© 2024, The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the American Dairy Science Association®. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## Anti-mycotoxin feed additives: Effects on metabolism, mycotoxin excretion, performance, and total-tract digestibility of dairy cows fed artificially multi-mycotoxin-contaminated diets

Daniel J. C. Vieira, 1\* Luzianna M. Fonseca, 2\* Guilherme Poletti, 1 Natalia P. Martins, 1 Nathália T. S. Grigoletto, 1 Rodrigo G. Chesini, <sup>1</sup> Fernando G. Tonin, <sup>3</sup> Cristina S. Cortinhas, <sup>4</sup> Tiago S. Acedo, <sup>4</sup> Ignácio Artavia, <sup>5</sup> Johannes Faas, <sup>5</sup> Caio S. Takiya, <sup>6</sup> Carlos H. Corassin, <sup>3</sup>† and Francisco P. Renno <sup>1</sup>† <sup>1</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, University of Sao Paulo, Pirassununga, Brazil 13635-900

<sup>2</sup>Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture, University of Sao Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil 13418-900

### **ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of different anti-mycotoxin feed additives on the concentration of mycotoxins in milk, urine, and blood plasma of dairy cows fed diets artificially contaminated with mycotoxins. Secondarily, performance, total-tract apparent digestibility of nutrients, and blood parameters were evaluated. Twelve multiparous cows (165  $\pm$  45 DIM, 557  $\pm$  49 kg BW, and 32.1  $\pm$  4.57 kg/d milk yield at the start of the experiment) were blocked according to parity, milk yield, and DIM and used in a  $4 \times 4$  Latin square design experiment with 21-d periods, where the last 7 d were used for sampling and data analysis. Treatments were (1) mycotoxin group (MTX), basal diet (BD) without antimycotoxin feed additives; (2) hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (HSCA), HSCA added to the BD at 25 g/ cow per day; (3) mycotoxin deactivator (MD; Mycofix Plus, dsm-firmenich) added to the BD at 15 g/cow per day (MD15); and (4) MD added to the BD at 30 g/cow per day (MD30). Cows from all treatments were challenged with a blend of mycotoxins containing 404 µg of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, 5,025 μg of deoxynivalenol (DON), 8,046 μg of fumonisins (FUM), 195 μg of T2 toxin (T2), and 2,034 µg of zearalenone (ZEN) added daily to the BD during the last 7 d of each period. Neither performance (milk yield and composition) nor nutrient digestibility was affected by treatments. All additives reduced aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> (AFM1) concentration in milk, whereas MD15 and MD30 group had lower excretion of AFM1 in milk

Received December 13, 2023.

than HSCA. Deoxynivalenol, FUM, T2, or ZEN were not detected in milk of MD15 and MD30. Concentrations in milk of DON, FUM, T2, and ZEN were similar between MTX and HSCA. Except for AFM1, none of the analyzed mycotoxins were detected in urine of MD30 group. Comparing HSCA to MD treatments, the concentration of AFM1 was greater for HSCA, whereas MD30 was more efficient at reducing AFM1 in urine than MD15. Aflatoxin M1, DON, FUM, and ZEN were not detected in the plasma of cows fed MD30, and DON was also not detected in MD15 group. Plasma concentration of FUM was lower for MD15, similar plasma FUM concentration was reported for HSCA and MTX. Plasma concentration of ZEN was lower for MD15 than MTX and HSCA. Serum concentrations of haptoglobin and hepatic enzymes were not affected by treatments. Blood concentration of sodium was lower in HSCA compared with MD15 and MD30 groups. In conclusion, the mycotoxin deactivator proved to be effective in reducing the secretion of mycotoxins in milk, urine, and blood plasma, regardless of the dosage. This reduction was achieved without adverse effects on milk production or total-tract digestibility in cows fed multi-mycotoxin-contaminated diets over a short-term period. Greater reductions in mycotoxin secretion were observed with full dose of MD.

**Key words:** adsorbents, decontamination, mycotoxin

#### INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are low-molecular-weight secondary metabolites produced by filamentous fungi (Jarvis and Miller, 2005), which are widespread toxic contaminants and endanger both animal and human health (Bryden, 2012). The paramount fungal genera responsible for mycotoxin production include Aspergillus, Penicillium,

Faculty of Animal Science and Food Engineering, University of Sao Paulo, Pirassununga, Brazil 13635-900

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>dsm-firmenich, Nutritional Products, São Paulo, SP, Brazil 03178-200

<sup>5</sup>dsm-firmenich, ANH R&D Center Tulln, Tulln, Austria 3430

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Federal University of Technology–Paraná (UTFPR), Pato Branco, Brazil 85503-390

Accepted May 10, 2024.

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding authors: carloscorassin@usp.br and francisco. renno@usp.br

and Fusarium. Within these genera, prominent classes of mycotoxins are aflatoxins (AF), ochratoxin A (OTA), fumonisins (FUM), deoxynivalenol (DON), and zearalenone (ZEN; Sengling Cebin Coppa et al., 2019). The production of mycotoxins is linked to environmental conditions, plant stress, and damage caused by rodents and pests to grains, as well as abiotic factors such as food pH and moisture content (Bhat et al., 2010; Magan et al., 2011; Makau et al., 2016). Because mycotoxins exhibit remarkable stability due to their physical and chemical properties, they persist over extended periods throughout the grain harvesting, transportation, and storage processes (Qin et al., 2020).

Upon consuming feed tainted with mycotoxins, animals may manifest an array of symptoms, including gastrointestinal dysregulation, diarrhea, soft stools, immunosuppression, and a general decline in performance (EFSA, 2004; Pestka et al., 2004). Although the precise biological mechanisms driving these responses remain have not been fully elucidated, hallmark indicators often include ruminal or gut dysbiosis, heightened permeability of the rumen or gut epithelia, and damage of gut epithelium (Antonissen et al., 2014). In addition, when dairy cows ingest mycotoxins, their metabolites or unmetabolized compounds may be transferred to milk providing an additional source of dietary mycotoxins exposure for humans (Campagnollo et al., 2016).

Among the postharvest mitigation strategies to mycotoxin-contaminated diets, feeding hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (HSCA)—a clay-based sequestering agent—has been effectively used to reduce gastrointestinal absorption of AF and its secretion in milk (Jiang et al., 2021). However, clay-based sequestering agents have shown low efficacy against other type of mycotoxins that impairs animal performance, especially against Fusarium toxins (Döll et al., 2005; Van Le Thanh et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2022). As an alternative to clay-based sequestering agents, studies have investigated the effects of mycotoxin-deactivating products (MDP) composed of a blend of inorganic components, biological components, enzymes, and phycophytic compounds in diets of dairy cows. The effectiveness of MDP was supported by positive responses in performance, immunity, and metabolism parameters in animals exposed to different types of mycotoxins (Pietri et al., 2009; Kiyothong et al., 2012; Gallo et al., 2020). Despite studies revealing positive effects of MDP on several health and performance attributes, they have failed to report the effects of MDP on toxins excretion in milk.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of different anti-mycotoxin feed additives on the concentration of mycotoxin in milk, urine, and blood plasma of dairy cows fed artificially multi-mycotoxin-contaminated diets over a short-term period. We hypothesized that the contaminated diet, even provided for a short-term period, would reduce total-tract digestibility, hence reduce the performance of cows, as well as the MDP in the diets would inhibit these effects and reduce the concentration of mycotoxins in the blood plasma, urine, and milk.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was carried out between April and July 2021 at the Laboratory on Dairy Cattle Research (Laboratório de Pesquisa em Bovinos de Leite, Pirassununga, Brazil) under the approval of the Ethics Committee on Animal Use from the School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences, University of Sao Paulo (protocol 6324160123).

