

Anisotropy studies with the Pierre Auger Observatory

R. M. de Almeida*

Universidade Federal Fluminense, EEIMVR, Volta Redonda, RJ, Brazil

E-mail: rmenezes@id.uff.br

for the Pierre Auger Collaboration

Observatorio Pierre Auger, Av. San Martín Norte 304, 5613 Malargue, Argentina

E-mail: auger_spokespersons@fnal.gov

Full author list at: http://www.auger.org/archive/authors_2018_10.html

The search for understanding the cosmos has led mankind to a deep investigation of the sky. Using telescopes that capture radiation in various wavelength ranges, we can assess the existence of planets, stars, galaxies, clusters of galaxies, etc. In addition to electromagnetic radiation, subatomic particles, such as nucleons, or atomic nuclei, that propagate from space to our planet, here defined as cosmic rays, carry information that is revealing about the Universe. In particular, for those with energies above 10^{18} eV called Ultra High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR), interactions with the Earth's atmosphere make it possible to estimate their energy and chemical composition as well as to relate their arrival directions with potential astrophysical sources. In this work we describe two recent results obtained by the Pierre Auger Collaboration related to anisotropy studies. These are the large-scale anisotropy observed in the arrival direction of the events detected with energies above 8 EeV and indications of anisotropy at intermediate scales for those with energies above 40 EeV.

Neutrino Oscillation Workshop (NOW2018)

9 - 16 September, 2018

Rosa Marina (Ostuni, Brindisi, Italy)

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

Ultra high energy cosmic rays (UHECR) are particles with energies above 1 EeV¹ that hit our atmosphere coming from space. There are many open questions about them, such as their chemical composition, sources and acceleration mechanisms. The Pierre Auger Observatory, located in Malargue, province of Mendoza, Argentina, is the largest cosmic-ray experiment in the world. It is designed to measure the energy, composition and arrival directions of cosmic rays above 10¹⁸ eV in order to unveil the astrophysical scenario behind such ultra high energy particles. Its configuration comprises a Surface Detector (SD), consisting of a set of 1,660 Cherenkov radiation stations (WCD - Water-Cherenkov Detector), distributed over 3,000 km² and surrounded by the fluorescence detector (FD - Fluorescence Detector), which comprises a total of 27 telescopes. The SD detects particles from atmospheric showers that hit the ground with an almost 100% duty cycle while the fluorescence telescopes measure the development of the extensive atmospheric shower focusing on the ultraviolet radiation generated by the interaction between the shower particles and the nitrogen molecules along their trajectory with a duty cycle of 13%. By means of the information collected by the FD, it is possible to determine the primary energy and the depth in the atmosphere in which the particle production of the shower reaches a maximum value, called $X_{\max}$, a very important parameter associated with the primary particle composition.

Among the many very interesting results obtained by the Pierre Auger Collaboration, for the better understanding of this work, the reader is referred to the measurement of the suppression of the flux of cosmic rays with energies above 40 EeV [1] and the observation of an increasingly heavier composition for events with energies above the ankle, the region of the spectrum around 4 EeV which presents a very sharp change in its slope. In this work, we describe the recent results obtained by the Pierre Auger Collaboration associated with anisotropy in the arrival direction of the events.

2. Arrival Directions

The distribution of the arrival directions of the ultra high energy cosmic rays is the most important clue to the understanding of the origin of these particles. The Pierre Auger Collaboration has performed several anisotropy searches by using different techniques, namely, blind searches for flux excess, auto-correlation, correlation with astrophysical sources and with the directions of events detected by different experiments, as well as harmonic analysis. Among the different results, the large-scale anisotropy observed in the direction of the events with energies above 8 EeV is the most significant one obtained by the Pierre Auger Collaboration [2]. This study was performed by using events with energies above 4 EeV, exploring the region for which the SD is fully efficient up to zenith angles of 80°. With such a range of zenith angles, we cover 85% of the celestial sphere. A first harmonic analysis in the right ascension distributions of the events was applied to two energy bins, namely [4, 8] EeV and $E \geq 8$ EeV. The dipole amplitude obtained for the first bin is $r_\alpha = 0.005^{+0.006}_{-0.002}$, with a chance probability of arising from an isotropic distribution of 60%. On the other hand, for events with energies above 8 EeV, the amplitude of the first harmonic is $r_\alpha = 0.047^{+0.008}_{-0.007}$ with a chance probability of arising by fluctuation of an isotropic distribution of

