



A van Douwen-like ZFC theorem for small powers of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences [☆]



Artur Hideyuki Tomita

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 March 2018

Received in revised form 3 December 2018

Accepted 23 January 2019

Available online 28 February 2019

To the Memory of Professor W.W. Comfort

MSC:

primary 54H11, 22A05

secondary 54A35, 54G20

Keywords:

Countable compactness

van Douwen

Topological group

Tychonoff product

ZFC

Non trivial convergent sequences

ABSTRACT

We show that if $\kappa \leq \omega$ and there exists a group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences on an Abelian group H such that H^n is countably compact for each $n < \kappa$ then there exists a topological group G such that G^n is countably compact for each $n < \kappa$ and G^κ is not countably compact. If in addition H is torsion, then the result above holds for $\kappa = \omega_1$. Combining with other results in the literature, we show that:

- Assuming \mathfrak{c} incomparable selective ultrafilters, for each $n \in \omega$, there exists a group topology on the free Abelian group G such that G^n is countably compact and G^{n+1} is not countably compact. (It was already known for ω).
- If $\kappa \in \omega \cup \{\omega\} \cup \{\omega_1\}$, there exists in ZFC a topological group G such that G^γ is countably compact for each cardinal $\gamma < \kappa$ and G^κ is not countably compact.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

1.1. Countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences and van Douwen's theorem

In 1980, van Douwen [4] showed in ZFC that if there exists a countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences that is a subgroup of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, then there exist two countably compact subgroups whose product is not countably compact. In the same paper, van Douwen produced a countably compact subgroup

[☆] The author has received support from FAPESP Auxílio regular de pesquisa 2012/01490-9 and CNPq Produtividade em Pesquisa 305612/2010-7 during the research that led to this work. The author received support from CNPq Produtividade em Pesquisa 307130/2013-4 and CNPq Projeto Universal N. 483734/2013-6 Universal during the preparation and revision of the manuscript. Final revision under support from FAPESP 2016/26216-8.

E-mail address: tomita@ime.usp.br.

of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, without non-trivial convergent sequences from Martin's Axiom (a CH example was earlier produced by Hájnal and Juhász [7]). Combining his two results, it follows that countable compactness in the class of topological groups is not productive when Martin's Axiom holds.

In 1991, Hart and van Mill [8] produced from Martin's Axiom for countable posets the first countably compact group whose square is not countably compact. The approach differs from van Douwen's as their example contains many convergent sequences.

In 2004, Tomita and Watson [22] constructed p -compact groups (in particular countably compact groups) from a selective ultrafilter p . With this technique, Garcia-Ferreira, Tomita and Watson [5] obtained a countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences from a single selective ultrafilter.

Szeptycki and Tomita [12] showed that in the Random model there exists a countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences, giving the first example that does not depend on selective ultrafilters. In [13], it was showed that the countable power of this example is countably compact.

Recently, Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos and Shelah showed in ZFC that there exists a Boolean group without non-trivial convergent sequences, answering a question of van Douwen from 1980. Using van Douwen's theorem, they also answer in ZFC a 1966 question of Comfort about the non-productivity of countable compactness in the class of topological groups. Hrušák has informed that the construction can be easily modified to obtain G^{ω} countably compact.

In 2005, Tomita [19] improved van Douwen's theorem showing that if there exists a countably compact Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences then there exists a countably compact Abelian group whose square is not countably compact.

Tkachenko [14] showed that under CH, there exists a countably compact free Abelian group. Koszmider, Tomita and Watson [9] obtained one from Martin's Axiom for countable posets and Madariaga Garcia and Tomita [10] obtained an example from the existence of \mathfrak{c} selective ultrafilters.

1.2. Powers of countably compact groups and Comfort's Question

Inspired by the example of Hart and van Mill and a result of Ginsburg and Saks [6], Comfort asked the following question in the Open Problems in Topology [3]:

Question 1. (Question 477, Open Problems in Topology, 1990) Is there, for every (not necessarily infinite) cardinal number $\kappa \leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, a topological group G such that G^{γ} is countably compact for all cardinals $\gamma < \kappa$, but G^{κ} is not countably compact?