## Treatments and Experimental Design

Twelve Holstein cows (165  $\pm$  45 DIM, 557  $\pm$  49 kg BW, and  $32.1 \pm 4.57$  kg/d milk yield at the start of the experiment; mean  $\pm$  SD) blocked according to parity, milk yield, and DIM were enrolled into a replicated 4 × 4 Latin square design experiment and cows within each block (Latin square) were randomly assigned to one of the treatment sequences. Experimental periods lasted 21 d of which the first 14 d were allowed for treatment adaptation and 7 d were used to for mycotoxin challenge and data collection. Treatments were (1) mycotoxin group (MTX), basal diet (BD) without anti-mycotoxin feed additives; (2) HSCA added to the BD at 25 g/cow per day; (3) mycotoxin deactivator (MD; Mycofix Plus, dsm-firmenich) added to the BD at 15 g/cow per day (MD15); and (4) MD added to the BD at 30 g/cow per day (MD30; Table 1). The doses used were those recommended by the product manufacturers. According to the manufacturer, the MD mechanism of action is associated with 3 fundamental properties (Pietri et al., 2009; Grenier et al., 2013; Murugesan et al., 2015): (1) An inorganic component, such as bentonite, can adsorb polar and planar mycotoxins such as aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB1); (2) the genus nov. sp. nov. of the family Coriobacteriaceae strain Biomin BBSH797 deactivates trichothecenes, and a biological component derived from inactivated yeast, which deactivates ZEN, as well as a purified enzyme called FUMzyme (dsm-firmenich) at a concentration of 30,000 U/kg of Mycofix transforms FUM into nontoxic metabolites; and (3) phycophytic substances, typically a blend of plant and algae extracts, offer "bio-protection" that protects vulnerable organs such as the liver and strengthen the immune system of animals. Mycotoxins culture material pool (AFB1, FUM, ZEN, DON, and T2 toxin [T2]) and the HCSA and MDP were administered on TMR top-dressed and hand mixed into the first third top of TMR to guarantee total intake by animals. The

Table 1. Description of treatments

	Treatment <sup>1</sup>								
Item	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30					
Basal diet	✓	✓	✓	✓					
Mycotoxin pool <sup>2</sup>	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓					
Hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate, g/d	0	25	0	0					
Mycotoxin deactivator, g/d	0	0	15	30					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>MTX = basal diet + mycotoxin pool (the checkmark means that this diet was included for all treatments). HSCA = basal diet + mycotoxin pool + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + mycotoxin pool + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + mycotoxin pool +30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

HSCA and MDP were administered during 21 d of each experimental period once a day at 0700 h, whereas the mycotoxins pool was administered during the last 7 d of each experimental period once a day at 0700 h. Cows received the multi-mycotoxin culture material with 404  $\mu g$  of AFB1 + 5,025  $\mu g$  of DON + 2,034  $\mu g$  of ZEN + 8,046  $\mu g$  of FUM + 195  $\mu g$  of T2 per day during the 7 d of contamination period.

Cows were housed in a barn with individual pens (17.5 m² of area), with concrete floor, sanded beds, individual feed bunks, fans, and free access to water. Diets were provided twice a day (0700 and 1300 h). The BD (Table 2) was formulated according to the NRC (2001) nutrient requirements estimates of cows with 600 kg BW, 32.0 kg/d milk yield, and 3.5% fat. Diets had a forage concentrate ratio of 48:52. Refusals of each cow were weighted daily to maintain orts between 5% and 10% (on an as-fed basis) of feed supplied on the previous day.

## Mycotoxin Production and Evaluation in the Diets

The implemented doses of mycotoxins in this study were based on an initial survey that aimed to determine the types and levels of mycotoxins occurring in the dairy farms from Brazil (Gruber-Doerninguer et al., 2019). The production of aflatoxins (B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub>, and G<sub>2</sub>), fumonisins (B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>), T2, deoxynivalenol, and zearalenone was carried out at the University of São Paulo (Pirassununga, Brazil) following the protocol described by Müller et al. (2017). The concentration of each mycotoxin in the diet was standardized throughout the entire experimental period. After weighing, these mycotoxins were added and mixed into the diets in the last 7 d with each experimental period. A mixture of 400 µg of AFB, 5,000 µg of DON, 2,000 µg of ZEN, 8,000 µg of FUM, and 200 µg of T2 was administered daily for 7 d during the contamination period.

Once a week, 3 samples of TMR were collected and analyzed for mycotoxin evaluation, the samples were immediately sent to the Laboratory of Food Microbiology and Mycotoxicology at the University of São Paulo. The quantification of mycotoxins (AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, DON, FUM1, FUM2, T2, and ZEN) was made by ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) electrospray ionization tandem MS (Waters Acquity, Waters Corp.), involving the isotopic dilution step and a data normalization process, as described by Franco et al. (2019).

## Multi-Mycotoxin Evaluation in Milk, Urine, and Plasma Samples

Multi-mycotoxins were evaluated in milk, urine, and blood plasma samples from the dairy cows. Before deter-

Table 2. Ingredients and chemical composition of the basal diet used in the experiment

Item	Value
Ingredient, %	
Corn silage	48.0
Ground corn	18.5
Soybean meal	12.7
Whole raw soybean	6.40
Citrus pulp	8.20
Dried distillers grains with solubles	3.30
Urea	0.20
Sodium bicarbonate	0.80
Limestone	0.20
Salt	0.20
Minerals and vitamins <sup>1</sup>	1.50
Chemical composition, %	
DM	49.5
OM	92.1
Starch	29.1
CP	17.6
Ether extract	3.74
Forage NDF	26.8
NDF	36.5
ADF	21.7
Lignin	4.25
Undigested NDF	10.6

<sup>1</sup>Contained per kilogram of product: 160–235 g of Ca, 60 g of P, 13.3 g of Mg, 114 g of Na, 20 g of S, 666.7 mg of Cu, 2,666.7 mg of Mn, 2,666.7 mg of Zn, 33.3 mg of Co, 50 mg of I, 20 mg of Se, 266,700 IU of vitamin A, 66,700 IU of vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, and 1,667 IU of vitamin E.

 $<sup>^{2}404 \</sup>mu g$  of AFB + 5,025  $\mu g$  of DON + 8,046  $\mu g$  of FUM + 195  $\mu g$  of T2 + 2,008  $\mu g$  of ZEN.

mining multi-mycotoxins in milk, milk production was measured daily, and the data from the last 7 d in each experimental period were recorded. For the evaluation of mycotoxins in milk and urine, samples were collected on the day before supplying a pool of the mycotoxins and on the last day of the contamination period. Blood was collected on the final day of each multi-mycotoxin contamination period without the addition of preservatives and was stored under refrigeration (-80°C) before the analytical testing.

The analysis of multiple mycotoxins in milk, urine, and blood plasma was performed as the methodology proposed by Solfrizzo et al. (2011) and Flores-Flores and Gonzalez-Penas (2017), with minor modifications. Before the extraction of mycotoxins in urine and blood plasma, samples were centrifuged to remove particulate matter and supernatants. After this procedure, glucuronidase/sulfatase was added to the sample for the enzymatic deconjugation of mycotoxins. Then, samples were incubated under static conditions at 37°C overnight. The determination of multi-mycotoxins milk, urine, and blood plasma samples were conducted using a Waters Acquity I-Class UPLC system (Waters Corp.) equipped with a BEH Column C18 (2.1  $\times$  50 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m) and coupled to a Xevo TQ-S mass spectrometer (Waters Corp.). Mass spectrometry analyses were carried out in a multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode by using electrospray ionization in a positive ion mode. The chromatographic procedure, MS parameters, and the MRM transitions (Supplemental Tables S1, S2, and S3, see Notes) were the same adopted by Franco et al. (2019) and Frey et al. (2021).

# Nutrient Intake, Feed Sorting Index, and Apparent Digestibility

Feed offered and refusals were recorded daily to determine feed intake. Samples of silage and refusals were collected daily during the last 7 d of each experimental period, the concentrate ingredients were sampled during the concentrate manufacturing before starting the collection period. Samples of daily refusals represented approximately 10% of total refusals. The refusal and feed samples were analyzed for contents of DM (method 930.15; AOAC International, 2000), ash (method 942.05; AOAC International, 2000), CP (N × 6.25; Kjeldahl method 984.13; AOAC International, 2000), and ether extract (method 920.39; AOAC International, 2000). Neutral detergent fiber (Van Soest et al., 1991) was analyzed using α-amylase (TE-149 fiber analyzer; Tecnal Equipamentos para Laboratório Inc.), and ADF and lignin (method 973.18) were analyzed according to AOAC International (2000). Feed ingredients were analyzed for contents of starch using an enzymatic degradation method (Amyloglicosidase, Novozymes Latin America Ltda.) and absorbances measured on a spectrophotometer (SBA-200, Celm) according to Hendrix (1993). The feed sorting index was calculated based on the predicted intake of particle size distribution of TMR and refusals as described by Silveira et al. (2007).

Undigested NDF (**uNDF**) content in feeds, refusals, and feces were used to estimate fecal excretion of DM. Fecal samples (n = 8) were collected directly from the rectum of cows every 9 h during 3 consecutive days (d 15, 0600, 1500, and 0000 h; d 16, 0900 and 1800 h; d 17, 0300, 1200, and 2100 h) and pooled for further analyses. For the uNDF analysis, ground samples (2 mm) of feeds, refusals, and feces were placed in nonwoven fabric bags (12- $\mu$ m pore size, 5 × 5 cm at 20 mg DM/cm<sup>2</sup>) and incubated in the rumen of 2 cannulated dry cows during 288 h (Huhtanen et al., 1994; Casali et al., 2008). After removal from the rumen, bags were washed in running tap water, dried, and NDF content of residue was determined. Digestibility of DM and nutrients were calculated using following equations:

DM digestibility (%) = 
$$100 - \left[100 \times \left(\frac{\% \text{ uNDF intake}}{\% \text{ uNDF in feces}}\right)\right]$$
,

Nutrient digestibility (%) = 100

$$-\bigg[100\times\bigg(\frac{\% \text{ uNDF intake}}{\% \text{ uNDF in feces}}\bigg)\times\bigg(\frac{\% \text{ nutrient in feces}}{\% \text{ nutrient intake}}\bigg)\bigg].$$

## Milk Yield, Composition, and Serum Metabolites

Cows were milked twice daily (0600 and 1700 h), and milk production was recorded electronically (Alpro, DeLaval). Milk samples (300 mL) were collected from morning and evening milkings for 3 consecutive days during each experimental period to assess concentrations of protein, fat, and lactose using mid-infrared method (Lactoscan, Entelbra). Fat-corrected milk was calculated according to NRC (2001), where 3.5% FCM = (0.432 + 0.165 × fat, %) × milk yield, kg/d. Milk samples were deproteinized with trichloroacetic acid solution (25%; 2:1 vol/vol; Shahani and Sommer, 1951) and stored at -20°C for MUN analyses.

