

RT-MAT 98-08

**Some Remarks on the Spectrum of  
Sub-Riemannian Symmetric Spaces**

Elisha Falbel  
Claudio Gorodski

**Março 1998**

Esta é uma publicação preliminar ("preprint").

# Some Remarks on the Spectrum of Sub-Riemannian Symmetric Spaces

Elisha Falbel  
Claudio Gorodski

March 23, 1998

## 0 Introduction

There has been considerable interest in computing the spectrum of the Laplace operator acting both on functions and on differential forms for a compact Riemannian manifold, see e. g. [12, 2, 9, 22, 18, 24]. The natural method for such computations in Lie groups and homogeneous spaces essentially uses the Peter-Weyl theorem and the Frobenius reciprocity law to reduce the problem to a representation-theoretic one, namely, one wants to know: *how does an irreducible representation of a compact Lie group decompose into irreducible summands when restricted to a smaller subgroup?* (“branching law”). Once that is solved, one can compute the eigenvalues from Freudenthal’s formula and the multiplicities from Weyl’s dimension formula. But generally branchings laws are not easy to find.

The purpose of this note is to examine the corresponding problem in the sub-Riemannian setting. Let  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  be a contact sub-Riemannian manifold, that is,  $M$  is a smooth  $2n + 1$ -dimensional manifold,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a contact distribution of hyperplanes in  $M$  (a subbundle of  $TM$ ) and  $g$  is a positive definite metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Associated to  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$ , there is a sub-elliptic operator of the simplest kind, its sub-Laplacian. This operator has been defined and studied by a number of authors (see e. g. [20, 14, 19, 10, 1]). We determine

its eigenvalues acting on functions on the odd-dimensional spheres and on the real rank two Stiefel manifolds.

## 1 The sub-Riemannian Laplace operator on a sub-Riemannian manifold

Let  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  be a contact sub-Riemannian (sR) manifold, that is,  $M$  is a smooth  $2n + 1$ -dimensional manifold,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a contact distribution of hyperplanes in  $M$  (a subbundle of  $TM$ ) and  $g$  is a positive definite metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Set  $\dim M = 2n + 1$  and let  $dV$  be the volume form of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The normalized contact form is the unique differential 1-form  $\theta$  such that  $\ker \theta = \mathcal{D}$  and  $(d\theta|_{\mathcal{D}})^n = n! 2^n dV$ . Since  $d\theta$  has maximal rank, there is a unique vector field  $\xi$  on  $M$  such that  $\theta(\xi) = 1$  and  $\iota_{\xi}d\theta = 0$ . It is called the *characteristic vector field*. Note that the sR metric  $g$  has a natural extension to a Riemannian metric on  $M$  by setting  $\xi$  to be orthonormal to  $\mathcal{D}$ . In particular, there is a canonical volume form defined on  $M$ .

Let the operator  $d_D$  be defined on  $C^\infty(M)$  as the usual differential of functions followed by the projection  $\Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)/\langle \theta \rangle$ , and consider the sR connection  $\nabla$  (see [8, 5]). The (real) *sR Laplace operator* (or *sub-Laplacian*, for short) on functions is the second order linear sub-elliptic<sup>1</sup> differential operator

$$\Delta_D f = -\text{trace}_D(\nabla d_D f), \quad f \in C^\infty(M)$$

(see also [14, 19, 20]). If  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  is a local frame on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $g_{ij} = g(E_i, E_j)$ ,  $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ , then we have that  $\Delta_D f = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} g^{ij}(E_i E_j f - df(\nabla_{E_i} E_j))$ . In particular, if  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  is orthonormal at  $x_0 \in M$  and we choose  $\nabla$ -geodesics  $\gamma_i$  in  $M$  with  $\gamma_i(0) = x_0$  and  $\gamma_i'(0) = E_i$  for  $i : 1, \dots, 2n$ , then  $\Delta_D f = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} |_{t=0} (f \circ \gamma_i)(t)$ .

**EXAMPLE 1** Let  $H^3$  be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group with its unique left invariant sR structure, that is,  $X, Y, Z$  is a basis for its Lie algebra where  $[X, Y] = Z$ ,  $Z$  is central and  $X, Y$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then we compute this frame to be parallel so that  $\Delta_D = X^2 + Y^2$ .

