

Nº 43

The stability of minimal cones of  
codimension greater than one in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

Célia Contim Góes

Setembro 1982

THE STABILITY OF MINIMAL CONES OF CODIMENSION

GREATER THAN ONE IN  $\mathbb{R}^n$

Célia Contin Gões

§ 0 - INTRODUCTION

J. Simons has proved in [3] that if  $M$  is a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed minimal submanifold in  $S^n$ , not totally geodesic, with  $n \leq 6$ , then the cone over  $M$  is not stable.

This result is fundamental for regularity of solutions of Plateau's problem and for the Bernstein's problem of codimension one, in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  ([1], [3]).

For higher codimension, the first observation is that Simon's theorem cannot be extended if others hypothesis are not added

Indeed

$$M = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z \neq 0, P(z) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

where  $P(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2$ , is a 2-codimensional stable minimal cone. It's easy to verify that the immersion  $M^{2n-2} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  does not have flat normal bundle.

The existence of such manifolds as well as technical motivations have brought us to consider higher codimensional minimal cones over submanifolds of  $S^n$  that have flat normal bundle.

The following theorem generalizes Simons' result :

THEOREM 1 - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be a minimal cone immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $M \cap S^{n+m-1}$  is a closed manifold of  $S^{n+m-1}$  (the unitary ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ) and suppose that there is a global orthonormal family of parallel fields in the normal bundle of  $M$ .

Thus if  $M$  is not totally geodesic in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and  $n \leq 6$ ,  $M$  is not stable.

The following shows that this result is sharp.

THEOREM 2 - Let  $M = \bar{M} \times (0, +\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ;  $(y, t) \mapsto ty$  where  $\bar{M} = S^{n_1}(r_1) \times \dots \times S^{n_s}(r_s)$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s n_i = n - 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^s r_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m = s-1$$

Then  $M$  is a minimal cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  having flat normal bundle, and  $M$  is stable if, and only if,  $n > 6$ .

## § 1 - BASIC FACTS

1.1 - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be an isometric immersion and let  $f \in C^1(U)$  where  $U$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $U \cap M \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\delta f$  be the gradient in  $M$  of the restriction of  $f$  to  $M$ , that is

$$\delta f = \text{grad}_M (f|_M)$$

(it is easy to see that  $\delta f$  depends only on the values of  $f$  over  $M$ )  
 Then, if  $\{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$ ,  $Df$  is the gradient of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , that is,

$$Df = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu_{n+m}} \right),$$

and  $\{V^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  is an orthonormal frame of normal vector fields defined in  $U \cap M$ , then

$$(1.1.1) \quad \delta f = Df - \sum_{k=1}^m \langle Df, V^{(k)} \rangle V^{(k)}$$

Let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  be a coordinate system in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , that is, each  $e_i$  is a constant field in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and they constitute an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ . Thus, if  $V_i^{(k)} = \langle V^{(k)}, e_i \rangle$ ;  $D_i f = \langle Df, e_i \rangle$ ;  $\delta_i f = \langle \delta f, e_i \rangle$ , we have

$$(1.1.2) \quad \delta_i f = D_i f - \sum_{k=1}^m \langle Df, V^{(k)} \rangle V_i^{(k)} ; i=1,2,\dots,n+m$$

1.2 - If  $H$  is the mean curvature field of the immersion, and

$$e_{n+k} = V^{(k)}(p) ; k=1,\dots,m, \text{ at } p \in M,$$

then we have at  $p$ ,

$$\delta_i = D_i \quad \text{if } i \leq n$$

$$\delta_{n+k} = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle H, V^{(k)} \rangle_p = - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V^{(k)} \rangle_p = - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i V_i^{(k)} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i V_i^{(k)}(p)$$

where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the riemannian connection of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i V_i^{(k)}$  does not depend on the particular coordinate system, we have

$$\langle H, V^{(k)} \rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i V_i^{(k)}, \text{ that is}$$

$$(1.2.1) \quad H = - \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i V_i^{(k)} \right) V^{(k)},$$

at any point of  $M$  and with respect to any coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$

Similarly, if  $B(x, Y) = (\bar{\nabla}_x Y)^\perp$  where  $x, Y \in T_p M$  and  $Y$  is an arbitrary extension of  $y$  that is tangent to  $M$  in a neighborhood of  $p$ , and

$$\langle A^V(x), Y \rangle = \langle B(x, Y), v \rangle ; \forall x, Y \in T_p M,$$

$$\forall v \in (T_p M)^\perp$$

$$\forall p \in M,$$

$$(1.2.2) \quad \text{we have, } \langle A^{V(k)}, A^{V(s)} \rangle = \sum_{i, j=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i V_j^{(k)}) (\delta_j V_i^{(s)})$$

In what follows we set

$$C_{ks} = \langle A^{V(k)}, A^{V(s)} \rangle$$

$$(1.2.3) \quad C_k^2 = C_{kk}$$

$$C^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^2 = \|A\|^2$$

1.3 - Let  $X$  be a  $C^\infty$  vector field of  $M$  and  $\text{div}_M X$  be its divergent in  $M$ , that is, if  $p \in M$ ,

$$\text{div}_M X (p) = \text{trace} (\omega \mapsto \nabla_\omega X),$$

where  $\omega \in T_p M$  and  $\nabla$  is the riemannian connection of  $M$ .