### Blood Metabolites, BW, and BCS

Blood samples were collected from the coccygeal vessels in 10-mL vacuum tubes without clot activator (BD Vacutainer, Becton Dickinson) and lithium heparin tubes 4 h after the morning feeding (1100 h) on d 21 in each experimental period. After clotting, blood samples from tubes without clot activator were centrifuged  $(2,000 \times g)$  for 15 min at room temperature), the serum was harvest-

ed and stored at -20°C for analysis of hepatic enzymes aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), and gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT). Enzymes were analyzed by commercial colorimetric kits (Bioclin) and absorbances were measured on a semiautomatic biochemical analyzer (SBA-200, Celm). Serum haptoglobin concentration was analyzed in a commercial laboratory (VidaVet) using the method described by Cooke and Arthington (2013).

Whole blood samples from lithium heparin vacuum tubes were analyzed in portable biochemical blood analyzers VetScan VS2 (Zoetis Services LLC) for determination of albumin, amylase, BUN, calcium, creatinine, globulin, glucose, potassium, phosphorus, and total protein. The analysis of whole blood samples for hemoglobin, hematocrit, chloride, bicarbonate, pH, anion gap, base excess in the extracellular fluid compartment, partial pressure of carbon dioxide, and total carbon dioxide content were performed using the i-STAT EC8+ cartridge equipment (Abbot Point Care Inc.). The analysis of vitamin E and  $\beta$ -carotene were performed using the iCheck Vitamin E equipment (BioAnalyt) with the same whole blood samples.

Body weight was measured weekly before the morning feeding and after milking, using an electronic scale for large animals. Body condition score was assessed on the last day of each experimental period using a 5-point system (1 = emaciated to 5 = obese) according to Wildman et al. (1982).

## Statistical Analysis

Data from the last 7 d of milk yield and DMI were averaged and used for statistical analysis. Milk composition data from each period were averaged and used for calculating yields of fat, protein, lactose, and FCM used in statistical analysis. Data were submitted to ANOVA using the PROC MIXED of SAS 9.4 (Statistical Analyses for Windows; SAS Institute Inc.) according to the following model:

$$Y_{iikl} = \mu + T_i + P_i + S_k + TP_{ii} + TS_{ik} + \alpha_{kl} + \varepsilon_{iikl},$$

with  $\alpha_{kl} \approx N\left(0;\sigma_c^2\right)$  and  $\varepsilon_{ijkl} \approx N\left(0;\sigma_e^2\right)$ ; where N= Gaussian distribution;  $\sigma_c^2=$  estimated variance associated with cows; and  $\sigma_e^2=$  estimated residual variance,  $Y_{ijkl}=$  observation on animal l, given treatment i, at period j, in square k;  $\mu=$  overall mean,  $A_i=$  fixed effect of the ith treatment (i=1 to 4);  $P_j=$  fixed effect of the jth period (j=1 to 4);  $S_k=$  fixed effect of the jth Latin square (j=1 to 3); j=10 interaction fixed effect between treatment and period; j=11 interaction fixed effect between treatment and Latin square; j=12 and j=13 interaction fixed effect of animal within square

(l=1 to 12); and  $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$  = random error associated with each observation. Means were adjusted by LSMEANS and degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward and Roger (1997) method. LSMEANS were computed for each treatment level to estimate the mean response variable. Differences in response variables were evaluated by Tukey's test. The significance level was set at P < 0.05.

#### **RESULTS**

### Contamination and Mycotoxin Intake

In this longitudinal assessment of the diets used for feeding cows, several mycotoxins were detected in the corn silage and in the diet before the artificial contamination (between d 1–14 of each period; Table 3). The daily intake of mycotoxins was slightly greater (1.0, 1.22, and 0.136% for AF, FUM, and ZEN, respectively) than planned due to natural mycotoxin contamination present in the feed (corn silage and concentrate) and the relatively high DMI by the cows. However, no differences were observed in the daily mycotoxin intake (between d 15–21 of each period) between treatments as proposed in the study (Table 4).

## Concentrations of Mycotoxin in Milk, Urine, and Blood

Milk concentration of aflatoxin  $M_1$  (AFM1) was lower (P < 0.001) when cows were fed additives compared with the MTX group. The MD15 and MD30 groups had lower excretion of AFM1 in the milk than HSCA (P < 0.001) and were similar to each other (Table 5). Deoxynivalenol, FUM, T2, or ZEN were not detected in the milk of cows fed MD15 and MD30. Concentrations of DON, FUM, T2, and ZEN were similar between MTX and HSCA groups.

Urine concentration of AFM1 was higher (P < 0.001) for MTX treatment than other treatments. Comparing HSCA with MD treatments, the concentration of AFM1 was greater for HSCA, and MD30 was more efficient at reducing AFM1 in urine than MD15. Urine concentrations of DON was greater (P < 0.001) for HSCA than

Table 3. Concentrations of mycotoxins in feeds and mycotoxin pool

	Mycotoxin, 1 mg/kg								
Item	AF	DON	FUM	T2	ZEN				
Corn silage Concentrate Mycotoxins pool	2.1 1.0 404	<loq <loq 5,025</loq </loq 	61.6 16.4 8,046	<loq <loq 195</loq </loq 	2.0 <loq 2,008</loq 				

<sup>1</sup>AF = aflatoxin; DON = deoxynivalenol; FUM = fumonisin; T2 = T2 toxin; ZEN = zearalenone; LOQ = limit of quantification.

Table 4. Daily intake of mycotoxins (aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol, fumonisins, T2 toxins, and zearalenone) by dairy cows

		Total daily intake of mycotoxin, μg/animal								
Treatment <sup>1</sup>	Daily intake, kg of DM/animal	AF <sup>2</sup>	DON <sup>3</sup>	FUM <sup>4</sup>	T2 <sup>5</sup>	ZEN <sup>6</sup>				
MTX	26.7	444.8	5,025	9,063	195	2,033.6				
HSCA	26.6	444.6	5,025	9,059	195	2,034.5				
MD15	27.1	445.4	5,025	9,078	195	2,034.0				
MD30	27.1	445.4	5,025	8,960	195	2,034.0				

 $^{1}MTX$  = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

MTX, whereas DON was not detected in urine of cows in MD15 and MD30 groups. Fumonisins were not detected in urine of cows fed MD treatments, and similar concentrations of FUM were observed in MTX and HSCA groups.  $\alpha$ -Zearalenol ( $\alpha$ -Zel) or  $\beta$ -zearalenol ( $\beta$ -Zel)

were not detected in urine of cows fed MD30. Urine concentration of  $\alpha$ -Zel was lower (P < 0.001) in MD15 group in comparison with HSCA and MTX, whereas  $\alpha$ -Zel concentration was the greatest in MTX group. Urine concentration of  $\beta$ -Zel was lower for MD15, whereas

