

A Class of Second-order Evolution Equations With Double Characteristics

ANTONIO GILIOLI

1. Notations

Let H be an abstract Hilbert space and A a linear operator, densely defined in H , which is unbounded, selfadjoint, positive definite and has a bounded inverse A^{-1} .

If J is an open set of the real line, let $\mathcal{Q}_A(J)$ denote the set of the series in the nonnegative powers of A^{-1} , with coefficients in $C^\infty(J)$, which converge in $L(H; H)$ (the Banach space of bounded linear operators on H), as well as each of their t -derivatives, uniformly with respect to t on compact subsets of J . We will consider differential operators of the form

$$(1) \quad P = \sum_{r+j \leq m} c_{r,j}(t, A) A^r \partial_t^j \quad (\partial_t = \partial/\partial t)$$

where the r 's are real numbers ≥ 0 , the j 's are integers ≥ 0 , the sum is a finite one and each $c_{r,j}(t, A)$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_A(J)$. The operator P in (1) is said to be of order $\leq m$.

We may construct the scale of Sobolev spaces H^s for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, in the following way: if $s \geq 0$, H^s is the space of elements u of H such that $A^s u \in H$, equipped with the norm $\|u\|_s = \|A^s u\|_0$, where $\|\cdot\|_0$ denotes the norm of $H = H^0$; if $s < 0$, H^s is the completion of H for the norm $\|u\|_s = \|A^s u\|_0$. By H^∞ we denote the intersection of all the H^s , equipped with the projective limit topology, and by $H^{-\infty}$ their union, with the inductive limit topology.

If J is an open set of the real line, we denote by $C^\infty(J, H^\infty)$ the space of C^∞ function in J valued in H^∞ , which is the projective limit of $C^j(J, H^m)$ for $j, m \geq 0$ integers. If K is any compact subset of J , we denote by $C_c^\infty(K, H^\infty)$ the subspace of $C^\infty(J, H^\infty)$ consisting of those functions which vanish outside K , and by $C_c^\infty(J, H^\infty)$ the inductive limit of $C_c^\infty(K, H^\infty)$ as K ranges over all compact subsets of J . Then, $\mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty})$ will be the (strong) dual of $C_c^\infty(J, H^\infty)$.

We may define another scale of Sobolev spaces: $\mathcal{H}^s$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, in which the t -variable also plays a role: the basic space is now $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, which will be $\mathcal{H}^0$, and we consider the operator $(1 - \partial_t^2 + A^2)^{1/2}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$, which has the same properties that A has in relation to H , so that the scale $\mathcal{H}^s = \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$ is constructed exactly as before.

We can then define $\mathcal{H}_C^S(K)$, $\mathcal{H}_C^S(J)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{loc}^S(J)$ in the obvious way.

2. Statement of the main theorem

Let J be an open set of the real line, containing the origin. We will study operators of the form

$$(2) \quad P = (\partial_t - a(t, A)A) (\partial_t - b(t, A)A) + c(t, A)A,$$

where $a(t, A)$, $b(t, A)$, and $c(t, A)$ belong to $\mathcal{Q}_A(J)$, and will systematically use the notation

$$(3) \quad X = \partial_t - a(t, A)A; \quad Y = \partial_t - b(t, A)A; \quad \delta(t, A) = a(t, A) - b(t, A).$$

Let

$$a(t, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(t)A^{-i}; \quad b(t, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i(t)A^{-i}; \quad c(t, A) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i(t)A^{-i}.$$

When $a_0(0) = b_0(0) = 0$, we are in the case of double characteristics (at $t = 0$). We will further assume that

$$(4) \quad a_0(t) = at^k + t^{k+1}f(t), \quad b_0(t) = bt^k + t^{k+1}g(t), \quad c_0(t) = ct^{k-1} + t^k h(t),$$

where $f, g, h \in C^\infty(J)$ and a, b, c are complex numbers.

$$(5) \quad \operatorname{Re} a > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} b < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k \text{ is odd.}$$

We will call $\delta = a - b$ and

$$(6) \quad l_p = \frac{c}{\delta}.$$

Let J_1 be the greatest open interval containing the origin and such that $\operatorname{Re} \frac{a_0(t)}{t^k}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \frac{b_0(t)}{t^k}$ do not vanish on J_1 , and let us consider the following hypoelliptic properties:

$$(7)_s \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty}), \quad Pu \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^s(J) \Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s + \frac{2}{k+1}}(J).$$

(II) $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall$ open set $J \subset J_1$, $(7)_s$ holds.

