

# Draft genome sequences of *Streptomyces virginiae* strain CMAA1738, *Paenibacillus ottowii* strain CMAA1739 and *Pseudomonas inefficax* strain CMAA1741, isolated from rhizosphere of wheat landraces

Caroline Sayuri Nishisaka,<sup>1,2</sup> João Paulo Ventura,<sup>1,2</sup> Hélio Danilo Quevedo,<sup>1,2</sup> Fernanda de Almeida Godoy,<sup>1,2</sup> Maike Rossmann,<sup>1</sup> Rodrigo Mendes<sup>1</sup>

**AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS** See affiliation list on p. 2.

**ABSTRACT** In this study, we have identified and characterized three genomes from bacteria isolated from the rhizosphere of *Triticum aestivum*. *Streptomyces virginiae* CMAA1738 and *Paenibacillus ottowii* CMAA1739 were obtained from the wheat landrace Iran 1-29-11334, and *Pseudomonas inefficax* CMAA1741 was isolated from the wheat landrace Karakilcik.

**KEYWORDS** rhizosphere, microbiome, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptomyces*, *Paenibacillus*, genome

Previous microbiome analysis comparing wheat landraces with modern cultivars revealed that landraces exhibit an enhanced ability to recruit specific microbes in the rhizosphere (1). Therefore, we selected two wheat landraces for bacterial isolations: *Streptomyces virginiae* CMAA1738 and *Paenibacillus ottowii* CMAA1739 were obtained from the Iranian wheat landrace Iran 1-29-11334, and *Pseudomonas inefficax* CMAA1741 was isolated from the wheat landrace Karakilcik, originally from Turkey.

For rhizosphere sampling, plants were grown in 250 mL-pots using soil collected from a wheat field in Brazil (22°55'45.36"S; 50°07'22.33"W) in 2017. Pots were kept in a growth chamber with 16-h light/8 h dark cycle maintaining temperatures ranging from 20.7°C to 26.1°C. Plants were removed from the pots and gently shaken to remove loose soil; the soil adhered to the roots was sampled for bacterial isolation. One gram of rhizosphere soil was diluted in 9 mL of saline solution (8.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl) and subjected to serial dilutions. Then, 100 µL of the 10<sup>-3</sup>, 10<sup>-4</sup>, and 10<sup>-5</sup> dilutions were plated on trypticase soy agar medium. After incubation at 28°C for 96 h, colonies underwent purification through three to five consecutive streaks.

For DNA extraction, *S. virginiae* was cultivated in yeast malt extract agar medium at 28°C for 48 h. *P. ottowii* and *P. inefficax* were cultivated in glucose yeast medium at 28°C for 24 h. DNeasy Ultraclean Microbial kit (Qiagen, Germantown, USA) was used for DNA isolation. After quantity (Nanodrop ND-2000 Spectrophotometer - Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and purity (QUBIT 2.0 - Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) checks, DNA samples were sent to Novogene (Novogene Corporation INC, El Monte, California, USA) for genome sequencing.

The whole-genome sequencing was performed using Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform. Genomic DNA was used for paired-end libraries (2 × 150 bp) construction using NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) following manufacturer's recommendations. Then, PCR products were purified with AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, USA) and analyzed for size distribution

**Editor** Leighton Pritchard, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom

Address correspondence to Rodrigo Mendes, rodrigo.mendes@embrapa.br.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 3.

**Received** 17 January 2024

**Accepted** 24 May 2024

**Published** 11 June 2024

Copyright © 2024 Nishisaka et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**TABLE 1** Summary of bacterial genomes assembly statistics and other information

Genomic profile	<i>S. virginiae</i>	<i>P. ottowii</i>	<i>P. inefficax</i>
Strain ID	CMAA1738	CMAA1739	CMAA1741
Fast ANI placement (%) <sup>a</sup>	98.19	96.60	97.83
Fast ANI reference (NCBI) <sup>b</sup>	<a href="#">GCF_014648795.1</a>	<a href="#">GCF_006874425.1</a>	<a href="#">GCF_900277125.1</a>
Library size (millions of paired-reads)	51.77	47.59	51.57
Number of contigs <sup>c</sup>	113	72	294
Total sequence length (pb) <sup>c</sup>	8,104,969	5,672,255	5,652,986
Total ungapped length (pb) <sup>c</sup>	8,103,135	5,671,970	5,652,597
N50 (kb) <sup>c</sup>	167.1	312.3	36.5
GC (%)	72.50	45.00	63.00
Genes <sup>3</sup>	7,316	5,319	5,089
Protein-coding <sup>c</sup>	7,138	5,194	4,993
Non-coding (RNA) <sup>c</sup>	74	44	63
Genome completeness/ contamination (%) <sup>c</sup>	100.00/0.57	99.72/0.56	100.00/0.54
Coverage (x) <sup>c</sup>	1.02	1.20	1.33
SRA identifiers	<a href="#">SRR18100379</a>	<a href="#">SRR18099329</a>	<a href="#">SRR17914816</a>
Genome assembly (NCBI)	<a href="#">GCF_035853655.1</a>	<a href="#">GCF_035853635.1</a>	<a href="#">GCF_035853745.1</a>
RAST annotated genome and antiSMASH data	<a href="https://zenodo.org/records/10854839">https://zenodo.org/records/10854839</a>	<a href="https://zenodo.org/records/10854801">https://zenodo.org/records/10854801</a>	<a href="https://zenodo.org/records/10854821">https://zenodo.org/records/10854821</a>