Table 5. Concentrations of mycotoxins in blood, milk and urine of dairy cows fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treatm	ient <sup>1</sup>			P-value <sup>2</sup>					
Item <sup>3</sup>	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	$Trt \times Per$	Trt × LS	
Milk, μg/L											
AFM1	1.31 <sup>a</sup>	$0.792^{b}$	$0.075^{c}$	$0.029^{c}$	0.096	< 0.001	0.067	0.939	0.269	0.943	
DON	0.301 <sup>a</sup>	$0.287^{a}$	<loo< td=""><td><loo< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.353</td><td>0.010</td><td>0.879</td></loo<></td></loo<>	<loo< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.353</td><td>0.010</td><td>0.879</td></loo<>	0.010	< 0.001	0.000	0.353	0.010	0.879	
FUM	$0.758^{a}$	$0.718^{a}$	<loo< td=""><td><loo< td=""><td>0.034</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.040</td><td>0.583</td><td>0.216</td><td>0.947</td></loo<></td></loo<>	<loo< td=""><td>0.034</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.040</td><td>0.583</td><td>0.216</td><td>0.947</td></loo<>	0.034	< 0.001	0.040	0.583	0.216	0.947	
T2	$0.006^{a}$	$0.005^{a}$	<loo< td=""><td><loo< td=""><td>&lt; 0.0001</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.103</td><td>0.258</td><td>0.570</td><td>0.107</td></loo<></td></loo<>	<loo< td=""><td>&lt; 0.0001</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.103</td><td>0.258</td><td>0.570</td><td>0.107</td></loo<>	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.103	0.258	0.570	0.107	
ZEN	$0.095^{a}$	$0.092^{a}$	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.165</td><td>0.436</td><td>0.507</td><td>0.049</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.165</td><td>0.436</td><td>0.507</td><td>0.049</td></loq<>	0.005	< 0.001	0.165	0.436	0.507	0.049	
Urine, µg/L											
AFM1	$0.249^{a}$	$0.222^{b}$	$0.205^{\circ}$	$0.184^{d}$	0.002	< 0.001	0.912	0.680	0.701	0.558	
DON	54.3 <sup>b</sup>	$55.0^{a}$	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.129</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.061</td><td>0.310</td><td>0.157</td><td>0.767</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.129</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.061</td><td>0.310</td><td>0.157</td><td>0.767</td></loq<>	0.129	< 0.001	0.061	0.310	0.157	0.767	
FUM	$40.9^{a}$	41.2 <sup>a</sup>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.117</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.174</td><td>0.855</td><td>0.113</td><td>0.239</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.117</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.174</td><td>0.855</td><td>0.113</td><td>0.239</td></loq<>	0.117	< 0.001	0.174	0.855	0.113	0.239	
T2	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<>		1.00	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
α-Zel	0.954 <sup>a</sup>	1.904 <sup>b</sup>	$0.023^{\circ}$	<loq< td=""><td>0.006</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.624</td><td>0.989</td><td>0.951</td><td>1.000</td></loq<>	0.006	< 0.001	0.624	0.989	0.951	1.000	
β-Zel	$1.10^{a}$	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	$0.083^{b}$	<loq< td=""><td>0.023</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.672</td><td>0.998</td><td>0.940</td><td>1.000</td></loq<>	0.023	< 0.001	0.672	0.998	0.940	1.000	
Blood plasma, μg/L											
AFM1	$0.281^{a}$	$0.182^{b}$	$0.025^{c}$	<loq< td=""><td>0.005</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.986</td><td>0.130</td><td>1.000</td><td>0.069</td></loq<>	0.005	< 0.001	0.986	0.130	1.000	0.069	
DON	$0.153^{a}$	$0.151^{a}$	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>0.001</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.270</td><td>0.038</td><td>0.666</td><td>0.286</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>0.001</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.270</td><td>0.038</td><td>0.666</td><td>0.286</td></loq<>	0.001	< 0.001	0.270	0.038	0.666	0.286	
FUM	$0.299^{a}$	$0.276^{a}$	$0.016^{b}$	<loq< td=""><td>0.008</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.387</td><td>0.459</td><td>0.535</td><td>0.433</td></loq<>	0.008	< 0.001	0.387	0.459	0.535	0.433	
T2	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td></td><td>1.00</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td><td>1.000</td></loq<>		1.00	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
ZEN	$0.269^{a}$	0.267 <sup>a</sup>	$0.006^{b}$	<loq< td=""><td>0.003</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td><td>0.169</td><td>0.413</td><td>0.596</td><td>0.436</td></loq<>	0.003	< 0.001	0.169	0.413	0.596	0.436	

a-dWithin a row, means with different superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05). ND = nondetected or below the limit of detection.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>AF = \text{total aflatoxins } (B_{1}, B_{2}, G_{1}, \text{ and } G_{2}).$ 

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>DON = deoxynivalenol.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>FUM = fumonisins (FUM1, FUM2).

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>T2 = T2 \text{ toxin.}$ 

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ ZEN = zearalenone.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ MTX = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>P-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ AFM1 = aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>; DON = deoxynivalenol; FUM = fumonisin; T2 = T2 toxin; ZEN = zearalenone;  $\alpha$ -Zel =  $\alpha$ -zearalenol;  $\beta$ -Zel =  $\beta$ -zearalenol; LOQ = limit of quantification. The averages have been calculated on the assumption that some animals have values below the LOQ, which has resulted in an overall average value below the LOQ.

urine  $\beta$ -Zel concentration was similar between MTX and HSCA groups.

Aflatoxin  $M_1$ , DON, FUM, and ZEN were not detected in plasma of cows fed MD30, and DON was also not detected in MD15 group. The T2 toxin was not detected in the plasma of any of the cows. Blood concentration of AFM1 was reduced (P < 0.001) with additives whereas MD15 was more efficient in reducing AFM1 in blood than the HSCA treatment. Plasma concentration of DON was similar between MTX and HSCA groups. Plasma concentration of FUM was lower (P < 0.001) in cows fed MD15, whereas similar plasma FUM concentration was observed between HSCA and MTX groups. Plasma concentration of ZEN was lower (P < 0.001) for MD15 in comparison with MTX and HSCA.

## Performance, Total-Tract Digestibility, and Blood Metabolites

Dry matter intake, or nutrient intake and digestibility were not affected by treatments (Table 6). Feed sorting index, BW, and BCS were similar among treatments. Milk yield, FCM yield, or milk composition were not affected by treatments (Table 7).

Treatment comparisons did not reveal differences in serum concentrations of haptoglobin and hepatic enzymes (ALT, GGT, AST, and alkaline phosphatase [ALP]; Table 8). Blood creatinine concentration was greater (P = 0.009) in MD15 group in comparison with other treatments, which exhibited similar blood creatinine concentration to each other (Table 9). Blood concentrations of albumin, globulin, hemoglobin, total protein, urea-N, glucose, amylase, and bicarbonate were similar among treatments. Similar blood hematocrit, total carbon dioxide, partial pressure of carbon dioxide, pH, and base excess in the extracellular fluid compartment were observed among treatment groups.

Blood concentration of sodium was lower ( $P \le 0.012$ ) in HSCA compared with MD15 and MD30 groups (Table 10). Blood concentrations of chlorine, calcium, phosphorus, potassium, vitamin E, and  $\beta$ -carotene were similar among treatments. An interaction effect between treatment and Latin square was observed (P = 0.022) for blood vitamin E concentration where cows in the Latin square with the lowest initial milk yield and DMI tended to exhibit different (P = 0.065) concentrations for HSCA and MD15 (6.07 and 7.65 mg/L, respectively).

#### **DISCUSSION**

It was postulated that feeding MDP treatments would reduce the absorption of mycotoxin and consequently the

Table 6. Intake and total-tract apparent digestibility of nutrients, feed sorting index, and BW of dairy cows submitted to a multi-mycotoxin challenge and fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treat	ment <sup>1</sup>			P-value <sup>2</sup>					
Item	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	Trt × Per	$Trt \times LS$	
Intake, kg/d											
DM	26.9	26.9	27.1	27.1	1.31	0.972	0.434	0.118	0.902	0.755	
CP	4.93	4.95	4.96	5.01	0.243	0.922	0.059	0.126	0.924	0.763	
NDF	12.1	12.1	12.2	12.1	0.45	0.885	<.001	0.146	0.769	0.378	
OM	25.8	25.9	26.0	26.1	1.26	0.969	0.348	0.119	0.898	0.757	
Apparent digestibility, %											
DM	62.2	63.8	62.5	62.7	1.51	0.833	<.001	0.271	0.464	0.798	
CP	60.2	62.5	60.6	61.0	1.89	0.772	<.001	0.449	0.294	0.558	
NDF	50.6	53.2	51.6	50.9	2.45	0.813	0.002	0.196	0.936	0.996	
OM	64.6	66.4	65.0	65.3	1.46	0.785	<.001	0.343	0.454	0.783	
Sorting index <sup>3</sup>											
>19 mm	0.889	0.882	0.863	0.872	0.029	0.920	0.255	0.043	0.041	0.246	
19–8 mm	0.999	1.00	1.00	0.994	0.005	0.564	0.508	0.848	0.272	0.630	
8–4 mm	1.01	1.02	1.01	1.01	0.004	0.534	0.035	0.162	0.048	0.513	
<4 mm	1.03	1.02	1.03	1.04	0.011	0.803	0.833	0.192	0.124	0.641	
BCS	2.50	2.50	2.48	2.50	0.027	0.933	0.933	0.087	0.998	0.853	
BW, kg	559	570	568	578	13.8	0.813	<.001	<.001	0.841	0.998	

 $<sup>^1</sup>MTX$  = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15: basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>P-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>No sorting: 1, value 1 indicates sorting for particles on the particular particle size range; sorting index was calculated according to Silveira et al. (2007).

