

RT-MAE-8609

CONTINUOUS - TIME MARKOV MODELS FOR
FINITE POPULATIONS

by

J. Rodrigues, H. Bolfarine

and

J. G. Leite

Palavras Chaves: Continuous - time Markov Chain, superpopulation
(Key words) model, equilibrium probability, finite
populations

Classificação AMS: 62D05
(AMS Classification)

CONTINUOUS - TIME MARKOV MODELS FOR FINITE POPULATIONS

Josemar Rodrigues, Heleno Bolfarine and José Galvão Leite

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de
São Paulo, Caixa Postal nº 20570 (Agência Iguatemi),
São Paulo, Brasil.

Summary

Thomsen (1981) and Rodrigues et al. (1985), under a discrete-time Markov chain superpopulation model, considered filtering problems to predict the population total of elements having a specific characteristic. The predictor they suggested makes little real use of the Markov theory. In this paper, procedures for predicting problems to predict the population total, under a continuous-time Markov chain superpopulation model, are considered by using Kalbfleisch and Lawless' (1985) results. A new continuous Markov predictor (CMP) and a particular and intuitive version of it, based on the auxiliary variables available and on equilibrium probabilities, are studied. An empirical study for predicting problems of the population total shows that the CMP is substantially better than the one considered by Thomsen (1981).

Key words: continuous-time Markov chain, superpopulation model, equilibrium probability, finite populations.

1. Introduction

Methods for filtering problems to predict the population total under a discrete-time Markov chain have been

considered by Thomsen (1981) and Rodrigues et al. (1985). An optimal independent-time predictor was obtained.

In this paper, we consider "panel data" in which the observations consist of the states occupied by the individuals under study at a sequence of discrete times t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m . Filtering is predicting the population total at time $t = t_m$, given known auxiliary variables at time $t_0 = 0$ and the panel data at time t_m , while predicting is to predict the population total at time $t > t_m$, given known auxiliary variables at time $t_0 = 0$ and the panel data at times t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m . The predicting problems are concerned with predicting futures values of the population total. In this paper, under a continuous-time Markov chain, a dependent-time predictor is proposed for predicting problems. In this case, as simulation studies shows, the predictor suggested is substantially better than the one considered by Thomsen (1981). In a special case, based on the auxiliary variables available and on equilibrium probabilities, an intuitive version of the CMP is considered.

The population is denoted by $P = \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\mathbb{P} = [0, \infty]$ is the time-support region. Let $\bar{P} = P - \{0\}$. Associated with $u \in P$ at time $t \in \mathbb{P}$, there is a random quantity denoted by $Y_{uj}(t)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, where

$$(1) \quad Y_{uj}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } Y_u(t) = j \\ 0 & \text{iff } Y_u(t) \neq j \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$\{Y_u(t), t \in \mathbb{P}\}$ denotes the continuous-time Markov chain associated with the state occupied by individual u at time t . Note from (1)

that $\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{uj}(t) = 1, u \in P, t \in P$. As in Thomsen (1981) $\{Y_{uj}(0), u \in P, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ are known auxiliary variables and $\{Y_{uj}(t), t \in \bar{P}, u \in P\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are unknown quantities. Let $Q(t, \theta)$ the $k \times k$ transition intensity matrix with entries $q_{ij}(t, \theta)$ (see Cox and Miller, 1965), where $\theta' = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_b)$ is an unknown vector of parameters. This paper is concerned with time-homogeneous processes, that is, $q_{ij}(t, \theta) = q_{ij}(\theta), i, j = 1, \dots, k$. In this case, the process is stationary and the transition probabilities are given by

$$(2) \quad P_{ij}(t, \theta) = P[Y_u(t+v) = j | Y_u(v) = i], \quad v, t \in P$$

and $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. It is assumed the homogeneity of each transition probability for all members of the population. Estimation of the transition intensity parameters of a continuous-time Markov chain based on panel data has recently been considered by Kalbfleisch and Lawless (1985). In this paper, their approach is used to obtain a maximum likelihood estimator of θ .