The result in [6] implies that the question above only makes sense for cardinals not greater than $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Partial results for some finite cardinals other than 2 were obtained in [15] and [17] using Martin's Axiom for countable posets. Under the same axiom, finite cardinals were solved in [18].

In [20] the question was answered consistently. It was showed under some cardinal restriction and assuming the existence of $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ incomparable selective ultrafilters, there exists a topological group as in Comfort's question for every cardinal $\leq 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$. The examples obtained are subgroups of a product of copies of 2.

Sanchis and Tomita [11] showed that if there exists a selective ultrafilter then there exists a topological group of order 2 without non-trivial convergent sequences as in Comfort's question, for each cardinal $\alpha \leq \omega_1$.

In [9] and [10], it was showed that there exist a countably compact group topology on the free Abelian group of cardinality \mathfrak{c} whose square is not countably compact from Martin's Axiom and the existence of \mathfrak{c} selective ultrafilters, respectively.

Tomita [21] showed that, assuming the existence of \mathfrak{c} incomparable selective ultrafilters, there exists a topological free Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences whose finite powers are countably compact, improving the square result by Boero and Tomita [1].

1.3. A van Douwen like theorem for Comfort’s Question

In this work we obtain a van Douwen like theorem for Abelian groups without non-trivial convergent sequences whose small powers are countably compact.

We show that given a cardinal $\kappa \leq \omega_1$ and there exists a topological Abelian group of finite order such that H^γ is countably compact for each cardinal $\gamma < \kappa$, then there exists a topological group G as in Comfort’s question 477 for κ .

Applying the recent result of Hrušak, van Mill, Ramos and Shelah, it follows that Comfort’s Question 477 is settled in ZFC for every cardinal $\leq \omega_1$.

We also show that if $\kappa \leq \omega$ and there exists a non-torsion topological Abelian group such that G^γ is countably compact for each $\gamma < \kappa$, then there exists a topological free Abelian group as in Comfort’s question for κ . Applying this result in the example in [21], it follows from the existence of \mathfrak{c} selective ultrafilters that there exists, for each finite cardinal M , a topological free Abelian group G such that G^M is countably compact, but G^{M+1} is not.

2. Countably compact non torsion Abelian groups

2.1. Family of sequences that suffice to keep countable compactness in small powers

We start defining the families that will help us obtain the countable compactness in small powers.

Notation 1. Given $m \in \omega$ and a free Abelian group G , define $\mathcal{F}(G, m)$, as the set of m -uples (f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}) , where A is an infinite subset of ω , with $f_i : A \rightarrow G$ for each $i < m$ and for each nonzero function $s : m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a finite set F_s such that the sequence $(\sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot f_i(n) : n \in A \setminus F_s)$ is one-to-one.

Define $\mathcal{F}(G, < \kappa) = \bigcup_{m < \kappa} \mathcal{F}(G, m)$ for $\kappa \leq \omega$.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ then we will write $\mathcal{F}(< \kappa)$ and $\mathcal{F}(m)$.

Notation 2. Given $F \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ and a family $\{z_{\alpha,i} : (\alpha, i) \in \text{supp } F\}$ of elements of some group H , z_F denotes the sum $\sum_{(\alpha,i) \in \text{supp } F} F(\alpha, i) \cdot z_{\alpha,i}$.

If A is a subset of ω , $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ and $\{z_{\alpha,i} : (\alpha, i) \in \bigcup \{\text{supp } g(n) : n \in A\}\}$, we denote by z_g the function with domain A and range H such that $z_g(n) = z_{g(n)}$, for each $n \in A$.

Given $M \in \omega$, the set $\mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$ will be used often to index $\mathcal{F}(K, < M + 1)$, when K is a free Abelian group:

Lemma 3. Let $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ be a set of generators for an Abelian group G .