Table 7. Milk yield and composition of dairy cows submitted to a multi-mycotoxin challenge and fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treat	ment <sup>1</sup>			P-value <sup>2</sup>					
Item	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	Trt × Per	$Trt \times LS$	
Yield, kg/d											
Milk	31.4	31.8	32	31.4	1.22	0.688	0.052	0.355	0.812	0.456	
3.5% FCM	35.5	35.7	36.6	35.9	1.26	0.674	0.670	0.095	0.552	0.778	
Fat	1.34	1.33	1.38	1.36	0.055	0.705	0.994	0.075	0.551	0.754	
Protein	0.977	0.977	0.988	0.975	0.035	0.930	0.112	0.299	0.968	0.564	
Lactose	1.44	1.45	1.48	1.44	0.082	0.648	<.001	0.593	0.746	0.504	
Composition, %											
Fat	4.23	4.19	4.33	4.36	0.166	0.526	0.415	0.492	0.785	0.631	
Protein	3.11	3.11	3.09	3.11	0.024	0.299	<.001	0.655	0.375	0.141	
Lactose	4.56	4.52	4.60	4.58	0.140	0.519	<.001	0.947	0.971	0.836	

 $^1$ MTX = basal diet + 404 μg of AFB + 5,025 μg of DON + 8,046 μg of FUM + 195 μg of T2 + 2,008 μg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 μg of AFB + 5,025 μg of DON + 8,046 μg of FUM + 195 μg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 μg of AFB + 5,025 μg of DON + 8,046 μg of FUM + 195 μg of T2 + 2,008 μg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 μg of AFB + 5,025 μg of DON + 8,046 μg of FUM + 195 μg of T2 + 2,008 μg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

concentration in the blood, thereby reducing the secretion of mycotoxins in the milk and urine of dairy cows challenged with a mycotoxin blend in the diet. Indeed, cows fed with both doses of MD had lower concentrations of mycotoxins in milk, urine, and blood in comparison with MTX and HSCA treatments. In addition, it was expected that mycotoxins would have detrimental effects in the gastrointestinal tract that could impair digestibility (Liew and Mohd-Redzwan, 2018) and consequently milk production. However, no effects on animal performance and total apparent digestibility were observed, possibly due to the contamination levels not being sufficiently high and the short-term period of exposure (7 d). It is important to highlight that this study has no genuine control group (i.e., a group with cows not exposed to mycotoxin

challenge) and the results should be interpreted with caution.

Concentrations of mycotoxins initially proposed in this study are very close to what was observed in the mycotoxicological analysis (Gruber-Dorninger et al., 2019). Treatments containing MDP reduced mycotoxins in milk of dairy cows. Studies have shown reduced AF in milk when anti-mycotoxin additives were fed (Kutz et al., 2009; Pietri et al., 2009), but further studies are warranted to determine the effectiveness of these additives in reducing the secretion of other mycotoxin in milk. As expected, HSCA was effective in reducing concentration of AFM1 in blood, milk, and urine. It is well known that HSCA is effective in binding AF and preventing its absorption by the intestine and entering the bloodstream

Table 8. Concentration of haptoglobin and hepatic enzymes in the blood of dairy cows submitted to a multi-mycotoxin challenge and fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treat	ment <sup>1</sup>					P-value		
Item <sup>3</sup>	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	Trt × Per	Trt × LS
Hp, mg/mL	1.14	1.24	1.01	1.10	0.219	0.899	0.068	0.608	0.548	0.910
AST, U/L	69.9	69.9	68.8	70.4	2.94	0.953	0.005	0.653	0.016	0.626
GGT, U/L	28.3	26.4	27.7	23.7	2.18	0.359	0.117	0.139	0.170	0.346
ALT, U/L	49.8	50.9	49.2	49.1	2.34	0.851	0.091	0.479	0.274	0.462
ALP, U/L	64.9	63.4	73.3	71.9	10.3	0.081	0.269	0.474	0.153	0.222

 $^{1}$ MTX = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30: basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>P-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>*P*-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hp = haptoglobin; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; GGT = gamma-glutamyl transferase; ALT = alanine aminotransferase; ALP = alkaline phosphatase.

Table 9. Blood parameters of dairy cows submitted to a multi-mycotoxin challenge and fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treati	ment <sup>1</sup>			P-value <sup>2</sup>					
Item	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	Trt × Per	Trt × LS	
Albumin, g/dL	2.73	2.68	2.65	2.71	0.060	0.272	0.004	0.262	0.952	0.864	
Globulin, g/L	58.8	56.7	58.6	57.2	1.72	0.082	0.003	0.065	0.230	0.171	
Hemoglobin, g/dL	7.66	7.60	7.88	7.83	0.199	0.311	0.188	0.664	0.674	0.707	
Hematocrit, % PCV <sup>3</sup>	22.5	22.3	23.2	23.0	0.585	0.293	0.188	0.696	0.706	0.693	
Total protein, g/dL	8.61	8.33	8.53	8.43	0.139	0.094	0.115	0.045	0.260	0.403	
Creatinine, mg/dL	$0.858^{b}$	$0.833^{b}$	$0.975^{a}$	$0.833^{b}$	0.042	0.009	0.116	0.094	0.173	0.819	
Urea-N, mg/dL	14.8	14.8	15.1	14.5	0.691	0.740	<.0001	0.524	0.976	0.442	
Glucose, mg/dL	66.9	66.8	66.8	66.2	1.13	0.757	0.696	0.570	0.358	0.329	
Amylase, U/L	41.9	42.8	42.4	45.3	2.90	0.075	0.128	0.511	0.112	0.261	
TCO <sub>2</sub> , 4 mmol/L	31.0	30.8	30.8	30.8	0.574	0.992	0.793	0.565	0.389	0.587	
PCO <sub>2</sub> ,5 mm/Hg	41.2	40.3	40.2	42.4	1.23	0.523	0.242	0.539	0.216	0.532	
Bicarbonate, mmol/L	29.7	29.6	29.6	29.5	0.545	0.985	0.899	0.423	0.300	0.687	
pH	7.46	7.47	7.48	7.45	0.013	0.561	0.362	0.262	0.991	0.685	
BEecf, mmol/L	5.92	6.00	6.00	5.67	0.674	0.959	0.999	0.426	0.530	0.672	
Anion gap, mmol/L	13.1	13.8	13.0	13.4	0.417	0.575	0.501	0.102	0.848	0.647	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with different superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).

(Kubena et al., 1993; Neeff et al., 2013; Awuchi et al., 2021). Although HSCA is an effective adsorbent for AF, it does not minimize the toxic effects of other mycotoxins such as DON and ZEN (Döll et al., 2005; Van Le Thanh et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2022). In this study, feeding MD15 and MD30 has been shown to be more effective in

reducing AFM1 in milk, urine, and blood in comparison to feeding HSCA. A previous study showed that an earlier MDP was able to reduce the AF in milk by 31% and 41% when fed at 20 g/cow per day and 50 g/cow per day, respectively (Pietri et al., 2009). Several reviews have also described the effectiveness of MDP in counteract-

Table 10. Concentration of minerals, vitamin E and  $\beta$ -carotene in the blood of dairy cows submitted to a multi-mycotoxin challenge and fed different anti-mycotoxin feed additives

		Treat		P-value <sup>2</sup>						
Item	MTX	HSCA	MD15	MD30	SEM	Trt	Per	LS	$Trt \times Per$	Trt × LS
Mineral, mmol/L										
Chlorine	100	99.1	101	101	0.650	0.112	0.243	0.695	0.747	0.689
Calcium	2.49	2.46	2.51	2.49	0.036	0.567	0.059	0.224	0.711	0.251
Phosphorus	2.20	2.32	2.31	2.29	0.090	0.401	0.584	0.648	0.811	0.399
Sodium	141 <sup>ab</sup>	$140^{\rm b}$	142 <sup>a</sup>	142 <sup>a</sup>	0.565	0.043	0.034	0.146	0.378	0.103
Potassium	4.77	4.46	4.58	4.62	0.082	0.067	0.410	0.107	0.748	0.264
Vitamin E, mg/L	6.18	5.94	6.25	6.25	0.493	0.585	0.002	0.501	0.639	0.022
β-Carotene, mg/L	1.67	1.67	1.56	1.68	0.201	0.889	0.004	0.773	0.983	0.648

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a,b</sup>Within a row, means with different superscript letters were significantly different (P < 0.05).

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ MTX = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>P-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>PCV = packed cell volume.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Total carbon dioxide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Partial pressure of carbon dioxide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Base excess in the extracellular fluid compartment.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ MTX = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN. HSCA = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of ZEN + 25 g/cow per day of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate. MD15 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 15 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich). MD30 = basal diet + 404 µg of AFB + 5,025 µg of DON + 8,046 µg of FUM + 195 µg of T2 + 2,008 µg of ZEN + 30 g/cow per day of Mycofix Plus (dsm-firmenich).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>*P*-values for effects of treatment (Trt), period (Per), Latin square (LS), interaction between treatment and period (Trt × Per), interaction between treatment and Latin square (Trt × LS).

ing the negative effects of mycotoxins in poultry, swine, and dairy cows (Cheng et al., 2006; Gallo et al., 2020; Kehinde et al., 2020).

The US Food and Drug Administration has set an action level for AFM1 of 0.50 µg/kg in liquid milk, total AF of 20 µg/kg in feed ingredients offered to dairy and breeding cattle (FDA, 2019). The European Commission set up an action level for AFM1 of 0.05 µg/kg in liquid milk, AFB1 of 20 μg/kg in all feedstuffs, 10 μg/kg in complete feeds for cattle, sheep, and goats, and 5 µg/ kg in complete feeds for dairy cattle (EC, 2003, 2006). In this study, the level of AFM1 found in milk was 1.31, 0.79, 0.08, and 0.03 µg/kg for the MTX, HSCA, MD15, and MD30 groups, respectively. It is worth noting that the cows in the MTX group were also contaminated with mycotoxins, which is the reason why they exhibited such a high level of mycotoxin in their milk. However, only MD30 has been able to reduce AFM1 in milk below the limit allowed by the European Commission.