The celebrated theorem of Hörmander ([16]) implies that the sub-Laplacian is hypoelliptic. One can also show that  $\Delta_D$  is self-adjoint and positive with

<sup>1</sup>This means that its symbol is positive semidefinite.

respect to the natural  $L^2$ -inner product in  $C^\infty(M)$ . It follows that if  $M$  is compact, the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_D$  form a discrete sequence  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$  with finite multiplicities and the corresponding eigenfunctions form a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2(M)$  ([21]).

Next we show that the sub-Laplacian is a sR invariant that characterizes the isometries among the diffeomorphisms of a sR manifold.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow M$  be a diffeomorphism. Then  $\phi$  leaves the sub-Laplacian  $\Delta_D$  invariant if and only if it is an isometry (in the sR sense).*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in M$ . We must show that  $\phi_*\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$  and  $\phi^*g = g$  at  $p$ . By the Darboux theorem, there are local coordinates  $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n, z)$  centered at  $p$  such that  $\mathcal{D} = \ker \theta$  where  $\theta = dz - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i)$ . Now the vector fields  $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{y_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $Y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} + \frac{x_i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  are such that  $[X_i, Y_i] = \xi$ ,  $i : 1, \dots, n$ , and the other brackets equal zero. Define  $E_{2i-1} = X_i$ ,  $E_{2i} = Y_i$  for  $i : 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{2n}$  is a local frame on  $\mathcal{D}$  and we have the following convenient expression for the sub-Laplacian:  $\Delta_D f = - \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} [(E_i E_j f) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} g^{kl} (E_i g_{jk} + E_j g_{ki} - E_k g_{ij}) (E_l f)]$ . We can always assume that  $g_{ij}(\phi(p)) = \delta_{ij}$ . Therefore, at  $\phi(p)$  the expression becomes

$$\Delta_D f(\phi(p)) = \sum_i [(E_i^2 f)(\phi(p)) - \sum_k (E_i g_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} E_k g_{ii})(\phi(p))(E_k f)(\phi(p))].$$

Now, by applying this formula, the hypothesis  $\Delta_D(f \circ \phi) = \Delta_D f \circ \phi$  and the product formula  $\Delta_D(f f') = (\Delta_D f) f' + f(\Delta_D f') + 2g(\text{grad}_{\mathcal{D}} f, \text{grad}_{\mathcal{D}} f')$  (for  $f, f' \in C^\infty(M)$ ), where  $\text{grad}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the  $\mathcal{D}$ -component of the gradient) to the coordinate functions on  $M$ , we easily see that  $d\phi_p$  is an isometry  $\mathcal{D}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\phi(p)}$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Diff}(M)$  be the group of diffeomorphisms of  $M$  and consider its action on  $L^2(M)$  by composition with the inverse, namely,  $\phi \cdot f(x) = f(\phi^{-1}(x))$  for  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ ,  $f \in L^2(M)$ ,  $x \in M$ .

**Corollary 1** *An element  $\phi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  is a sR isometry if and only the  $\Delta_D$ -eigenspace decomposition of  $L^2(M)$  is invariant under the action of  $\phi$ .*

## 2 The case of a Hermitean sub-Riemannian symmetric space

The sub-Laplacian on a homogeneous sR manifold is certainly an invariant differential operator. In this section we want to describe the sub-Laplacian in the case of sR symmetric spaces of Hermitean type. These are homogeneous sR manifolds classified in ([5, 7, 3]). We recall the construction.

Let  $G/H$  be a compact semisimple Hermitean Riemannian symmetric space and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition. Choose an element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that the centralizer of  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathfrak{h}$ , define  $\mathfrak{k}$  to be the Cartan-Killing orthogonal complement of  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  and let  $K$  be the connected subgroup of  $G$  defined by  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then  $M = G/K$  is the total space of a circle bundle over  $G/H$ , and the  $\text{Ad}_K$ -invariant complement  $\mathfrak{p}$  to the fibers defines a  $G$ -invariant distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $M$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a contact distribution. Now the restriction of the Cartan-Killing form sign changed to  $\mathfrak{p}$  defines a  $G$ -invariant sR metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ . In this way,  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  becomes a sR manifold. Since the Riemannian central symmetries of  $G/H$  can be lifted to sR isometries of  $M$  which are central symmetries when restricted to  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $M$  becomes — as it is called in [3] — a sR symmetric space of Hermitean type. The reader may keep in mind the example of an odd-dimensional sphere fibering over a complex projective space.

