



# On $p$ -compact topologies on certain Abelian groups<sup>☆</sup>

S. Garcia-Ferreira<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.H. Tomita<sup>b</sup>, J. Trianon-Fraga<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 61-3, Santa María, 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Depto de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010 – CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP - Brazil



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 16 November 2023

Received in revised form 24 July 2024

Available online 7 July 2025

Dedicated to István Juhász on his 80th birthday

### Keywords:

Topological Abelian group

Direct sum

Selective ultrafilter

$p$ -compactness

## ABSTRACT

Given a selective ultrafilter  $p \in \omega^*$ , we prove that there exists a  $p$ -compact group topology on  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  without nontrivial convergent sequences and a closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  which contains an element not divisible by any  $n \in \omega$  ( $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  denotes the direct sum of  $\mathfrak{c}$  copies of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). It also shows that  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  does not admit a  $p$ -compact topology for any  $p \in \omega^*$ . Given  $H$  a group and  $G$  a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is not  $n$ -divisible, for some prime number  $n > 1$ , but is  $m$ -divisible for each prime number  $m \neq n$ , we show that a group topology compatible with  $H \oplus G$  cannot be  $p$ -compact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

P. J. Halmos [11] proved that it is possible to topologize the additive group  $\mathbb{R}$  so that it becomes a Hausdorff compact topological group. On the other hand, L. Fuchs [8] (see also [13] and [12]) showed that free Abelian groups do not admit a compact group topology. Moreover, A. H. Tomita [17] showed that these kind of groups do not admit a group topology whose countable power is countably compact. In 1990, M. G. Tkachenko [16] constructed, under the assumption of the Continuum Hypothesis, a countably compact group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ . His construction modifies the construction of A. Hájnal and I. Juhász [10] of a hereditarily finally dense (HFD) group in  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  with the property that all small projections are full. As a consequence, the resulting group never has non-trivial convergent sequences.

<sup>☆</sup> The first author was supported by the research project PAPIIT grant no. IN-100122. The second author received support from FAPESP Processo Número 2019/19924-4 to visit the first listed author where the research for this paper has been conducted. The third author received support from FAPESP Processo Número 2019/12628-0. The second author would like to thank the hospitality and support of Prof. Garcia Ferreira during his stay at UNAM, Campus Morelia.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx](mailto:sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx) (S. Garcia-Ferreira), [tomita@ime.usp.br](mailto:tomita@ime.usp.br) (A.H. Tomita), [jtrianon@ime.usp.br](mailto:jtrianon@ime.usp.br) (J. Trianon-Fraga).

Several examples were obtained using different forms of Martin's Axiom with [14] using Martin's Axiom for countable posets. In [15] it was shown that the free Abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  admits a countably compact group topology from the existence of  $\mathfrak{c}$  incomparable selective ultrafilters and A. C. Boero, I. Castro Pereira and A. H. Tomita obtained such an example using a single selective ultrafilter [6]. Using  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  selective ultrafilters, the example in [15] showed the consistency of a countably compact group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . All forcing examples obtained so far had their cardinalities bounded by  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . Two years ago it was proved, in [3], that if there are  $\mathfrak{c}$  incomparable selective ultrafilters then, for every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\kappa^{\omega} = \kappa$ , there exists a group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality  $\kappa$  without nontrivial convergent sequences and such that every finite power is countably compact. It is still unknown whether or not there exists such topological group in  $ZFC$ . A. C. Boero and A. H. Tomita [5] showed from the existence of  $\mathfrak{c}$  selective ultrafilters that there exists a free Abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  whose square is countably compact. A. H. Tomita [18] showed that there exists a group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that makes all its finite powers be countably compact. In this context of topological products, we mention the following results.

**Proposition 1.1** ([17]). *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial Abelian semigroup with neutral element 0. Suppose that  $G$  is endowed with a semigroup topology which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $G$  is torsion-free;
- (2) for every  $x \neq 0$ , there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $p^n \nmid x$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- (3) there exist  $x \in G \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $A \in [\omega]^{\omega}$  and an enumeration  $p_1, p_2, \dots$  of all but finitely many primes such that  $0 \notin \overline{\{(p_1 \dots p_n)^n x : n \in A\}}$ .