Then, if  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  is a coordinate system for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $e_{n+k} = v^{(k)}(p)$ ;  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\text{div}_M X (p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i X_i (p)$$

and since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i X_i$  does not depend on the coordinate system, we have

$$(1.3.1) \quad \text{div}_M X = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i X_i$$

at any point of  $M$  and in relation to any coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

Therefore, the laplacian of  $f \in C^2(M)$ ,  $\Delta f$ , is given by

$$(1.3.2) \quad \Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i \delta_i f$$

for  $\Delta f = \text{div}_M (\text{grad}_M f)$

1.4 - If  $\phi \in C^1(M)$  has compact support and  $\psi \in C^2(M)$ , we have

$$(1.4.1) \quad \text{a) } \int_M \delta \phi \, dM = - \int_M H \phi \, dM$$

$$(1.4.2) \quad \text{b) } \int_M \phi \Delta \psi \, dM = \int_M \psi \Delta \phi \, dM = - \int_M \langle \delta \phi, \delta \psi \rangle \, dM$$

1.5 - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow N^{n+m}$  be an isometric immersion and let  $\nabla^\perp$  be the induced connection in the normal bundle of  $M$  by the connection of  $N$ . Thus the normal curvature tensor of the normal bundle is defined by

$$(1.5.1) \quad R^\perp(X, Y) = \nabla_X^\perp \nabla_Y^\perp - \nabla_Y^\perp \nabla_X^\perp - \nabla^\perp[X, Y]$$

where  $X, Y$  are tangent fields of  $M$ .

Suppose that  $R^\perp \equiv 0$ , then we say that the immersion has flat normal bundle. The following well known results will be useful :

a)  $R^\perp \equiv 0$  if, and only if, there is a local orthonormal family  $\{V^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  of parallel sections in the normal bundle, that is,

$$(1.5.2) \quad \nabla_X^\perp V^{(k)} = 0 ; k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \forall X \in T_p M, \quad \forall p \in M$$

b) If  $N$  has constant curvature, then  $R^\perp \equiv 0$  if, and only if, the tensors  $A^{e_\alpha}$ , where  $\{e_\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq m}$  is any orthonormal frame of normal fields, are simultaneously diagonalized

1.6 - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be an isometric immersion having flat normal bundle and let  $\{V^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  be an orthonormal family of parallel sections of the normal bundle of  $M$ . Let  $p \in M$ , and let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  be a coordinate system for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that

$$V^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k} ; k = 1, 2, \dots, m .$$

Thus, from

$$\langle \bar{v}_{e_i} v^{(k)}, e_j \rangle_p = \langle \bar{v}_{e_j} v^{(k)}, e_i \rangle_p \quad \text{if } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and  $\delta_{n+s} \equiv 0$  at  $p$ ,

$$\text{and } 0 = \langle \bar{v}_{e_i} v^{(k)}, v^{(s)} \rangle_p = \delta_i v_{n+s}^{(k)}(p), \text{ if}$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$k, s = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

it follows

$$\delta_i v_j^{(k)}(p) = \delta_j v_i^{(k)}(p); \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

with respect to  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$ .

Considering another system  $\{\bar{e}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  of coordinates, where

$$e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \alpha_j^i \bar{e}_i$$

if  $\bar{v}_i^{(k)} = \langle v^{(k)}, \bar{e}_i \rangle$  and  $\bar{\delta}_i = \langle \delta, e_i \rangle$

we have

$$(\bar{\delta}_i \bar{v}_j^{(k)} - \bar{\delta}_j \bar{v}_i^{(k)}) (p) = \sum_{h, \ell=1}^{n+m} \alpha_h^j \alpha_\ell^i (\delta_\ell v_h^{(k)} - \delta_h v_\ell^{(k)}) (p) = 0$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_i \bar{v}_j^{(k)}(p) = \delta_j \bar{v}_i^{(k)}(p)$$

Thus we have, for any system of coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , at each point of  $M$ ,

$$(1.6.1) \quad \delta_i v_j^{(k)} = \delta_j v_i^{(k)} ; \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

1.7 - PROPOSITION - Let  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  be as in 1.6, let

$\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  be any coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  and let  $\delta_i = \langle \delta, e_i \rangle$ .

Thus,

$$(1.7.1) \quad [\delta_i, \delta_j] = \delta_i \delta_j - \delta_j \delta_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{h=1}^{n+m} (v_i^{(k)} \delta_i v_h^{(k)} - v_j^{(k)} \delta_i v_h^{(k)}) \delta_h$$

PROOF. Having in mind that  $R \equiv 0$  together with (1.1.2), (1.6.1) and the fact that

$$(1.7.2) \quad \sum_{h=1}^{n+m} v_h^{(r)} \delta_j v_h^{(k)} = 0 ; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n+m \\ k, r = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

the proof is a straightforward computation.

To prove (1.7.2) we choose a coordinate system  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $v^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k}$  for all  $k$ . Then,

$$\sum_{h=1}^{n+m} v_h^{(r)} \delta_j v_h^{(k)}(p) = \langle \bar{v} e_j, v^{(k)} \rangle, \quad v^{(r)} \rangle_p = 0$$

because  $R^1 \equiv 0$ . Now we argue as in the end of 1.6 for any system of coordinates.

