



# Simple binary Lie and non-Lie superalgebra has solvable even part

A. Grishkov<sup>a</sup>, M. Rasskazova<sup>b</sup>, I. Shestakov<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> UFABC, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 14 November 2022

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Alberto Elduque

To the memory of Professor Georgia Benkart

### Keywords:

Binary Lie algebra

Simple superalgebra

Irreducible module

Malcev algebra

Solvable algebra

## ABSTRACT

We prove that every simple finite dimensional binary Lie superalgebra over the complex numbers field  $\mathbf{C}$  with non-zero odd part is either a Lie superalgebra or has a solvable even part.

© 2023 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

*Binary Lie algebras* (*BL-algebras*) were introduced by A.I. Malcev [8] as anticommutative algebras in which any two elements generate a Lie subalgebra. This property is fulfilled in *Malcev algebras*, defined in the same paper (under the name of *Moufang-Lie algebras*) as the algebras satisfying the identities

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [shestak@ime.usp.br](mailto:shestak@ime.usp.br) (I. Shestakov).

$$xy = -yx,$$

$$J(xy, z, x) = J(y, z, x)x,$$

where  $J(x, y, z) = (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y$  denotes the *jacobian* of the elements  $x, y, z$ .

Laterly, A.T. Gainov [3] characterized binary Lie algebras by identities: an anticommutative algebra is a binary Lie algebra if and only if it satisfies the identity

$$J(xy, x, y) = 0. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that every Lie algebra is a Malcev algebra and every Malcev algebra is a *BL*-algebra. The most important example of a non-Lie Malcev algebra is the 7-dimensional algebra  $sl(\mathbf{O})$  of octonions with zero trace under the product defined by the *commutator*  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ . The algebra  $sl(\mathbf{O})$  is simple, and V.T. Filippov proved [2] that every simple non-Lie Malcev algebra (of any dimension and of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ ) is isomorphic to  $sl(\mathbf{O})$ . Moreover, it was proved by the first author in [4] that every simple finite dimensional *BL*-algebra over a field of characteristic 0 is a Malcev algebra, that is, is a Lie algebra or is isomorphic to  $sl(\mathbf{O})$ .

The last author in [9] investigated prime Malcev superalgebras and proved that every non-trivial (that is, with nonzero odd part) prime Malcev superalgebra is a Lie one.

In this paper we continue the study of simple binary Lie superalgebras started in [6].

A  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -graded algebra  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  is called a *binary-Lie superalgebra (SBL-algebra)* if it satisfies the following super-identities:

$$xy = -(-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{y}}yx,$$

$$SBL(x, y, z, t) := (xy.z)t - x(y.zt)$$

$$+ (-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{y}}\{y(xz.t) + y(x.zt) - (y.xz)t\}$$

$$+ (-1)^{\bar{z}\bar{t}}\{x(yt.z) - (xy.t)z - (x.yt)z\} = 0,$$

where  $\bar{z} \in \{0, 1\}$  stands for the parity of a homogeneous element  $z$ :  $\bar{z} = i$  iff  $z \in B_i$ .

The problem of classification of finite dimensional simple *SBL*-algebras over the field  $\mathbf{C}$  is open. We know a unique example of simple non-Malcev *SBL*-algebra  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$ . It has dimension two with  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} B_0 = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} B_1 = 1$  (see [1]).

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  be a finite dimensional simple *SBL*-algebra over the field  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\dim B_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $B$  is a simple Lie superalgebra or  $\dim B = 2$ .*

We propose the following strategy for proving Conjecture 1.1 in four steps:

1. Reduction to the case when  $B_0$  is solvable.
2. Reduction to the case when  $B_0$  is nilpotent.
3. Reduction to the case when  $B_0$  is abelian.

4. To prove Conjecture 1.1 for abelian even part.

In this paper we prove that if  $B_1 \neq 0$  and  $B$  is not a Lie superalgebra then the even part  $B_0$  of  $B$  is solvable. Hence we realize the first step of the above strategy.

Note that in Conjecture 1.1 all the conditions: a) basic field is of characteristic 0; b) it is algebraically closed; c) the superalgebra has finite dimension, are important even in the case of abelian even part, as we showed in the paper [6].