*Then  $G^{\omega}$  is not countably compact.*

**Proposition 1.2** ([17]). *Let  $G$  be a non-trivial Abelian semigroup with the neutral element 0. Suppose that  $G$  is endowed with a semigroup topology which contains a proper open subgroup. If conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied, then  $G^{\omega}$  is not countably compact.*

By showing that an infinite free Abelian topological group contains a proper open subgroup, A. H. Tomita concluded that:

**Theorem 1.3** ([17]). *Let  $G$  be an infinite free Abelian group endowed with a group topology. Then,  $G^{\omega}$  is not countably compact.*

Another consequence of Theorem 1.3 is that  $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  does not admit a  $p$ -compact topology for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

Thus, we observe that clause (2) of Proposition 1.1 suggests that a good candidate for a torsion-free group that admits a  $p$ -compact topology might be a divisible group, such as  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Indeed, in [1] it has been proved that if  $p \in \omega^*$  is a selective ultrafilter and  $\kappa = \kappa^{\omega}$ , then  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\kappa)}$  admits a  $p$ -compact group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences.

After these results, divisibility seemed to play a role. Thus, it became interesting to check ‘how much’ divisibility is necessary to have  $p$ -compact group topologies.

We show that full divisibility is not necessary to obtain a compact group nor a  $p$ -compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences for  $p$  selective ultrafilter. In the third section, we show that the group  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  does not admit a  $p$ -compact topology for any  $p \in \omega^*$ . In particular, this answers the following question proposed in [2]:

**Question 1.4.** Let  $p \in \omega^*$ . Is there a  $p$ -compact group topology (without non-trivial convergent sequences) compatible with  $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c})} \times \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$ , for some ultrafilter  $p$ ? A group topology whose  $\omega$ -th power is countably compact? What about  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Q}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ?

Besides, we also show that, given  $H$  a group and  $G$  a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is not  $n$ -divisible, for some prime number  $n > 1$ , but is  $m$ -divisible for each prime number  $m \neq n$ , a group topology compatible with  $H \oplus G$  cannot be  $p$ -compact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

All groups will be assumed to be Abelian. The symbol “|” denotes the division in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The unitary circle  $\mathbb{T}$  will be identified with the Abelian group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Given an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , for each  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^\kappa$  we define  $\text{supp}(g) = \{\alpha < \kappa : g(\alpha) \neq 0\}$  and we will denote the direct sum of  $\kappa$  copies of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by

$$\mathbb{Q}^{(\kappa)} := \{g \in \mathbb{Q}^\kappa : |\text{supp}(g)| < \omega\}.$$

We remark that  $\mathbb{R}$  can be algebraically identified with the group  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$ . An ultrafilter on  $\omega$  is called *free* if  $\cap p = \emptyset$  and  $\omega^*$  will stand for the set of all free ultrafilters on  $\omega$ . For an ultrafilter  $p \in \omega^*$ , we define an equivalence relation on  $(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})^\omega$  by letting  $f \equiv_p g$  if  $\{n \in \omega : f(n) = g(n)\} \in p$ . We let  $[f]_p$  be the equivalence class determined by  $f$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})^\omega/p$  be  $(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})^\omega / \equiv_p$ . This set has a natural  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space structure. We call the group  $(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})^\omega/p$  with this structure the  $p$ -ultrapower of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  and it is denoted by  $\text{ult}_p(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})$ .

We recall the following concepts of Algebra that are remarkable in this paper:

- An Abelian group  $G$  is *divisible* if, for each  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g \in G$ , there is  $y \in G$  so that  $g = ny$ .
- Given a prime number  $n \in \omega$ , we say that an Abelian group  $G$  is  $n$ -divisible if, for each  $g \in G$ , there is  $y \in G$  so that  $g = ny$ .