View  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the Lie algebra of left-invariant first-order differential operators on  $G$ ,  $Xf(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(g \exp tX) \right|_{t=0}$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(G)$  and  $g \in G$ , and identify the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the associative algebra  $D(G)$  of all left-invariant differential operators on  $G$ . Now, view  $C^\infty(G/K)$  as the subspace of  $K$ -right-invariant functions in  $C^\infty(G)$ , that is,  $C^\infty(G/K) = \{f \in C^\infty(G) : f(gk) = f(g) \text{ for } g \in G, k \in K\}$ . Then it is easy to see that the elements of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K = \{a \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) : \text{Ad}_k a = a \text{ for } k \in K\}$  induce differential operators on  $G/K$ . More precisely, we have

**Theorem 2** ([15]) *The associative algebra  $D(G/K)$  of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $G/K$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K / (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^K \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k})$ .*

The *sub-Casimir* element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the element  $\omega_D = \omega + \xi^2$  in the enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\omega$  is the Casimir element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\xi$  is a unit vector in the orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  (with respect to the Cartan-Killing

form). Note that  $\omega_D$  is  $\text{Ad}_K$ -invariant, so it defines a  $G$ -invariant second order differential operator on  $M$ .

**Proposition 1** *Let  $M$  be equipped with the  $G$ -invariant sR metric induced from the negative of Cartan-Killing form of  $G$ . Then the sub-Laplacian of  $M$  is just the action of the Casimir element on functions, that is,  $\Delta_D = \omega_D$  on  $C^\infty(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Since both operators are invariant, it suffices to check that they coincide at the base-point  $x_0$ . Write  $\pi : G \rightarrow M$  for the projection and let  $X_1, \dots, X_r; \xi; X_{r+1}, \dots, X_{r+2n}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  compatible with its decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \langle \xi \rangle + \mathfrak{p}$ . Since the Cartan-Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is negative definite, we have that  $\omega_D = -\sum_{i=1}^{r+2n} X_i^2$ . Now  $\gamma_i : t \mapsto \exp_{x_0} tX_i$  is the  $\nabla$ -geodesic<sup>2</sup> through  $x_0$  with initial velocity  $X_i$  for  $i : r+1, \dots, r+2n$ . Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_D f(x_0) &= -\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+2n} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} f(\exp tX_i, x_0) = -\sum_{i=1}^{r+2n} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} f(\exp tX_i, x_0) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{r+2n} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} (f \circ \pi)(\exp tX_i, 1) = -\sum_{i=1}^{r+2n} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} (f \circ \pi)(\exp 1tX_i) \\ &= \omega_D(f \circ \pi)(1) \end{aligned}$$

□

We know that  $H$  is a subgroup of maximal rank in  $G$ , so there is a CSA  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\Delta^+$  be a positive root system and write  $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  for the corresponding system of simple roots. The Borel-de Siebenthal theory says that the highest root  $\beta = \alpha_{i_0} + \sum_{i \neq i_0} n_i \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_{i_0}(\xi) \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha_i(\xi) = 0$  if  $i \neq i_0$  for some index  $i_0$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$  be the fundamental highest weights and let  $\Lambda^+ = \sum_i \mathbb{Z}^+ \lambda_i$ ,  $\Lambda^+(G)$ , respectively, denote the dominant integral weight lattices of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G$  (recall that  $\Lambda^+/\Lambda^+(G)$  is an abelian group isomorphic to the fundamental group of  $G$ ). Finally, write  $\rho = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ . Now we have

<sup>2</sup>For sections  $X, Y$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  we have the following relation between the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and the sR connection  $\nabla$ :  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}d\theta(X, Y)$ , because the subtorsion  $\tau$  is zero, see [8, 5]; it follows that  $\gamma_i$  is a geodesic, *qua* Riemannian, *qua* sub-Riemannian.

