k$.

Let $D_i = (D^{n_i}, U_i, f_i)$. Then $(M, U_i) \neq 0$ for all i , and $U_i \in \text{add}(Z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_t)$. Then ${}_B(U_i, N) = 0$ and consequently ${}_B(D_i, N) = 0$. Since ${}_A(-, Y_1) \longrightarrow {}_A(-, N) \longrightarrow 0$ is exact in $\text{add} \bar{Y}$, it follows that it is also exact in $\text{add} \bar{X}$. Since $\text{pd}_A(-, K) < k$ we get that $\text{pd}_A(-, N) \leq k$, as desired, ending the proof of the theorem. $\square$

4.2. The next result extends [11](6.1).

COROLLARY. *Let B be an artin algebra, D a division ring, M a B - D bimodule and $A = B[M]$ the one point extension of B by M . If M is a simple injective module then $\text{rep.dim} A = \text{rep.dim} B$.*

REFERENCES

1. I. Assem, F. U. Coelho, *Glueings of tilted algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **96**(3) (1994) 225-243.
2. I. Assem, F. U. Coelho, *Two-sided glueings of tilted algebras*, preprint 2002.
3. M. Auslander, *Representation dimension of artin algebras*, Queen Mary College Mathematical Notes, London, 1971.
4. M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. Smalø, *Representation theory of artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **36**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
5. R. Fossum, P. Griffith, I. Reiten, *Trivial extensions of abelian categories*, Lecture Notes in Math. **456**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1975).
6. K. Igusa, G. Todorov, *On the finitistic global dimension conjecture*, preprint.
7. D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **119** (1988).
8. D. Happel, *Selforthogonal modules*, in: Abelian groups and modules, Proc. of Padova Conf. (ed. Facchini et al) Math. Appl. **343** (1995), 257-276.
9. O. Iyama, *Finiteness of representation dimension*, preprint 2002.
10. H. Tachikawa, *Representations of trivial extensions of hereditary algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **832**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1980), 579-599.
11. C. Xi, *On the representation dimension of finite dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra **226**, (2000), 332-346.
12. C. Xi, *Representation dimension and quasi-hereditary algebras*, Advances in Math. **168**, (2002), 193-212.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA-IME, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, CP
66281, SÃO PAULO, SP, 05315-970, BRAZIL
E-mail address: fucoelho@ime.usp.br

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DEL SUR, 8000 BAHÍA
BLANCA, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: impiovan@criba.edu.ar

TRABALHOS DO DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

TRABALHOS DO DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

- 2002-01 COELHO, F. U. and LANZILOTA, M. A. On non-semiregular components containing paths from injective to projective modules. 13p.
- 2002-02 COELHO, F. U., LANZILOTTA, M. A. and SAVIOLI, A. M. P. D. On the Hochschild cohomology of algebras with small homological dimensions. 11p.
- 2002-03 COELHO, F. U., HAPPEL, D. and UNGER, L. Tilting up algebras of small homological dimensions. 20p.
- 2002-04 SHESTAKOV, I.P. and UMIRBAEV, U.U. Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials. 19p.
- 2002-05 SHESTAKOV, I.P. and UMIRBAEV, U.U. The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables. 34p.
- 2002-06 ALENCAR, R. and LOURENÇO, M.L. On the Gelbaum-de Lamadrid's result. 16p.
- 2002-07 GRISHKOV, A. Lie algebras with triality. 28p.
- 2002-08 GRISHKOV, A. N. and GUERREIRO, M. Simple classical Lie algebras in characteristic 2 and their gradations, I. 21p.
- 2002-09 MELO, S. T., NEST, R. and SCHROHE, E. K-Theory of Boutet de Monvel's algebra. 8p.
- 2002-10 POJIDAEV, A. P. Enveloping algebras of Filippov algebras. 17p.
- 2002-11 GORODSKI, C. and THORBERGSSON, G. The classification of taut irreducible representations. 47p.
- 2002-12 BORRELLI, V. and GORODSKI, C. Minimal Legendrian submanifolds of S^{2n+1} and absolutely area-minimizing cones. 13p.
- 2002-13 CHALOM, G. and TREPODE, S. Representation type of one point extensions of quasitilted algebras. 16p.
- 2002-14 GORODSKI, C. and THORBERGSSON, G. Variationally complete actions on compact symmetric spaces. 8p.
- 2002-15 GRISHKOV, A. N. and GUERREIRO, M. Simple classical Lie algebras in characteristic 2 and their gradations, II. 15p.
- 2002-16 PEREIRA, Antônio Luiz and PEREIRA, Marcone Corrêa. A Generic Property for the Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian. 28p.