The following notion has been around in different topics of mathematics and we may assert that it belongs to the mathematical folklore.

- Let  $p$  be an ultrafilter and  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence in a space  $X$ . We say that  $x \in X$  is a  *$p$ -limit point* of  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$ , in symbols  $x = p\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} x_n$ , if  $\{n < \omega : x_n \in V\} \in p$  for every neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ .

It is evident that if  $X$  is Hausdorff, then the  $p$ -limit point is unique when it exists. It is not hard to prove that a space  $X$  is countably compact if every sequence of points in  $X$  has a  $p$ -limit point in  $X$  for some ultrafilter  $p$ . In connection with this fact, the following class of spaces was introduced by A. R. Bernstein [4].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $p$  be an ultrafilter. A space  $X$  is said to be  *$p$ -compact* if for every sequence  $(x_n)_{n < \omega}$  of points of  $X$  there is  $x \in X$  such that  $x = p\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} x_n$ .

It is known and easy to prove that every compact space is  $p$ -compact and every  $p$ -compact space is countably compact for every ultrafilter  $p$ . Also, we know that  $p$ -compactness is preserved under arbitrary products, for each ultrafilter  $p$ . Hence, a countably compact space whose product with itself is not countably compact is an example of a countably compact space which is not  $p$ -compact for any ultrafilter  $p$ . J. Ginsburg and V. Saks [9] showed that all powers of a space  $X$  are countably compact iff there is free ultrafilter  $p$  for which  $X$  is  $p$ -compact.

## 3. The results and their proofs

We start this section with a elementary lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** For each  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $r \nmid 2^n + n! - c$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ .

**Proof.** For the case when  $c = 0$  we have that  $r \nmid 2^n + n!$  for every odd natural number  $r$  and  $2 \leq n \in \omega$ . First, suppose that  $c = 2^k l$  for some  $k > 0$  and odd  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $n > 2^{k+1}$ , we have that  $2^{k+1} \mid 2^n$  and  $2^{k+1} \mid n!$ , but  $2^{k+1} \nmid 2^k l$ , hence  $2^{k+1} \nmid 2^n + n! - c$ . Finally, if  $c$  is an odd number, it is clear that if  $r = 2$  and  $n > 1$ ,  $r \nmid 2^n + n! - c$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** For every topological; Abelian group  $G$ , we have that  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$  does not admit a  $p$ -compact topology for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$  admits a  $p$ -compact topology for some  $p \in \omega^*$ , and consider a non-trivial sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Choose  $g_1 \in G$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n = c + g_1.$$

Fix  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $|c| < \frac{r}{2}$ . Now, for each  $n \in \omega$ , let  $e_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq e_n < r$ , and  $y_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be so that

$$x_n = ry_n + e_n,$$

and  $A \in p$  be so that  $e_n = e \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $n \in A$ . We claim  $c = e$ . Indeed, as  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$  is a  $p$ -compact topological group, there are  $g_2 \in G$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} y_n = b + g_2.$$

Then, we have that

$$c + g_1 = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} (ry_n + e) = (rb + e) + rg_2.$$

Hence, we obtain that  $rb + e = c$  and  $rg_2 = g_1$ . This implies that  $r \mid (c - e)$  and since  $|c| < \frac{r}{2}$  and  $0 \leq e < r$ , we obtain that  $c = e$ . This shows the claim.

In order to get a contradiction, we consider the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  where  $x_n = 2^n + n!$ , for every  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  for this sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ . According to Lemma 3.1, we can choose two integers  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $r_1 \nmid x_n - c$  and  $r_2 \nmid x_n$  for all but finitely many  $n \in \omega$ . Consider also  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\frac{r}{2} > |c|$  and  $r_1 r_2 \mid r$ . Applying the claim there is  $A \in p$  such that  $c = e$  and  $x_n - c = x_n - e = x_n - e_n = ry_n$  for every  $n \in A$ . It follows from  $r_1 \mid r$  that  $r_1 \mid x_n - c$ , contradicting the choice of  $r_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $r > 1$  be a prime number such that  $G$  is  $t$ -divisible for each prime  $t \neq r$  but is not  $r$ -divisible. Then, for every group  $H$  the group topology compatible with  $H \oplus G$  cannot be  $p$ -compact for any  $p \in \omega^*$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $H \oplus G$  is endowed with a  $p$ -compact group topology for some  $p \in \omega^*$ . Let  $g \in G$  be so that  $g \neq rh$  for each  $h \in G$ . Now, we inductively define a sequence  $(m_k)_{k \in \omega}$  of elements of  $\omega$  inductively as follows: Put  $m_0 = 1$  and for  $1 < k \in \omega$  we select  $m_k \in \omega$  so that