## 2. Structure of $B_0$

Recall the results on the structure of finite dimensional  $BL$ -algebras from [4], [5].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $P$  be a finite dimensional  $BL$ -algebra over the field  $\mathbf{C}$  with the solvable radical  $G = G(P)$ . Then  $P$  contains a central ideal  $R(P)$  such that*

(i) *there exists a subalgebra  $S$  of  $P$  containing  $R(P)$  such that*

$$P/R(P) = S/R(P) \oplus G/R(P), \text{ a vector space direct sum,}$$

*where  $S/R(P)$  is a semisimple Malcev algebra and  $G/R(P)$  is a completely reducible Malcev  $S/R(P)$ -module;*

(ii)  *$R(P)$  annihilates every finite dimensional binary-Lie  $P$ -module.*

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  be a finite dimensional simple  $SBL$ -algebra over the field  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $B_1 \neq 0$ . Then*

- (i)  $R(B_0) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $B_0 = P \oplus G(B_0)$ , where  $P$  is a semisimple Malcev algebra and  $G(B_0)$  is a completely reducible Malcev  $P$ -module.

**Proof.** Assume that  $R(B_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $B_1$  is a finite dimensional binary-Lie  $B_0$ -module, by item (ii) of Theorem 2.1 we get  $B_1 R(B_0) = 0$ . Hence  $R(B_0)$  is an abelian ideal of  $B$  and  $R(B_0) = 0$ . Now item (ii) of the Corollary follows from item (i) of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

## 3. Supermodules over $BL$ -algebra and its products

Recall the notion of a *tensor algebra of a bimodule* (see, for instance, [7]). Let  $A$  be a (super)algebra in a variety  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $V$  be a (super)bimodule over  $A$  in the variety  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the tensor algebra  $A[V]$  of the bimodule  $V$  is defined as the quotient algebra  $F_{\mathcal{M}}[A \oplus V]/I$ , where  $F_{\mathcal{M}}[A \oplus V]$  is the free algebra in  $\mathcal{M}$  over the vector space  $A \oplus V$  and  $I$  is its ideal generated by the set  $\{a * b - ab, a * v - a \cdot v, v * a - v \cdot a \mid a, b \in A, v \in V\}$ . Here  $*$  and  $\cdot$  stand for multiplication in the free algebra and action of  $A$  on  $V$  respectively.

Observe that the generators of the ideal  $I$  are homogeneous with respect to  $V$ , hence we have

$$A[V] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{(i)},$$

where  $V^{(0)} = A$ ,  $V^{(1)} = V$ , and  $V^{(i)}$  is the  $A$ -submodule of  $A[V]$  generated by all monomials that contain  $i$  elements from  $V$ .

Let now  $V = U \oplus W$  be a direct sum of  $A$ -bimodules  $U$  and  $W$ . Then we have

$$V^{(2)} = U^{(2)} \oplus W^{(2)} \oplus (UW)_A \oplus (WU)_A,$$

where  $(UW)_A$  denotes the  $A$ -subbimodule generated by the set  $UW$ . We will denote this subbimodule as  $U \hat{\otimes} W$  and will call it *the tensor product* of the  $A$ -bimodules  $U$  and  $W$ .

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{M}$ -algebra and  $V$  be an  $\mathcal{M}$ -bimodule over  $A$ . We can associate with  $V$  two  $\mathcal{M}$ -superbimodules over  $A$ :  $V_{even}$  and  $V_{odd}$ , where

$$(V_{even})_0 = V, (V_{even})_1 = 0; (V_{odd})_0 = 0, (V_{odd})_1 = V.$$

Clearly,  $V_{even} \cong V_{odd} \cong V$  as  $A$ -bimodules.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a BL-algebra and  $V, W$  be BL-modules over  $S$ . Then we have the isomorphism of  $S$ -modules*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{even} \hat{\otimes} W_{odd} &\cong V_{odd} \hat{\otimes} W_{even} \cong (V \hat{\otimes} W)_{odd}, \\ V_{even} \hat{\otimes} W_{even} &\cong V_{odd} \hat{\otimes} W_{odd} \cong (V \hat{\otimes} W)_{even}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first two tensor products in both lines are considered as products of supermodules.

