

LETTER

Brazil's restoration blueprint for biodiversity credits

Maria Lucia Ferreira Barbosa^{1,2}  | Carlos Delano Cardoso de Oliveira¹ | Vinicius Tonetti¹ |
 José Matheus Segre Moneva Viveiros^{3,6} | Gerd Sparovek³ | Jean Ometto⁴ |
 Paulo Guilherme Molin^{1,5}

¹Centro de Ciências Natureza, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, Buri, Brazil

²UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK

³Instituto de Estudos Avançados, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

⁴Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, Brazil

⁵Center for Carbon Research in Tropical Agriculture, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil

⁶Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz da Universidade de São Paulo – USP, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Correspondence

Maria Lucia Ferreira Barbosa, Center for Natural Sciences, Federal University of São Carlos, Rodovia Lauri Simões de Barros, km 12 - SP-189 - Aracaju, Buri - SP, 18290-000, Brazil. Email: malucsp@gmail.com

Article impact statement: Brazil can play a critical role in addressing biodiversity loss and advancing development of biodiversity credits.

Funding information

Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, Grant/Award Numbers: 2021/11940-0, 2023/18163-5, 2023/18190-2

KEYWORDS

Forest Restoration, Biodiversity credits, Carbon Market

The biodiversity credits market is a financial mechanism aimed at incentivizing the conservation and recovery of biological diversity by linking ecological outcomes to economic value. Evolving over 2 decades through diverse approaches (World Economic Forum, 2022), this market has gained prominence as a major global economic opportunity (Yan et al., 2024). At COP16 in 2024, new platforms were introduced to bolster the market's growth. An advisory panel led by the United Kingdom and France presented a framework for trading “high-integrity” credits (IAPB, 2024), and Verra launched a system for creating nature credits (<https://verra.org/verra-launches-nature-framework/>). In Brazil, some initiatives, such as the one led by Ecosystem Regeneration Associates (ERA), are already rewarding land stewards for preserving biodiversity, with a focus on conserving the jaguar (*Panthera onca*) as an umbrella species (<https://www.erabrazil.com/biodiversity>). Despite that, a targeted biodiversity credits market for Brazil is yet to be developed.

Brazil is the most biodiverse country in the world, boasting 6 terrestrial biomes, an extensive coastline, and 2 biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al., 2000). Yet, its biodiversity's potential to contribute to sustainable bioeconomic growth and development remains largely overlooked (Ellwanger et al., 2023). Although Brazil is uniquely positioned to lead in integrating biodiversity into its economic, environmental, and social policies, this integration requires a fundamental shift in how natural capital is valued and integrated in market-based conservation strategies.

Brazil has demonstrated leadership in environmental conservation, notably during the 1992 Rio Earth Summit and by reducing Amazon deforestation by over 80% from 2004 to 2012. However, biodiversity loss remains a critical problem. For instance, forest fragments in Brazil's Atlantic Forest lost 25–32% of their biomass and 23–31% of their species diversity from 1985 to 2017 (Lima et al., 2020). Moreover, 65% of all tree species and 82% of endemic tree species in the Atlantic Forest are threatened (Lima et al., 2024). Tree losses directly reduce biomass and, consequently, carbon storage capacity. In the Atlantic Forest, this decline has led to an estimated US\$2.3–2.6 billion in lost carbon credits (Lima et al., 2020). Still, carbon

Maria Lucia Ferreira Barbosa and Paulo Guilherme Molin contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 The Author(s). *Conservation Biology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Society for Conservation Biology.

credits fail to capture the broader ecological decline, including reduced ecosystem resilience.

Given the accelerating global loss of biodiversity and climate change, restoring ecosystems has never been more pressing. Ecological restoration in Brazil has advanced significantly (Rodrigues et al., 2009; Rother et al., 2023) because it is underpinned by robust legal frameworks to reverse ecosystem degradation (Brazil, 2012; 2017). Supported by a national ecological restoration society (<https://sobrestauracao.org/>) and regional and biome-level organizations (e.g., <https://www.pactomataatlantica.org.br/>), Brazil has exemplary restoration governance. These elements have driven ecological restoration initiatives across the country's 6 biomes (Guerra et al., 2020). For example, restoration efforts in the Atlantic Forest have been recognized as one of the 10 World Restoration Flagships of the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration (United Nations, 2025). Many areas of the Atlantic Forest are undergoing both natural regeneration and active restoration, with over 673,000 hectares of forest recovered between 2011 and 2015 (Crouzeilles et al., 2019).