$$r^{m_k} > k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} r^{m_l} + k,$$

for each  $k > 0$ . Let  $h_1 \in H$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  be such that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n r^{m_k} g \right)_n = h_1 + cg,$$

where  $c = \frac{u}{v}$ , and  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $v > 0$ . Fix  $n_0 \in \omega$  so that  $n_0 > \max(|u|, |v|)$  and set

$$S := v \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} - u > 0.$$

Then, for each  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$v \sum_{k=0}^n r^{m_k} - u = v \sum_{k=n_0}^n r^{m_k} + S,$$

and

$$r^{m_{n_0}} > n_0 \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} + n_0 \geq v \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} - u = S > 0.$$

Hence,  $r^{m_{n_0}} \nmid v \sum_{k=0}^n r^{m_k} - u$  for any  $n \geq n_0$  since  $v \sum_{k=0}^n r^{m_k} - u = v \sum_{k=n_0}^n r^{m_k} + S$ . Let  $(y_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$  so that, for each  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$r^{m_{n_0}} y_n = v \sum_{k=n_0}^n r^{m_k}.$$

Then, there are  $h_2 \in H$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Q}$  so that  $dg \in G$  and

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \frac{y_n}{v} g \right) = h_2 + dg,$$

with  $d = \frac{i}{j}$ ,  $\gcd(i, j) = 1$ . We assert that  $r \nmid j$ . Indeed, suppose that  $l > 0$  is such that  $j = r^l s$ , with  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \nmid s$ . As  $i$  cannot be divisible by  $r$ , there exists  $g_1 \in G$  such that  $dg = ig_1$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\frac{i}{r^l s} g = ig_1 \text{ which implies that } g = r^l s g_1,$$

but this is a contradiction. Thus, we obtain that  $r \nmid j$ . Now, since

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \frac{r^{m_{n_0}} y_n}{v} g \right) = r^{m_{n_0}} h_2 + r^{m_{n_0}} dg,$$

then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 + cg &= p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n r^{m_k} g \right) \\ &= p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \sum_{k=n_0}^n r^{m_k} g + \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} g \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} g + p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \left( \frac{r^{m_{n_0}} y_n}{v} g \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} g + r^{m_{n_0}} h_2 + r^{m_{n_0}} dg.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$c - \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} = \frac{u}{v} - \sum_{k=0}^{n_0-1} r^{m_k} = -\frac{S}{v} = r^{m_{n_0}} d = r^{m_{n_0}} \frac{i}{j},$$

and so  $-Sj = r^{m_{n_0}} iv$ , which is impossible since  $r \nmid j$  and  $0 < S < r^{m_{n_0}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{Q}^{(c)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be a nontrivial morphism and  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  be so that  $\psi(g) \neq 0$  has order  $r$ . Then,

$$\psi^{-1}\left[\left\{\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}, \dots, \frac{r-1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right]$$

is not a  $r$ -divisible group.

**Proof.** Set

$$G := \psi^{-1}\left[\left\{\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}, \dots, \frac{r-1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right].$$

It is clear that  $g \in G$  and as  $\{mg : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an infinite subset of  $G$ , we must have that  $G$  is an infinite group. Suppose that there exists  $h \in G$  so that  $rh = g$ . Then,

$$r\psi(h) = \psi(g) \neq 0,$$

and hence  $\psi(h) \neq 0$ . Since  $\psi(h) \in \left\{\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}, \dots, \frac{r-1}{r} + \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ , the order of  $\psi(h)$  must be  $r$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $G$  is not a  $r$ -divisible group.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** There is an Abelian, torsion-free, non-divisible topological group which is compact.