**Proof.** Observe that in construction of the (super)product  $V \hat{\otimes} W$  only the identities  $SBL(x, y, z, t) = 0$  are used where at least two arguments are taken from  $S$  and at most one element from each of  $V$  and  $W$  is taken. Moreover, when we have  $v \in V$  and  $w \in W$  among the arguments  $x, y, z, t$  then the remaining elements, say,  $a, b$  belong to  $S$ , and due to super-anticommutativity our identity may be rewritten in such a way that in all the monomials  $v$  precede  $w$ . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} SBL(a, b, v, w) &= (ab.v)w - a(b.vw) \\ &\quad + b(av.w) + b(a.vw) - (b.av)w \\ &\quad + a(bv.w) - (ab.v)w - (a.bv)w = 0, \\ SBL(w, a, v, b) &= (-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{w}}((va.w)b - v(a.wb) \\ &\quad + a(vw.b) + a(v.wb) - (a.vw)b) \\ &\quad + v(aw.b) - (va.w)b - (v.aw)b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the sign  $(-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{w}}$  can be eliminated. All these identities are just versions of the full linearization of the identity

$$(xy \cdot x)y + (yx \cdot y)x = 0,$$

which is equivalent to (1). Therefore, the parity of the elements  $v, w$  do not matter, and all the considered tensor products are isomorphic, as  $S$ -modules, to  $V \hat{\otimes} W$ . This proves the proposition.  $\square$

From this proposition and [4, Lemmas 5,6], we get the following useful corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional SBL-algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $S \cong sl_2(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_0$  be a subalgebra. Then for every homogeneous Lie  $S$ -submodules  $V$  and  $W$  of  $B$  we get  $(vw)a = (va)w + v(wa)$  for any  $a \in S, v \in V, w \in W$ .*

Recall the structure of irreducible binary Lie modules over the Lie algebra  $S = sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  with the basis  $\{A, H, X \mid AX = H, AH = 2A, XH = -2X\}$  (see [4]).

Every finite dimensional irreducible  $S$ -module is either a Lie module  $L_n$  with a basis  $\{v_{-n}, v_{2-n}, \dots, v_{n-2}, v_n\}$  and the following  $S$ -action for  $i \geq -n, j > -n, k \geq -n$ :

$$v_i \cdot H = iv_i, v_j \cdot X = v_{j-2}, v_k \cdot A = \frac{(n+k+2)(k-n)}{4}v_{k+2}, v_{-n} \cdot X = 0,$$

or is isomorphic to the 2-dimensional non-Lie Malcev module  $M_2 = \mathbf{C} \cdot m_{-2} + \mathbf{C} \cdot m_2$  with the following action of  $S$ :

$$m_{-2} \cdot A = m_2 \cdot X = 0, m_{-2} \cdot X = 2m_2, m_2 \cdot A = -2m_{-2}, m_i \cdot H = im_i.$$

We will also need the following binary Lie module over  $S$  from [4]. Let  $V, U$  be vector spaces,  $\bar{V}$  be an isomorphic copy of  $V$  with the isomorphism  $v \mapsto \bar{v}$ , and let the following linear mappings be defined:

$$\alpha : V \oplus \bar{V} \rightarrow U, \quad \beta : V \oplus \bar{V} \rightarrow U.$$

Then the direct vector space sum  $V \oplus \bar{V} \oplus U$  with the following action of  $S$

$$vH = 2v, \bar{v}H = -2\bar{v},$$

$$vA = -2\bar{v} + \alpha(v),$$

$$\bar{v}X = 2v + \beta(\bar{v}),$$

$$\bar{v}A = \alpha(\bar{v}), vX = \beta(v),$$

$$U \cdot S = 0,$$

for  $v \in V$ , forms a binary Lie module which is called *a module of type  $(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$* , where  $m = \dim U$ ,  $n = \dim V$ .

It is easy to see that if  $W$  is an  $S$ -module of type  $(m, n, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  then  $W$  is a direct sum of  $n$  Malcev modules  $M_2$  and of  $m$  one-dimensional modules  $L_0$ .

