

CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum to: (2009) Proposal to conserve the name *Phyllanthus flagelliformis* against *P. eurisladro* (*Phyllanthaceae*) [in Taxon 71(4): 911–912. 2022]

Priscila Orlandini,¹ Inês Cordeiro,² Jone Clebson Ribeiro Mendes³ & Vinicius Castro Souza⁴

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, CEP 13083-862, Campinas, SP, Brazil

² Instituto de Pesquisas Ambientais – Núcleo Jardim Botânico, Avenida Miguel Stéfano 3687, CEP 04301-902, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biodiversidade, Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, CEP 52171-900, Recife, PE, Brazil

⁴ Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Avenida Pádua Dias, 11, CEP 13418-260, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Address for correspondence: Priscila Orlandini, priscilaorlandini@gmail.com

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.13006>

In the publication, “(2009) Proposal to conserve the name *Phyllanthus flagelliformis* against *P. eurisladro* (*Phyllanthaceae*)” (Orlandini & al. in Taxon 71: 911–912. 2022), the proposal stated “typ. cons. prop.” for the cited type of *Phyllanthus flagelliformis*. However, during the analysis of the proposal by the Nomenclature Committee for Vascular Plants, it was observed that conservation of the type was unnecessary, and, instead, the name should be lectotypified, since in the protologue (Müller Arg. in Linnaea 32: 54. 1863) only a collection by Blanchet is cited without any number or indication of where the material was preserved. Furthermore, in the most recent taxonomic treatment of this group of *Phyllanthus* (Santiago & al. in Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 150: 155. 2006), there was no designation of a single specimen as type, but two collections (P, BM!) of Blanchet 2093 as the holotype (also indicated as the holotype of the synonym *Phyllanthus flagelliformis* var. *demonstrans*). Consequently, we designate here the Blanchet (s.n.) specimen at G-DC (barcode G00316681 as

the lectotype of *Phyllanthus flagelliformis*, as it is a well-preserved material.

The corrected proposal is, therefore:

(2009) *Phyllanthus flagelliformis* Müll. Arg. in Linnaea 32: 54. Mar 1863 [Angiosp.: *Euphorb.* / *Phyllanth.*], nom. cons. prop.

Lectotypus (hic designatus): Brazil, Bahia, Blanchet (G-DC barcode G00316681).

(=) *Phyllanthus eurisladro* Mart. ex Colla, Herb. Pedem. 5: 106. 6–30 Apr 1836, nom. rej. prop.

Lectotypus (vide Moraes & al. in Harvard Pap. Bot. 19: 147. 2014): Brazil, Bahia, Rio de Contas [“Rio das Corsas”] (TO).

Acknowledgement

We are grateful for the helpful comments of Dr. Wendy Applequist on the type of *Phyllanthus flagelliformis*.