**Proof.** It is known that the additive group  $\mathbb{R}$  admits a compact topological group topology (see [11]). Hence, by algebraically identifying  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$ , we may consider  $\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  as a compact group topological group. Since  $\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  is, in particular, totally bounded, we can fix a nontrivial homeomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{Q}^{(c)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  (see [7]).

First, we claim that there exist a prime number  $k \in \omega$  and  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  so that  $\psi(g) \neq 0$  has order  $k$ . Indeed, assume that there exists an irrational  $\zeta$  so that  $\zeta + \mathbb{Z} \in \psi[\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}]$ . In this case,  $\psi[\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}]$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\psi[\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}]$  is compact and therefore closed in  $\mathbb{T}$ , it follows that  $\psi[\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}] = \mathbb{T}$ , and hence we can take any  $n > 1$  and find  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  so that  $\psi(g) \neq 0$  and  $n\psi(g) = 0$ . In the case when such irrational does not exist, it is clear that there is a prime number  $k \in \omega$  and an element  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  so that  $\psi(g)$  has order  $k$ .

Consider the prime number  $k$  from above and the element  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$  found in the previous paragraph. Our group will be

$$G := \psi^{-1}\left[\left\{\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{k} + \mathbb{Z}, \dots, \frac{k-1}{k} + \mathbb{Z}\right\}\right].$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we have that  $G$  is infinite and it is not  $k$ -divisible. In the topological context, it is evident that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(c)}$ , and so it is a compact topological group.  $\square$

To show next the main results of the paper we will use a construction similar to the one made in [1]. For this purpose we shall use some notation and results from this paper:

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^{(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , where  $C \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$  is a suitably closed set, satisfying the condition:

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \phi(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

for each  $\xi \in I \cap C$  and  $N \in \omega$ .

- For each  $C \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ , we define

$$\mathbb{Q}^{(C)} := \{g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})} : \text{supp}(g) \subseteq C\}$$

which is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$ .

- Let  $\{J_0, J_1\}$  be a partition of  $[\omega, \mathfrak{c})$  such that  $\omega + \omega \in J_1$  and  $|J_0| = |J_1| = \mathfrak{c}$ .
- Let  $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_0\}$  be an enumeration of  $(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})^\omega$  such that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(f_\xi(n)) \subset \xi, \text{ for each } \xi \in J_0.$$

- For  $\xi \in \mathfrak{c}$ , we denote  $\chi_\xi$  the element of  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  so that  $\text{supp}(\chi_\xi) = \{\xi\}$  and  $\chi_\xi(\xi) = 1$ .
- For  $\mu \in \mathfrak{c}$ , we define  $\bar{\mu} : \omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$  by  $\bar{\mu}(n) = \mu$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .
- For  $A \subseteq \omega$  and  $\zeta : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ , we define  $\chi_\zeta : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  by  $\chi_\zeta(n) = \chi_{\zeta(n)}$  for every  $n \in A$ . Observe that if  $\mu \in \mathfrak{c}$ , then  $\chi_{\bar{\mu}}(n) = \chi_\mu$  for every  $n \in \omega$ .
- Let  $I \subseteq J_0$  be such that  $\{[f_\xi]_p : \xi \in I\} \cup \{[\chi_{\bar{\mu}}]_p : \mu \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\text{ult}_p(\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})})$ .
- A set  $C \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$  is called *suitably closed* if  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(f_\xi(n)) \subseteq C$  for every  $\xi \in C \cap I$ .