The following is then the main theorem of this work:

THEOREM 1. *Let P be given by (2), satisfying (4) and (5), and let P^* be the adjoint of P . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) P satisfies II
- b) P^* satisfies II
- c) $\forall m$ integer ≥ 0 , $l_p \neq m(k+1)$ and $l_p \neq m(k+1) + 1$.

In [2], the operators that are locally solvable and hypoelliptic at $t=0$ were completely characterized, when $k=1$. In [3], these operators were again studied, but under a pseudodifferential form, and Theorem 1 was proved for $k=1$.

3. The space ${}^k\mathcal{H}^{s,m}$ and their properties

In order to prove the theorem, we will need to construct and know some properties of auxiliary spaces ${}^k\mathcal{H}^{s,m}$, similarly to what was done in [3]. In the case $k > 1$, however, there are some peculiarities that make the statement of the results a little more complicated.

Let us first assume that d and p are real numbers such that $k \cdot p$ is an integer ≥ 0 . We define the space of operators ${}^kN^{d,p}(J)$ in the following way: $B \in {}^kN^{d,p}(J)$ if B is an operator like in (1), and may be written in the form:

$$(8) \quad B = \sum_{\substack{\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta \leq p \\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} +}} B_{\alpha\beta} t^\alpha \partial_t^\beta,$$

and $B_{\alpha\beta}$ is of the form (1), with order $\leq d - \frac{kp - \alpha + \beta}{k+1}$ on J .

Remark that $t^\alpha A^\gamma \partial_t^\beta \in {}^kN^{\beta+\gamma}$, $\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta$ if $\alpha, \gamma, \beta \geq 0$; $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let now s and m be real numbers with $k \cdot m$ an integer ≥ 0 . We define now ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$: a distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty})$ is in ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$ if and only if

$\forall B \in {}^kN^{d,p}(J)$, with $p \leq m$, we have $Bu \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-d-\frac{k(m-p)}{k+1}}(J)$, or, what is equi-

valente, if and only if $t^\alpha \partial_t^\beta u \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s - \frac{km - \alpha + \beta}{k+1}}(J)$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\frac{\alpha}{k} + \beta \leq m$. We equip ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$ with the coarsest locally convex topology which makes all the mappings B in the first definition, or $t^\alpha \partial_t^\beta$ in the second one, continuous from ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$ to the corresponding spaces. Remark that ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,o}(J) = \mathcal{H}_{loc}^s(J)$.

We may define ${}^k\mathcal{H}_c^{s,m}(K)$ and ${}^k\mathcal{H}_c^{s,m}(J)$ in the obvious way, and finally, if s, m are as above, we define ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,-m}(J)$ as the (strong) dual of ${}^k\mathcal{H}_c^{-s,m}(J)$. The following are useful facts about these spaces:

PROPOSITION 1. a) If $B \in {}^kN^{d,p}(J)$, then $B: {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \rightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-d,m-p}(J)$ is continuous, provided either $m-p \geq 0$ or $m \leq 0$ or $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

b) $t^\alpha: {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \rightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m-\alpha}(J)$ is always continuous.

PROPOSITION 2. If $s' \leq s$ and $s' - \frac{km'}{k+1} \leq s - \frac{km}{k+1}$, then we have a continuous injection ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \hookrightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s',m'}(J)$.

COROLLARY 1. a) If $m \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_{loc}^s(J) \hookrightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s - \frac{km}{k+1}}(J)$

b) If $m \leq 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s - \frac{km}{k+1}}(J) \hookrightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{loc}^s(J)$

PROPOSITION 3. Given a compact set K of the real line, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a real number s_1 , there is $C > 0$ such that $\forall u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_c^{s,m}(K)$, $\|u\|_{s',m'} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{s,m} + C \|u\|_{s_1}$.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}/k$. If $t^k A u$ and $\hat{\partial}_t u$ both belong to ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-1,m-1}(J)$, then $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$. The converse is true (Proposition 1) if $m \geq 1$ or $m \leq 0$.

Let us suppose that $P \in {}^kN^{d,p}(\Omega)$, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $J \subset \subset \Omega$, with $0 \in J$. Let S be the set of real numbers m such that $k \cdot m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that: either $m \geq 0$ or $m+p \leq 0$ or $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let us consider the following conditions:

- (1)_{s,m}: $\forall u \in \mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty})$, $P u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \Rightarrow u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+d,m+p}(J)$.
- (2)_{s,m}: $\forall \theta \in C_c^\infty(J)$, $\forall s' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall K \subset \subset \Omega$, $\exists C > 0$ such that $\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(K, H^\infty)$
 $\|\theta \phi\|_{s+d,m+p} \leq C(\|P \theta \phi\|_{s,m} + \|\phi\|_{s'})$
- (3)_{s,m} $\forall \theta \in C_c^\infty(J)$, $\forall s'' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall g \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{-s-d,-m-p}(J)$,
 $\exists f \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_c^{-s,-m}(J)$ such that $\theta(P*f - g) \in \mathcal{H}_c^{s''}(J)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $P \in {}^k N^{d,p}(\Omega)$, with $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then:

- a) If $(j)_{s,m}$ is true for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and some $(s_0, m_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times S$, then it is true for all such j 's and all (s, m_0) with $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- b) If $m_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and a) is satisfied), then $(j)_{s,m}$ is true for all j 's and all $(s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times S$; moreover, $(1)_{s,m}$ is true for all $(s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/k$ (if $p = 2$).

REMARK 1. If $(1)_{s,m}$ holds for a family of open sets that covers J , then of course it holds for J . If $(2)_{s,m}$ holds, then it holds for every $J' \subset J$. Hence, if $m \in S$, Theorem 2 implies that $(j)_{s,m}$ holds if and only if it holds for a family of open sets which covers J .

One of the steps in the proof of Theorem 2 uses the following

PROPOSITION 5. If $(2)_{s_0, m_0}$ is true for P , then it is also true for $P - R$, if $R \in {}^k N^{d-1, p-1}$ (and if $m \geq 0$ or $m + p - 1 \leq 0$ or $m \in \mathbb{Z}$).

4. A stronger version of the main theorem and some partial results

We keep all the notations of section 2, including that of J_1 , and we consider the following conditions ((7)_s and II appear in pg. 2) and 3):

- I) $\exists$ open neighborhood J of 0, with $J \subset J_1$, and $\exists s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (7)_s holds.
- III) $\exists$ open neighborhood J of 0, with $J \subset J_1$, $\exists (s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$, and $\exists j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $(j)_{s,m}$ holds.
- IV) $\begin{cases} 1) \forall J \subset J_1, \forall (s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times S, \forall j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, (j)_{s,m} \text{ holds.} \\ 2) \forall J \subset J_1, \forall (s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/k, (1)_{s,m} \text{ holds.} \end{cases}$

We will prove that $I \Leftrightarrow II \Leftrightarrow III \Leftrightarrow IV$ for an operator P , and then the following more precise version of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 3. Let P be as in Theorem 1. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- a) I, II, III or IV for P ;
- b) I, II, III or IV for P^* ;
- c) $\forall m$ integer ≥ 0 , $l_p \neq m(k+1)$ and $l_p \neq m(k+1)+1$.

For the proof of Theorem 3, we need 2 partial results. The first is easily obtained, as in [2] and [3]:

PROPOSITION 6. *If P is as in Theorem 1 and $\operatorname{Re} l_p \leq -\frac{\kappa}{2}$, then $\exists C_0, C_1 > 0$, such that $\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(J, H^\infty)$ (where $0 \in J$, J is bounded and contained in the domain of definition of P),*

$$\int (\|\phi_t\|_0^2 + \|t^k A \phi\|_0^2) dt \leq C_0 \left| \int (P\phi, \phi)_0 dt \right| + C_1 \int t (\|\phi_t\|_0^2 + \|t^k A \phi\|_0^2) dt,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ and $(\cdot)_0$ are those of $H^0 = H$.

COROLLARY 2. *If P is as in Proposition 6, then there is an open neighborhood J of 0 and $C > 0$ such that $\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(J, H^\infty)$, we have $\|\phi\|_{1,1} \leq C \|P\phi\|_{-1,-1}$.*

The second result which we need is also easy, similar to what was done in [1]. We give below the result and the algebraic lemma in which it is based:

LEMMA. *Let E, F be two abelian groups, F a subgroup of E , and let P, Q, U, V be four endomorphisms of E which map F into itself. If $UP = QV$ and $V^{-1}(F) \cap P^{-1}(F) \subset F$, then $Q^{-1}(F) \subset F \Rightarrow P^{-1}(F) \subset F$.*

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $P = P(c) = (\partial_t - at^k A) (\partial_t - bt^k A) + ct^{k-1} A$. If, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $l_p = m(k+1)$ or $l_p = m(k+1) + 1$, then P is not hypoelliptic at $t = 0$.*

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Throughout this section, P will be of the form (2), satisfying (4) and (5). We associate to P the operator

$$(9) \quad \tilde{P} = (\partial_t - at^k A) (\partial_t - bt^k A) + ct^{k-1} A.$$

The following propositions reduce the proof of Theorem 3 for the general operator P to that of the simpler operator $\tilde{P}$:

PROPOSITION 8. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- a) III holds for P ;
- b) IV holds for P ;
- c) III holds for $\tilde{P}$;
- d) IV holds for $\tilde{P}$ (for $\tilde{P}$, $J_1 = \mathbb{R}$).

