Driving the Pathway of CO_2RR by Controlling Water Concentration in Ionic Liquids

Primaggio S. Mantovi[1], Leonardo D. de Angelis[1], Jean C. da Cruz[1], Maykon L. Souza[2], Susana I. C. Torresi[1], Liane M. Rossi[1], Fabio H. Barros de Lima[2], Roberto M. Torresi[1]

[1]*Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 748, 05508-000 São Paulo (SP), Brasil.*

[2]*Instituto de Química de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Trab. São Carlense 400, 13566-590, São Carlos (SP), Brasil.*

primaggio@usp.br

CO_2, a major greenhouse gas linked to global warming, can serve as a reagent for producing valuable molecules[1]. Electrocatalysis is a promising approach for CO_2 reduction under ambient conditions, using water to hydrogenate molecules[2]. However, this field faces the challenge that water also contributes to energy losses via the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER)[3]. Ionic Liquids (ILs), molten salts at room temperature, have shown the ability to expand the Electrochemical Stability Window (ESW), enabling the possibility to work at more negative potentials[4]. This study demonstrates that by controlling water concentration in ILs, it is possible to mitigate the HER and to control CO_2RR pathways. For instance, it was possible to apply -1.6 V vs Fc/Fc^+ with 20 mmol L^{-1} of water and achieve approximately 13% of faradaic efficiency (FE) for H_2. Also, comparing the products distribution, when applying -0.9 and -1.0 V vs Fc/Fc^+ with 80 mmol L^{-1} of water, as shown at Figure 1B, it is possible to drive the CO_2RR toward methanol and methane production. In contrast, with 20 mmol L^{-1}, represented by Figure 1A, at the same potentials, these two products practically disappear, indicating that the intermediate *CHO is less favorable in this situation.



Figure 1: *Faradaic efficiencies for different products from -0.7 to -1.6 V vs Fc/Fc^+ with (A) 20 mmol L^{-1} and (B) 80 mmol L^{-1} of water. The selected potentials were applied for 3 hours, and the products were determined by NMR-H and GC-FID/TCD for liquid and gaseous molecules, respectively.*

1. Woldu, A. R., Huang, Z., Zhao, P., Hu, L. & Astruc, D. Electrochemical CO2 reduction (CO2RR) to multi-carbon products over copper-based catalysts. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **454**, 214340 (2022).
2. Gao, J., Choo Sze Shiong, S. & Liu, Y. Reduction of CO2 to chemicals and Fuels: Thermocatalysis versus electrocatalysis. *Chem. Eng. J.* **472**, 145033 (2023).
3. She, Z. W. *et al.* Combining theory and experiment in electrocatalysis: Insights into materials design. *Science* vol. 355 at https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad4998 (2017).
4. Martins, V. L., Nicolau, B. G., Urahata, S. M., Ribeiro, M. C. C. & Torresi, R. M. Influence of the water content on the structure and physicochemical properties of an ionic liquid and its Li+ mixture. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **117**, 8782–8792 (2013).

Acknowledgements

FAPESP, CAPES and CNPQ for the scholarships