Next we shall extend each homomorphism  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$  to a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi}$  defined in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  satisfying that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

for each  $\xi \in I$  and  $N \in \omega$ , in a similar way to what is done in Lemma 3.6 of [1]. The difference will be that we wish to control the value of the homomorphisms  $\phi_\beta$ , when  $\beta \in [\omega, \omega + \omega)$ , in the element  $\chi_{\omega + \omega}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $p$  be a free ultrafilter and  $C \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$  be a suitably closed set. Then, every homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^{(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  satisfying*

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \phi(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

*for each  $\xi \in I \cap C$  and  $N \in \omega$ , can be extended to a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi} : \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  satisfying that*

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

*for each  $\xi \in I$  and  $N \in \omega$ . And if  $1 \leq m \in \omega$  and  $\omega + \omega \notin C$ , then we may add the condition  $\bar{\phi}(\chi_{\omega + \omega}) = \frac{1}{m} + \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof.** To have this done let  $\{\xi_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a strictly increasing enumeration of  $\mathfrak{c} \setminus C$ . For each  $\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}$ , let  $C_\alpha := C \cup \{\xi_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}$ . Notice,  $C_0 = C$ ,  $C_\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}$  and recall that  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(f_{\xi_\alpha}(n)) \subseteq \xi_\alpha$  for each

$\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ . In order to get the required extension for every ordinal  $\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}$  we shall recursively define a group homomorphism  $\sigma_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}^{(C_\alpha)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  satisfying:

- a)  $\sigma_0 = \phi$ ;
- b)  $\sigma_\delta \subseteq \sigma_\alpha$  whenever  $\delta \leq \alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}$ ; and
- c)  $p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \sigma_\alpha(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \sigma_\alpha(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi)$ , for each  $\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}$ ,  $\xi \in C_\alpha \cap I$  and  $N \in \omega$ .

Indeed, we start setting  $\sigma_0 = \phi$ . Let  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and suppose that  $\sigma_\delta$  as been defined satisfying the above conditions for each  $\delta < \alpha$ . First, if  $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{(\bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} C_\delta)}$ , then we define

$$\sigma_\alpha(g) = (\bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} \sigma_\delta)(g).$$

For the case when  $\alpha \in J_1$  and  $1 \leq m \in \omega$  is given, we define

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_\alpha(q\chi_{\xi_\alpha}) = 0, & \text{if } \xi_\alpha \neq \omega + \omega \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ \sigma_\alpha(q\chi_{\xi_\alpha}) = q \cdot (\frac{1}{m} + \mathbb{Z}), & \text{if } \xi_\alpha = \omega + \omega \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, if  $\alpha \in J_0$ , we set

$$\sigma_\alpha(q\chi_{\xi_\alpha}) = q \cdot (p - \lim_{n \in \omega} (\bigcup_{\delta < \alpha} \sigma_\delta)(\frac{1}{N} f_{\xi_\alpha}(n))),$$

for every  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . It is clear that we can uniquely extend  $\sigma_\mathfrak{c}$  to a group homomorphism  $\overline{\phi} : \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ . Observe that  $\overline{\phi}$  satisfies the requires conditions since it extends  $\sigma_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \leq \mathfrak{c}$ ; that is,

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \overline{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \overline{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

for each  $\xi \in I$  and  $N \in \omega$  and if  $1 \leq m \in \omega$ , then  $\overline{\phi}_\beta(\chi_{\omega+\omega}) = \sigma_\mathfrak{c}(\chi_{\omega+\omega}) = \frac{1}{m} + \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7** ([1], Lemma 3.5). *Fix a selective ultrafilter  $p$ . Let  $d \in \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^{(I)} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $B \in p$ . Let  $C$  be a countably infinite subset of  $\mathfrak{c}$  such that  $\omega \cup \text{supp}(r) \cup \text{supp}(d) \subseteq C$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supp}(f_\xi(n)) \subseteq C$  for every  $\xi \in C \cap I$ . Then there exists a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^{(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  such that*

- a)  $\phi(d) \neq 0$ ;
- b)  $p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \phi(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi)$ , for each  $\xi \in I \cap C$  and  $N \in \omega$ ;
- c) the sequence  $(\phi(\sum_{\mu \in \text{supp}(r)} r(\mu) f_\mu(n)))_{n \in B}$  does not converge.