1.8 - PROPOSITION - With the hypothesis of 1.6 and supposing that the immersion is minimal, we have :

$$(1.8.1) \quad \Delta V^{(k)} = -C_k^2 V^{(k)} - \sum_{\substack{k,r=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m C_{kr} V^{(r)},$$

where  $\Delta V^{(k)} = (\Delta V_1^{(k)}, \dots, \Delta V_{n+m}^{(k)})$  and the components of  $V^{(k)}$  are taken with respect to an arbitrary coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

PROOF. The flatness of the normal bundle implies

$$\sum_{h=1}^{n+m} V_h^{(r)} \delta_j V_h^{(k)} = 0 \quad ; \quad j=1,2,\dots,n+m \\ k,r=1,2,\dots,m$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_j^{(k)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i \delta_i V_j^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i \delta_j V_i^{(k)} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_j \delta_i V_i^{(k)} + \sum_{r=1}^m \sum_{ih=1}^{n+m} (V_i^{(r)} \delta_j V_h^{(r)} - V_j^{(r)} \delta_i V_h^{(r)}) \delta_h V_i^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.8.2) \quad \Delta V_j^{(k)} = - \sum_{r=1}^m V_j^{(r)} \left[ \sum_{ih=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i V_h^{(r)}) (\delta_h V_i^{(k)}) \right]$$

Therefore (1.8.1) follows from (1.2.2) and (1.2.3) .

§ 2 - THE LAPLACIAN OF THE NORM OF THE SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM

2.1 - THEOREM - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be a minimal isometric

immersion having flat normal bundle. Let  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$

be a local orthonormal family of parallel sections of the normal bundle defined in an open subset  $U$  of  $M$ . If  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  is a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $v^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k}$ ;  $k=1, \dots, m$ ,  $p \in M$ , we have

$$(2.1.1) \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 + C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{k,r=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m C_{kr} \right) (p) = \sum_{hij=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_j v_h^{(k)})^2 (p)$$

for all  $k=1, 2, \dots, m$ .

PROOF. Since  $R^1 \equiv 0$ ,  $C_k^2 = \sum_{ij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i v_j^{(k)})^2$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \delta_i \delta_i \left( \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 \right) = \\ &= \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_h v_j^{(k)}) (\delta_i \delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Using  $[\delta_i, \delta_h]$  twice we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 = \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 + \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_h v_j^{(k)}) \delta_h (\Delta v_j^{(k)}) +$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{hijs} V_i^{(\ell)} (\delta_h V_j^{(k)}) (\delta_h V_s^{(\ell)}) (\delta_s \delta_i V_j^{(k)}) - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{hijs} (\delta_h V_j^{(k)}) (\delta_i V_h^{(\ell)}) (\delta_i V_s^{(\ell)}) (\delta_s V_j^{(k)})$$

From (1.8.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_h (\Delta V_j^{(k)}) &= - (\delta_h C_k^2) V_j^{(k)} - (\delta_h V_j^{(k)}) C_k^2 - \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m \delta_h (C_{kr}) V_j^{(r)} - \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr} \delta_h V_j^{(r)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (1.2.3) and (1.7.2) imply

$$(\delta_h V_j^{(k)}) \delta_h (\Delta V_j^{(k)}) = - C_k^4 - \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr}^2$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 + C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr}^2 = \\ &= \sum_{hijs=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i \delta_h V_j^{(k)})^2 - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{hijs=1}^{n+m} (\delta_h V_j^{(k)}) (\delta_h V_s^{(\ell)}) (\delta_s V_i^{(\ell)}) (\delta_i V_j^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

Using  $[\delta_h, \delta_{n+r}]$  we have

$$\delta_h \delta_{n+r} V_j^{(k)}(p) = - \sum_{s=1}^{n+m} (\delta_h V_s^{(r)}) (\delta_s V_j^{(k)})(p)$$

So at p we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 + C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^n C_{kr}^2 \right) (p) = \\ & = \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{sj=1}^{n+m} (\delta_s \delta_{n+\ell} v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) \end{aligned}$$

Using again  $[\delta_i, \delta_{n+\ell}] (p)$  and

$$\delta_s v_{n+j}^{(k)} (p) = \langle v_{e_s}^1 v^{(k)} \rangle, e_{n+j} \rangle_p = 0$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 + C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr}^2 \right) (p) = \sum_{hij=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) + \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{ih=1}^n \delta_i \delta_h v_{n+\ell}^{(k)} (p) + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{ij=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_{n+\ell} v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) - \\ & - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{ij=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_{n+\ell} v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) = \\ & = \sum_{hij=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 (p) . \end{aligned}$$

2.2 - OBSERVATION - Let  $C^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^2$  ,

$$\Delta C^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m \Delta C_k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{kr} = \langle A^{V^{(k)}} , A^{V^{(r)}} \rangle$$

Thus,

$$(2.2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr}^2 \leq \sum_k C_k^4 + \sum_{k \neq r} C_k^2 C_r^2 = C^4$$

Therefore, with the same hypothesis of Theorem 2.1. ,  
 (2.2.1) implies

$$(2.2.2) \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta C^2 + C^4 \right) (p) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{hij=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i \delta_h v_j^{(k)})^2 (p)$$

2.3 - THEOREM - Let  $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be a minimal cone isometrically immersed and having flat normal bundle.