**Theorem 3** *If  $\pi_\lambda$  denotes the irreducible complex representation of  $G$  of highest weight  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(G)$ , then the sub-Laplacian  $\Delta_D$  has eigenvalues*

$$\|\lambda + \rho\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2 - \|\lambda_{i_0}\|^{-2}(\lambda_{i_0}, \mu)^2,$$

*where  $\mu$  is a weight of  $\pi_\lambda$  such that its weight space has  $K$ -fixed vectors. The multiplicity of the eigenvalue is equal to the dimension of representation space of  $\pi_\lambda$  multiplied by the dimension of the space of  $K$ -fixed vectors in the weight space of  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* For a compact manifold, the  $C^\infty$  functions are dense in the  $L^2$  functions. By the Peter-Weyl theorem,

$$L^2(G) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^+(G)} V_{\pi_\lambda} \otimes V_{\pi_\lambda^*}$$

as  $G \times G$ -modules, where the left hand side is acted on by the (left-and-right-) regular representation and where  $V_{\pi_\lambda}$  is the representation space of  $\pi_\lambda$ , so that  $V_{\pi_\lambda} \otimes V_{\pi_\lambda^*}$  can be considered to be the space of coefficients of  $\pi_\lambda$ : the embedding  $V_{\pi_\lambda} \otimes V_{\pi_\lambda^*} \rightarrow L^2(G)$  is given by  $u \otimes v \mapsto f_{u,v}$  where  $f_{u,v}(g) = \langle u, \pi_\lambda(g)v \rangle$ ,  $g \in G$ . Viewing  $L^2(M)$  as the subspace of  $K$ -right-invariant functions in  $L^2(G)$ , we get

$$L^2(M) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_K^+(G)} V_{\pi_\lambda} \otimes V_{\pi_\lambda^K},$$

where the superscript indicates the subspace of  $K$ -fixed vectors and  $\Lambda_K^+(G)$  is the sub-lattice of  $\Lambda^+(G)$  corresponding to representations that have  $K$ -fixed vectors, the so-called *class 1 representations* relative to  $K$ . By Proposition 1,  $-\Delta_D$  coincide with  $\omega_D$  on  $L^2(M)$ . Since  $\omega_D$  acts on functions on the right, we are left to compute the eigenvalues of  $\pi_\lambda(\omega_D)$  on  $V_{\pi_\lambda^K}$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K^+(G)$ .

Now it is well known that  $\pi_\lambda(\omega)$  is the scalar  $\|\lambda + \rho\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2$  on  $V_{\pi_\lambda}$  (see e. g. [17, 11, 23]), so we have only to compute the action of  $\pi_\lambda(\xi)$ . Since  $2(\lambda_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , we get that  $\xi$  corresponds to  $\sqrt{-1}\|\lambda_{i_0}\|^{-1}\lambda_{i_0}$  under the Cartan-Killing form. Therefore, if  $v_\mu$  is a vector in the eigenspace corresponding to  $\mu$ , then

$$\pi_\lambda(\xi)v_\mu = \mu(\xi)v_\mu = \sqrt{-1}\|\lambda_{i_0}\|^{-1}(\lambda_{i_0}, \mu).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**REMARK 1** We note here, for future reference, that the weights of  $\pi_\lambda$  whose weight space has  $K$ -fixed weight vectors are all multiples of  $\lambda_{i_0}$ . That is because the  $\alpha_i$ 's with  $i \neq i_0$  are simple roots for  $\mathfrak{k}$  relative to the CSA  $\mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ .

### 3 Calculations

#### 3.1 $S^3$

We shall use the notation and results in [6]. We identify

$$S^3 = SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

so that the unit sphere metric corresponds to  $-1/8$  times the Cartan-Killing form. Let

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a basis for  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  such that  $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$  and other cyclic permutations of this identity hold. The most general sR symmetric space structure on  $S^3$  is then given by the horizontal space  $\mathcal{D} = \langle X_2, X_3 \rangle$  of the Höpf fibration  $U(1) \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  and the sR metric on  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & 1/b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \geq b > 0.$$

Note that this example does not conform to the construction described in Section 2 since the sR metric is not induced by a multiple of the Cartan-Killing form of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  if  $a \neq b$  (in fact, the eigenvalue of the sub-torsion is  $\tau_0 = (a - b)/4$ , see [8, 5]). Nonetheless, we can make use of the group structure of the space to perform the calculations. The Peter-Weyl theorem says that  $L^2(SU(2)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)V_n$  as left  $SU(2)$ -modules, where the left hand side is acted on by the left regular representation and in the right hand side  $V_n$  is the  $n$ th symmetric power of the vector representation which can be identified with the complex homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$  in two variables  $z_1, z_2$ . The horizontal one-parameter subgroups are geodesics (see [6]), so we get no linear terms and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} = -aX_2^2 - bX_3^2 : V_n \rightarrow V_n$ . Define