PROOF. That $b) \Rightarrow a)$ and $d) \Rightarrow c)$ is evident. Suppose $a)$ holds; on $J_1 \setminus \{o\}$ P is elliptic, so $(1)_{s,m}$ holds for all $(s,m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/k$ on $J_1 \setminus \{o\}$, and by $a)$ it also holds on some neighborhood of 0; now Remark 1 and Theorem 2 gives $b)$. Similarly, $c) \Rightarrow d)$.

We use now the fact that $P - \tilde{P} = R_1 + R_2$, where $R_2 \in {}^kN^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $R_1 = tU$, with $U \in {}^kN^{2,2}(\Omega)$. Hence, Proposition 5 and Theorem 2 show that $b)$ for P implies $a)$ for $P - R_2$. To end the proof, it is enough to prove the following analog of Proposition 5:

(10) *If $(2)_{0,0}$ holds for P on the neighborhood J of 0, then it also holds for $P - R$ on a possibly smaller neighborhood J' of 0, if $R = tU$, with $U \in {}^kN^{2,2}(\Omega)$.*

Now, $\|t\phi\|_{0,0} \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{0,0}$ if $\phi \in C_c^\infty((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon), H^\infty)$, and since $U: {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{2,2}(J) \rightarrow {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{0,0}(J)$ is continuous, given a compact neighborhood K of 0, with $K \subset J$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\|U\phi\|_{0,0} \leq C \|\phi\|_{2,2} \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty(K, H^\infty),$$

hence

$$\|R\phi\|_{0,0} = \|tU\phi\|_{0,0} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C} \|U\phi\|_{0,0} \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{2,2},$$

$$\forall \phi \in C_c^\infty\left(\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{C}, \frac{\varepsilon}{C}\right) \cap K, H^\infty\right).$$

Since,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \varepsilon' > 0 \text{ such that } \|R\phi\|_{0,0} \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{2,2}, \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^\infty((-\varepsilon', \varepsilon'), H^\infty),$$

it is now easy to prove (10).

PROPOSITION 9. *a) Conditions I, II, III, IV are equivalent. b) If $Pu \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$*

but $u \notin {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2,m+2}(J)$, then $u \notin {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2-j,m+2-j-\frac{(k+1)}{k}}(J)$ for $j=0,1,2,\dots$

PROOF. $a)$ We already know that $\text{III} \Leftrightarrow \text{IV}$, and it is evident that $\text{II} \Rightarrow \text{I}$. That $\text{IV} \Rightarrow \text{II}$ follows by remarking that we have a continuous injection

$${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2,2}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+\frac{2}{k+1}}(J) \quad (\text{Corollary 1}).$$

Finally, $\text{I} \Rightarrow \text{III}$: in fact, suppose that $(7)_s$ holds. Then, if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty})$ is such that $Pu \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^s(J)$, we get by $(7)_s$ that $u \in \mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+\frac{2}{k+1}}(J)$, which is con-

tained in ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s, -\frac{2}{k}}(J)$ (Corollary 1).

Hence, by b) case $j=2$, we have $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2, 2}(J)$, so that $(1)_{s,0}$, hence III holds.

b) We begin with the following claim (which is but b) in the case $j=1$):

(11) If $Pu \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$ and $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+1, m+2-\frac{k+1}{k}}(J)$, then $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2, m+2}(J)$.

In fact, since $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+1, m+2-\frac{k+1}{k}}(J)$, we have $t^{k-1}Au \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$. Let now α be a sufficiently great positive real number. Then $P_1 = P - \alpha\delta t^{k-1}A$ has $\operatorname{Re} l_{p_1} \leq -\frac{k}{2}$ hence (by Corollary 2 and Theorem 2) P_1 satisfies $(1)_{s,m}$. Since $P_1u = Pu - \alpha\delta t^{k-1}Au \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$, we get $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2, m+2}(J)$. Suppose now that b) case j was proved and let us prove b) case $j+1$. Let $Pu \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$, $u \notin {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2, m+2}(J)$. By $b)_j$ we have $u \notin {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2-j, m+2-j\frac{(k+1)}{k}}(J)$. Since ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \subsetneq {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-j, m-j\frac{(k+1)}{k}}(J)$ (Corollary 1), we have $Pu \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-j, m-j\frac{(k+1)}{k}}(J)$ and $u \notin {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2-j, m+2-j\frac{(k+1)}{k}}(J)$, which by (11) (with $s-j$ and $m-j\frac{(k+1)}{k}$ substituted for s and m , respectively) gives b) $j+1$.