- 2002-17 GALINDO, P., LOURENÇO, M. L. and MORAES, L. A. Polynomials generated by linear operators. 10p.
- 2002-18 GRISHKOV, A. and SIDKI, S. Representing idempotents as a sum of two nilpotents of degree four. 9p.
- 2002-19 ASSEM, I. and COELHO, F. U. Two-sided gluings of tilted algebras. 27p.
- 2002-20 ASSEM, I. and COELHO, F. U. Endomorphism rings of projectives over Laura algebras. 10p.
- 2002-21 CONDORI, L. O. and LOURENÇO, M. L. Continuous homomorphisms between topological algebras of holomorphic germs. 11p.
- 2002-22 MONTES, R. R. and VERDERESI, J. A. A new characterization of the Clifford torus. 5p.
- 2002-23 COELHO, F. U., DE LA PEÑA, J. A. and TREPODE, S. On minimal non-tilted algebras. 27p.
- 2002-24 GRISHKOV, A. N. and ZAVARNITSINE, A. V. Lagrange's theorem for Moufang Loops. 21p.
- 2002-25 GORODSKI, C., OLMOS, C. and TOJEIRO, R. Copolarity of isometric actions. 23p.
- 2002-26 MARTIN, Paulo A. The Galois group of $x^n - x^{n-1} - \dots - x - 1$. 18p.
- 2002-27 DOKUCHAEV, M.A. and MILIES, C. P. Isomorphisms of partial group rings. 12p.
- 2002-28 FUTORNY, V. and OVSIENKO, S. An analogue of Kostant theorem for special PBW algebras. 14p.
- 2002-29 CHERNOUSOVA, Zh. T., DOKUCHAEV, M. A., Khibina, M. A., KIRICHENKO, V. V., MIROSHNICHENKO, S. G. and ZHURAVLEV, V. N. Tiled orders over discrete valuation rings, finite Markov chains and partially ordered sets. I. 36p.
- 2002-30 FERNÁNDEZ, J. C. G. On commutative power-associative nilalgebras. 10p.
- 2002-31 FERNÁNDEZ, J. C. G. Superalgebras and identities. 11p.
- 2002-32 GRICHKOV, A. N. , GIULIANI, M. L. M. and ZAVARNITSINE, A. V. The maximal subloops of the simple Moufang loop of order 1080. 10p.
- 2002-33 ZAVARNITSINE, A. V. Recognition of the simple groups $L_3(q)$ by element orders. 20p.
- 2002-34 ZUBKOV, A. N. and MARKO, F. When a Schur superalgebra is cellular? 13p.
- 2002-35 COELHO, F. U. and SAVIOLI, A. M. P. D. On shod extensions of algebras. 11p.

- 2003-01 COELHO, F. U. and LANZILLOTTA, M. A. Weakly shod algebras. 28p.
- 2003-02 GREEN, E. L., MARCOS, E. and ZHANG, P. Koszul modules and modules with linear presentations. 26p.
- 2003-03 KOSZMIDER, P. Banach spaces of continuous functions with few operators. 31p.
- 2003-04 GORODSKI, C. Polar actions on compact symmetric spaces which admit a totally geodesic principal orbit. 11p.
- 2003-05 PEREIRA, A. L., Generic Hyperbolicity for the equilibria of the one-dimensional parabolic equation $u_t = (a(x)u_x)_x + f(u)$. 19p.
- 2003-06 COELHO, F. U. and PLATZECK, M. I. On the representation dimension of some classes of algebras. 16p.