

Usercentrics

Ferramenta de Consentimento


AIP

The Journal of Chemical Physics

HOME

BROWSE

MORE ▼

[Home](#) > [The Journal of Chemical Physics](#) > [Volume 154, Issue 16](#) > [10.1063/5.0045137](#)


< PREV

NEXT >

 No Access

Submitted: 23 January 2021

Accepted: 12 April 2021

Published Online: 29 April 2021

On the time-dependent electrolyte Seebeck effect

J. Chem. Phys. **154**, 164511 (2021); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0045137>
 André Luiz Sehnem^{1,a)} and  Mathijs Janssen^{2,b)}

View Affiliations



Topics ▼

Correction: [J. Chem. Phys. 154, 209901 \(2021\)](#)


PDF

ABSTRACT

Single-ion Soret coefficients α_i characterize the tendency of ions in an electrolyte solution to move in a thermal gradient. When these coefficients differ between cations and anions, an electric field can be generated. For this so-called electrolyte Seebeck effect to occur, different thermodiffusive fluxes need to be blocked by boundaries—electrodes, for example. Local charge neutrality is then broken in the Debye-length vicinity of the electrodes. Confusingly, many authors point to these regions as the source of the thermoelectric field yet ignore them in derivations of the time-dependent Seebeck coefficient $S(t)$, giving a false impression that the electrolyte Seebeck effect is purely a bulk phenomenon. Without enforcing local electroneutrality, we derive $S(t)$ generated by a binary electrolyte with arbitrary ionic valencies subject to a time-dependent thermal gradient. Next, we experimentally measure $S(t)$ for five acids, bases, and salts near titanium electrodes. For the steady state, we find $S \approx 2 \text{ mV K}^{-1}$ for many electrolytes, roughly one order of magnitude larger than the predictions based on literature α_i . We fit our expression for $S(t)$ to the experimental data, treating the α_i as fit parameters, and also find larger-than-literature values, accordingly.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. C. Everts, S. Kondrat, S. Poulain, and A. Würger for insightful comments on this manuscript and M. Bonetti, S. Nakamae, and M. Roger for inspiring discussions. M.J., moreover, thanks H. Stenmark and A. Carlson for support. A.L.S. acknowledges financial support from research funding agencies CAPES (*Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de* *Nível Superior*—Grant No. 88881.133118/2016-01), Cnpq (*Conselho*



465259/2014-6), FAPESP (*Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo*—Grant Nos. 2014/50983-3 and 2016/24531-3), and INCTFCx (*Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Fluidos Complexos*).

REFERENCES

1. J. N. Agar, “Thermogalvanic cells,” in *Advances in Electrochemistry and Electrochemical Engineering*, edited by P. Delahay (Interscience, New York, 1963), pp. 31–121. [Google Scholar](#)
2. A. Würger, Rep. Prog. Phys. **73**, 126601 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/12/126601>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
3. E. Helfand and J. G. Kirkwood, J. Chem. Phys. **32**, 857 (1960).
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1730808>, [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)
4. E. D. Eastman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **48**, 1482 (1926);
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01417a004>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
E. D. Eastman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **50**, 283 (1928).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01389a007>, , [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
5. H. J. V. Tyrrell, Chem. Commun. **1967**, 456.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/c19670000456>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)



7. J.-L. Lin and M. A. Christenson, *J. Solution Chem.* **2**, 83 (1973).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00645873>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

8. F. Römer, Z. Wang, S. Wiegand, and F. Bresme, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **117**, 8209 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp403862x>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

9. A. L. Sehnem, D. Niether, S. Wiegand, and A. M. Figueiredo Neto, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **122**, 4093 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b01152>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

10. D. R. Caldwell, *J. Phys. Chem.* **77**, 2004 (1973).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/j100635a018>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

11. F. S. Gaeta, G. Perna, G. Scala, and F. Bellucci, *J. Phys. Chem.* **86**, 2967 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100212a032>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

12. J. N. Agar and J. C. R. Turner, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **255**, 307 (1960); <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1960.0070>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
J. N. Agar and J. C. R. Turner, *J. Phys. Chem.* **64**, 1000 (1960).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/j100837a009>, , [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

13. P. N. Snowdon and J. C. R. Turner, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **56**, 1812 (1960);
<https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9605601812>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)



14. D. G. Leaist and L. Hao, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. **90**, 1223 (1994).

<https://doi.org/10.1039/ft9949001223>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

15. J. Colombani, H. Dez, J. Bert, and J. Dupuy-Philon, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 3202 (1998); <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.58.3202>,

[Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

J. Colombani, J. Bert, and J. Dupuy-Philon, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 8622 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.478769>, , [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)

16. J. N. Agar and W. G. Breck, Trans. Faraday Soc. **53**, 167 (1957);

<https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9575300167>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

W. G. Breck and J. N. Agar, Trans. Faraday Soc. **53**, 179 (1957).

<https://doi.org/10.1039/TF9575300179>, , [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