$v_j = \binom{n}{j}^{1/2} z_1^{n-j} z_2^j$ , for  $j : 0, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V_n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}} v_j = & \frac{1}{2} \{ [(n-j)(n-j-1)(j+2)(j+1)]^{1/2} (a-b)v_{j+2} \\ & - [n+2j(n-j)](a+b)v_j + [(n-j+2)(n-j+1)j(j-1)]^{1/2} (a-b)v_{j-2} \}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $a = b = 4$ , then we recover the result in [23, 10]:

$$\Delta_D v_j = 4[n + 2j(n - j)]v_j.$$

Note that the matrix  $(\Delta_D|_{V_n})$  is “bi-symmetric” (symmetric with respect to both diagonals), so the eigenvalues have even multiplicities, with the possible exception of the ones that are also eigenvalues of the Riemannian Laplacian of  $\mathbf{CP}^2$ . It is not an easy problem to diagonalize that matrix. We can list the first few eigenvalues and their multiplicities:

- $n = 1$  :  $(a + b)/2$ , multiplicity 4;
- $n = 2$  :  $2a, 2b, 2(a + b)$ , multiplicity 3;
- $n = 3$  :  $(5(a + b) \pm (7(a^2 + b^2) + 2ab)^{1/2})/2$ , multiplicity 8;
- $n = 4$  :  $2(a + b), 2(a + 4b), 2(4a + b), 4(a + b \pm (a^2 + b^2 - ab)^{1/2})$ , multiplicity 5, etc.

**REMARK 2** Notice the phenomenon of “changing multiplicities” of the eigenvalues, as we vary the parameters  $a, b$  defining the metric. Corollary 1 points out to the fact that this should be related to the existence of metrics which are more “symmetric” than others, but the precise relation is not clear as it is easy to see that all such metrics have the same full group of isometries, with the obvious exception of the one with  $a = b$  ([6]).

### 3.2 The odd-dimensional spheres $S^{2n+1}$ , $n \geq 2$

Consider the  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional sphere as a sub-Riemannian symmetric space,  $S^{2n+1} = SU(n + 1)/SU(n)$  with the sub-Riemannian structure pulled back from  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Here  $G = SU(n + 1)$ ,  $H = S(U(1) \times U(n))$  and  $K = S(\{1\} \times U(n))$ . The Cartan-Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 2(n + 1)\text{trace}(XY)$ . Choose the CSA to be the diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{t} = \left\{ H = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} a_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sqrt{-1} a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} : a_i \in \mathbf{R}, a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1} = 0 \right\}.$$

Define  $\theta_i(H) = \sqrt{-1} a_i$ ,  $i : 1, \dots, n + 1$ . Then  $\Delta^+ = \{\theta_i - \theta_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n + 1\}$  is a system of positive roots and  $\{\alpha_i = \theta_i - \theta_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n + 1\}$  is the

corresponding system of simple roots, so the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $n$ . The fundamental highest weights are  $\lambda_i = \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_i$ ,  $i : 1, \dots, n$  and  $G$  is simply-connected, so  $\Lambda^+(G) = \Lambda^+$ . Note that  $\alpha_1(\xi) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha_i(\xi) = 0$  for  $i : 2, \dots, n$ , so  $\xi$  corresponds to  $\lambda_1 = \theta_1$ . The complex irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  can be constructed as follows. Consider  $U(n) = U(1) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_n} SU(n)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is the center of  $SU(n)$ . The complex irreducible representations of  $U(1) \times SU(n)$  are the tensor products  $\rho_m \otimes \tau_{k_2\theta_2+\dots+k_n\theta_n}$  where  $\tau_{k_2\theta_2+\dots+k_n\theta_n}$  denotes the representation of  $SU(n)$  of highest weight  $k_2\theta_2+\dots+k_n\theta_n$ ,  $k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n \geq 0$ , and  $\rho_m$  denotes the  $m$ th power of the circle action of  $U(1)$ ,  $m$  an integer. In order for it to induce a representation of  $U(n)$  we require  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  to act trivially, so we must have  $m = k_2 + \dots + k_n + nk_1$  for an integer  $k_1$ . This gives the set of complex irreducible representations of  $U(n)$  as

$$\{\rho_{k_2+\dots+k_n+nk_1} \otimes \tau_{k_2\theta_2+\dots+k_n\theta_n} : k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n \geq 0, k_1 \text{ integers}\}. \quad (1)$$