¹ 1 EeV = 10¹⁸ eV

2.6×10^{-8} , corresponding to significance of 5.2σ after statistical penalizations. The corresponding cosmic-ray flux is shown in the top panel of Figure 1. A clear dipole pattern can be seen with a reconstructed 3D dipole of 6.5% amplitude pointing to a Galactic coordinates $(l, b) = (233^\circ, -13^\circ)$, $\sim 125^\circ$ away from the Galactic center, supporting the hypothesis that the origin of these cosmic rays is extragalactic.




Figure 1: Top: measured cosmic-ray flux for events detected with energy above 8 EeV averaged on top-hat windows of 45° , in Galactic coordinates [2]. The direction of the reconstructed dipole is shown together with the 68% and 95% C.L. regions. The 2MRS dipole direction is also shown as well as cosmic-ray expectations from 2MRS sources, taking into account magnetic deflections. Bottom: evolution of the dipole amplitude as a function of energy as well as expectations from two different extragalactic source scenarios [3].

The dipole direction is $\sim 55^\circ$ away from the 2MRS dipole. Notice, however, that, as shown in Figure 1, the direction of the cosmic ray dipole resulting from the 2MRS galaxies after taking into account the deflection on the Galactic magnetic field (arrows point to dipole directions corresponding to two representative values of E/Z) would not be far from the one observed in the Auger data. A new analysis was performed in [3] by splitting the highest energy bin in three: $[8, 16]$ EeV, $[16, 32]$ EeV and $E \geq 32$ EeV. The reconstructed amplitude as a function of the energy together with expectations of scenarios in which extragalactic sources are uniformly distributed or following the observed galaxy distribution [4] are shown in the bottom side of Figure 1. The amplitude

of the dipole increases with energy above 4 EeV, as expected by the predictions. Although there is no clear trend in the change of dipole direction as a function of energy, they are consistent with an extragalactic origin in all bins.

In a different analysis, a very interesting indication of anisotropy at intermediate scales for events with energies above 40 EeV was obtained in [5]. In this study, the analysis takes into account the flux of single sources, based on the assumption that the UHECR flux is proportional to the non-thermal electromagnetic flux. Thus, the arrival directions of the events detected by the Pierre Auger Collaboration were analyzed in comparison with nearby gamma-AGNs and starburst galaxies from the *Fermi*-LAT source catalogs. The two extragalactic gamma-ray populations used in this study are composed by 17 blazars and radio-galaxies with integral γ -ray fluxes used as a proxy for the UHECR flux and 23 starburst galaxies with a radio flux larger than 0.3 Jy. The attenuation of the flux due to energy losses in the propagation of the cosmic ray until Earth was also taken into account. The sky model is derived as a combination of isotropic and anisotropic components coming from the sources. The free parameters of the model are the fraction of events coming from the sources and a smearing angle that takes into account the average deflection in the cosmic-ray trajectory due to magnetic fields. The top panel of Figure 2 shows the test statistic (TS) based on the likelihood ratio between model and isotropy as a function of the energy.

The maximum of the test statistic evolution is found for 60 EeV for the AGNs and 39 EeV for the starburst galaxies. The best-fit parameters for the starburst galaxy case are 10% of anisotropic fraction and a smearing angle of 13° . The TS obtained is 24.9 corresponding to a significance of $\sim 4\sigma$. The corresponding values for the γ -ray AGN case are 7% and 7° with a TS of 15.2 ($\sim 2.7\sigma$). The bottom panel of Figure 2 presents the observed excess map for the starburst galaxy population considering events with energies above 39 EeV.