Then $\mathcal{F}(G, < M + 1) \subseteq \{(x_{g_i})_{i < m} : (g_i)_{i < m} \in \mathcal{F}(< M + 1)\}$.

Proof. Let $(y_i)_{i < m}$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}(G, < M + 1)$ with each y_i with domain A , for each $i < M$. Since X generates G , there exists $g_i : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ such that $y_i = x_{g_i}$, for each $i < m$.

Fix a nonzero function $s : m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. By hypothesis, there exists a finite set F_s such that the sequence $(\sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot y_i(n) : n \in A \setminus F_s)$ is one-to-one.

Let $\{\chi_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ and Φ be the homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ onto G such that $\Phi(\chi_{\beta,j}) = x_{\beta,j}$, for each $\beta < \mathfrak{c}$ and $j < \omega$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot y_i(n) &= \sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot x_{g_i}(n) = \\ &= \sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot \sum_{(\beta,j) \in \text{supp } g_i(n)} g_i(n)(\beta, j) \cdot x_{\beta,j} = \\ &= \sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot \sum_{(\beta,j) \in \text{supp } g_i(n)} g_i(n)(\beta, j) \cdot \Phi(\chi_{\beta,j}) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(\sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot \sum_{(\beta, j) \in \text{supp } g_i(n)} g_i(n)(\beta, j) \cdot \chi_{\beta, j}) = \\ &\Phi(\sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot g_i(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is a function, it follows that $(\sum_{i < m} s(i) \cdot g_i(n) : n \in A \setminus F_s)$ is one to one. Therefore, $(g_i)_{i < m} \in \mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$. \square

The next lemma shows that the sequences in $\mathcal{F}(G, < M + 1)$ are sufficient to deal with the countable compactness of the M -th power of a free Abelian group G , for each positive integer M .

Lemma 4. *Let M be a positive integer, G be a topological free Abelian group and $(f_i)_{i < M}$ be a sequence of functions of domain A in $\mathcal{F}(G, < M + 1)$ such that the sequence $((f_i(n))_{i < k} : n \in A)$ has an accumulation point in G^k . Then G^M is countably compact.*

Proof. Fix arbitrarily $g_i : \omega \rightarrow G$ for each $i < M$. If there exists $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that each $g_i|_B$ is constant for each $i < k$, we are done. So, we can assume w.l.o.g. that there exists $B \in \omega$ infinite such that $g_j|_B$ is one-to-one, for some $j < M$.

Fix a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω such that $B \in \mathcal{U}$. The Abelian group K generated by $\{[g_i]_{\mathcal{U}} : i < M\}$ is torsion-free and finitely generated. Thus, it is isomorphic to a finite sum of copies of \mathbb{Z} . Let K_0 be the subgroup of K by the classes of constant sequences. Since it is a subgroup of a free Abelian group, K_0 is a free Abelian group. We claim that K/K_0 is also torsion-free. Indeed, if it was not torsion-free then there exists a positive integer l and $h \in K \setminus K_0$ such that $l \cdot h \in K_0$. Let $g \in \{g_i : i < M\}$ be such that $h = [g]_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then, there exists $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(l \cdot g(n) : n \in B)$ is a constant sequence. Consequently, as a subset of a free Abelian group, the sequence $(g(n) : n \in B)$ is constant. Therefore $h = [g]_{\mathcal{U}} \in K_0$, a contradiction. From the claim above and the fact that K/K_0 is finitely generated, it follows that K/K_0 is a free Abelian group. Let $\{t_i : i < k'\}$ with $k' \leq M$ be such that $\{[t_i]_{\mathcal{U}} + K_0 : i < k'\}$ is a basis for K/K_0 . Let $\{t_i : k' \leq i < k''\}$, with $k' \leq k'' \leq M$ be constant functions such that $\{[t_i]_{\mathcal{U}} : k' \leq i < k''\}$ is a basis for K_0 . It is straightforward to see that $\{[t_i]_{\mathcal{U}} : i < k''\}$ is a basis for K .