2. Continuous-time Markov Superpopulation Model

If for a given θ , $Q(\theta)$ has distinct eigenvalues $d_1(\theta), \dots, d_k(\theta)$, it is well known (see Kalbfleisch and Lawless, 1985) that the transition probabilities (2) may be computed as

$$(3) \quad P_{ij}(t, \theta) = A_i'(\theta) W(t, \theta) \bar{A}_j(\theta), \quad \text{where } A_i'(\theta) \text{ is the}$$

i -th row of $A(\theta)$, the $k \times k$ matrix whose columns are the right

eigenvectors of $Q(\theta)$, $\bar{A}_j(\theta)$ is the j -th column of $A^{-1}(\theta)$ and

$$W(t, \theta) = \text{diag}(e^{d_1(\theta)t}, \dots, e^{d_k(\theta)t}).$$

Let $\underline{Y}'_u(0) = (Y_{u1}(0), \dots, Y_{uk}(0))$ the known vector of auxiliary variables for all $u \in P$. Under the model (1), the continuous-time Markov superpopulation model is defined by

$$(4) \quad E[Y_{uh}(t) | \underline{Y}_u(0)] = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ui}(0) A_i'(\theta) W(t, \theta) \bar{A}_h(\theta),$$

and

$$V[Y_{uh}(t) | \underline{Y}_u(0)] = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ui}(0) A_i'(\theta) W(t, \theta) \bar{A}_h(\theta) \cdot [1 - A_i'(\theta) W(t, \theta) \bar{A}_h(\theta)]$$

for a fixed h , $u \in P$ and $t \in \bar{P}$. The model (4) is an extension of Thomsen's model for continuous-time Markov chain. (For more details of the above model for the filtering problems, see Thomsen, 1981). We now select a random sample of n elements, of P , $s(t) = s$, and observe it at times $0, t_1, \dots, t_m$. Our problem consists, based on s and the model (4), in predicting the number of elements having a specific characteristic, $T_h(t) = \sum_{u=1}^N Y_{uh}(t)$, at a future time $t > t_m$. Sometimes, it may be of interest to predict $T_h = \int_0^T T_h(t) dt$, (T known), as considered by Bartlett (1985).

3. Continuous-time Markov Predictors (CMP).

For predicting $T_h(t)$, $t > t_m$, we suggest the following simple CMP:

$$(5) \quad \hat{T}_{CMP,h}(t) = \sum_{u=1}^k \hat{Y}_{uh}(t), \text{ where}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{uh}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ui}(0) A_i'(\hat{\theta}) W(t, \hat{\theta}) \bar{A}_h(\hat{\theta}), \text{ and } \hat{\theta} \text{ is the}$$

MLE of θ obtained by using Kalbfleisch and Lawless' procedure (1985). Observe that $\hat{T}_{\text{CMP},h}(t)$ may be written as

$$(6) \quad \hat{T}_{\text{CMP},h}(t) = N \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{N_i(0)}{N} A'_i(\hat{\theta}) W(t, \hat{\theta}) \bar{A}_j(\hat{\theta}), \quad \text{where}$$

$$N_i(0) = \sum_{u=1}^N Y_{ui}(0). \quad \text{In the filtering problem the}$$

predictor considered by Thomsen (1981) at time t_m is given by

$$(7) \quad \hat{T}_h(t_m) = N \sum_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{N_i(0)}{N} \frac{\sum_{u \in s} Y_{ui}(0) Y_{uh}(t_m)}{\sum_{u \in s} Y_{ui}(0)} \right]. \quad \text{The}$$

predictor (7) predicts the total number of elements having the characteristic h at time t_m based on the data $\{Y_{uh}(t_m), u \in s\}$ and $\{Y_{ui}(0), u \in P, i = 1, \dots, k\}$. It is optimal in Royal's sense (1970).

In explaining the predictor $\hat{T}_{\text{CMP},h}(t)$ to a client, it sometimes helps if the predictor has an intuitive and simple form. This motivate us to explore in this paper the possibility of creating a simple and intuitive version of the CMP at time t . Following this idea, we now introduce two intuitive definitions:

Definition 3.1. A state h is equally accessible iff $q_{ih}(\theta) = \lambda$, for all $i \neq h$, where λ is a positive component of the vector θ . Let π' a $1 \times k$ equilibrium probability vector, that is, $\pi'Q(\theta) = 0$, where $\pi' = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$ (see Cox and Miller, 1965).