3. Future prospects

The Pierre Auger Observatory is undergoing a major upgrade phase called AugerPrime [6]. It consists in the deployment of scintillators on top of each SD together with the installation of an extra small photomultiplier in each water-Cherenkov detection to increase the dynamic range. Also, radio antennas and new electronics will be installed in the whole array. The main goal of the AugerPrime is to enhance the determination of nuclear mass composition exploiting the 100% duty cycle of the surface detectors. This will certainly help the searches for anisotropies since it will allow to restrict the analyses to less deflected light particles. Therefore, by considering the events that will be detected by the Pierre Auger Observatory in the next years, the expectations for improvements in our knowledge about the sources of the ultra high energy cosmic rays are very promising.




Figure 2: Top: test statistic (TS) as a function of the energy threshold for SBGs and γ -AGNs with and without take into account the attenuation of the intensity due to energy losses [5]. Bottom: observed excess map for starburst galaxies [5].

References

- [1] J. Abhraham et al. [Pierre Auger Coll.], PLB 685, 239 (2010)
- [2] A. Aab et al. [Pierre Auger Coll.], Science 357 no.6537, 1266
- [3] A. Aab et al. [Pierre Auger Coll.], ApJ, Vol 868, Number 1 (2018)
- [4] D. Harari, S. Mollerach, E. Roulet, PRD 92, 063014 (2015)
- [5] A. Aab et al. [Pierre Auger Coll.], ApJ, Vol 853:L29, Number 2 (2018)
- [6] A. Aab et al. [Pierre Auger Coll.], "arXiv:1604.03637 [astro-ph.IM].