The biodiversity market offers a novel mechanism to mobilize financial resources for restoration and conservation to ensure that ecosystem restoration projects focus not only on sequestering carbon but also on regaining ecosystem services and biodiversity. Restoration actively enhances biodiversity through the rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems, leading to measurable biodiversity gains, such as increased species richness and improved ecosystem function. In contrast, conservation focuses on preserving existing biodiversity levels, which, although essential, do not lead to the same additional biodiversity gains as restoration.

Biodiversity loss occurs through habitat destruction and gradual degradation processes, such as wildfires, species invasion, and climate change. Frameworks for biodiversity credits must consider degradation and outright habitat loss and consider the surrounding landscape and its ecological network to ensure holistic and context-sensitive conservation. These systems should align with existing environmental policies and recognize biodiversity as essential to the long-term success of initiatives, such as forest carbon projects.

Brazil's experience with carbon markets (Vargas et al., 2021) offers valuable lessons for shaping biodiversity credits. These markets are interconnected because forest carbon projects often contribute to biodiversity conservation, offering opportunities for cobenefits and integrated environmental solutions. Yet, biodiversity involves more intricate dynamics, such as species diversity, habitat integrity, and ecological processes, that require a sophisticated framework for quantification and valuation. A universal, one-size-fits-all metric for biodiversity is neither practical nor desirable. However, the lack of reference data for original biodiversity in many areas further complicates the establishment of a credible system. Although technologies, such as environmental DNA and artificial intelligence, offer promising avenues for monitoring and assessing biodiversity (Allard et al., 2023; Ullah et al., 2025), they will not be viable on a large scale until they become affordable, accessible, and taxonomically inclusive (Stephenson, 2020).

To succeed, biodiversity markets must ensure that local communities—especially Indigenous peoples and small farmers—are involved and benefit from these initiatives. The Colombian Amazon offers an example of a successful program in which biodiversity credits were developed by and for Indigenous people before being adapted to the global market (<https://pt-br.savimbo.com/biodiversity>). This demonstrates that biodiversity conservation can be equitable and impactful.

At COP16 (2024), Brazil's Indigenous peoples launched the manifesto “We Are the Answer” (APIB, 2024), calling for concrete actions to address the climate and biodiversity crises. They demanded active participation in COP30, which will be hosted in Brazil. Although COP16 released several new guidelines for biodiversity credits, progress on biodiversity financing remained limited, and Brazil failed to present its National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plan. This year, COP30 offers Brazil a renewed opportunity to elevate biodiversity in global discussions by integrating biodiversity conservation with climate change mitigation. Such an integrated approach can drive more effective solutions because functioning ecosystems are essential for addressing climate change impacts.

The Atlantic Forest—one of the most endangered ecosystems in the world (Myers et al., 2000)—could serve as a flagship of how biodiversity credits can promote both conservation and economic development. By creating robust, science-driven frameworks for biodiversity credits that account for ecological complexity and prioritize social equity, Brazil can lead the world in building a more resilient future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) for grants 2021/11940-0, 2023/18190-2, and 2023/18163-5.

The Article Processing Charge for the publication of this research was funded by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) (ROR identifier: 00x0ma614).

ORCID

Maria Lucia Ferreira Barbosa  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4702-2974>

REFERENCES

- Allard, A., Webber, L., Sundberg, J. H., & Brown, A. (2023). New and changing use of technologies in monitoring: Drones, artificial intelligence, and environmental DNA. In A. Allard, E. C. H. Keskitalo, & A. Brown (Eds.), *Monitoring biodiversity* (pp. 148–173). Routledge.
- Articulation of Indigenous Peoples of Brazil (APIB). (2024). *A resposta somos nós*. <https://apiboficial.org/2024/10/26/a-resposta-somos-nos/>
- Brazil. (2012). *Law No. 12,651, of May 25, 2012. Establishes rules for the protection of native vegetation*. Official Gazette of the Federative Republic of Brazil. https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2011-2014/2012/lei/12651.htm
- Brazil. (2017). *Decree No. 8,972, of January 23, 2017. Establishes the National Policy for the Recovery of Native Vegetation*. Official Gazette of the Federative Republic of Brazil. https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2015-2018/2017/decreto/d8972.htm
- Crouzeilles, R., Santami, E., Rosa, M., Pugliese, L., Brancalion, P. H. S., Rodrigues, R. R., Metzger, J. P., Calmon, M., Scaramuzza, C. A. d. M.,