<sup>a</sup>Considered bacterial species demarcation criterion (>95%–96%) (15).<sup>b</sup>Closest related strain identified to date.<sup>c</sup>Calculated using NCBI PGAP.

by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). FastQC v0.12.1 (2) was used for sequences' quality check, and Trimmomatic v0.36 (3) was used for filtering, followed by genome assembly using SPAdes v3.15.3 (4). Quast v5.0.2 was used to measure the assembled genomes' quality metrics (5, 6). The CheckM v1.0.18 (6) was used to assess sequence completeness and contamination. Bowtie2 v1.7.0 tool was applied to get alignment statistics of genomes (7). The taxonomy of each bacterium was determined using the Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) method, with the assistance of the Genome Taxonomy Database toolkit (GTDB v1.7.0) (8–10). RAST v1.073 pipeline (11, 12) and Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v6.6 (13) were used for genome annotation. Functional prediction for secondary metabolite production was assessed using antiSMASH v7.0.1 (14). Genome assembly statistics and additional information are summarized in Table 1.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research received funding from the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grants 2014/04099-4, 2020/00469-2 and 2020/06077-9, and from the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), grants 302147/2022-5, 403959/2019-5 302591/2019-2 and 302337/2016-4.

## AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup>Embrapa Environment, Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation, Jaguariúna, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil

## AUTHOR ORCIDs

Caroline Sayuri Nishisaka  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4776-6199>

Rodrigo Mendes  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9817-4118>

# FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP)	2014/04099-4, 2020/00469-2, 2020/06077-9	Caroline Sayuri Nishisaka Rodrigo Mendes
Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)	302147/2022-5, 403959/2019-5, 302591/2019-2, 302337/2016-4	Rodrigo Mendes

# DATA AVAILABILITY

The genomes of *Streptomyces virginiae* CMAA1738, *Paenibacillus ottowii* CMAA1739, and *Pseudomonas inefficax* CMAA1741 are accessible at NCBI GenBank under BioProject numbers [PRJNA802715](#), [PRJNA802713](#), and [PRJNA802578](#), respectively, with BioSample accession numbers [SAMN25582084](#), [SAMN25581302](#), and [SAMN25556618](#). The SRA identifiers, genome assembly details and antiSMASH data are described in Table 1. The links for genome annotation using Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology toolkit (RAST) are available in Table 1. The authorization for field soil sampling is registered with the Brazilian National System for the Management of Genetic Heritage and Associated Traditional Knowledge (SISGen) under number A5EB05F.

# REFERENCES

- Rossmann M, Pérez-Jaramillo JE, Kavamura VN, Chiaramonte JB, Dumack K, Fiore-Donno AM, Mendes LW, Ferreira MMC, Bonkowski M, Raaijmakers JM, Mauchline TH, Mendes R. 2020. Multitrophic interactions in the rhizosphere microbiome of wheat: from bacteria and fungi to protists. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 96:fiaa032. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa032>
- Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available from: <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 19:455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29:1072–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086>
- Parks D.H., Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res* 25:1043–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114>
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9:357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P-A, Hugenholtz P. 2018. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome Phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* 36:996–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4229>
- Chaumeil PA, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2019. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* 36:1925–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt2848>
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P. 2020. A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for bacteria and archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* 38:1079–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0501-8>
- Cuccuru G, Orsini M, Pinna A, Sbardellati A, Soranzo N, Travaglione A, Uva P, Zanetti G, Fotia G. 2014. Orione, a web-based framework for NGS analysis in microbiology. *Bioinformatics* 30:1928–1929. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu135>
- Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30:2068–2069. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153>
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:6614–6624. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569>
- Blin K, Shaw S, Kloosterman AM, Charlop-Powers Z, van Wezel GP, Medema MH, Weber T. 2021. antiSMASH 6.0: improving cluster detection and comparison capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:W29–W35. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab335>
- Kim M, Oh HS, Park SC, Chun J. 2014. Towards a taxonomic coherence between average nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of prokaryotes. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 64:346–351. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059774-0>