Let  $\{v^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  be a local orthonormal family of sections of the normal bundle defined in an open subset  $U$  of  $M$ .

Then, if  $\|x\|$  is the distance from  $x \in M$  to the vertex of  $M$ , we have

$$(2.3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta C^2 + C^4 \geq |\delta C|^2 + \frac{2C^2}{\|x\|^2}$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta C_k^2 + C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq k}}^m C_{kr}^2 \geq |\delta C_k|^2 + \frac{2C_k^2}{\|x\|^2} ; k=1,2,\dots,m$$

PROOF. We may consider the vertex of the cone as the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ ,

$$U = \{t y / y \in \tilde{U}, t \in (0, +\infty)\}$$

where  $\tilde{U}$  is an open subset of  $S^{n+m-1}$  (the euclidean unitary sphere), and that  $v^{(k)}$  is constant through the rays of  $M$ .

Given  $p \in M$ , let  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  be a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $v^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k}$  for all  $k$ , and  $p = \|p\| e_n$ .

Thus, for all  $t \in (0, +\infty)$  and all  $k$ , we have

$$D_n v^{(k)}(tp) = 0$$

$$v_n^{(k)}(tp) = v_n^{(k)}(p) = 0$$

$$\delta_i v_n^{(k)}(tp) = \delta_n v_i^{(k)}(tp) = (D_n v_i^{(k)} - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \langle v^{(\ell)}, DV_i^{(k)} \rangle v_n^{(\ell)})(tp) = 0$$

Therefore ,

$$(2.3.3) \quad \delta_n (\delta_n v_i^{(k)})(tp) = \delta_n (\delta_i v_n^{(k)})(tp) = 0$$

From (1.6.1) and (1.7.1) we have

$$(2.3.4) \quad \delta_i \delta_j v_n^{(k)} = \delta_i \delta_n v_j^{(k)}$$

$$(2.3.5) \quad \delta_i \delta_n v_j^{(k)}(p) = \delta_n \delta_i v_j^{(k)}(p)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{ihr=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_h v_r^{(k)})^2 - |\delta c|^2 \right] (p) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{ihr=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_h v_r^{(k)})^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{k\ell=1}^m \sum_{ihrs_j=1}^n (\delta_h v_r^{(k)} (\delta_i \delta_h v_r^{(k)})) (\delta_s v_j^{(\ell)} (\delta_i \delta_s v_j^{(\ell)})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2c^2} \sum_{k\ell=1}^m \sum_{ihrs_j=1}^n \left[ (\delta_h v_r^{(k)})^2 (\delta_i \delta_s v_j^{(\ell)})^2 + (\delta_s v_j^{(\ell)})^2 (\delta_i \delta_h v_r^{(k)})^2 - \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - 2 (\delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) (\delta_i \delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) (\delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) (\delta_i \delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) \Big] = \\
 & = \frac{1}{2C^2} \sum_{k\ell=1}^m \sum_{ihrsj=1}^n \left[ (\delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) (\delta_i \delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) - (\delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) (\delta_i \delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) \right]^2 = \\
 & = \frac{1}{2C^2} \sum_{k\ell=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{hjsr=1}^{n-1} \left[ (\delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) (\delta_i \delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) - (\delta_{sv} V_j^{(\ell)}) (\delta_i \delta_{hr} V^{(k)}) \right]^2 + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + 4 C_k^2 \sum_{is=1}^n (\delta_n \delta_i V_s^{(\ell)})^2 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore , /

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3.6) \quad & \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{ihr=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_{hr} V^{(k)})^2 - |\delta c|^2 \right] (p) \geq \\
 & \geq 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{ih=1}^n (\delta_n \delta_i V_h^{(k)})^2 (p)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, given any  $\phi \in C^1(M)$ , we have

$$\delta_n \phi (p) = \langle D\phi, e_n \rangle_p = \frac{1}{\|p\|} \langle D\phi, p \rangle = \frac{1}{\|p\|} \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} p_j D_j \phi (p)$$

Thus we have

$$(2.3.7) \quad \delta_n (\delta_i V_h^{(k)}) (p) = \frac{1}{\|p\|} \sum_{j=1}^{n+m} p_j D_j (\delta_i V_h^{(k)}) (p)$$

Since  $V_h^{(k)}(x) = V_h^{(k)}(tx) ; \forall x \in M,$   
 $\forall t \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\delta_i V_h^{(k)}(tx) = \frac{1}{t} \delta_i V_h^{(k)}(x)$$

which gives, by differentiation with respect to t,

$$\langle D(\delta_i V_h^{(k)}(tx)) , x \rangle = - \frac{1}{t^2} (\delta_i V_h^{(k)})(x)$$

In particular for t = 1,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+m} x_j D_j (\delta_i V_h^{(k)}) = \langle D(\delta_i V_h^{(k)})(x) , x \rangle = - (\delta_i V_h^{(k)})(x) , \forall x \in M$$

This together with (2.3.7) gives

$$\sum_{ih=1}^n (\delta_n \delta_i V_h^{(k)})^2(p) = \frac{1}{\|p\|^2} \sum_{ih=1}^n (\delta_i V_h^{(k)})^2(p) = \frac{C_k^2(p)}{\|p\|^2}$$

Thus , (2.3.6) implies

$$(2.3.8) \quad \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{ihr=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_n V_r^{(k)})^2 - |\delta c|^2 \right] (p) \geq \frac{2C^2(p)}{\|p\|^2}$$

From (2.2.2) and (2.3.8) we get (2.3.1).