To prove the following result we follow ideas similar to the ones used in the proof of Theorem 3.7 of [1]. But, for the purposes of this article, we have to make some changes to find a suitable element in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  which is not divisible by any  $n \in \omega$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $p \in \omega^*$  is a selective ultrafilter, then there exists a  $p$ -compact group topology on  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  without nontrivial convergent sequences and a closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  which contains an element not divisible by any  $n \in \omega$ .*

**Proof.** Fix a selective ultrafilter  $p \in \omega^*$ . We shall equip  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  with a topology via special characters, part of them are given by Lemma 3.7 (see [1]). Enumerate the set  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\{\phi_\beta : \beta \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]\}$  assuming, without loss of



generality, that given  $\beta \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]$ ,  $\phi_\beta : \mathbb{Q}^{(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is such that  $C \subseteq \beta$ . By Lemma 3.6, we shall extend each homomorphism  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$  to a homomorphism  $\bar{\phi}$  defined in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  satisfying that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} f_\xi(n)) = \bar{\phi}(\frac{1}{N} \chi_\xi),$$

for each  $\xi \in I$  and  $N \in \omega$ . Now, we control the value of the homomorphisms  $\phi_\beta$ , for  $\beta \in [\omega, \omega + \omega]$ , in the element  $\chi_{\omega+\omega}$ . For that, consider  $\{\beta_n : n \in \omega\}$  an enumeration of  $[\omega, \omega + \omega]$ .

Consider  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  endowed with the group topology generated by the homomorphisms from  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} := \{\bar{\phi} : \phi \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . We denote this topological group by  $G$ . It follows from the construction that

$$r\chi_\xi = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} r f_\xi(n),$$

for each  $\xi \in I$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $h := (h_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be a sequence of elements in  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$ . Choose sets  $\{r_\xi : \xi \in I\}$  and  $\{s_\mu : \mu \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  of rational numbers, where all but finitely many are 0, such that

$$[h]_p = \sum_{i \in I} r_\xi [f_\xi]_p + \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{c}} s_\mu [\chi_\mu]_p.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$p - \lim_{n \in \omega} h_n = \sum_{i \in I} r_\xi \chi_\xi + \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{c}} s_\mu \chi_\mu$$

This shows that  $G$  is  $p$ -compact.

Now, let  $g$  be a one-to-one sequence of elements in  $G$ . Again, there are  $r \in \mathbb{Q}^{(I)} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})}$  such that

$$[g]_p = \sum_{i \in I} r_\xi [f_\xi]_p + \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{c}} s_\mu [\chi_\mu]_p.$$

Set  $D := \text{supp}(r)$ . Then, there exists  $B \in p$  so that

$$g(n) = \sum_{\xi \in D} r_\xi f_\xi(n) + \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{c}} s_\mu \chi_\mu(n),$$

for every  $n \in B$ . Let  $d \in G \setminus \{0\}$  arbitrary, and  $C$  be a suitably closed set containing  $\omega \cup D \cup \text{supp}(d)$ . Applying Proposition 3.7, we find a homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Q}^{(C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  so that the sequence  $(\phi(\sum_{\xi \in D} r_\xi f_\xi(n)))_{n \in B}$  does not converge in  $\mathbb{T}$ . As a consequence we have that the sequence  $(\sum_{\xi \in D} r_\xi f_\xi(n))_{n \in B}$  does not converge in  $G$ , and since  $\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{c}} s_\mu \chi_\mu$  is constant, we conclude that the sequence  $(g(n))_{n \in \omega}$  does not converge either. Therefore,  $G$  does not have non-trivial convergent sequences.