The Pierre Auger Collaboration

A. Aab⁷⁵, P. Abreu⁶⁷, M. Aglietta^{50,49}, I.F.M. Albuquerque¹⁹, J.M. Albury¹², I. Allekotte¹, A. Almela^{8,11}, J. Alvarez Castillo⁶³, J. Alvarez-Muñiz⁷⁴, G.A. Anastasi^{42,43}, L. Anchordoqui⁸², B. Andrada⁸, S. Andringa⁶⁷, C. Aramo⁴⁷, H. Asorey^{1,28}, P. Assis⁶⁷, G. Avila^{9,10}, A.M. Badescu⁷⁰, A. Balaceanu⁶⁸, F. Barbato^{56,47}, R.J. Barreira Luz⁶⁷, S. Baur³⁷, K.H. Becker³⁵, J.A. Bellido¹², C. Berat³⁴, M.E. Bertaina^{58,49}, X. Bertou¹, P.L. Biermann^b, J. Biteau³², S.G. Blaess¹², A. Blanco⁶⁷, J. Blazek³⁰, C. Bleve^{52,45}, M. Boháčová³⁰, C. Bonifazi²⁴, N. Borodai⁶⁴, A.M. Botti^{8,37}, J. Brack^c, T. Bretz³⁹, A. Bridgeman³⁶, F.L. Brichele³⁹, P. Buchholz⁴¹, A. Bueno⁷³, S. Buitink¹⁴, M. Buscemi^{54,44}, K.S. Caballero-Mora⁶², L. Caccianiga⁵⁵, L. Calcagni⁴, A. Cancio^{11,8}, F. Canfora^{75,77}, J.M. Carceller⁷³, R. Caruso^{54,44}, A. Castellina^{50,49}, F. Catalani¹⁷, G. Cataldi⁴⁵, L. Cazon⁶⁷, J.A. Chinellato²⁰, J. Chudoba³⁰, L. Chytká³¹, R.W. Clay¹², A.C. Cobos Cerutti⁷, R. Colalillo^{56,47}, A. Coleman⁸⁶, M.R. Coluccia^{52,45}, R. Conceição⁶⁷, A. Condorelli^{42,43}, G. Consolati^{46,51}, F. Contreras^{9,10}, M.J. Cooper¹², S. Coutu⁸⁶, C.E. Covault⁸⁰, B. Daniel²⁰, S. Dasso^{5,3}, K. Daumiller³⁷, B.R. Dawson¹², J.A. Day¹², R.M. de Almeida²⁶, S.J. de Jong^{75,77}, G. De Mauro^{75,77}, J.R.T. de Mello Neto^{24,25}, I. De Mitri^{42,43}, J. de Oliveira²⁶, V. de Souza¹⁸, J. Debatin³⁶, O. Deligny³², N. Dhital⁶⁴, M.L. Díaz Castro²⁰, F. Diogo⁶⁷, C. Dobrigkeit²⁰, J.C. D'Olivio⁶³, Q. Dorosti⁴¹, R.C. dos Anjos²³, M.T. Dova⁴, A. Dundovic⁴⁰, J. Ebr³⁰, R. Engel^{36,37}, M. Erdmann³⁹, C.O. Escobar^c, A. Etchegoyen^{8,11}, H. Falcke^{75,78,77}, J. Farmer⁸⁷, G. Farrar⁸⁵, A.C. Fauth²⁰, N. Fazzini^c, F. Feldbusch³⁸, F. Fenu^{58,49}, L.P. Ferreyro⁸, J.M. Figueira⁸, A. Filipčić^{72,71}, M.M. Freire⁶, T. Fujii^{87,f}, A. Fuster^{8,11}, B. García⁷, H. Gemmeke³⁸, A. Gherghel-Lascu⁶⁸, P.L. Ghia³², U. Giaccari^{24,15}, M. Giammarchi⁴⁶, M. Giller⁶⁵, D. Glas⁶⁶, J. Glombitza³⁹, G. Golup¹, M. Gómez Berisso¹, P.F. Gómez Vitale^{9,10}, N. González⁸, I. Goos^{1,37}, D. Góra⁶⁴, A. Gorgi^{50,49}, M. Gottowik³⁵, T.D. Grubb¹², F. Guarino^{56,47}, G.P. Guedes²¹, E. Guido^{49,58}, R. Halliday⁸⁰, M.R. Hampel⁸, P. Hansen⁴, D. Harari¹, T.A. Harrison¹², V.M. Harvey¹², A. Haungs³⁷, T. Hebbeker³⁹, D. Heck³⁷, P. Heimann⁴¹, G.C. Hill¹², C. Hojvat^c, E.M. Holt^{36,8}, P. Homola⁶⁴, J.R. Hörandel^{75,77}, P. Horvath³¹, M. Hrabovsky³¹, T. Huege^{37,14}, J. Hulsman^{8,37}, A. Insolia^{54,44}, P.G. Isar⁶⁹, I. Jandt³⁵, J.A. Johnsen⁸¹, M. Josebachuili⁸, J. Jurysek³⁰, A. Kääpa³⁵, K.H. Kampert³⁵, B. Keilhauer³⁷, N. Kemmerich¹⁹, J. Kemp³⁹, H.O. Klages³⁷, M. Kleifges³⁸, J. Kleinfeller⁹, R. Krause³⁹, D. Kuempel³⁵, G. Kukec Mezek⁷¹, A. Kuotb Awad³⁶, B.L. Lago¹⁶, D. LaHurd⁸⁰, R.G. Lang¹⁸, R. Legumina⁶⁵, M.A. Leigui de Oliveira²², V. Lenok³⁷, A. Letessier-Selvon³³, I. Lhenry-Yvon³², D. Lo Presti^{54,44}, L. Lopes⁶⁷, R. López⁵⁹, A. López Casado⁷⁴, R. Lorek⁸⁰, Q. Luce³², A. Lucero⁸, M. Malacari⁸⁷, M. Mallamaci^{55,46}, G. Mancarella^{52,45}, D. Mandat³⁰, B.C. Manning¹², P. Mantsch^c, A.G. Mariazzi⁴, I.C. Mariş¹³, G. Marsella^{52,45}, D. Martello^{52,45}, H. Martinez⁶⁰, O. Martínez Bravo⁵⁹, M. Mastrodicasa^{53,43}, H.J. Mathes³⁷, S. Mathys³⁵, J. Matthews⁸³, G. Matthiae^{57,48}, E. Mayotte³⁵, P.O. Mazur^c, G. Medina-Tanco⁶³, D. Melo⁸, A. Menshikov³⁸, K.