

On Categories of o-Minimal Structures

RODRIGO FIGUEIREDO*

Federal University of Rondonópolis, Rondonópolis, Brazil

HUGO LUIZ MARIANO†

University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Keywords: o-minimal structures, first order language, category theory

Our aim in this work is to look at some transfer results in model theory - mainly in the context of o-minimal structures - from the category theory viewpoint. More specifically, at first, we construct a contravariant functor \mathcal{E} from a suitable category of first-order languages to the category of all locally small categories by means of which we can translate, into diagrams of categories, seminal dichotomy theorems for o-minimal structures, namely

Fact 1 (Theorem A, [5]). *Suppose that \mathcal{R} is an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group $(R, <, +)$. Then exactly one of the following holds: (a) \mathcal{R} is linearly bounded (that is, for each definable function $f: R \rightarrow R$ there exists a definable endomorphism $\lambda: R \rightarrow R$ such that $|f(x)| \leq \lambda(x)$ for all sufficiently large positive arguments x); (b) \mathcal{R} defines a binary operation \cdot such that $(R, <, +, \cdot)$ is an ordered real closed field. If \mathcal{R} is linearly bounded, then for every definable $f: R \rightarrow R$ there exists $c \in R$ and a definable $\lambda \in \{0\} \cup \text{Aut}(R, +)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} [f(x) - \lambda(x)] = c$.*

and

Fact 2 (Theorem and Proposition, [4]): *Let \mathcal{R} be an o-minimal expansion of the ordered field of real numbers $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$. If \mathcal{R} is not polynomially bounded, then the exponential function is definable (without parameters) in \mathcal{R} . If \mathcal{R} is polynomially bounded, then for every definable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with f not identically zero for all sufficiently large positive arguments, there exist $c, r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c \neq 0$ such that $x \mapsto x^r: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is definable in \mathcal{R} and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x)/x^r = c$.*

The dichotomy on o-minimal expansions of ordered groups, asserted in Fact 1, is the analogue of the dichotomy for o-minimal expansions of the real field \mathbb{R} in Fact 2. Facts 1 and 2 can be viewed as implied transfer results of o-minimality property from one structure to another, and they served as our main motivation for this work.

Also, in [1], A. Berarducci and M. Otero point out some transfer results with respect to topological properties from one o-minimal structure to another. Specifically, if \mathcal{M} is an o-minimal expansion of an ordered field and φ is a first order formula in the language of the ordered rings, then the following statements concerning the definable subsets $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ hold: (1) $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is definably connected if and only if $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ is connected; (2) $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is definably compact if and only if $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact; (3) there is a natural isomorphism between the homology groups $H_*^{\text{def}}(\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}) \cong H_*(\varphi^{\mathbb{R}})$; (4) there is a natural isomorphism between the fundamental groups $\pi^{\text{def}}(\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}, x_0) \cong \pi(\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}, x_0)$; and assuming that $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ is compact it follows that (5) if $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a definable manifold, then $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a (topological) manifold; and (6) if moreover $\varphi^{\mathcal{M}}$ is definably orientable, then $\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$ is an orientable manifold.

*rodrigofgrd@gmail.com

†hugomar@ime.usp.br

We then use the Grothendieck construction in order to treat language \mathcal{L} and structure \mathcal{M} as just an object, namely a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$, of a larger category. The morphisms in this larger category are pairs (H, α) , where H is a morphism of language and α is a morphism of structure. (The concerned dichotomy theorems can also be read in this global context, with α being the identity homomorphism.) Some suggestions of further investigation arise. For instance, we might consider even more general forms of induced functors by changing of languages as in [8] (something in this direction already occurred in those theorems by C. Miller and S. Starchenko pointed out above). Also, it is natural to ask for examples in the setting of o-minimal structures, where the translation into the global context involves α distinct from the identity homomorphism. A phenomenon like this appears in [7], namely: if \mathcal{M} is any nonstandard model of PA, with $(\text{HF}^{\mathcal{M}}, \in^{\mathcal{M}})$ the corresponding nonstandard hereditary finite sets of \mathcal{M} (by Ackerman coding: the natural numbers of $\text{HF}^{\mathcal{M}}$ are isomorphic to \mathcal{M}), then for any consistent computably axiomatized theory T extending ZF in the language of set theory, there is a submodel $\mathcal{N}' \subseteq (\text{HF}^{\mathcal{M}}, \in^{\mathcal{M}})$ such that $\mathcal{N}' \models T$.

References

- [1] Alessandro Berarducci and Margarita Otero, Transfer methods for o-minimal topology. *J. Symbolic Logic*, v. 68, no. 3 (2003), pp. 785–794.
- [2] Lou van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*. LMS Lecture Note Series, 248. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] Mário Jorge Edmundo, Structure theorems for o-minimal expansions of groups. *Ann. Pure and Appl. Logic*, v. 102 (2000), pp. 159–181.
- [4] Chris Miller, Exponentiation is hard to avoid. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 122, no. 1 (1994), pp. 257–259.
- [5] Chris Miller and Sergei Starchenko, A growth dichotomy for o-minimal expansions of ordered groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 350, no. 9 (1998), pp. 3505–3521.
- [6] Ya'acov Peterzil and Sergei Starchenko, A trichotomy theorem for o-minimal structures. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, v. 77, no. 3 (1998), pp. 481–523.
- [7] Jean-Pierre Ressayre, Introduction aux modèles récursivement saturés. In *Séminaire Général de Logique 1983–1984* (Paris, 1983–1984), volume 27 of Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, pages 53–72. Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1986.
- [8] Albert Visser, Categories of Theories and Interpretations. In A. Enayat & I. Kalantari (Eds.), *Logic in Tehran, Proceedings of the workshop and conference on Logic, Algebra and Arithmetic*, held October 18–22, 2003 (pp. 77–136) (60 p.).