By a similar computation, we get for each k,

$$\left[ \sum_{ihr=1}^n (\delta_i \delta_h V_r^{(k)})^2 - |\delta C_k|^2 \right] (p) \geq \frac{2C_k^2(p)}{\|p\|^2}$$

which together (2.2.1) give (2.3.2).

### § 3 - MINIMAL CONES

3.1 - The formula of the second variation of the area.

Let  $f: M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be an isometric immersion of a compact orientable manifold  $M$  and let  $F: M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  be a  $C^\infty$  variation of  $f$  such that

$$F_t(\partial M) = f(\partial M), \text{ for all } t$$

Let  $A(t)$  be the  $n$ -dimensional area of  $F_t(M)$ ,  $E(p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(p, 0)$  the variational field,  $dM$  the volume form of  $M$ ,  $\tilde{A} = {}^t A \circ A$  and

$$\nabla^2 E^\perp = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\mu_i}^\perp \nabla_{\mu_i}^\perp E^\perp - \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\nabla_{\mu_i}^\perp}^\perp \mu_i E^\perp$$

where  $\{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  is an orthonormal tangent frame. Thus, if  $f$  is a minimal immersion having normal bundle and  $F$  is a variation such that  $E^\perp = \sum_{k=1}^m h_k V^{(k)}$ , where  $\{V^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  is an orthonormal family of local parallel sections of the normal bundle of  $M$ , defined in an open set  $U \subset M$ , and each  $h_k \in C_0^1(U)$ , we have

$$(3.1.1) \quad A''(0) = \int_M \langle -\nabla^2 E^\perp - \tilde{A}(E^\perp), E^\perp \rangle dM$$

(see [2])

3.2 - PROPOSITION - With the hypothesis of 3.1, we have

$$(3.2.1) \quad A''(0) \int_M \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m (|\delta h_k|^2 - h_k^2 C_k^2) - \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m h_k h_r C_{kr} \right] dM$$

PROOF.

$$(3.2.2) \quad \int_M \langle -\nabla^2 E^\perp, E^\perp \rangle dM = - \int_M \langle \nabla^\perp E^\perp, \nabla^\perp E^\perp \rangle dM,$$

where  $\langle \nabla^\perp E^\perp, \nabla^\perp E^\perp \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{\mu_i}^\perp E^\perp, \nabla_{\mu_i}^\perp E^\perp \rangle$

for any orthonormal tangent frame  $\{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . Moreover  $R^\perp \equiv 0$  implies that

$$\langle \nabla^\perp E^\perp, \nabla^\perp E^\perp \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (\mu_i[h_k])^2$$

Thus, if  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  is a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that, for  $p \in U$ ,  $\nabla^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k}$ ;  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^\perp E^\perp, \nabla^\perp E^\perp \rangle_p &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (D_i h_k)^2(p) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (\delta_i h_k)^2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} (\delta_i h_k)^2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^m |\delta h_k|^2(p) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in  $M$ , we have

$$(3.2.3) \quad \langle \nabla^\perp E^\perp, \nabla^\perp E^\perp \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^m |\delta h_k|^2$$

Since

$$- \langle \tilde{A}(E^\perp), E^\perp \rangle = - \langle A^{E^\perp}, A^{E^\perp} \rangle$$

$$(3.2.4) \quad - \langle \tilde{A}(E^\perp), E^\perp \rangle = - \sum_{k=1}^m h_k^2 C_k^2 - \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m h_k h_r C_{kr} ,$$

we have (3.2.1) from (3.1.1), (3.2.2), (3.2.3) and (3.2.4)

### 3.3 - PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $\{V^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  be an orthonormal family of parallel fields in the normal bundle of  $M$ . Thus,  $V^{(k)}(y) = V^{(k)}(ty)$  for all  $t$  and  $y \in MnS^{n+m-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Supposing that  $M$  is stable and that  $E$  is the variational field of a variation of  $M$  such that

$$E^\perp = \sum_{k=1}^m h_k V^{(k)} ; h_k \in C_0^1(M) ,$$

we have, from (3.2.1) ,

$$\int_M \left( \sum_{k=1}^m |\delta h_k|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^m h_k C_k^2 - \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m h_k h_r C_{kr} \right) dM \geq 0$$

In particular, if  $h_k = \phi C_k$ ,  $\phi \in C_0^1(M)$  ,

$$\delta h_k = C_k^2 |\delta \phi|^2 + \phi^2 |\delta C_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta \phi^2, \delta C_k^2 \rangle , \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3.1) \quad \int_M \phi^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^m C_k^4 + \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m C_k C_r C_{kr} \right) dM \leq \int_M \left( C^2 |\delta \phi|^2 + \phi^2 \sum_{k=1}^m |\delta C_k|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \delta \phi^2, \delta C^2 \rangle \right) dM$$

which, together with (1.4.1) and (2.3.2), implies

$$(3.3.2) \quad \int_M \phi^2 \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m (C_k C_r - C_{kr}) C_{kr} dM \leq \int_M C^2 |\delta\phi|^2 dM - \int_M \phi^2 \frac{2C^2}{\|x\|^2} dM$$

(we observe that for  $m=1$ , the first side of (3.3.2) is zero)

Now let  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(x) = \phi(ty) = f(t)$  where  $x = ty$ ,  $t = \|x\|$ ,  $y \in M \cap S^{n+m-1}$  and  $f \in C_0^1(0, +\infty)$ .