-D. Merenda⁸¹, S. Michal³¹, M.I. Micheletti⁶, L. Middendorp³⁹, L. Miramonti^{55,46}, B. Mitrica⁶⁸, D. Mockler³⁶, S. Mollerach¹, F. Montanet³⁴, C. Morello^{50,49}, G. Morlino^{42,43}, M. Mostafa⁸⁶, A.L. Müller^{8,37}, M.A. Muller^{20,d}, S. Müller^{36,8}, R. Mussa⁴⁹, L. Nellen⁶³, P.H. Nguyen¹², M. Niculescu-Oglinzu⁶⁸, M. Niechciol⁴¹, D. Nitz^{84,g}, D. Nosek²⁹, V. Novotny²⁹, L. Nožka³¹, A. Nucita^{52,45}, L.A. Núñez²⁸, A. Olinto⁸⁷, M. Palatka³⁰, J. Pallotta², P. Papenbreer³⁵, G. Parente⁷⁴, A. Parra⁵⁹, M. Pech³⁰, F. Pedreira⁷⁴, J. Pekala⁶⁴, R. Pelayo⁶¹, J. Peña-Rodríguez²⁸, L.A.S. Pereira²⁰, M. Perlin⁸, L. Perrone^{52,45}, C. Peters³⁹, S. Petrer^{42,43}, J. Phuntsok⁸⁶, T. Pierog³⁷, M. Pimenta⁶⁷, V. Pirronello^{54,44}, M. Platino⁸, J. Poh⁸⁷, B. Pont⁷⁵, C. Porowski⁶⁴, R.R. Prado¹⁸, P. Privitera⁸⁷, M. Prouza³⁰, A. Puyleart⁸⁴, S. Querschfeld³⁵, S. Quinn⁸⁰, R. Ramos-Pollán²⁸, J. Rautenberg³⁵, D. Ravignani⁸, M. Reininghaus³⁷, J. Ridky³⁰, F. Riehn⁶⁷, M. Risse⁴¹, P. Ristori², V. Rizi^{53,43}, W. Rodrigues de Carvalho¹⁹, J. Rodríguez Rojo⁹, M.J. Roncoroni⁸, M. Roth³⁷, E. Roulet¹, A.C. Rovero⁵, P. Ruehl⁴¹, S.J. Saffi¹², A. Saftoiu⁶⁸, F. Salamida^{53,43}, H. Salazar⁵⁹, A. Saleh⁷¹, G. Salina⁴⁸, J.D. Sanabria Gomez²⁸, F. Sánchez⁸, E.M. Santos¹⁹, E. Santos³⁰, F. Sarazin⁸¹, R. Sarmento⁶⁷, C. Sarmiento-Cano⁸, R. Sato⁹, P. Savina^{52,45}, M. Schauer³⁵, V. Scherini⁴⁵, H. Schieler³⁷, M. Schimassek³⁶, M. Schimp³⁵, F. Schlüter³⁷, D. Schmidt³⁶, O. Scholten^{76,14}, P. Schovánek³⁰, F.G. Schröder^{88,37}, S. Schröder³⁵, J. Schumacher³⁹, S.J. Sciutto⁴, R.C. Shellard¹⁵, G. Sigl⁴⁰, G. Silli^{8,37}, O. Sima^{68,h}, R. Šmida⁸⁷, G.R. Snow⁸⁹, P. Sommers⁸⁶, J.F. Soriano⁸², J. Souchard³⁴, R. Squartini⁹, D. Stanca⁶⁸, S. Stanić⁷¹, J. Stasielak⁶⁴, P. Stassi³⁴, M. Stolpovskiy³⁴, A. Streich³⁶, F. Suarez^{8,11}, M. Suárez-Durán²⁸, T. Sudholz¹², T. Suomijärvi³², A.D. Supanitsky⁸, J. Šupík³¹, Z. Szadkowski⁶⁶, A. Taboada³⁷, O.A. Taborda¹, A. Tapia²⁷, C. Timmermans^{77,75}, C.J. Todero Peixoto¹⁷, B. Tomé⁶⁷, G. Torralba Elipse⁷⁴, P. Travnicek³⁰, M. Trini⁷¹, M. Tueros⁴, R. Ulrich³⁷, M. Unger³⁷, M. Urban³⁹, J.F. Valdés Galicia⁶³, I. Valiño^{42,43}, L. Valore^{56,47}, P. van Bodegom¹², A.M. van den Berg⁷⁶, A. van Vliet⁷⁵, E. Varela⁵⁹, B. Vargas Cárdenas⁶³, R.A. Vázquez⁷⁴, D. Veberič³⁷, C. Ventura²⁵, I.D. Vergara Quispe⁴, V. Verzi⁴⁸, J. Vicha³⁰, L. Villaseñor⁵⁹, J. Vink⁷⁹, S. Vorobiov⁷¹, H. Wahlberg⁴, A.A. Watson^a, M. Weber³⁸, A. Weindl³⁷, M. Wiedeński⁶⁶, L. Wiencke⁸¹, H. Wilczyński⁶⁴, T. Winchen¹³, M. Wirtz³⁹, D. Wittkowski³⁵, B. Wundheiler⁸, L. Yang⁷¹, A. Yushkov³⁰, E. Zas⁷⁴, D. Zavrtanik^{71,72}, M. Zavrtanik^{72,71}, L. Zehrer⁷¹, A. Zepeda⁶⁰, B. Zimmermann³⁷, M. Ziolkowski⁴¹, Z. Zong³², F. Zuccarello^{54,44}