Regarding the other mycotoxins, HSCA presented no difference compared with the MTX, meaning it did not reduce the concentration of FUM, T2, or ZEN in the blood, milk, and urine due to the varying affinities that different mycotoxins have for adsorbents. Hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate is an inorganic adsorbent that shows a remarkable ability to bind with nonpolar mycotoxins such as AF (Vekiru et al., 2007), being inefficient to bind other kinds of mycotoxins. However, treatment MD15 was able to reduce other mycotoxin (DON, FUM, T2, and ZEN) concentrations in milk to levels below the detection limit. The same occurred to DON, FUM, and T2 in the urine. α-Zearalenol and β-Zel are metabolites of ZEN that was measured in the urine, and the MD15 treatment has caused 97.5% and 92.4% reduction of α-Zel and β-Zel, respectively. The results of the mycotoxins in the blood resemble those found in milk and urine. Plasma levels of DON and T2 were reduced below the limit of quantification (LOQ) for MD15 group, whereas FUM and ZEN decreased by a remarkable 96.3% and 97.7% for MD15 and MD30, respectively. The MD30 treatment was the most effective in reducing the levels of mycotoxins; except for AFM1 in milk and urine, mycotoxins analyzed in this study were below the detection limit for milk, urine, and blood in cows fed MD30.

Mycotoxin deactivator has 3 mechanisms of action that supports its anti-mycotoxin effect. Inorganic constituents, specifically a blend of bentonites and diatomaceous earths present in MD, serve to adsorb polar mycotoxins such as AF (Vekiru et al., 2007). Non-adsorbable mycotoxins (e.g., trichothecenes, ZEN) are biotransformed by genus nov. species nov. of the family *Coriobacteriaceae* strain (BBSH7) and a yeast strain affiliated to the *Trichosporon genus* (*Trichosporon mycotoxinivorans* MTV; Schatzmayr et al., 2006). Ultimately, phycophytic

compounds sourced from marine algae (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) and extracts from plants (*Silybum marianum*) function to protect vulnerable organs such as the liver and strengthen the immune system (Murugesan et al., 2015). The reduction of AF in the blood was observed for HSCA and MD15 (35% and 91%, respectively), although MD30 decreased AF concentration in plasma below LOD (0.033 µg/L).

Hepatic enzymes (GGT, AST, ALT, and ALP) are indicators of liver function (Santos and Fink-Gremmels, 2014; Xiong et al., 2015). There were no significant differences in most serum biochemical parameters among the treatments. Similar results have been observed in dairy cows fed with a BD contaminated with AF (0, 20, and 40 µg/kg of AF), and no changes were found in hepatic enzymes (Wang et al., 2019). Data on levels of hepatic enzymes in healthy bovine is still controversial in the literature, probably because AST, ALT, and GGT are not constant during the lactation and pregnancy phases (Tainturier et al., 1984; Stojević et al., 2005) and it depends on breed, sex, age, feeding, and so on (Zaitsev et al., 2020). Kaneko et al. (2008) suggest adequate blood concentrations of 78 to 132 U/L for AST, 6.1 to 17.4 U/L for GGT, and 11 to 40 U/L for ALT; however, the cattle breed data are not available. Stojević et al. (2005) studied the hepatic enzymes levels of 120 clinically healthy cows at different stages of lactation and found  $44.9 \pm 6.9$ ,  $20.08 \pm 3.7$ , and  $14.72 \pm 3.7$  U/L for AST, ALT, and GGT respectively for cows after the peak of lactation. In the current study, serum concentrations of AST, GGT, and ALT were 69.7, 26.9, and 49.8 U/L, respectively, slightly higher than reported in the literature (Stojević et al., 2005; Kaneko et al., 2008), as diets from all treatments were contaminated with mycotoxins. An increase in these enzymes after the mycotoxin challenge was expected, at least in MTX and HSCA groups, as they can cause damage photosensitization in cattle (Casteel et al., 1995). Serum amylase is considered a biomarker of acute pancreatitis (Salt and Schenker, 1976; Furey et al., 2020). Creatinine serves as an indicator of glomerular filtration rate, which is considered the most reliable measure of kidney function (KDIGO, 2024). In this trial, serum creatinine concentration was greater in MD15 compared with other treatment groups. However, serum creatinine remained within the range of normal concentration (Titgemeyer and Löest, 2001). The reasons for different serum creatinine concentrations between MD15 and other groups are unclear.

Mycotoxin adsorbents can bind to vitamins and minerals (Ward et al., 1991; Huwig et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2022), but no treatment differences were found in blood concentrations of  $\beta$ -carotene, Ca, or P. Despite reports of mycotoxin adsorbents binding to vitamins and minerals, the results of our study did not show differences in

plasma concentrations of vitamin E,  $\beta$ -carotene, Ca, or P. Mycotoxin deactivator has shown not to bind to vitamins in minerals in other studies also (Gallo et al., 2020). Blood sodium concentration was lower in the HSCA group compared with MD15 and MD30. Despite HSCA having the capacity to absorb vitamins and minerals as well (Moshtaghian et al., 1991; Huwig et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2022), we are not able to attribute this effect to HSCA binding properties, as blood levels of sodium in healthy animals on the same diet but without mycotoxins were not evaluated. However, cows with the highest mycotoxin challenge relative to DMI (i.e., cows with the lowest DMI) showed marginal differences in blood vitamin E concentration, whereas cows fed MD15 tended to present greater concentrations than those fed HSCA.

No differences were found in mycotoxin intake because the amounts of mycotoxins offered to the cows were carefully controlled, and the supply was administered through top dressing. Dry matter intake and milk yield were not affected by treatments. Similar results on these aspects are found in the literature (Kutz et al., 2009; Pietri et al., 2009). In a previous study evaluating the effects of a long period of exposure to mycotoxins (54 d) in a naturally contaminated diet, no differences were found in performance of dairy cows (n = 30) either among control group, diet with low contamination, and diet with high contamination and 100 g/cow per day of anti-mycotoxin feed additive (Catellani et al., 2023). These results can be explained by the fact that ruminants are less sensitive to mycotoxins, they are generally protected against toxins by the rumen microbiota (Fink-Gremmels, 2008a,b). However, Santos and Fink-Gremmels (2014) affirm that the exposure of dairy cows for a long period of the time (2 mo) can have negative effects on milk production. Therefore, the short-term exposure period of this trial can explain the lack of effects on performance and digestibility, but it reflects what can happen in dairy farms.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Feeding a mycotoxin deactivator over a short-term contamination period effectively reduces AFM1, DON, FUM, T2, and ZEN in blood, milk, and urine of dairy cows in both doses. The MD30 treatment presented the greatest reduction of mycotoxins in blood, urine, and milk, followed by MD15 and HSCA. The MD30 treatment reduced all other mycotoxins below the limit of detection in blood, urine, and milk, except for AFM1 in milk and urine. The lower dose of mycotoxin deactivator (MD15) presented a significant reduction in all mycotoxins, whereas HSCA presented small reduction in AF, and it was not able to reduce other mycotoxins secretions in blood, urine, and milk. This study did not detect differences in performance and digestibility of dairy cows.

However, even during a short-term exposure to contaminated diets, dairy cows are capable of absorbing and secreting mycotoxins in milk, which are harmful to human health. This study demonstrated the effectiveness of the MD in reducing absorption by the cow and producing milk that is safer for consumers.

#### **NOTES**

The authors thank the support from dsm-firmenich (grants #2022/07439-7 and #2022/14662-4, São Paulo Research Foundation [FAPESP], São Paulo, Brazil; grant #304262/2021-8, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico [CNPq], Brasília, Brazil; and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior [CAPES; Finance code 001], Brasília, Brazil). The authors appreciate the support of staff from the Laboratório de Pesquisa em Bovinos de Leite (Pirassununga, Brazil) and Laboratório de Microbiologia e Micotoxicologia de Alimentos (Pirassununga, Brazil) during the experiment. Supplemental material for this article is available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9 .figshare.25782945. This study was carried out between April and July 2021 at the Laboratory on Dairy Cattle Research (Laboratório de Pesquisa em Bovinos de Leite, Pirassununga, Brazil) under the approval of the Ethics Committee on Animal Use from the School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences, University of Sao Paulo (protocol 6324160123). The authors have not stated any conflicts of interest.