Thus, given  $p \in M$ , if  $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n+m}$  is a coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  with origin at the vertex of  $M$ , and such that  $v^{(k)}(p) = e_{n+k}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\|p\| e_n = p$ , we have

$$\delta_i \phi(p) = D_i \phi(p) ; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and so,  $\delta_i \phi(p) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$

and  $\delta_n \phi(p) = f'(\|p\|)$

and  $|\delta\phi|^2(p) = (f'(\|p\|))^2$

Thus,

$$(3.3.3) \quad |\delta\phi|^2(x) = |\delta\phi|^2(ty) = (f'(t))^2, \quad \forall x \in M$$

Since

$$(3.3.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} C^2(ty) = \frac{1}{t^2} C^2(y) \\ \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m (C_k C_r - C_{kr}) C_{kr}(ty) = \frac{1}{t^4} \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m (C_k C_r - C_{kr}) C_{kr}(y) \\ dM(ty) = t^{n-1} d\tilde{M}(y) \wedge dt ; \tilde{M} = M \cap S^{n+m-1} \end{array} \right.$$

we have, from (3.3.2), (3.3.3) and (3.3.4),

$$(3.3.5) \quad \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} f^2(t) \frac{t^{n-1}}{t^4} dt \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m (C_k C_r - C_{kr}) C_{kr}(y) \right) d\tilde{M} \leq \\ \leq \int_{\tilde{M}} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} (f'(t))^2 \frac{t^{n-1}}{t^2} - f^2(t) \frac{2t^{n-1}}{t^4} dt \right) C^2(y) d\tilde{M}, \quad \forall f \in C_0^1(0, +\infty)$$

Since  $\int_{\tilde{M}} d\tilde{M} > 0$  and we may

suppose that  $K = \int_{\tilde{M}} \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m (C_k C_r - C_{kr}) C_{kr} d\tilde{M} \geq 0$

(see 3.4), we have, from (3.3.5),

$$(3.3.6) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} [(f'(t))^2 t^{n-3} - f^2(t) 2t^{n-5}] dt \geq 0, \quad \forall f \in C_0^1(0, +\infty)$$

(3.3.6) is still true for  $f(t) = t^\alpha g(t)^\beta$ ,  $t \in (0, +\infty)$  where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta < 0$  and  $g(t) = \max\{t, 1\}$ , if we have

$$(3.3.7) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) t^{n-5} dt < +\infty$$

(see 3.5)

But

$$\int_0^{+\infty} f^2(t) t^{n-1} dt = \int_0^1 t^{n-5+2\alpha} dt + \int_1^{+\infty} t^{n-1+2(\alpha+\beta)} dt < +\infty$$

only if

$$\alpha > \frac{4+n}{2}$$

(3.3.8)

$$\alpha + \beta < \frac{4+n}{2}$$

Therefore, for these values of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha+\beta$ , (3.3.6)

implies

$$(3.3.9) \quad (\alpha^2 - 2) \int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+n-5} dt + ((\alpha+\beta)^2 - 2) \int_1^{+\infty} t^{2(\alpha+\beta)+n-5} dt \geq 0$$

But if  $(\frac{4+n}{2})^2 < 2$ , there is

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \frac{4+n}{2} < \alpha < \sqrt{2}, \quad \text{and } \beta$$

such that  $\alpha+\beta > 0$  and  $-\sqrt{2} < \alpha+\beta < \frac{4+n}{2} < \sqrt{2}$ ,

which satisfy (3.3.8). For these values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we have

$$\alpha^2 - 2 < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha + \beta)^2 - 2 < 0 .$$

and this is incompatible with (3.3.9)

Therefore for those values of  $n$  such that  $(\frac{4+n}{2})^2 < 2$ ,  $M$  cannot be stable. That is, if  $2 \leq n \leq 6$ ,  $M$  is not stable.

3.4 - LEMMA - If  $m \neq 1$ ,  $K \geq 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $a_{kr} = C_k C_r - C_{kr}$  and

$$S_i = \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m \int_{\tilde{M}} a_{kr} \langle A^{V(k)}, A^{V(r)} \rangle d\tilde{M}, \quad i \geq 2$$

By Schwarz inequality we have  $a_{kr} \geq 0$

Thus changing, eventually,  $v^{(2)}$  by  $-v^{(2)}$ , we have

$$S_2 = \int_{\tilde{M}} -a_{12} \langle A^{V(1)}, A^{V(2)} \rangle d\tilde{M} \geq 0$$

Supposing that for a given  $i < m$ ,

$$S_i = \sum_{\substack{kr=1 \\ k \neq r}}^m \int_{\tilde{M}} a_{kr} \langle A^{V(k)}, A^{V(r)} \rangle d\tilde{M} \geq 0,$$

we have

$$S_{i+1} = S_i + \sum_{k=1}^i \int_{\tilde{M}} a_{k(i+1)} \langle A^{V(k)}, A^{V(i+1)} \rangle d\tilde{M}$$

and changing, eventually,  $v^{(i+1)}$  by  $-v^{(i+1)}$  we have  $S_{i+1} \geq 0$ .