— • —

¹ Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro (CNEA-UNCuyo-CONICET), San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina

² Centro de Investigaciones en Láseres y Aplicaciones, CITEDEF and CONICET, Villa Martelli, Argentina

³ Departamento de Física and Departamento de Ciencias de la Atmósfera y los Océanos, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires and CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁴ IFLP, Universidad Nacional de La Plata and CONICET, La Plata, Argentina

⁵ Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE, CONICET-UBA), Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁶ Instituto de Física de Rosario (IFIR) - CONICET/U.N.R. and Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas U.N.R., Rosario, Argentina

⁷ Instituto de Tecnologías en Detección y Astropartículas (CNEA, CONICET, UNSAM), and Universidad Tecnológica Nacional - Facultad Regional Mendoza (CONICET/CNEA), Mendoza, Argentina

⁸ Instituto de Tecnologías en Detección y Astropartículas (CNEA, CONICET, UNSAM), Buenos Aires, Argentina

⁹ Observatorio Pierre Auger, Malargüe, Argentina

¹⁰ Observatorio Pierre Auger and Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Malargüe, Argentina

¹¹ Universidad Tecnológica Nacional - Facultad Regional Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

¹² University of Adelaide, Adelaide, S.A., Australia

¹³ Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

¹⁴ Vrije Universiteit Brussels, Brussels, Belgium

¹⁵ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

¹⁶ Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica Celso Suckow da Fonseca, Nova Friburgo, Brazil

¹⁷ Universidade de São Paulo, Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Lorena, SP, Brazil

¹⁸ Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Física de São Carlos, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

¹⁹ Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Física, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

²⁰ Universidade Estadual de Campinas, IFGW, Campinas, SP, Brazil

²¹ Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Brazil

²² Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André, SP, Brazil

²³ Universidade Federal do Paraná, Setor Palotina, Palotina, Brazil

²⁴ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto de Física, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