Nonstandard abbreviations used: α-Zel  $\alpha$ -zearalenol;  $\beta$ -Zel =  $\beta$ -zearalenol; AF = aflatoxins; AFB1 = aflatoxin  $B_1$ ; AFM1 = aflatoxin  $M_1$ ; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; ALT = alanine transaminase; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; BD = basal diet; DON = deoxynivalenol; FUM = fumonisins; GGT = gammaglutamyl transpeptidase; HSCA = hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate; LOQ = limit of quantification; LS = Latin square; MD = mycotoxin deactivator; MDP = mycotoxin-deactivating products; MD15 = MD added to the BD at 15 g/cow per day; MD30 = MD added to the BD at 30 g/cow per day; MRM = multiple reaction monitoring; MTX = mycotoxin group; OTA = ochratoxin A; Per = period; T2 = T2 toxin; Trt = treatment; uNDF = undigested NDF; UPLC = ultra-performance liquid chromatography; ZEN = zearalenone.

### **REFERENCES**

Antonissen, G., A. Martel, F. Pasmans, R. Ducatelle, E. Verbrugghe, V. Vandenbroucke, S. Li, F. Haesebrouck, F. Van Immerseel, and S. Croubels. 2014. The impact of *Fusarium* mycotoxins on human and animal host susceptibility to infectious diseases. Toxins (Basel) 6:430–452. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins6020430.

- AOAC International. 2000. Official Methods of Analysis. 7th ed. AOAC International, Arlington, VA.
- Awuchi, C. G., E. N. Ondari, C. U. Ogbonna, A. K. Upadhyay, K. Baran, C. O. R. Okpala, M. Korzeniowska, and R. P. F. Guiné. 2021. Mycotoxins affecting animals, foods, humans and plants: Types, occurrence, toxicities, action mechanisms, prevention and detoxification strategies-A revisit. Foods 10:1279. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10061279.
- Bhat, R., R. V. Rai, and A. A. Karim. 2010. Mycotoxins in food and feed: Present status and future concerns. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 9:57–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2009.00094.x.
- Bryden, W. L. 2012. Mycotoxin contamination of the feed supply chain: Implications for animal productivity and feed security. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 173:134–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.12.014.
- Campagnollo, F. B., K. C. Ganev, A. M. Khaneghah, J. Portela, A. G. Cruz, D. Granato, C. H. Corassin, C. A. F. Oliveira, and A. S. Sant'Ana. 2016. The occurrence and effect of unit operations for dairy products processing on the fate of aflatoxin M1: A review. Food Control 68:310–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.04.007.
- Casali, A. O., E. Detmann, S. D. C. Valadares Filho, J. C. Pereira, L. T. Henriques, S. G. De Freitas, and M. F. Paulino. 2008. Influência do tempo de incubação e do tamanho de partículas sobre os teores de compostos indigestíveis em alimentos e fezes bovinas obtidos por procedimentos in situ. Rev. Bras. Zootec. 37:335–342. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982008000200021.
- Casteel, S. W., G. E. Rottinghaus, G. C. Johnson, and D. T. Wicklow. 1995. Liver disease in cattle induced by consumption of moldy hay. Vet. Hum. Toxicol. 37:248–251.
- Catellani, A., F. Ghilardelli, E. Trevisi, A. Cecchinato, V. Bisutti, F. Fumagalli, H. V. L. N. Swamy, Y. Han, S. van Kuijk, and A. Gallo. 2023. Effects of supplementation of a mycotoxin mitigation feed additive in lactating dairy cows fed *Fusarium* mycotoxin-contaminated diet for an extended period. Toxins (Basel) 15:546. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins15090546.
- Cheng, Y.-H., C.-F. Weng, B.-J. Chen, and M.-H. Chang. 2006. Toxicity of different *Fusarium* mycotoxins on growth performance, immune responses and efficacy of a mycotoxin degrading enzyme in pigs. Anim. Res. 55:579–590. https://doi.org/10.1051/animres:2006032.
- Cooke, R. F., and J. D. Arthington. 2013. Concentrations of haptoglobin in bovine plasma determined by ELISA or a colorimetric method based on peroxidase activity. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl.) 97:531–536. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01298.x.
- Döll, S., S. Gericke, S. Dänicke, J. Raila, K. H. Ueberschär, H. Valenta, U. Schnurrbusch, F. J. Schweigert, and G. Flachowsky. 2005. The efficacy of a modified aluminosilicate as a detoxifying agent in *Fusarium* toxin contaminated maize containing diets for piglets. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl.) 89:342–358. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2005.00527.x.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2004. Opinion of the scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain [CONTAM] related to deoxynivalenol (DON) as undesirable substance in animal feed. EFSA J. 2:73. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2004.73.
- EC (European Commission). 2003. European Commission Directive (EC) 2003/100/EC of 31 October 2003 amending Annex I to Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on undesirable substances in animal feed (Text with EEA relevance). Off. J. Eur. Union 285:33–37.
- EC (European Commission). 2006. European Commission Regulation No. 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006. Setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal Eur. Union 364:5–24.
- FDA (US Food and Drug Administration). 2019. Action levels for aflatoxins in animal food. Accessed Oct. 17, 2023. https://www.fda.gov/media/121202/download.
- Fink-Gremmels, J. 2008a. Mycotoxins in cattle feeds and carry-over to dairy milk: A review. Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess. 25:172–180. https://doi.org/10.1080/02652030701823142.

- Fink-Gremmels, J. 2008b. The role of mycotoxins in the health and performance of dairy cows. Vet. J. 176:84–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.12.034.
- Flores-Flores, M. E., and E. González-Peñas. 2017. An LC-MS/MS method for multi-mycotoxin quantification in cow milk. Food Chem. 218:378–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.09.101.
- Franco, L. T., T. Petta, G. E. Rottinghaus, K. Bordin, G. A. Gomes, and C. A. F. Oliveira. 2019. Co-occurrence of mycotoxins in maize food and maize-based feed from small-scale farms in Brazil: A pilot study. Mycotoxin Res. 35:65–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-018-0331-4.
- Frey, M., R. Rosim, and C. Oliveira. 2021. Mycotoxin co-occurrence in milks and exposure estimation: A pilot study in São Paulo, Brazil. Toxins 13:507. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13080507.
- Furey, C., J. Buxbaum, and A. B. Chambliss. 2020. A review of biomarker utilization in the diagnosis and management of acute pancreatitis reveals amylase ordering is favored in patients requiring laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Clin. Biochem. 77:54–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2019.12.014.
- Gallo, A., A. Minuti, P. Bani, T. Bertuzzi, F. P. Cappelli, B. Doupovec, J. Faas, D. Schatzmayr, and E. Trevisi. 2020. A mycotoxin-deactivating feed additive counteracts the adverse effects of regular levels of Fusarium mycotoxins in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 103:11314–11331. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18197.
- Grenier, B., A.-P. F. L. Bracarense, H. E. Schwartz, J. Lucioli, A.-M. Cossalter, W.-D. Moll, G. Schatzmayr, and I. P. Oswald. 2013. Biotransformation approaches to alleviate the effects induced by fusarium mycotoxins in swine. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61:6711–6719. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf400213q.
- Gruber-Dorninger, C., T. Jenkins, and G. Schatzmayr. 2019. Global mycotoxin occurrence in feed: A ten-year survey. Toxins (Basel) 11:375. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11070375.
- Hendrix, D. L. 1993. Rapid extraction and analysis of nonstructural carbohydrates in plant tissues. Crop Sci. 33:1306–1311. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1993.0011183X003300060037x.
- Huhtanen, P., K. Kaustell, and S. Jaakkola. 1994. The use of internal markers to predict total digestibility and duodenal flow of nutrients in cattle given six different diets. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 48:211–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(94)90173-2.
- Huwig, A., S. Freimund, O. Käppeli, and H. Dutler. 2001. Mycotoxin detoxication of animal feed by different adsorbents. Toxicol. Lett. 122:179–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4274(01)00360-5.
- Jarvis, B. B., and J. D. Miller. 2005. Mycotoxins as harmful indoor air contaminants. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 66:367–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1753-9.
- Jiang, Y., I. M. Ogunade, D. Vyas, and A. T. Adesogan. 2021. Aflatoxin in dairy cows: Toxicity, occurrence in feedstuffs and milk and dietary mitigation strategies. Toxins (Basel) 13:283. https://doi.org/10 .3390/toxins13040283.
- Kaneko, J. J., J. W. Harvey, and M. L. Bruss, ed. 2008. Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals. 6th ed. Academic Press.
- KDIGO. (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes). 2024. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. KDIGO, Brussels, Belgium. https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/KDIGO-2024-CKD-Guideline.pdf.
- Kehinde, H. W., A. A. Sekoni, T. S. Olugbemi, and P. A. Onimisi. 2020. Prevalence of aflatoxin b1 in some common poultry feed ingredients and optimum inclusion levels of mycofix binder as feed additive on performance of broiler chickens. Niger. J. Anim. Prod. 45:137–149. https://doi.org/10.51791/njap.v45i2.495.
- Kenward, M. G., and J. H. Roger. 1997. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. Biometrics 53:983. https://doi.org/10.2307/2533558.
- Kiyothong, K., P. Rowlinson, M. Wanapat, and S. Khampa. 2012. Effect of mycotoxin deactivator product supplementation on dairy cows. Anim. Prod. Sci. 52:832. https://doi.org/10.1071/AN11205.
- Kubena, L. F., R. B. Harvey, W. E. Huff, M. H. Elissalde, A. G. Yersin, T. D. Phillips, and G. E. Rottinghaus. 1993. Efficacy of a hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate to reduce the toxicity of aflatoxin and diacetoxyscirpenol. Poult. Sci. 72:51–59. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0720051.