The following proposition follows from the results of [4] and Proposition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $S = sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in \{\text{even, odd}\}$ . Then*

- (i)  $(L_n)_\alpha \hat{\otimes} (M_2)_\beta = 0$  if  $n \neq 2$ ;
- (ii)  $(L_n)_\alpha \hat{\otimes} (L_m)_\beta$  is a Lie  $S$ -module;
- (iii)  $(L_2)_\alpha \hat{\otimes} (M_2)_\beta \cong (M_2)_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma \in \{\text{even, odd}\}$  is uniquely defined by  $\alpha, \beta$ . Moreover, if  $v_{-2}, v_0, v_2$  and  $m_{-2}, m_2$  are canonical bases of  $L_2$  and  $M_2$ , respectively, then the elements  $t_{-2} = v_2 \hat{\otimes} m_2, t_2 = -v_{-2} \hat{\otimes} m_{-2}$  form a canonical base of  $(L_2)_\alpha \hat{\otimes} (M_2)_\beta$  as a module of type  $M_2$ .

#### 4. Structure of $B$ as an $S$ -module

In this section we prove the following

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  be a simple finite dimensional SBL-algebra over the field  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $B_1 \neq 0$ ,  $B$  is not a Lie superalgebra, and  $B_0$  is not solvable. Then  $B_0$  contains a simple Lie subalgebra  $S \cong sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ , and  $B = (\sum_i \oplus V_i) \oplus (\sum_j \oplus W_j)$ , where all  $V_i \cong L_2$  and all  $W_j \cong M_2$ .*

We will need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional SBL-algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $S \cong sl_2(\mathbf{C}) \subset B_0$  be a subalgebra. Then  $B$  is a completely reducible  $S$ -module.*

**Proof.** By [4, Theorem 3], every finite dimensional  $BL$ -module  $V$  over  $S$  has the form

$$V = V_l \oplus M,$$

where  $V_l$  is a Lie  $S$ -module and  $M$  is a module of type  $(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ . The module  $V_l$  is completely reducible, and if  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  then  $M$  is completely reducible as well. Assume that  $B$  is not completely reducible  $S$ -module, then by the above  $B$  contains an  $S$ -submodule  $I$  of type  $(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha \neq 0$  or  $\beta \neq 0$ . Denote  $Z = \alpha(I) + \beta(I)$ , then  $Z \cdot S = 0$  by definition of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It is also clear that  $Z = Z_0 \oplus Z_1$ , where  $Z_i = Z \cap B_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . By [4, lemmas 7, 8, 10] and Proposition 3.1 we have  $Z_i \hat{\otimes} B_j = 0$  for all  $i, j = 0, 1$ . (Though lemmas 7, 8, 10 in [4] were proved for some particular values of  $n, m$  of modules of type  $(n, m, \alpha, \beta)$ , the proofs in fact are valid for arbitrary  $n, m$ .) As a corollary, we have  $Z_i B_j = 0$  and eventually  $ZB = 0$ . Since  $B$  is simple, this implies  $Z = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $B$  is completely reducible.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  be a finite dimensional SBL-algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $S \simeq sl_2(\mathbf{C})$  be a subalgebra of  $B_0$  and  $B$  a Lie  $S$ -module. Then the ideal of  $B$  generated by  $S$  is a Lie superalgebra.

**Proof.** Let  $B = \sum_i \oplus B^{(i)}$  be a decomposition of  $B$  into a sum of eigen-superspaces with respect to  $H$ , that is,  $B^{(i)} = \{v \in B \mid v \cdot H = iv\}$ . Choose homogeneous  $v \in B^{(i)}, w \in B^{(j)}, u \in B^{(k)}$ , then for any  $a \in S$  by the super-linearization of (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(ua, v, w) + (-1)^{\bar{u}\bar{v}} J_s(va, u, w) + (-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{w}} J_s(uw, v, a) \\ + (-1)^{\bar{u}(\bar{v}+\bar{w})} J_s(vw, u, a) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $J_s(v, w, u) = vw \cdot u - (-1)^{\bar{w}\bar{u}} vu \cdot w - v \cdot wu$  is the *super-jacobian* of the elements  $v, w, u$ . By Corollary 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(uw, v, a) &= (uw \cdot v)a + (a \cdot uw)v + (-1)^{\bar{v}(\bar{u}+\bar{w})}(va)(uw) \\ &= (ua \cdot w)v + (u \cdot wa)v + (uw)(va) \\ &\quad + (au \cdot w + u \cdot aw)v - (uw)(va) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$J_s(ua, v, w) + (-1)^{\bar{u}\bar{v}} J_s(va, u, w) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Assume first that among the numbers  $i, j, k$  there are at least two different, say,  $i \neq k$ . Substituting  $a = H$  in (2), we get