²⁵ Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Observatório do Valongo, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

²⁶ Universidade Federal Fluminense, EEIMVR, Volta Redonda, RJ, Brazil

²⁷ Universidad de Medellín, Medellín, Colombia

²⁸ Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia

²⁹ Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Prague, Czech Republic

³⁰ Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic

- ³¹ Palacky University, RCPTM, Olomouc, Czech Republic
 - ³² Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, Univ. Paris/Saclay, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France
 - ³³ Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies (LPNHE), Universités Paris 6 et Paris 7, CNRS-IN2P3, Paris, France
 - ³⁴ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble Institute of Engineering Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LPSC-IN2P3, 38000 Grenoble, France, France
 - ³⁵ Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Department of Physics, Wuppertal, Germany
 - ³⁶ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Experimental Particle Physics (ETP), Karlsruhe, Germany
 - ³⁷ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut für Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany
 - ³⁸ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institut für Prozessdatenverarbeitung und Elektronik, Karlsruhe, Germany
 - ³⁹ RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
 - ⁴⁰ Universität Hamburg, II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Hamburg, Germany
 - ⁴¹ Universität Siegen, Fachbereich 7 Physik - Experimentelle Teilchenphysik, Siegen, Germany
 - ⁴² Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila, Italy
 - ⁴³ INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Assergi (L'Aquila), Italy
 - ⁴⁴ INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy
 - ⁴⁵ INFN, Sezione di Lecce, Lecce, Italy
 - ⁴⁶ INFN, Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy
 - ⁴⁷ INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy
 - ⁴⁸ INFN, Sezione di Roma "Tor Vergata", Roma, Italy
 - ⁴⁹ INFN, Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy
 - ⁵⁰ Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino (INAF), Torino, Italy
 - ⁵¹ Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Aerospaziali, Milano, Italy
 - ⁵² Università del Salento, Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica "E. De Giorgi", Lecce, Italy
 - ⁵³ Università dell'Aquila, Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche e Chimiche, L'Aquila, Italy
 - ⁵⁴ Università di Catania, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Catania, Italy
 - ⁵⁵ Università di Milano, Dipartimento di Fisica, Milano, Italy
 - ⁵⁶ Università di Napoli "Federico II", Dipartimento di Fisica "Ettore Pancini", Napoli, Italy
 - ⁵⁷ Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Dipartimento di Fisica, Roma, Italy
 - ⁵⁸ Università Torino, Dipartimento di Fisica, Torino, Italy
 - ⁵⁹ Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, México
 - ⁶⁰ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN (CINVESTAV), México, D.F., México
 - ⁶¹ Unidad Profesional Interdisciplinaria en Ingeniería y Tecnologías Avanzadas del Instituto Politécnico Nacional (UPIITA-IPN), México, D.F., México
 - ⁶² Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México
 - ⁶³ Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México, D.F., México
 - ⁶⁴ Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Krakow, Poland
 - ⁶⁵ University of Łódź, Faculty of Astrophysics, Łódź, Poland
 - ⁶⁶ University of Łódź, Faculty of High-Energy Astrophysics, Łódź, Poland
 - ⁶⁷ Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas - LIP and Instituto Superior Técnico - IST, Universidade de Lisboa - UL, Lisboa, Portugal
 - ⁶⁸ "Horia Hulubei" National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
 - ⁶⁹ Institute of Space Science, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
 - ⁷⁰ University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania
 - ⁷¹ Center for Astrophysics and Cosmology (CAC), University of Nova Gorica, Nova Gorica, Slovenia
 - ⁷² Experimental Particle Physics Department, J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia
 - ⁷³ Universidad de Granada and C.A.F.P.E., Granada, Spain
 - ⁷⁴ Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (I.G.F.A.E.), Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
 - ⁷⁵ IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 - ⁷⁶ KVI - Center for Advanced Radiation Technology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
 - ⁷⁷ Nationaal Instituut voor Kernfysica en Hoge Energie Fysica (NIKHEF), Science Park, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 - ⁷⁸ Stichting Astronomisch Onderzoek in Nederland (ASTRON), Dwingeloo, The Netherlands
 - ⁷⁹ Universiteit van Amsterdam, Faculty of Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 - ⁸⁰ Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA
 - ⁸¹ Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA
 - ⁸² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Lehman College, City University of New York, Bronx, NY, USA
 - ⁸³ Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
 - ⁸⁴ Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA
 - ⁸⁵ New York University, New York, NY, USA
 - ⁸⁶ Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA
 - ⁸⁷ University of Chicago, Enrico Fermi Institute, Chicago, IL, USA
 - ⁸⁸ University of Delaware, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Newark, USA
 - ⁸⁹ University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA
-
- ^a School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
- ^b Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Bonn, Germany
- ^c Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, USA
- ^d also at Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Poços de Caldas, Brazil
- ^e Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- ^f now at Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo
- ^g also at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany
- ^h also at University of Bucharest, Physics Department, Bucharest, Romania