Theorem 3.1. If a state h is equally accessible, then the h -th

component of π' is given by

$$\pi_h = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - q_{hh}(\theta)}, \text{ for any } \pi.$$

Proof: Since $\pi'Q(\theta) = 0$, it follows that $\lambda \sum_{j \neq h} \pi_j + \pi_h q_{hh} = 0$.
From the above equation the result follows trivially.

Definition 3.2. If a state h is equally accessible, a Simple Markov Predictor (SMP) of $T_h(t)$ is defined by

$$(8) \quad \hat{T}_{SMP,h}(t) = N[a(t, \hat{\theta}) \frac{N_h(0)}{N} + (1 - a(t, \hat{\theta})) \hat{\pi}_h],$$

where $\hat{\pi}_h = \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\hat{\lambda} - q_{hh}(\hat{\theta})}$ and $a(t, \theta)$ is a known weighted function of $t \in \bar{P}$ for each fixed θ such that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & 0 < a(t, \theta) \leq 1, \quad \forall t \in \bar{P}, \quad \forall \theta, \\ (ii) \quad & a(0, \theta) = 1, \quad \forall \theta, \\ (iii) \quad & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t, \theta) = 0, \quad \forall \theta. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) emphasize very clearly the role of the Markov theory in our problem.

Theorem 3.2. If a state h is equally accessible, then

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \hat{T}_{CMP,h}(t) = \hat{T}_{SMP,h}(t), \quad \text{and} \\ (ii) \quad & a(t, \theta) = e^{-(\lambda - q_{hh}(\theta))t} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: If a state h is equally accessible, it follows from the Kolmogorov's equations that

$$(11) \quad \frac{dp_{ih}(t)}{dt} = p_{ih}(t) q_{hh} + \lambda \sum_{\substack{r=1 \\ r \neq h}}^k p_{ir}(t) = (q_{hh} - \lambda) p_{ih}(t) + \lambda, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

It can be shown that the differential equations (11) have solutions given by

$$(12) \quad p_{ih}(t) = \pi_h (1 - e^{-(\lambda - q_{hh})t}), \quad i \neq h \\ = \pi_h + (1 - \pi_h) e^{-(\lambda - q_{hh})t}, \quad i = h.$$

Let $p_0(i) = P\{Y_u(0) = i\} = \frac{N_i(0)}{N}$. Then, after some algebraic simplifications it follows that

$$(13) \quad P\{Y_u(t) = h\} = \sum_{i=1}^N p_0(i) p_{ih}(t) = p_0(h) e^{-(\lambda - q_{hh})t} + \pi_h (1 - e^{-(\lambda - q_{hh})t}),$$

from where (10) follows.

Remarks.

1. If $q_{ih}(\theta) = \lambda = 0$, for all $i \neq h$ (h fixed), it is not difficult to see from (11) that

$$(14) \quad \hat{T}_{SMP,h}(t) = N_h(0) e^{q_{hh}(\hat{\theta})t}. \quad \text{In this case, it is}$$

interesting to observe two particular situations:

(i) If $q_{hh}(\theta) = 0$, then $\hat{T}_{SMP,h}(t) = N_h(0)$ for $\forall t \in \bar{P}$.

(ii) If $q_{hh}(\theta) < 0$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_{SMP,h}(t) = 0$.

2. Let $P(t)$ be the $k \times k$ transition probability matrix and $\hat{P}(t_m)$ the $k \times k$ empirical transition probability matrix with entries

$$\hat{P}_{ij}(t_m) = \frac{\sum_{u \in S} Y_{ui}(0) Y_{uj}(t_m)}{\sum_{u \in S} Y_{ui}(0)}$$

Consider the filtering problem, $t = t_m$. If $\hat{P}(t_m) = e^{Qt_m}$ admits a solution $\hat{Q} = Q(\hat{\theta})$ (see Kalbfleisch and Lawless, (1985)), then the CMP (6) coincides with Thomsen's predictor (7).