Now  $U(n)$  embeds in  $G$  as  $H$ , so  $\theta_1$  maps to  $-\frac{1}{n}\theta_1$  and  $\theta_i$  maps to  $\theta_i + \frac{1}{n}\theta_1$ ,  $i : 2, \dots, n+1$ . Therefore, as a representation of  $H \subset G$ , (1) has highest weight  $-k_1\theta_1 + k_2\theta_2 + \dots + k_n\theta_n$ . Fix a representation of  $G$  on  $V$  of highest weight  $\lambda = m_1\lambda_1 + \dots + m_n\lambda_n \in \Lambda^+$ ,  $m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0$ . We need the following "branching theorem":

**Theorem 4 ([18])** *We have that  $V$  decomposes, as a left  $H$ -module, into irreducible  $H$ -modules as follows:*

$$V = \sum V_{k_1\theta_1+k_2\theta_2+\dots+k_n\theta_n}$$

where the summation runs over all integers  $k_1, k_2 \geq k_3 \geq \dots \geq k_n \geq 0$  for which there exists an integer  $k$  satisfying

$$m_1 + \dots + m_n \geq k_2 + k \geq$$

$$m_2 + \dots + m_n \geq k_3 + k \geq m_3 + \dots + m_n \geq \dots \geq k_n + k \geq m_n \geq k \geq 0$$

and

$$(m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + nm_n) - (k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n) = (n+1)k.$$

Now we can find the representations of  $G$  that have  $K$ -fixed vectors. In the decomposition of Theorem 4, we must have the  $n$ -tuple  $(k_1, 0, \dots, 0)$  allowed. Unravelling the inequalities in the theorem easily gives that  $m_2 =$

$\dots = m_{n-1} = 0$ , and then  $k_1 = m_1 - m_n$  turns out to be the unique possibility. We conclude that a representation of  $G$  which is class 1 relative to  $K$  has a highest weight  $a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_n$ ,  $a, b \geq 0$  integers, and its subspace of  $K$ -fixed vectors is one-dimensional with corresponding weight given by  $(a - b)\lambda_1 = (a - b)\theta_1$ . Therefore, Theorem 3 says that the eigenvalues of the sub-Laplacian for the sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  are

$$\frac{1}{2(n+1)}(2ab + n(a+b)),$$

or, after dividing the metric by  $4(n+1)$  in order to get the unit sphere metric (compare [21]),

$$2(2ab + n(a+b)),$$

and the multiplicity is the dimension of the representation  $\pi_{a\theta_1 + b\theta_n}$  which can be easily computed using Weyl's dimension formula to be

$$\frac{(a+n-1)!(b+n-1)!(a+b+n)}{a!b!n!(n-1)!}.$$

It is interesting to observe that the representation space of  $\pi_{a\theta_1 + b\theta_n}$  can be realized to be the space of harmonic polynomials of bi-degree  $(a, b)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . So we refine the usual  $SO(2n+2)$ -irreducible decomposition of  $L^2(S^{2n+1})$  into the spaces of harmonic polynomials of degree  $m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ ,  $m \geq 0$  (compare [10]).

### 3.3 The real rank two Stiefel manifolds

The next example is the sub-Riemannian symmetric space  $SO(N+2)/SO(2) \times SO(N)$  of orthonormal 2-frames in  $N+2$ -dimensional Euclidean space. We divide the analysis into two cases.

#### 3.3.1 The odd dimensional case

Here,  $G = SO(2n+1)$ ,  $H = SO(2) \times SO(2n-1)$  and  $K = \{1\} \times SO(2n-1)$  where 1 is an identity  $2 \times 2$  block. The Cartan-Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\langle X, Y \rangle =$





is the corresponding system of simple roots, so the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $n$ . We have that  $G$  is not simply-connected and its fundamental group has order two; so  $\Lambda^+(G)$  has index two in  $\Lambda^+$  and we have

$$\Lambda^+(G) = \left\{ \lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \theta_i : m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots m_{n-1} \geq |m_n| \text{ integers} \right\}.$$

Note that  $\alpha_i(\xi)$  is not zero precisely for  $i = 1$ , so  $\xi$  corresponds to  $\theta_1$ .