Finally, by using Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, for every positive  $n \in \omega$  we can define a group homomorphism  $\phi_n : \mathbb{Q}^{(\mathfrak{c})} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  so that  $\phi_n(\chi_{\omega+\omega}) = \frac{1}{n} + \mathbb{Z}$ . It is evident that

$$H := \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \phi_n^{-1}[\{\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{n} + \mathbb{Z}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n} + \mathbb{Z}\}]$$

is a nontrivial closed subgroup of  $G$ ; hence, it is also  $p$ -compact. Besides,  $\chi_{\omega+\omega} \in H$ . Now, fix  $n \in \omega$  and suppose that there is  $h \in H$  for which  $\chi_{\omega+\omega} = nh$ . Then,

$$0 \neq \phi_n(\chi_{\omega+\omega}) = n\phi_n(h) = 0,$$

but this is a contradiction. Therefore, the element  $\chi_{\omega+\omega}$  in  $H$  is non-divisible in  $H$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . This ends the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Open questions

We end this paper with the next problems that we consider be interesting.

**Question 4.1.** *If  $H$  is a non-divisible subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $H_0$  is a divisible group, does  $H \oplus H_0$  admit a topology whose  $\omega$ -th power is countably compact?*

**Question 4.2.** *Is there, in ZFC, an Abelian torsion-free topological group which has an element that is not  $n$ -divisible for any  $n > 1$  and admits a  $p$ -compact topology for some  $p \in \omega^*$ ?*

The authors would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of the article that contributed to improving it.

#### References

- [1] M.K. Bellini, V.O. Rodrigues, A.H. Tomita, On countably compact group topologies without non-trivial convergent sequences on  $\mathbb{Q}^{(\kappa)}$  for arbitrarily large  $\kappa$  and a selective ultrafilter, *Topol. Appl.* 294 (2021) 107653.
- [2] M.K. Bellini, A.C. Boero, V.O. Rodrigues, A.H. Tomita, Algebraic structure of countably compact non-torsion Abelian groups of size continuum from selective ultrafilters, *Topol. Appl.* 297 (2021) 107703.
- [3] M.K. Bellini, K.P. Hart, V.O. Rodrigues, A.H. Tomita, Countably compact group topologies on arbitrary large free Abelian groups, *Topol. Appl.* 333 (2023) 108538.
- [4] A. Bernstein, A new kind of compactness for topological spaces, *Fundam. Math.* 66 (1970) 185–193.
- [5] A.C. Boero, A.H. Tomita, A group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that makes its square countably compact, *Fundam. Math.* 212 (2011) 235–260.
- [6] A.C. Boero, I. Castro-Pereira, A.H. Tomita, Countably compact group topologies on the free Abelian group of size continuum (and a Wallace semigroup) from a selective ultrafilter, *Acta Math. Hung.* 159 (2) (2019) 414–428.
- [7] W.W. Comfort, K.A. Ross, Topologies induced by groups of characters, *Fundam. Math.* 55 (1964) 283–291.
- [8] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups I*, Academic Press, 1970.
- [9] J. Ginsburg, V. Saks, Some applications of ultrafilters in topology, *Pac. J. Math.* 57 (1975) 403–418.
- [10] A. Hajnal, I. Juhász, A separable normal topological group need not be Lindelöf, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 6 (2) (1976) 199–205.
- [11] P.R. Halmos, Comment on the real line, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 50 (1944) 877–878.
- [12] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [13] K.H. Hofmann, S.A. Morris, *The Structure of Compact Groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 25, Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 2006.
- [14] P.B. Koszmider, A.H. Tomita, S. Watson, Forcing countably compact group topologies on a larger free Abelian group, *Topol. Proc.* 25 (2000) 563–574.
- [15] R.E. Madariaga-García, A.H. Tomita, Countably compact topological group topologies on free Abelian groups from selective ultrafilters, *Topol. Appl.* 154 (2007) 1470–1480.
- [16] M.G. Tkachenko, Countably compact and pseudocompact topologies on free abelian groups, *Sov. Math.* 34 (5) (1990) 79–86.
- [17] A.H. Tomita, The existence of initially  $\omega_1$ -compact group topologies on free Abelian groups is independent of ZFC, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* 39 (1998) 401–413.
- [18] A.H. Tomita, A group topology on the free abelian group of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that makes its finite powers countably compact, *Topol. Appl.* 196 (2015) 976–998.