- Kutz, R. E., J. D. Sampson, L. B. Pompeu, D. R. Ledoux, J. N. Spain, M. Vázquez-Añón, and G. E. Rottinghaus. 2009. Efficacy of Solis, NovasilPlus, and MTB-100 to reduce aflatoxin M1 levels in milk of early to mid lactation dairy cows fed aflatoxin Bl. J. Dairy Sci. 92:3959–3963. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2031.
- Liew, W.-P.-P., and S. Mohd-Redzwan. 2018. Mycotoxin: Its impact on gut health and microbiota. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 8:60. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00060.
- Liu, M., L. Zhao, G. Gong, L. Zhang, L. Shi, J. Dai, Y. Han, Y. Wu, M. M. Khalil, and L. Sun. 2022. Invited review: Remediation strategies for mycotoxin control in feed. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 13:19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-021-00661-4.
- Magan, N., A. Medina, and D. Aldred. 2011. Possible climate-change effects on mycotoxin contamination of food crops pre- and postharvest. Plant Pathol. 60:150–163. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059 .2010.02412.x.
- Makau, C. M., J. W. Matofari, P. S. Muliro, and B. O. Bebe. 2016. Aflatoxin B1 and Deoxynivalenol contamination of dairy feeds and presence of Aflatoxin M1 contamination in milk from smallholder dairy systems in Nakuru, Kenya. Int. J. Food Contam. 3:6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40550-016-0033-7.
- Moshtaghian, J., C. M. Parsons, R. W. Leeper, P. C. Harrison, and K. W. Koelkebeck. 1991. Effect of sodium aluminosilicate on phosphorus utilization by chicks and laying hens. Poult. Sci. 70:955–962. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0700955.
- Müller, L. K. F., A. S. da Silva, M. D. Baldissera, J. M. Santurio, P. Glombowsky, J. Gugel, G. Campigotto, E. M. Gloria, D. Paiano, and G. Machado. 2017. Effects of supplementation with spray-dried porcine plasma on blood variables on piglets feed with diet contaminated by mycotoxins. Microb. Pathog. 110:464–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2017.07.028.
- Murugesan, G. R., D. R. Ledoux, K. Naehrer, F. Berthiller, T. J. Applegate, B. Grenier, T. D. Phillips, and G. Schatzmayr. 2015. Prevalence and effects of mycotoxins on poultry health and performance, and recent development in mycotoxin counteracting strategies. Poult. Sci. 94:1298–1315. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev075.
- Neeff, D. V., D. R. Ledoux, G. E. Rottinghaus, A. J. Bermudez, A. Dakovic, R. A. Murarolli, and C. A. F. Oliveira. 2013. In vitro and in vivo efficacy of a hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate to bind and reduce aflatoxin residues in tissues of broiler chicks fed aflatoxin B1. Poult. Sci. 92:131–137. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02510.
- NRC. (National Research Council). 2001. Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle. 7th rev. ed. National Academies Press. Washington, DC.
- Pestka, J. J., H.-R. Zhou, Y. Moon, and Y. J. Chung. 2004. Cellular and molecular mechanisms for immune modulation by deoxynivalenol and other trichothecenes: Unraveling a paradox. Toxicol. Lett. 153:61-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2004.04.023.
- Pietri, A., T. Bertuzzi, G. Piva, E. M. Binder, D. Schatzmayr, and I. Rodrigues. 2009. Aflatoxin transfer from naturally contaminated feed to milk of dairy cows and the efficacy of a mycotoxin deactivating product. Int. J. Dairy Sci. 4:34–42. https://doi.org/10.3923/ijds.2009.34.42.
- Qin, L., J.-Y. Jiang, L. Zhang, X.-W. Dou, Z. Ouyang, L. Wan, and M.-H. Yang. 2020. Occurrence and analysis of mycotoxins in domestic Chinese herbal medicines. Mycology 11:126–146. https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2020.1727578.
- Salt, W. B. II, and S. M. D. Schenker. 1976. Amylase—Its clinical significance: A review of the literature. Medicine (Baltimore) 55:269–289. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005792-197607000-00001.
- Santos, R. R., and J. Fink-Gremmels. 2014. Mycotoxin syndrome in dairy cattle: Characterisation and intervention results. World Mycotoxin J. 7:357–366. https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2013.1577.
- Schatzmayr, G., F. Zehner, M. Täubel, D. Schatzmayr, A. Klimitsch, A. P. Loibner, and E. M. Binder. 2006. Microbiologicals for deactivating mycotoxins. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 50:543–551. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200500181.

- Sengling Cebin Coppa, C. F., A. Mousavi Khaneghah, P. Alvito, R. Assunção, C. Martins, I. Eş, B. L. Gonçalves, D. V. Neeff, A. S. Sant'Ana, C. H. Corassin, and C. A. F. Oliveira. 2019. The occurrence of mycotoxins in breast milk, fruit products and cereal-based infant formula: A review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 92:81–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.08.014.
- Shahani, K. M., and H. H. Sommer. 1951. The protein and non-protein nitrogen fractions in milk. I. Methods of analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 34:1003–1009. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(51)91815-2.
- Silveira, C., M. Oba, W. Z. Yang, and K. A. Beauchemin. 2007. Selection of barley grain affects ruminal fermentation, starch digestibility, and productivity of lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 90:2860–2869. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2006-771.
- Solfrizzo, M., L. Gambacorta, V. M. T. Lattanzio, S. Powers, and A. Visconti. 2011. Simultaneous LC-MS/MS determination of aflatoxin M1, ochratoxin A, deoxynivalenol, de-epoxydeoxynivalenol, α and β-zearalenols and fumonisin B1in urine as a multi-biomarker method to assess exposure to mycotoxins. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 401:2831–2841. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5354-z.
- Stojević, Z., J. Piršljin, S. Milinković-Tur, M. Zdelar-Tuk, and B. B. Ljubić. 2005. Activities of AST, ALT and GGT in clinically healthy dairy cows during lactation and in the dry period. Vet. Arh. 75:67–73.
- Tainturier, D., J. P. Braun, A. G. Rico, and J. P. Thouvenot. 1984. Variations in blood composition in dairy cows during pregnancy and after calving. Res. Vet. Sci. 37:129–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-5288(18)31892-7.
- Titgemeyer, E. C., and C. A. Löest. 2001. Amino acid nutrition: Demand and supply in forage-fed ruminants. J. Anim. Sci. 79(E-Suppl):E180–E189. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2001.79E-SupplE180x.
- Van Le Thanh, B., M. Lessard, Y. Chorfi, and F. Guay. 2015. The efficacy of anti-mycotoxin feed additives in preventing the adverse effects of wheat naturally contaminated with Fusarium mycotoxins on performance, intestinal barrier function and nutrient digestibility and retention in weanling pigs. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 95:197–209. https://doi.org/10.4141/cjas-2014-126.
- Van Soest, P. J., J. B. Robertson, and B. A. Lewis. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J. Dairy Sci. 74:3583–3597. https:// doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78551-2.
- Vekiru, E., S. Fruhauf, M. Sahin, F. Ottner, G. Schatzmayr, and R. Krska. 2007. Investigation of various adsorbents for their ability to bind aflatoxin B1. Mycotoxin Res. 23:27–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02946021.
- Wang, Q., Y. Zhang, N. Zheng, L. Guo, X. Song, S. Zhao, and J. Wang. 2019. Biological system responses of dairy cows to aflatoxin B1 exposure revealed with metabolomic changes in multiple biofluids. Toxins (Basel) 11:77. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11020077.
- Ward, T. L., K. L. Watkins, L. L. Southern, P. G. Hoyt, and D. D. French. 1991. Interactive effects of sodium zeolite-A and copper in growing swine: Growth, and bone and tissue mineral concentrations. J. Anim. Sci. 69:726. https://doi.org/10.2527/1991.692726x.
- Wildman, E. E., G. M. Jones, P. E. Wagner, R. L. Boman, H. F. Troutt Jr., and T. N. Lesch. 1982. A dairy cow body condition scoring system and its relationship to selected production variables in high producing Holstein dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 65:495–501. https://doi.org/10 .3168/jds.S0022-0302(82)82223-6.
- Xiong, J. L., Y. M. Wang, T. D. Nennich, Y. Li, and J. X. Liu. 2015. Transfer of dietary aflatoxin B1 to milk aflatoxin M1 and effect of inclusion of adsorbent in the diet of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 98:2545–2554. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7842.
- Zaitsev, S. Yu., N. V. Bogolyubova, X. Zhang, and B. Brenig. 2020. Biochemical parameters, dynamic tensiometry and circulating nucleic acids for cattle blood analysis: A review. PeerJ 8:e8997. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8997.