The result follows by induction.

3.5 - LEMMA - (3.3.6) is true for  $f(t) = t^\alpha g(t)^\beta$   
 where  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta < 0$  satisfy (3.3.8).

PROOF. Let

$$f_j(t) = \begin{cases} t^\alpha & , \text{ if } \frac{1}{j} \leq t \leq 1 \\ t^{\alpha+\beta} & , \text{ if } 1 \leq t \leq j \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t \notin [\frac{1}{j}, j], j = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$\rho_\epsilon(t) = \epsilon \rho\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)$$

where  $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\rho(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(t) = 0$  if  $|t| \geq 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(t) dt = 1$

Making the convolution of  $f_j$  with  $\rho_\epsilon$  we get

$$f_{j,\epsilon}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\epsilon(t-\mu) f_j(\mu) d\mu$$

$$\text{Moreover, } \frac{d}{dt} f_{j,\epsilon}(t) = f'_{j,\epsilon}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\epsilon(t-\mu) f'_j(\mu) d\mu$$

Since  $f_{j,\epsilon}$  and  $f'_{j,\epsilon}$  converge to  $f_j$  and  $f'_j$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  
 there is a subsequence  $\{f_{j,\epsilon_k}\}$  of  $\{f_j\}$  such that  $\{f_{j,\epsilon_k}\}$  and

$\{f'_{j,\epsilon_k}\}$  converge almost everywhere to  $f_j$  and  $f'_j$ , respectively.

From the boundness everywhere of  $f_j$  and  $f'_j$ , we have, as a consequence of dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} (f_{j,\epsilon_k}(t))^2 t^{n-5} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} (f_j(t))^2 t^{n-5} dt$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{+\infty} (f'_{j,\epsilon_k}(t))^2 t^{n-3} dt = \int_0^{+\infty} (f'_j(t))^2 t^{n-3} dt$$

Therefore, since (3.3.6) is true for any  $f_{j,\epsilon_k}$  we have that (3.3.6) is also true for  $f_j$ . Now the result follows from the monotonic convergence theorem.

### 3.6 - PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m} = \mathbb{R}^{n_1+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_s+1}$ . It is easy to show that  $\tilde{M} = S^{n_1}(r_1) \times \dots \times S^{n_s}(r_s) \subset S^{n+m-1}$  is a minimal submanifold of  $S^{n+m-1}$  and so that  $M$  is a minimal cone in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

Moreover, if  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \tilde{M}$ ,

$$e_0^i = \frac{1}{r_i} (0, \dots, 0, x_i, 0, \dots, 0) ; i = 1, 2, \dots, s$$

$$R_k = \sum_{j=k+1}^s r_j^2 ; R_s = 0 , \text{ and}$$

$$(3.6.1) \quad \tilde{V}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-R_k} \sqrt{1-R_{k+1}}} (r_1 r_{k+1} e_0^1 + \dots + r_k r_{k+1} e_0^k - (1-R_k) e_0^{k+1}) ;$$

k=1,2,\dots,m

is straightforward to show that

- a)  $\{\tilde{V}^{(k)}\}_{1 \leq k \leq m}$  is an orthonormal family of parallel sections in the normal bundle of  $\tilde{M}$  in  $S^{n+m-1}$  ;
- b) With respect to it,  $\tilde{C}_{k\ell} \equiv 0$  if  $k \neq \ell$ ,  $\tilde{C}_k^2 \equiv n-1$  and  $\tilde{C}^2 \equiv m(n-1)$ .

Thus setting  $V^{(k)}(ty) = \tilde{V}^{(k)}(y)$  ;  $y \in \tilde{M}$ ,  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ , we get a family of parallel orthonormal sections in the normal bundle of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ , such that with respect to it we have ,

$$C_k^2(ty) = \frac{1}{t^2} \cdot \tilde{C}_k^2(y) = \frac{n-1}{t^2}$$

$$(3.6.2) \quad C^2(ty) = \frac{\tilde{C}^2(y)}{t^2} = \frac{m(n-1)}{t^2}$$

$$C_{k\ell}(ty) = \frac{1}{t^2} \tilde{C}_{k\ell}(y) = 0, \text{ if } k \neq \ell$$

Now let  $E$  be the variational field of a variation of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  such that  $E^1 = \sum_{k=1}^m h_k V^{(k)}$  , where  $h_k \in C_0^\infty(M)$  .

From (3.2.1) we have :

$$A''(0) = \int_M \sum_{k=1}^m (|\delta h_k|^2 - \frac{C^2}{m} h_k^2) dM = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_M (-h_k \Delta h_k - \frac{C^2}{m} h_k^2) dM$$

The remainder of the proof follows step by step the one made by J. Simons [3, theorems 6.1.1 and 6.1.2, pag 97]

R E F E R E N C E S

[1] - H. Federer - "The singular sets of area minimizing"

Bull. A.M.S. (1970)

[2] - J.B. Lawson - "Lectures on minimal submanifolds" (IMPA)

[3] - J. Simons - "Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds".