Acknowledgments

The successful installation, commissioning, and operation of the Pierre Auger Observatory would not have been possible without the strong commitment and effort from the technical and administrative staff in Malargüe. We are very grateful to the following agencies and organizations for financial support:

Argentina – Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica; Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT); Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET); Gobierno de la Provincia de Mendoza; Municipalidad de Malargüe; NDM Holdings and Valle Las Leñas; in gratitude for their continuing cooperation over land access; Australia – the Australian Research Council; Brazil – Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq); Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP); Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ); São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) Grants No. 2010/07359-6 and No. 1999/05404-3; Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovações e Comunicações (MCTIC); Czech Republic – Grant No. MSM CR LTT18004, LO1305, LM2015038 and CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001402; France – Centre de Calcul IN2P3/CNRS; Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS); Conseil Régional Ile-de-France; Département Physique Nucléaire et Corpusculaire (PNC-IN2P3/CNRS); Département Sciences de l'Univers (SDU-INSU/CNRS); Institut Lagrange de Paris (ILP) Grant No. LABEX ANR-10-LABX-63 within the Investissements d'Avenir Programme Grant No. ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02; Germany – Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF); Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG); Finanzministerium Baden-Württemberg; Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP); Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Deutscher Forschungszentren (HGF); Ministerium für Innovation, Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen; Ministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst des Landes Baden-Württemberg; Italy – Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN); Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF); Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR); CETEMPS Center of Excellence; Ministero degli Affari Esteri (MAE); México – Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) No. 167733; Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM); PAPIIT DGAPA-UNAM; The Netherlands – Ministry of Education, Culture and Science; Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO); Dutch national e-infrastructure with the support of SURF Cooperative; Poland – National Centre for Research and Development, Grant No. ERA-NET-ASPERA/02/11; National Science Centre, Grants No. 2013/08/M/ST9/00322, No. 2016/23/B/ST9/01635 and No. HARMONIA 5–2013/10/M/ST9/00062, UMO-2016/22/M/ST9/00198; Portugal – Portuguese national funds and FEDER funds within Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade through Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (COMPETE); Romania – Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation CNCS/CCCDI-UESFISCDI, projects PN-III-P1-1.2-PCCDI-2017-0839/19PCCDI/2018, PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2016-1922, PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2016-1659 and PN18090102 within PNCDI III; Slovenia – Slovenian Research Agency; Spain – Comunidad de Madrid; Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) funds; Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad; Xunta de Galicia; European Community 7th Framework Program Grant No. FP7-PEOPLE-2012-IEF-328826; USA – Department of Energy, Contracts No. DE-AC02-07CH11359, No. DE-FR02-04ER41300, No. DE-FG02-99ER41107 and No. DE-SC0011689; National Science Foundation, Grant No. 0450696; The Grainger Foundation; Marie Curie-IRSES/EPLANET; European Particle Physics Latin American Network; European Union 7th Framework Program, Grant No. PIRSES-2009-GA-246806; and UNESCO.

Last modified on 2018-10-04.