$$0 = J_s(u \cdot H, v, w) - J_s(u, v \cdot H, w) = (k - i)J_s(v, w, u),$$

which implies  $J_s(u, v, w) = 0$ .

Let now  $i = j = k > 0$ . Then there exists  $t \in B^{(i-2)}$  such that  $u = t \cdot A$ , and substituting  $u = t, a = A$  in (2), we get

$$J_s(u, v, w) = J_s(t \cdot A, v, w) = J_s(t, v \cdot A, w).$$

Since  $t \in B^{(i-2)}$ ,  $v \cdot A \in B^{(i+2)}$ , by the previous case  $J_s(u, v, w) = 0$ .

Furthermore, let  $i = j = k < 0$ , then there exists  $t \in B^{(i+2)}$  such that  $u = t \cdot X$ . Substituting  $a = X, u = t$  in (2), we get

$$J_s(u, v, w) = J_s(t \cdot X, v, w) = J_s(t, v \cdot X, w).$$

Since  $t \in B^{(i+2)}$ ,  $v \cdot X \in B^{(i-2)}$ , we again obtain  $J_s(u, v, w) = 0$ .

Finally, consider the case  $i = j = k = 0$ . We may write  $u = u_1 + u_2$ , where for  $u_1$  there exists  $t \in B^{(-2)}$  such that  $u_1 = t \cdot A$ , and  $u_2 \cdot S = 0$ . For  $u_1$ , as before, we

have  $J_s(u_1, v, w) = J_s(t \cdot A, v, w) = 0$ . Therefore, it remains to consider the case when  $u, v, w \in B^{(0)}$  and  $u \cdot S = v \cdot S = w \cdot S = 0$ .

Note that if  $I$  is the ideal, generated by  $S$ , then  $I = \sum_i SB^i$ . Here  $SB^0 = S$ ,  $SB^{i+1} = (SB^i)B$ . Let  $u \in SB^s \setminus SB^{s-1} \subseteq I$ , we prove that  $u = \sum_{j \neq 0} a_j b_{-j}$ , where  $a_j \in B^{(j)}$ ,  $b_{-j} \in B^{(-j)}$ .

We will use induction on  $s$ . It is clear that  $s > 0$  since  $uS = 0$ . If  $s = 1$  then  $u = Ab_{-2} + Xb_2$ , which gives the base of the induction. Hence  $u = \sum_j a_j b_{-j}$ , where  $a_j \in SB^{s-1}$  and  $a_j \in B^{(j)}$ ,  $b_{-j} \in B^{(-j)}$ . Assume first that  $a_0S = 0$ , then by induction  $a_0 = \sum_{j \neq 0} c_j d_{-j}$ , where  $c_j \in B^{(j)}$ ,  $d_{-j} \in B^{(-j)}$ . By the previous cases,  $J_s(c_j, d_{-j}, B) = 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 b_0 &= \left( \sum_{j \neq 0} c_j d_{-j} \right) b_0 = \sum_{j \neq 0} (-1)^{\bar{b}_j \bar{d}_{-j}} (c_j b_0) d_{-j} + \sum_{j \neq 0} c_j (d_{-j} b_0) \\ &\in \sum_{j \neq 0} B^{(j)} B^{(-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_0S \neq 0$ , then without loss of generality we may assume that there exists  $t \in B^{(-2)}$  such that  $a_0 = t \cdot A$ , and we have by (2)