4. Some Illustrative Examples

In this section we discuss two examples to illustrate the performance of the CMP considered in Section 3.

Example 4.1. Consider a two-state continuous Markov process discussed by Kalbfleisch and Lawless (1985) with

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & -\beta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta > 0 \text{ and } \theta' = (\alpha, \beta).$$

Let n_{ij} the number of individuals observed in state i at t_{l-1} and j at t_l , $l = 1, \dots, m$, $n_{ij.} = \sum_{l=1}^m n_{ijl}$, $n_{i..} = \sum_{j=1}^k n_{ij.}$, and

$\bar{p}_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij}}{n_{i..}}$. If $t_l - t_{l-1} = \omega$, $l = 1, \dots, m$ and $0 < \bar{p}_{12} + \bar{p}_{21} < 1$,

the SMP (10) with $h = 1$ can be written as

$$(15) \quad \hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t) = N(e^{-\hat{\psi}t} \frac{N_1(0)}{N} + (1 - e^{-\hat{\psi}t}) \hat{\pi}_1),$$

where,

$$\psi = \alpha + \beta, \quad \hat{\psi} = -\frac{1}{\omega} \log[1 - \bar{p}_{12} - \bar{p}_{21}]$$

$$\pi_1 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}, \quad \hat{\pi}_1 = \frac{\bar{p}_{21}}{\bar{p}_{12} + \bar{p}_{21}} \text{ (see Kalbfleisch and Lawless,}$$

(1985), for more details). If $\bar{p}_{12} + \bar{p}_{21} \geq 1$, then $\hat{\psi} = \infty$ and

$$\hat{\pi}_1 = \frac{n_{12} + n_{22}}{n_{...}}, \text{ where } n_{...} = \sum_{i,j} n_{ij}. \text{ So, } \hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t) = N \cdot \frac{n_{12} + n_{22}}{n_{...}}.$$

To illustrate the performance of the SMP (15), we consider data simulated from an ergodic two-state Markov chain with transition probabilities given by $p_{11} = 0.7$ and $p_{21} = 0.3$. The initial probabilities were taken to be $p_0(1) = 0.7$ and $p_0(2) = 0.3$. From a population with $N = 500$, 200 were observed in consecutive times, $t_0 = 0$, t_1 and t_2 . It is intended to predict the number of individuals in state 1 at some specified time $t > t_2$. Table 1 presents estimates of the MSE of $\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$ and $\hat{T}_1(t_2)$, based on 100 samples for several values of t and ω .

Table 1. Estimated mean squared error under the continuous-time Markov chain superpopulation model based on 100 random samples with $m = 2$.

Table 1

t		$\omega = 0.45$	$\omega = 0.75$
2.0	$\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$	13	94
	$\hat{T}_1(t_2)$	132	132
3.0	$\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$	142	196
	$\hat{T}_1(t_2)$	445	445
3.75	$\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$	136	159
	$\hat{T}_1(t_2)$	445	445

Note that $\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$ is substantially better than $\hat{T}_1(t_2)$ if one is interested in predicting $\hat{T}_1(t)$ for $t > t_2$ ($t_2 = 0.9; 1.5$).

Example 4.2. In this study, a homogeneous Markov process was generated according to the transition intensity matrix

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -0.3 & 0.3 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0 & 0.7 & -0.7 \end{bmatrix}$$

The initial probabilities were taken to be $p_0(1) = 0.6$, $p_0(2) = 0.25$ and $p_0(3) = 0.15$. In the case where $t_\ell - t_{\ell-1} = 1.0$, it follows that the transition probabilities corresponding to Q above are given by $p_{11} = 0.74$, $p_{12} = 0.21$, $p_{13} = 0.05$, $p_{21} = 0.0$, $p_{22} = 0.66$, $p_{23} = 0.34$, $p_{31} = 0.0$, $p_{32} = 0.39$ and $p_{33} = 0.61$. Estimates of the MSE of $\hat{T}_{CMP,h}(t)$ and $\hat{T}_h(t)$, $h = 1, 2$, based on 100 samples of size 300, $\sum_1^{100} (\hat{T}_{CMP,h}(t) - T_h(t))^2 / 100$, $\sum_1^{100} (\hat{T}_h(t_2) - T_h(t))^2 / 100$, are presented in Table 2 below for $t = 3, 4$, and 5. The

samples were generated at times $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 1.0$ and $t_2 = 2.0$, and the population size was taken to be 600.