Let  $\pi_\lambda$  be the complex irreducible representation of  $G$  of highest weight  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(G)$ . As in the odd-dimensional case, we can show

**Lemma 3 ([13])** *We have that  $\pi_\lambda$  is of class 1 relative to  $K$  if and only if  $\lambda = a\theta_1 + b\theta_2$  for  $a \geq b \geq 0$  integers.*

and

**Lemma 4** *The  $K$ -fixed weights of  $\pi_{a\theta_1 + b\theta_2} = \pi_{a,b}$  are  $(a - b - 2j)\theta_1$  for  $j : 0, 1, \dots, a - b$ .*

Theorem 3 now says that the eigenvalues of the sub-Laplacian are

$$\frac{1}{4(n-1)}(2ab + 2(n+j-1)a + 2(n-j-2)b - 4j^2),$$

where  $a \geq b \geq 0$  and  $j : 0, \dots, [\frac{a-b}{2}]$ . The multiplicity of each eigenvalue is  $2 \dim V_{a,b}$ , except in the following cases: if  $n > 2$ ,  $a - b$  is even and  $j = \frac{a-b}{2}$  or if  $n = 2$ ,  $a$  is even,  $b = 0$  and  $j = \frac{a}{2}$  then it is half that value; if  $n > 2$ ,  $a - b$  is odd and  $b > 0$  then it is twice that value. Weyl's dimension formula gives

$$\dim V_{a,b} = 2^{n-1} \frac{\prod_{i < j} (m_i - m_j - i + j)(m_i + m_j + 2n - i - j)}{(2n-2)!(2n-4)! \dots 2!},$$

where  $m_1 = a$ ,  $m_2 = b$  and  $m_i = 0$  if  $3 \leq i \leq n$ . In particular, if  $n = 2$  we get

$$\dim V_{a,b} = (a+1)^2 - b^2.$$

## References

- [1] R. Beals, P. C. Greiner, and N. K. Stanton, *The heat equation on a CR manifold*, J. Diff. Geom. **20** (1984), 343–387.
- [2] B. Beers and R. Millman, *The spectra of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on compact, semisimple Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 801–807.
- [3] P. Bieliavsky, E. Falbel, and C. Gorodski, *The classification of simply-connected contact sub-Riemannian symmetric spaces*, To appear in *Pacific J. Math.*, 1998.
- [4] H. Boerner, *Representations of groups*, North Holland, 1970.
- [5] E. Falbel and C. Gorodski, *On contact sub-Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Ann. Sc. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **28** (1995), 571–589.
- [6] ———, *Sub-Riemannian homogeneous spaces in dimensions 3 and 4*, Geom. Dedicata **62** (1996), no. 3, 227–252.
- [7] E. Falbel, C. Gorodski, and M. Rumin, *Holonomy of sub-Riemannian manifolds*, Intern. J. Math. **8** (1997), no. 3, 317–344.
- [8] E. Falbel, J.A. Verderesi, and J.M. Veloso, *The equivalence problem in sub-Riemannian geometry*, Preprint IMEUSP, 1993.
- [9] H. Fegan, *The spectrum of the Laplacian on forms over a Lie group*, Pacific J. Math. (1980), 373–387.
- [10] G. B. Folland, *The tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on spheres*, Trans. AMS **171** (1972), 83–133.
- [11] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: A first course*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [12] S. Gallot and D. Meyer, *Opérateur de courbure et Laplacien des formes différentielles d'une variété Riemannienne*, J. Math. Pures et Appliquées **54** (1975), 259–284.
- [13] S. S. Gelbart, *A theory of Stiefel harmonics*, Trans. AMS **192** (1974), 29–50.

- [14] A. Greenleaf, *The first eigenvalue of a sub-Laplacian on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold*, Comm. in Partial Diff. Equations **10:2** (1985), 191–217.
- [15] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [16] L. Hormander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, Acta Math. **119** (1967), 147–171.
- [17] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [18] A. Ikeda and Y. Taniguchi, *Spectra and eigenforms of the Laplacian on  $S^n$  and  $CP^n$* , Osaka J. Math. **15** (1978), 515–546.
- [19] J. M. Lee, *The Fefferman metric and pseudo-Hermitian invariants*, Trans. AMS **296:1** (1986), 411–429.
- [20] M. Rumin, *Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact*, J. Diff. Geom. **39** (1994), 281–330.
- [21] N. K. Stanton, *Spectral invariants of CR manifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **36** (1989), 267–288.
- [22] Y. Taniguchi, *Normal homogeneous metrics and their spectra*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 555–576.
- [23] M. E. Taylor, *Noncommutative harmonic analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, no. 22, American Mathematical Society, 1986.
- [24] C. Tsukamoto, *Spectra of Laplace-Beltrami operators on  $SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$  and  $Sp(n+1)/Sp(1) \times Sp(n)$* , Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 407–426.