- Matsumoto, M. H., Padovezi, A., Benini, R. d. M., Chaves, R. B., Metzker, T., Fernandes, R. B., Scarano, F. R., Schmitt, J., Lui, G., Christ, P., ... Pintoet, S. (2019). There is hope for achieving ambitious Atlantic Forest restoration commitments. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*, 17(2), 80–83.
- Ellwanger, J. H., Nobre, C. A., & Chies, J. A. B. (2023). Brazilian biodiversity as a source of power and sustainable development: A neglected opportunity. *Sustainability*, 15(1), Article 482.
- Guerra, A., Reis, L. K., Borges, F. L. G., Ojeda, P. T. A., Pineda, D. A. M., Miranda, C. O., Maidana, D. P. F. L., Santos, T. M. R., Shibuya, P. S., Marques, M. C. M., Laurance, S. G. W., & Garcia, L. C. (2020). Ecological restoration in Brazilian biomes: Identifying advances and gaps. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 458, Article 117802.
- International Advisory Panel on Biodiversity Credits (IAPB). (2024). *Framework for high integrity biodiversity credit markets*. <https://www.iapbiocredits.org/framework>
- Lima, R. A., Dauby, G., de Gasper, A. L., Fernandez, E. P., Vibrans, A. C., Oliveira, A. A. D., Prado, P. I., Souza, V. C., F de Siqueira, M., & Ter Steege, H. (2024). Comprehensive conservation assessments reveal high extinction risks across Atlantic Forest trees. *Science*, 383(6679), 219–225.
- Lima, R. A., Oliveira, A. A., Pitta, G. R., de Gasper, A. L., Vibrans, A. C., Chave, J., Ter Steege, H., & Prado, P. I. (2020). The erosion of biodiversity and biomass in the Atlantic Forest biodiversity hotspot. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), Article 6347.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., & Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403(6772), 853–858.
- Rodrigues, R. R., Lima, R. A., Gandolfi, S., & Nave, A. G. (2009). On the restoration of high diversity forests: 30 years of experience in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Biological Conservation*, 142(6), 1242–1251.
- Rother, D. C., Romanelli, J. P., & Rodrigues, R. R. (2023). Historical trajectory of restoration practice and science across the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Restoration Ecology*, 31(8), Article e14041.
- Stephenson, P. J. (2020). Technological advances in biodiversity monitoring: Applicability, opportunities and challenges. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 45, 36–41.
- Ullah, F., Saqib, S., & Xiong, Y. C. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence in biodiversity conservation: Bridging classical and modern approaches. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 34, 45–65.
- United Nations. (2025). *Trinational Atlantic Forest Pact*. <https://www.decadeonrestoration.org/trinational-atlantic-forest-pact>
- Vargas, D. B., Delazeri, L. M. M., & Ferreira, V. H. P. (2021). *Voluntary carbon market in Brazil in reality and in practice. Observatory of knowledge and innovation in bioeconomy*. Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV). https://agro.fgv.br/sites/default/files/2023-05/ocbio_mercado_de_carbono_voluntario_no_brasil_na_realidade_e_na_pratica_en.pdf
- World Economic Forum. (2022). *Biodiversity credit market: Unlocking biodiversity conservation finance*. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Biodiversity_Credit_Market_2022.pdf
- Yan, N., Liu, G., Ulgiati, S., & Yang, Z. (2024). Biodiversity conservation strategies from no net loss to net gain. A multidimensional accounting method. *Earth's Future*, 12(10), Article e2024EF004652. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024ef004652>

How to cite this article: Barbosa, M. L. F., de Oliveira, C. D. C., Tonetti, V., Viveiros, J. M. S. M., Sparovek, G., Ometto, J., & Molin, P. G. (2025). Brazil's restoration blueprint for biodiversity credits. *Conservation Biology*, 39, e70063. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.70063>