Table 2. Estimated mean squared error under the continuous-time Markov chain superpopulation model based on 100 random samples with $m = 2$.

h		$t = 3.0$	$t = 4.0$	$t = 5.0$
1	$\hat{T}_{SMP,1}(t)$	207	203	175
	$\hat{T}_1(t_2)$	2893	8290	14144
2	$\hat{T}_{CMP,2}(t)$	363	489	486
	$\hat{T}_2(t_2)$	767	1698	2596

References:

- Bartlett, R.F. (1986), Estimating the Total of a Continuous Population, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 13, 51-66.
- Cox, D.R., and Miller, H.D. (1965), *The Theory of Stochastic Processes*, London: Methuen.
- Kalbfleisch, J.D. and Lawless, J.F. (1985), The Analysis of Panel Data Under a Markov Assumption, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, V.80, n9 392, 863-870.
- Rodrigues, J., Bolfarine, H. and Rogatko, A. (1985), A General Theory of Prediction in Finite Population, *International Statistic Review*, 53, 3, 239-254.
- Royall, R.M., (1970), On finite population sampling theory under certain regression models. *Biometrika* 57, 377-388.
- Thomsen, I. (1981), The use of Markov chain models in sampling from finite population. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 8, 1-9.

RELATÓRIO TÉCNICO

DO

DEPARTAMENTO DE ESTATÍSTICA

TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

- 7901 - BORGES, W. de S. On the limiting distributios of the failure time of composite material. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1979, 22p.
- 7902 - GALVES, A.; LEITE, J.G.; ROUSSIGNOL, M. The invariance principle for the one-dimensional symmetric simple exclusion process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1979, 9p.
- 8001 - MENTZ, R.P. et al. Exploratory fitting of autoregressive and moving average models to well-behaved time series data. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980, 16p.
- 8002 - MORETTIN, P.A. Walsh spectral analysis. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980, 27p.
- 8003 - RODRIGUES, J. Robust estimation and finite population. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980, 13p.
- 8004 - BORGES, W. de S. & RODRIGUES, F.W. On the axiomatic theory of multistate coherent structures. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980, 10p.
- 8005 - MORETTIN, P.A. A central limit theorem for stationary processes. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1980, 5p.
- 8101 - DANTAS, C.A.B. & COLUCCI, E. A Simulation program for emergency services-II, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 14p.
- 8102 - ANDJEL, E.D. Invariant measures for the zero range process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 55p.
- 8103 - ANDJEL, E.D. The asymmetric simple exclusion process on Z^d . São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 13p.

- 8104 - MORETTIN, P.A. & TOLOI, C.M.C., Accuracy of forecasting with special reference to the Box-Jenkins and Bayesian Methodologies. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 41p.
- 8105 - PINO, F.A. & MORETTIN, P.A., Intervention analysis applied to Brazilian coffee and milk time series. São Paulo .IME-USP. 1981, 36p.
- 8106 - BORGES, W.S. & RODRIGUES, J., Testing for new better than used in expectation. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 7p.
- 8107 - FAHMY, S.; PEREIRA, C.A.B.; PROSCHAN, F., The influence of the sample on the posterior distribution. São Paulo, IME-
- 8108 - PERES, C.A., Asymptotic efficiency of the likelihood ratio conditional test for multinomial distributions. São Paulo IME-USP, 1981, 29p.
- 8109 - PERES, C.A., Testing the effect of blocking in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 14p.
- 8110 - BASU, D. & PEREIRA, C.A.B., On the Bayesian analysis fo categorical data: the problem of nonresponse. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1981, 13p.
- 8201 - BASU, D. & PEREIRA, C.A.B., Conditional independence in statistics. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 37p.
- 8202 - BASU, D. & PEREIRA, C.A.B., A note on Blackwell sufficiency and a Skibinsky characterization of distributions. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 12p.
- 8203 - PERES, C.A., On the interpretation of the parameters of the quadratic model for cell survival after irradiation. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 22p.
- 8204 - GALVES, A., et al. Rescaling the stirring process. São Paulo IME-USP, 1982, 23p.