$$a_0 b_0 = (tA)b_0 = -t(b_0 A) + (tb_0)A \in B^{(-2)} B^{(2)}.$$

Now we have by the super-linearization of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(u, v, w) &= \sum_{j \neq 0} J_s(a_j b_{-j}, v, w) \\ &= \sum_{j \neq 0} (\pm J_s(vb_{-j}, a_j, w) \pm J_s(a_j w, v, b_{-j}) \pm J_s(vw, a_j, b_{-j})) \\ &\in \sum_{j \neq 0} J_s(B^{(j)}, B^{(-j)}, B^{(0)}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $J_s(I \cap B^{(0)}, B, B) = 0$ . Since  $I = I \cap B^{(0)} + \sum_{i \neq 0} B^{(i)}$ , this finishes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *In the notations of Lemma 4.2 (without assumption that  $B$  is a Lie  $S$ -module) let  $V \subset B$  be an irreducible Lie  $S$ -module of type  $L_n$ ,  $n \neq 2$ , and  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $V$ . Then  $I$  is a Lie  $S$ -module.*

**Proof.** First we prove that  $I \cdot M_2 = 0$ , where  $M_2 \subset B$  is a non-Lie  $S$ -module of type  $M_2$  with a canonical basis  $\{m_2, m_{-2}\}$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $V \cdot M_2 = 0$ . Hence, if  $V \cdot W \neq 0$  for some irreducible  $S$ -module  $W$ , then  $W$  is a Lie  $S$ -module.

Let us prove that  $(V \cdot W)M_2 = 0$ . Recall that  $B$  is a completely reducible  $S$ -module (see Lemma 4.1). Hence by Proposition 3.2(ii),  $V \cdot W = \sum_i \oplus V_i$ , where  $V_i$  are irreducible

Lie  $S$ -modules. If  $V_i \not\cong L_2$  then  $V_i \cdot M_2 = 0$  by Proposition 3.2(i). Let  $V_k \cong L_2$ . Then for some  $v \in V, u \in W$ , such that  $vH = iv, uH = ju, i + j = 2$ , by Proposition 3.2(iii) we have  $vu \cdot m_2 = t_{-2}$ , where  $t_{-2}, t_{-2}X$  form a basis of an irreducible non-Lie  $S$ -module of type  $M_2$ . Observe that by Proposition 3.2 we have  $vw_2 = uw_2v = 0$ . Now by applying the defining identity  $SBL(x, y, z, t) = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= vuw_2H \pm vw_2uH \pm Huw_2v \pm Hw_2uv \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{u}}(uvHw_2 + uHvw_2) \pm w_2Hvu \pm w_2vHu \\ &= -2vuw_2 + (-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{u}}(2uvw_2 + juvw_2) = -(j+4)t_{-2}; \\ 0 &= vuHw_2 + vHuw_2 \pm w_2uHv \pm w_2Huv \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{u}}uvw_2H \pm uw_2vH \pm w_2vu \pm vw_2u \\ &= 2vuw_2 + ivuw_2 - 2(-1)^{\bar{v}\bar{u}}uvw_2 = (i+4)t_{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $i = j = -4$ , a contradiction.

We proved that  $(VW)M_2 = 0$  for any irreducible  $S$ -submodule  $W$  of  $B$ . Assume that  $(\dots(VW_1)\dots)W_n)M_2 = 0$  for any irreducible  $S$ -submodules  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  of  $B$ ,  $n > 0$ . Let  $(\dots(VW_1)\dots)W_n) = \sum_k \oplus U_k$ , where  $U_k$  are irreducible Lie  $S$ -modules and  $U_k M_2 = 0$ . Hence every  $U_k$  has the same property as  $V$ , and we can prove as above that  $(U_k W_{n+1})M_2 = 0$  for every irreducible  $S$ -submodule  $W_{n+1}$  of  $B$ . Then  $((\sum_k \oplus U_k)W_{n+1})M_2 = ((\dots(VW_1)\dots)W_n)W_{n+1})M_2 = 0$ .