17. C. J. Petit, M. H. Hwang, and J. L. Lin, J. Solution Chem. **17**, 1 (1987).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00651849>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

18. N. Takeyama and K. Nakashima, J. Solution Chem. **17**, 305 (1988).

<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00650412>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

19. S. K. Sanyal and A. K. Mukherjee, Can. J. Chem. **66**, 435 (1988).

<https://doi.org/10.1139/v88-076>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

20. D. Zhao, H. Wang, Z. U. Khan, J. C. Chen, R. Gabrielsson, M. P.



(2010). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0cc00012a>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

21. S. Di Lecce and F. Bresme, J. Phys. Chem. B **122**, 1662 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b10960>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

22. C. Wagner, Ann. Phys. **395**, 629 (1929), Eqs. (28b) and (30b).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19293950506>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

23. Conversely, when Faradaic currents are present, more terms enter S_{late} .^{1,27,34,36–39}

24. E. D. Eastman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **50**, 292 (1928).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01389a008>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

25. R. Haase, Trans. Faraday Soc. **49**, 724 (1953).
<https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9534900724>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

26. K. Nakashima and N. Takeyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61**, 2754 (1992).
<https://doi.org/10.1143/jpsj.61.2754>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

27. M. Bonetti, S. Nakamae, B. T. Huang, T. J. Salez, C. Wiertel-Gasquet, and M. Roger, J. Chem. Phys. **142**, 244708 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4923199>, [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)

28. S. A. Putnam and D. G. Cahill, Langmuir **21**, 5317 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/la047056h>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)



29. D. Vigolo, S. Buzzaccaro, and R. Piazza, *Langmuir* **26**, 7792 (2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1021/la904588s>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
30. A. Majee and A. Würger, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 061403 (2011);
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.83.061403>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
A. Majee and A. Würger, *Soft Matter* **9**, 2145 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C2SM26680F>, , [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
31. K. A. Eslahian, A. Majee, M. Maskos, and A. Würger, *Soft Matter* **10**, 1931 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3sm52779d>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
32. S. L. Kim, J.-H. Hsu, and C. Yu, *Org. Electron.* **54**, 231 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgel.2017.12.021>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
33. S. R. de Groot, *J. Phys. Radium* **8**, 193 (1947).
<https://doi.org/10.1051/jphysrad:0194700807019300>,
[Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
34. M. Bonetti, S. Nakamae, M. Roger, and P. Guenoun, *J. Chem. Phys.* **134**, 114513 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3561735>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Scitation](#), [ISI](#)
-
35. V. Zinovyeva, S. Nakamae, M. Bonetti, and M. Roger,
ChemElectroChem **1**, 426 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/celc.201300074>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)



36. B. T. Huang, M. Roger, M. Bonetti, T. J. Salez, C. Wiertel-Gasquet, E. Dubois, R. Cabreira Gomes, G. Demouchy, G. Mériquet, V. Peyre, M. Kouyaté, C. L. Filomeno, J. Depeyrot, F. A. Tourinho, R. Perzynski, and S. Nakamae, *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 054902 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927665>, [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)

37. T. J. Salez, B. T. Huang, M. Rietjens, M. Bonetti, C. Wiertel-Gasquet, M. Roger, C. L. Filomeno, E. Dubois, R. Perzynski, and S. Nakamae, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 9409 (2017).

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cp01023k>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

38. T. Salez, S. Nakamae, R. Perzynski, G. Mériquet, A. Cebers, and M. Roger, *Entropy* **20**, 405 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3390/e20060405>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

39. K. Bhattacharya, M. Sarkar, T. J. Salez, S. Nakamae, G. Demouchy, F. Cousin, E. Dubois, L. Michot, R. Perzynski, and V. Peyre, *ChemEngineering* **4**, 5 (2020).

<https://doi.org/10.3390/chemengineering4010005>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

40. J. Newman and K. E. Thomas-Alyea, *Electrochemical Systems* (John Wiley & Sons, 2012), pp. 278, 286, 287. [Google Scholar](#)

41. Reference 72 claims Eq. (2) to hold at late instead of at early times.

42. I. Chikina, V. Shikin, and A. A. Varlamov, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 011505 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.86.011505>, [Google Scholar](#),



-
43. Even though local charge neutrality is broken near its boundaries, the system is still *globally* charge neutral, $\int d\mathbf{x} \, q(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.
-
44. R. F. Stout and A. S. Khair, Phys. Rev. E **96**, 022604 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.96.022604>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
45. R. L. Blokhra, Electrochim. Acta **17**, 63 (1972).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686\(72\)85007-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(72)85007-2), [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
46. I. Chikina, V. B. Shikin, and A. A. Varlamov, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 012310 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.92.012310>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
47. A. F. Gunnarshaug, S. Kjelstrup, D. Bedeaux, F. Richter, and O. S. Burheim, Electrochim. Acta **337**, 135567 (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2019.135567>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
48. J. A. Bierlein, J. Chem. Phys. **23**, 10 (1955).
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1740504>, [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)
-
49. S. R. de Groot, Physica **9**, 699 (1942).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-8914\(42\)80036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-8914(42)80036-1), [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-



50. J. N. Agar, Trans. Faraday Soc. **56**, 116 (1960).

<https://doi.org/10.1039/TF9605600776>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

51. M. Janssen and M. Bier, Phys. Rev. E **99**, 042136 (2019).

<https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.99.042136>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)

52. Notably, Agar studied the time-dependent Soret effect in a cell subject to a $\Delta T(t)$ of precisely this form.⁵⁰ His Eq. (4.18) simplifies in our notation to $c(x, t) \rightarrow c(x, t - \tau_{ap})$ for $a/D \rightarrow 0$. Our Eq. (7) is not of this form.