- 8205 - RODRIGUES, J., On the asymptotic theory for the fixed size confidence ellipsoids. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 14p.
- 8206 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. & RODRIGUES, J., Robust linear prediction in finite populations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 14p.
- 8207 - MORETTIN, P.A., Walsh-Fourier transforms. São Paulo, IME-USP 1982, 15p.
- 8208 - PERES, C.A. & MORETTIN, P.A., Building bridges between the academic and real worlds-some observations from South America. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982 16p.
- 8209 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. & ROGATKO, A., The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium under a Bayesian perspective. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 16p.
- 8210 - MORETTIN, P.A., The Levinson algorithm and its applications in time series analysis. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 16p.
- 8211 - RODRIGUES, J., A Note on Maximized and Conditional Likelihood Functions. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1982, 9p.
- 8301 - PEREIRA, C.A.B., Stopping rules and conditional inference in 2x2 contigence tables. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 7p.
- 8302 - BOLFARINE, H., PEREIRA, C.A.B. & RODRIGUES, J., Robust Linear Prediction in Finite Populations: A Bayesian Perspective. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 21p.
- 8303 - MORETTIN, P.A. et al., Rainfall at Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil Revisited. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 33p.
- 8304 - MORETTIN, P.A. & TOLOI, C.M.C., Evaluation of Forecasting Procedures: A Case Study. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 30p.
- 8305 - PERES, C.A., et al., Educating and training undergraduate applied statisticians. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 13p.
- 8306 - PEREIRA, C.A.B., & LINDLEY, D.V., Examples Questioning the Use of Partial Likelihood. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 10p.
- 8307 - MORETTIN, P.A. et al., Statistics in South America. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 10p.

- 8308 - LINDLEY, D.V., Royal Statistical Society 150th Anniversary.
São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 19p.
- 8309 - ANDJEL, E.D., Invariant Measures and Long Time Behaviour of
the Smoothing Process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 25p.
- 8310 - BOLFARINE, H. et al., A General Theory of Prediction in Fi-
nite Populations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1983, 42p.
- 8401 - BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J., Characterization of Alterna-
tive Models for Robust Linear Prediction in Finite Popu-
lations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 12p.
- 8402 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. et al., Inversão de Condicionamento. São Pau-
lo, IME-USP, 1984, 30p.
- 8403 - BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J., On Bayesian Prediction of the
Population Variance in Finite Populations. São Paulo, IME-
-USP, 1984, 21p.
- 8404 - ZACKS, S., Bayes Sequential Estimation of the Size of a Fi-
nite Population. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 23p.
- 8405 - ROGATKO, A. et al., Bayesian Method for the Estimation of Pe-
netrance: Application to Mandibulofacial and Fronto-Nasal
Dysostoses. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 67p.
- 8406 - SHIBATA, R., Identification and Selection of ARMA models. São
Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 17p.
- 8407 - MORETTIN, P.A. & MESQUITA, A.R., A Phase Angle Test for Perio-
dic Components in Time Series. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984,
27p.
- 8408 - SHIBATA, R., Selection of Regression Variables. São Paulo;IME
-USP, 1984, 11p.
- 8409 - ESTON, V.R. et al., Chthamalus Bissinuatus (Cirripedia) and
Brachidontes Solisianus (Bivalvia) Spatial Interactions: A
Stochastic Model. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 32p.
- 8410 - PÍNO, F.A. & MORETTIN, P.A., Forecasting Linear Combinations
of Time Series. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 30p.