Hence  $IM_2 = 0$ . Since  $I = \sum_n (\dots(VW_1)\dots)W_n$  where all  $W_i$  are Lie  $S$ -modules, then  $I$  is a Lie  $S$ -module.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 4.1.** Assume that  $B$  as  $S$ -module contains an irreducible Lie submodule  $V$  of type  $L_n$ ,  $n \neq 2$ . Then the ideal  $I$  generated by  $V$  would be a non-zero ideal of  $B$ . Since  $B$  is simple then  $I = B$ , and by Lemma 4.3  $B$  is a Lie  $S$ -module. Then by Lemma 4.2  $B$  is a Lie superalgebra, a contradiction.  $\square$

## 5. The main theorem

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  be a finite dimensional simple  $SBL$ -algebra over the field  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $B_1 \neq 0$  and  $B$  is not a Lie superalgebra, then the even part  $B_0$  of  $B$  is solvable.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $B_0$  is not solvable and  $B$  is not a Lie superalgebra, then by Proposition 4.1 we get that  $B_0$  contains a simple Lie subalgebra  $S \cong sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  such that  $B = (\sum_i \oplus V_i) \oplus (\sum_j \oplus W_j)$ , where  $V_i \cong L_2$  and  $W_j \cong M_2$  for all  $i, j$ . Consider the Grassmann envelope  $M = \Gamma(B) = B_0 \otimes \Gamma_0 \oplus B_1 \otimes \Gamma_1$ , of the superalgebra  $B$ , where  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \oplus \Gamma_1$  is the Grassmann algebra. Then  $\Gamma(B)$  is a binary Lie algebra. By construction, the  $BL$ -algebra  $M$  has a subalgebra  $S_0 = S \otimes \mathbf{C}\mathbf{1} \cong sl_2(\mathbf{C})$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the unit element of the Grassmann algebra  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, the algebra  $M$  as an  $S_0$ -module has a decomposition into a direct sum of 3- and 2-dimensional  $S_0$ -modules. By Theorem 1 of

[5],  $M$  is a Malcev algebra. Hence  $B$  is a Malcev superalgebra, but by [9] any non-trivial simple Malcev superalgebra is a Lie superalgebra.  $\square$

## Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## Acknowledgments

A. Grishkov acknowledges financial support from FAPESP, grant 2018/23690-6 (Brazil)(1–3) and from RSF, grant 22-21-00745 (Russia)(4–5). M. Rasskazova acknowledges financial support from FAPESP, grant 2021/12820-9. I. Shestakov acknowledges financial support from FAPESP, grant 2018/23690-6, and CNPq, grant 304313/2019-0 (Brazil).

The authors thank the referee for pointing out a gap in the proof of the assertion of Lemma 4.1 in the first version of the paper.

## References

- [1] M. Arenas, I.P. Shestakov, On speciality of binary-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* 10 (2) (2011) 257–268.
- [2] V.T. Filippov, Central simple Malcev algebras (Russian), *Algebra Log.* 15 (2) (1976) 235–242.
- [3] A.T. Gainov, Identical relations for binary Lie rings (Russian), *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 12 (3(75)) (1957) 141–146.
- [4] A.N. Grishkov, Structure and representations of binary-Lie algebras (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 44 (5) (1980) 999–1030; English Transl.: *Izv. Math.* 17 (2) (1981) 243–269.
- [5] A.N. Grishkov, On the conjugacy of Levi factors in finite dimensional binary-Lie algebras (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 50 (2) (1986) 313–342; English Transl.: *Izv. Math.* 28 (2) (1987) 305–334.
- [6] A.N. Grishkov, I.P. Shestakov, M.N. Rasskazova, New examples of binary Lie superalgebras and algebras (Russian), *Algebra Log.* 60 (6) (2021) 557–568.
- [7] I. Kashuba, S. Ovsienko, I. Shestakov, Representation type of Jordan algebras, *Adv. Math.* 226 (1) (2011) 385–418.
- [8] A.I. Malcev, Analytic loops (Russian), *Mat. Sb.* 36(78) (3) (1955) 569–576.
- [9] I.P. Shestakov, Prime Malcev superalgebras (Russian), *Mat. Sb.* 182 (9) (1991) 1357–1366; English Transl.: *Sb. Math.* 74 (1) (1993) 101–110.