COROLLARY 3. The following 8 conditions are equivalent: I, II, III, IV for P and I, II, III, IV for $\tilde{P}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. The remark that $l_{p*} = \bar{l}_p$ immediately shows that, if we prove $a) \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow a)$, then we will also have $b) \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow b)$.

$a) \Rightarrow c)$: $a) \Rightarrow \text{II}$ for $\tilde{P} \Rightarrow \tilde{P}$ hypoelliptic $\Rightarrow c)$. The last implication is Proposition 7.

$c) \Rightarrow a)$: Since $l_{\tilde{p}} = l_p$ it is enough to prove $c) \Rightarrow a)$ for $\tilde{P}$, given by (9). Let us call $P(c) = (\dot{o}_t - at^kA)(\partial_t - bt^kA) + ct^{k-1}A$, $X = \partial_t - at^kA$, $Y = \dot{o}_t - bt^kA$. It is enough to prove, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(12)_j $c) \Rightarrow a)$ when $\operatorname{Re} e_{p(c)} \leq (j-1)(k+1)$.

By Corollary 2 (and Theorem 2), (12)₀ is true. For the inductive step, it is enough to prove:

(13) if $c \neq 0$, $c \neq \delta$, and $P(c - (k+1)\delta)$ satisfies IV, then $P(c)$ satisfies $(1)_{s,m}$ (for $m \geq 5$). For this, we use the following identity, which concatenates $P(c)$ and $P(c - (k+1)\delta)$:

$$(14) \quad P(c - (k+1)\delta) (tY - \frac{c}{\delta}) = (tY - \frac{c}{\delta} + 2) P(c)$$

Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(J, H^{-\infty})$ be such that

$$(15) \quad P(c)u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J) \text{ (hence, by Corollary 1,$$

$$(15') \quad P(c)u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-1, m-1-\frac{1}{k}}(J)).$$

Since $tY - \frac{c}{\delta} + 2 \in {}^kN^{1, 1+\frac{1}{k}}$, we have

$(tY - \frac{c}{\delta} + 2) P(c)u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-1, m-\frac{k+1}{k}}(J)$, and since by hypothesis $P(c - (k+1)\delta)$ satisfies $(1)_{s,m}$ for all $(s, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}/k$, we get from (14):

$$(16) \quad (tY - \frac{c}{\delta})u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+1, m+1-\frac{1}{k}}(J).$$

We also have

$$(17) \quad (tX + \frac{c}{\delta} - 1) (tY - \frac{c}{\delta}) - t^2 P(c) = \frac{c}{\delta} (1 - \frac{c}{\delta}).$$

Since $tX + \frac{c}{\delta} - 1 \in {}^kN^{1, 1+\frac{1}{k}}$ and $t^2 \in {}^kN^{0, \frac{2}{k}}$, (15), (16) and (17) give:

$\frac{c}{\delta}(1 - \frac{c}{\delta})u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s, m-\frac{2}{k}}(J)$, and since $c \neq 0$, $c \neq \delta$ we get

$$(18) \quad u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s, m-\frac{2}{k}}(J).$$

Now we remark that

$$(19) \quad P(c - (k+1)\delta) = P(c) - (k+1)\delta t^{k-1} A$$

From (15'), (18) and (19) we get $P(c - (k+1)\delta)u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s-1, m-1-\frac{1}{k}}(J)$, hence

$$(20) \quad u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+1, m+1-\frac{1}{k}}(J).$$

From (15), (19) and (20) we get $P(c - (k + 1)\delta)u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J)$, hence $u \in {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2,m+2}(J)$. Q.E.D.

FINAL REMARK. After this, it is not difficult to prove that a), b), c) are also equivalent to:

d) $\forall (s,m) \in R \times S, \forall$ open $J' \subset \subset J_1$, P defines an isomorphism from

$${}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s+2,m+2}(J') \Big/ C^\infty(J', H^\infty) \quad \text{onto} \quad {}^k\mathcal{H}_{loc}^{s,m}(J') \Big/ C^\infty(J', H^\infty).$$

e) Same as d), but for P^* instead of P .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] GILIOLI, A. & TREVES, F., *An example in the solvability theory of linear PDEs*, to appear in Amer. J. of Math.
- [2] TREVES, F., *Concatenations of second-order evolution equations applied to local solvability and hypoellipticity*, to appear in Applied Pure Math.
- [3] DE MONVEL, L. B. & TREVES, F., *On a class of pseudodifferential operators with double characteristics*, to appear.

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de S. Paulo
S. Paulo — Brasil