- 8411 - SCHONMANN, R.H., Metastability for the Contact Process, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 29p.
- 8412 - SCHONMANN, R.H., Central Limit Theorem for the Contact Process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 10p.
- 8413 - ANDRADE, D.F. & BOLFARINE, H., Estimation in Covariance Components Models with Unequal Intraclass Variances. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1984, 10p.
- 8501 - RODRIGUES, J. et al., The EM-Algorithm for Finding the ML-Predictor for Finite Populations in Two-Stage Sampling. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 15p.
- 8502 - BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J., A Missing Value Approach to the Prediction Problem in Finite Populations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 16p.
- 8503 - SCHONMANN, R., A New Proof of the Complete Convergence Theorem for Contact Processes in Several Dimensions with Large Infection Parameter. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 11p.
- 8504 - ZACKS, S. & RODRIGUES, J., A Note on the EM-Algorithm for Maximum Likelihood Estimation in Sampling from a Finite Population with a Multinormal Superpopulation Model. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 5p.
- 8505 - ANDJEL, E.D., Convergence to a non Extremal Equilibrium Measure in the Exclusion Process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 15p.
- 8506 - IRONY, T.Z. & PEREIRA, C.A.B., Exact Tests for Equality of Two Proportions: Fisher x Bayes. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 30p.
- 8507 - SCHONMANN, R.H. & VARES, M.E., The Survival of the Large Dimensional Basic Contact Process. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 14p.
- 8508 - ACHCAR, J.A., Modelos de Regressão com Dados Censurados. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 18p.

- 509 - ACHCAR, J.A. & BOLFARINE, H., Use of Accurate Approximations for Posterior Densities in Regression Models with Censored data. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 21p.
- 510 - SINGER, J.M. & SEN, P.K., M - Methods in Growth Curve Analysis. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 17p.
- 511 - BOLFARINE, H. & SANDOVAL, M.C., The Linear Least Squares Prediction Approach to Populations with Trend. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 13p.
- 512 - PERES, C.A. & NARULA, S.C., The Quadratic Model for Cell Survival After Irradiation: A New Interpretation of the Parameters. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 15p.
- 513 - PERES, C.A. & NARULA, S.C., A New Derivation of the Quadratic Dose-Response Model for Cell Survival in Radiobiological Studies. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 25p.
- 514 - PERES, C.A. & NARULA, S.C., A Simple Procedure to Determine the Parameters of a Quadratic Dose-Response Model. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 15p.
- 515 - FERRARI, P.A. & GOLDSTEIN, S., Microscopic Stationary States for Stochastic Systems with Particle Flux. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 32p.
- 516 - RODRIGUES, J., Some Shrunken Predictors in Finite Populations with a Multinormal Superpopulation Model. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 12p.
- 517 - ACHCAR, J.A. & BOLFARINE, H., A Bayesian Analysis of The Log-linear Model with one Covariate and a Generalized / Gamma Distribution for the Error. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 27p.
- 518 - SINGER, J.M. et al.; Tests for the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 10p.
- 519 - BOLFARINE, H., Some Shrinkage Techniques for Predicting the Population Total in Finite Populations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 14p.

- 8520 - BUENO, U.C.; A Model in Negative Dependence Through Stochastic Ordering Using Order Statistics. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 21p.
- 8521 - PAULINO, C.D.M.; Um Ensaio sobre Identificabilidade de Modelos Estatísticos Paramétricos. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 80p.
- 8522 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. & PERICCHI, L.R.; Analysis of Diagnosability. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1985, 44p.
- 8601 - GALVES, A., OLIVIERI, E., VARES, M.E.; Metastability for a Class of Dynamical Systems Subject to Small Random Perturbations. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 15p.
- 8602 - BOLFARINE, H., ACHCAR, J.A.; Predictive Densities in Survival Analysis with a Generalized Gamma Regression Model, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 22p.
- 8603 - RODRIGUES, J., BOLFARINE, J.; A Kalman Filter Model for Single and Two-Stage, Repeated Surveys. São Paulo, IME-USP, 22p.
- 8604 - MORETTIN, P.A., PINO, F.A., MENTZ, R.P.; Modelling and Forecasting Linear Combinations of Time Series, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 49p.
- 8605 - RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; Some Asymptotic Results on Generalized Regression Predictors in Survey Sampling. São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 23p.
- 8606 - ACHCAR, J.A., BOLFARINE, H., AND PERICCHI, L.; Transformation of survival data to an extreme value distribution, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 27p.

- 07 - RODRIGUES, J.; The Coordinate - Free Prediction in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 19p.
- 08 - BUENO, V. C.; Importance of Components for Multistate Monotone Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1986, 27p.