ELISHA FALBEL

Mathématiques, Université de Paris VI

4 Pl. Jussieu, 75252

Paris CEDEX 05, France

e-mail: falbel@math.jussieu.fr

CLAUDIO GORODSKI

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo

Caixa Postal 66281, São Paulo, SP 05315-970, Brazil

e-mail: gorodski@ime.usp.br

## TRABALHOS DO DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

### TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

- 97-01 ABDOUNUR, O.J, and BOTTURA, C.B. From Mathematics to Music: A Numerical Journey through Sounds. 20p.
- 97-02 ALMEIDA, R. The 3-dimensional Poincaré conjecture. 17p.
- 97-03 BAEZA-VEGA, R., CORREA, I., COSTA, R. and PERESI, L.A. Shapes identities in Bernstein Algebras. 21p.
- 97-04 GIANNONI, F., MASIELLO, A. and PICCIONE, P. A variational theory for light rays in stably causal Lorentzian manifolds: regularity and multiplicity results. 47p.
- 97-05 DOKUCHAEV, M.A. and SINGER, M.L.S. Units in group rings of free products of prime cyclic groups. 15p.
- 97-06 BENAVIDES, R., MALLOL, C. and COSTA, R. Weak isotopy in train algebras. 8p.
- 97-07 LOCATELLI, A.C. Hochschild Cohomology of Truncated Quiver Algebras. 22p.
- 97-08 ARAGONA, J. Generalized Functions on the Closure of an Open Set. 25p.
- 97-09 GIANNONI, F., PICCIONE, P. And VERDERESI, J.A. An Approach to the Relativistic Brachistochrone Problem by sub-Riemannian Geometry. 25p.
- 97-10 COELHO, F. U. On the Number of Indecomposable Modules of Infinite Projective Dimension. 9p.
- 97-11 COELHO, F.U., PLATZECK, M.I. On Artin Rings whose Idempotent Ideals have Finite Projective Dimension. 12p.
- 97-12 ANGELERI-HÜGEL, L. and COELHO, F.U. A note on a certain class of tilted algebras. 9p.
- 97-13 GUZZO JR., H. and VICENTE, P. On Bernstein and train algebras of rank 3. 12p.
- 97-14 CARRARA, V.L. The connected components of the space of special generic maps. 17p.
- 97-15 FERNÁNDEZ, J.C.G. Structure of Stationary Populations. 43p.
- 97-16 PERLICK, V. and PICCIONE, P. The brachistochrone problem in arbitrary spacetimes. 7p.
- 97-17 HENTZEL, I.R. and PERESI, L.A. Degree Three, Four and Five Identities of Quadratic Algebras. 16p.
- 97-18 MILIES, C.P. The Torsion Product Property in Alternative Algebras II. 7p.

- 98-01 \* ASSEM, I. and COELHO, F.U. On postprojective partitions for torsion pairs induced by tilting modules. 16p.
- 98-02 HÜGEL, L.A. and COELHO, F.U. On the Auslander-Reiten-quiver of a  $\mathcal{R}_1$ -hereditary artin algebra. 27p.
- 98-03 BENAVIDES, R. and COSTA, R. Some remarks on genetic algebras. 11p.
- 98-04 COSTA, R., IKEMOTO, L.S. and SUAZO, A. On the multiplication algebra of a Bernstein algebra, 11p.
- 98-05 GONÇALVES, D. L. Fixed point free homotopies and Wecken homotopies. 4p.
- 98-06 POLCINO MILIEŠ, C. and SEHGAL, S. K. Central Units of Integral Group Rings. 9p.
- 98-07 BOVDI, V. and DOKUCHAEV, M., Group algebras whose involuntary units commute. 15p.
- 98-08 FALBEL, E. and GORODSKI, C. Some Remarks on the Spectrum of Sub-Riemannian Symmetric Spaces. 16p.