Let C_0 be an element of \mathcal{U} such that for each $i < k$ there exists $s_i : k'' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $g_i(n) = \sum_{j < k''} s_i(j) \cdot t_j(n)$ for each $n \in C_0$.

Enumerate all functions $r : k' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that are not constantly 0 as $\{r_m : m \in \omega\}$. For each $m \in \omega$ and for each $C \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $\{\sum_{j < k'} r_m(j) \cdot t_j(n) : n \in C\}$ is infinite.

Choose inductively $n_q > \max\{n_0, \dots, n_{q-1}\}$ such that n_q is an element of

$$\bigcap_{a, b \leq q, p < q} (C_0 \setminus \{n \in \omega : \sum_{j < k'} r_a(j) \cdot t_j(n) = \sum_{j < k'} r_b(j) \cdot t_j(n_p)\}).$$

This is possible, since

$$\{n \in \omega : \sum_{j < k'} r_a(j) \cdot t_j(n) = \sum_{j < k'} r_b(j) \cdot t_j(n_p)\}$$

is not an element of \mathcal{U} for each $a, b \leq q$ and $p < q$.

The set $A = \{n_q : q \in \omega\}$ is so that $\{\sum_{j < k'} r_q(j) \cdot t_j(n) : n \in A \setminus n_q\}$ is one-to-one for each $q \in \omega$. Indeed, if $u > v \geq q$ then $n_u \in C_0 \setminus \{n \in \omega : \sum_{j < k'} r_q(j) \cdot t_j(n) = \sum_{j < k'} r_q(j) \cdot t_j(n_v)\}$. Hence, $\sum_{j < k'} r_q(j) \cdot t_j(n_u) \neq \sum_{j < k'} r_q(j) \cdot t_j(n_v)$.

Therefore $(t_j|_A)_{j < k'}$ is in $\mathcal{F}(G, k')$. By hypothesis, $((t_j(n))_{j < k'} : n \in A)$ has an accumulation point in $G^{k'}$. As $t_j|_A$ is constant for $k' \leq j < k''$, it follows that there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{V} on A and $(d_j)_{j < k''}$ in $G^{k''}$ that is the \mathcal{V} -limit of $((t_j(n))_{j < k''} : n \in A)$.

Since A is a subset of C_0 , the previously defined $s_i : k'' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, for each $i < k$, are such that $g_i(n) = \sum_{j < k''} s_i(j) \cdot t_j(n)$ for each $n \in A$. Therefore $\{(g_i(n))_{i < k} : n \in A\}$ has $(\sum_{j < k''} s_i(j) \cdot d_j)_{i < k}$ as an accumulation point in G^k . \square

2.2. Accumulation points

We will prove some auxiliary results to show that sequences associated to $\mathcal{F}(< k)$ have many accumulation points that are related to independent sets. This property is used to preserve accumulation points after refining the topology.

Lemma 5. *Let H be a topological Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences such that H^M is countably compact for some positive integer M , A_0 and A_1 are infinite subsets of ω and $\{y_{i,n} : i < M \text{ and } n \in A_0 \cup A_1\}$ is a subset of H . Suppose that, for each $j < 2$, the function $s_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and the finite set F_j are such that the sequence $\{\sum_{i < M} s_j(i) \cdot y_{i,n} : n \in A_j \setminus F_j\}$ is one-to-one.*

If $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_j\}$ has an accumulation point in an open set U_j of H^M then, for each $j < 2$, there exist an infinite subset B_j of A_j and a basic open set $V_j = \prod_{i < M} V_{j,i}$ subset of U_j such that

- (1) $\overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$, for each $j < 2$;
- (2) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in B_j\}} \subseteq V_j$, for each $j < 2$;
- (3) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{0,i}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{1,i}} = \emptyset$; and
- (4) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{0,i}} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{1,i}}$.

Proof. Fix $j < 2$. By hypothesis, the sequence $((y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_j)$ has an accumulation point $(a_i)_{i < M} \in U_j$. Let O_j be a open neighborhood of $(a_i)_{i < M}$ such that $\overline{O_j} \subseteq U_j$.