53. H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger, *Conduction of Heat in Solids*, 2nd ed. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1959). [Google Scholar](#)

54. A. Würger, Phys. Rev. Res. **2**, 042030 (2020).

<https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.2.042030>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)

55. R. Mills and V. M. M. Lobo, in *Self-Diffusion in Electrolyte Solutions: A Critical Examination of Data Compiled from the Literature* (Elsevier, 2013), Chap. 3, pp. 314–319. [Google Scholar](#)

56. $V_T = V_{T,1}[1 - \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{dif}}^{\text{exp}})]$ —with one fewer parameter—fits poorly. Physically, this makes sense as this function cannot give different S_{early} .



57. M. Janssen and M. Bier, Phys. Rev. E **97**, 052616 (2018).

58. M. S. Kilic, M. Z. Bazant, and A. Ajdari, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 021503 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.75.021503>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
59. L. G. Leal, *Advanced Transport Phenomena: Fluid Mechanics and Convective Transport Processes* (Cambridge University Press, 2007),
p. 853. [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
60. P. F. Salazar, S. Kumar, and B. A. Cola, J. Appl. Electrochem. **44**, 325
(2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-013-0638-y>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
61. Y. Levin, A. P. dos Santos, and A. Diehl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 257802
(2009). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.103.257802>,
[Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)
-
62. R. Kjellander and S. Marčelja, J. Chem. Phys. **82**, 2122 (1985).
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.448350>, [Google Scholar](#), [Scitation](#), [ISI](#)
-
63. K. R. Bickel, A. E. Timm, D. Nattland, and R. Schuster, Langmuir **30**,
9085 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1021/la404692u>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)
-
64. T. de Andrade, A. L. Alexe-Ionescu, G. Saracco, and G. Barbero, J.
Appl. Phys. **119**, 095305 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943171>,



65. References 1 and 25 already studied such reactions. Their results may be rederived without assuming local charge neutrality, as in Sec. II. Recent work^{35,37,47,59} that accounts for redox reactions does so for added redox couples, not for the type of electrolytes that we studied here.

66. M. A. Rodríguez, JOM **66**, 503 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-014-0873-7>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)

67. S. Bousiadi and I. Lelidis, Phys. Lett. A **382**, 127 (2018).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.10.050>, [Google Scholar](#),
[Crossref](#)

68. Y. Okazaki, Materials **12**, 3466 (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12213466>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

69. $D_{\pm}$ values in Table I hold for the infinite dilution limit. In dilute electrolytes, D_i can be roughly 10% smaller.⁵⁴

70. S. Di Lecce, T. Albrecht, and F. Bresme, Sci. Rep. **7**, 44833 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44833>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

71. P. F. Salazar, S. T. Stephens, A. H. Kazim, J. M. Pringle, and B. A. Cola, J. Mater. Chem. A **2**, 20676 (2014).
<https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta04749d>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)



H. Kazim, S. Fang, C. Haines, D. Al-Masri, B. A. Cola, J. M. Razal, J. Di, S. Beirne, D. R. MacFarlane, A. Gonzalez-Martin, S. Mathew, Y. H. Kim, G. Wallace, and R. H. Baughman, *Adv. Mater.* **29**, 1605652 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201605652>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

73. C.-G. Han, X. Qian, Q. Li, B. Deng, Y. Zhu, Z. Han, W. Zhang, W. Wang, S.-P. Feng, G. Chen, and W. Liu, *Science* **368**, 1091 (2020).
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5045>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

74. A. L. Sehnem, A. M. Figueiredo Neto, R. Aquino, A. F. C. Campos, F. A. Tourinho, and J. Depeyrot, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 042311 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.92.042311>, [Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

75. M. Reichl, M. Herzog, A. Götz, and D. Braun, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 198101 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.112.198101>,
[Google Scholar](#), [Crossref](#)

© 2021 Author(s). Published under license by AIP Publishing.

**Journal of
Mathematical Physics**



YOUR RESEARCH
Belongs Here



AUTHOR

LIBRARIAN

ADVERTISER

General Information

ABOUT

CONTACT

HELP

PRIVACY POLICY

TERMS OF USE

FOLLOW AIP PUBLISHING:



Website © 2021 AIP Publishing LLC.

Article copyright remains as
specified within the article.

Scitation