Ann. of Math., 88 (1968).

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística  
Universidade de São Paulo - Brasil

TRABALHOS

DO

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA

TITULOS PUBLICADOS

- 8001 - PLETCH, A. Local freeness of profinite groups. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 10p.
- 8002 - PLETCH, A. Strong completeness in profinite groups. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 8p.
- 8003 - CARNIELLI, W.A. & ALCANTARA, L.P. de. Transfinite induction on ordinal configurations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 22p.
- 8004 - JONES RODRIGUES, A.R. Integral representations of cyclic p-groups. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 13p.
- 8005 - CORRADA, M. & ALCANTARA, L.P. de. Notes on many-sorted systems. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. /25/p.
- 8006 - POLCINO MILIES, F.C. & SEHGAL, S.K. FC-elements in a group ring. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. /10/p.
- 8007 - CHEN, C.C. On the Ricci condition and minimal surfaces with constantly curved Gauss map. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 10p.
- 8008 - CHEN, C.C. Total curvature and topological structure of complete minimal surfaces. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 21p.
- 8009 - CHEN, C.C. On the image of the generalized Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in  $R^4$ . São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980. 8p.
- 8110 - JONES RODRIGUES, A.R. Units of  $ZCp^n$ . São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 7p.
- 8111 - KOTAS, J. & COSTA, N.C.A. da. Problems of modal and discussive logics. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 35p.

- 8112 - BRITO, F.B. & CONCALVES, D.L. Algebras não associativas, sistemas diferenciais polinomiais homogêneos e classes características. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 7p.
- 8113 - POLCINO MILIES, F.C. Group rings whose torsion units form a subgroup II. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 1v. (não paginado)
- 8114 - CHEN, C.C. An elementary proof of Calabi's theorems on holomorphic curves. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 5p.
- 8115 - COSTA, N.C.A. da & ALVES, E.H. Relations between paraconsistent logic and many-valued logic. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 2p.
- 8116 - CASTILHA, M.S.A.C. On Przymusiński's theorem. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 6p.
- 8117 - CHEN, C.C. & COFS, C.C. Decorate minimal surfaces in  $P^4$ . São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 21p.
- 8118 - CASTILHA, M.S.A.C. Imagens inversas de algumas aplicações fechadas. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 11p.
- 8119 - ARAGON VALEJO, A.J. & FUEL HILCO, F. An infinite dimensional version of Kato's extension theorem. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 9p.
- 8120 - CONCALVES, J.Z. Groups rings with solvable unit groups. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 15p.
- 8121 - CARNIELLI, W.A. & ALCANTARA, J.P. de. Paraconsistent algebras. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 16p.
- 8122 - GONÇALVES, D.L. Nilpotent actions. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 10p.
- 8123 - COELHO, S.P. Group rings with units of bounded exponent over the center. São Paulo, IMF-USP, 1981. 25p.

- .8124 - PARMENTER, M.M. & POLCINO MILIES, F.C. A note on isomorphic group rings. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 4p.
- .8125 - MERKLEN, H.A. Hereditary algebras with maximum spectra are of finite type. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 10p.
- .8126 - POLCINO MILIES, F.C. Units of group rings: a short survey São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 32p.
- .8127 - CHEN, C.C. & GACKSTATTER, F. Elliptic and hyperelliptic functions and complete minimal surfaces with handles. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 14p.
- .8128 - POLCINO MILIES, F.C. A glance at the early history of group rings. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 22p.
- .8129 - FERRER SANTOS, W.R. Reductive actions of algebraic groups on affine varieties. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 52p.
- .8130 - COSTA, N.C.A. da. The philosophical import of paraconsistent logic. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 26p.
- 8131 - CONÇALVES, D.L. Generalized classes of groups, spaces  $c$ -nilpotent and "the Hurewicz theorem". São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 30p.
- .8132 - COSTA, N.C.A. da & MORTENSEN, Chris. Notes on the theory of variable binding term operators. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 18p.
- .8133 - MERKLEN, H.A. Homogenous  $\ell$ -hereditary algebras with maximum spectra. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 32p.
- 8134 - PERESI, I.A. A note on semiprime generalized alternative algebras. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 10p.
- 8135 - MIRAGLIA NETO, F. On the preservation of elementary equivalence and embedding by filtered powers and structures of stable continuous functions. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 9p.

- 8136 - FIGUEIREDO, G.V.R. Catastrophe theory: some global theory a full proof. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981. 91p.
- 8237 - COSTA, R.C.F. On the derivations of gametic algebras. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 17p.
- 8238 - FIGUEIREDO, G.V.R. de. A shorter proof of the Thom-Zeeman global theorem for catastrophes of cod  $< 5$ . São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 7p.
- 8239 - VELOSO, J.M.M. Lie equations and Lie algebras: the intransitive case. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 97p.
- 8240 - GOES, C.C. Some results about minimal immersions having flat normal bundle. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 37p.
- 8241 - FERRER SANTOS, W.R. Cohomology of comodules II. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 15p.
- 8242 - SOUZA, V.H.G. Classification of closed sets and diffeos of one-dimensional manifolds. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982. 15p.