The set $C_j = \{n \in A_j \setminus F_j : (y_{i,n})_{i < M} \in O_j\}$ is infinite and the sequence $(\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot y_{i,n} : n \in C_j)$ is one-to-one. These sequences have \mathfrak{c} many accumulation points, for each $j < 2$. We can fix $c_0, c_1 \in H$ such that $c_0 \neq c_1 \neq 0 \neq c_0$ and c_j is an accumulation point of $\{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot y_{i,n} : n \in C_j\}$, for each $j < 2$. Let W_j be a neighborhood of c_j , for each $j < 2$, such that $\overline{W_0} \cap \overline{W_1} = \emptyset$ and $0 \notin \overline{W_0} \cup \overline{W_1}$. Let W_j^* be an open set such that $c_j \in W_j^* \subseteq \overline{W_j^*} \subseteq W_j$, for each $j < 2$.

The set $D_j = \{n \in C_j : \sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot y_{i,n} \in W_j^*\}$ is infinite and $((y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in D_j) \subseteq O_j$ has an accumulation point $(b_{j,i})_{i < M} \in \overline{O_j} \subseteq U_j$.

It follows from the definition of D_j that $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot b_{j,i} \in \overline{W_j^*} \subseteq W_j$. Using the continuity of the addition, it follows that there exists a basic open set $V_j = \prod_{i < M} V_{j,i}$ such that $(b_{j,i})_{i < M} \in V_j \subseteq \overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$ and $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot V_{j,i} \subseteq W_j$. Therefore, $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot V_{j,i}} \subseteq \overline{W_j}$. By the properties of $\overline{W_j}$ for $j < 2$, it follows that conditions 3) and 4) are satisfied. The sets V_j for $j < 2$ were defined to satisfy condition 1).

Let V_j^* be an open set such that $(b_{j,i})_{i < M} \in V_j^* \subseteq \overline{V_j^*} \subseteq V_j$, for each $j < 2$. The set $B_j = \{n \in D_j : (y_{i,n})_{i < M} \in V_j^*\}$, for $j < 2$, satisfies condition 2). \square

Proposition 6. *Let H be a topological Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences, M be a positive integer such that H^M is countably compact and $((y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A) \in \mathcal{F}(H, M)$.*

There exists a family $\{a_{i,f} : i < M \text{ and } f \in {}^\omega 2\}$ in H such that

- A) $(a_{i,f} : i < M)$ is an independent set whose elements have infinite order, for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$;
- B) $(a_{i,f})_{i < M}$ is an accumulation point of $((y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A)$, for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$ and
- C) $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot a_{i,f_0} \neq \sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot a_{i,f_1}$, whenever $s_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of nonempty support for each $j < 2$ and $f_0, f_1 \in {}^\omega 2$ with $f_0 \neq f_1$.

Proof. We will construct a tree of basic open subsets of H^M , $\{V_p : p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2\}$ and a tree of subsets of A , $\{A_p : p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2\}$ satisfying the following:

- a) $\overline{V_{p \wedge j}} \subseteq V_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $j < 2$;
- b) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_p\}} \subseteq V_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$;

In c) and d) below, we recall that $V_{p,i}$ is the i -th coordinate of the basic open set V_p , for each $i < M$:

c) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{p_0,i}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{p_1,i}} = \emptyset$, whenever $|p_0| = |p_1| = k$, $s_j : M \rightarrow [-k, k] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ has nonempty support and $p_0 \neq p_1$;

d) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot V_{p,i}}$, whenever $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $s : M \rightarrow [-|p|, |p|] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ has nonempty support and

e) $A_{p \wedge j} \subseteq A_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $j < 2$.

Let us assume for a moment that there are sets satisfying a)-e). By condition e), we can find $A_f \subseteq A$ such that $A_f \setminus A_{f|_n}$ is finite, for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$ and for each $n \in \omega$.

Let $(a_{i,f})_{i < M}$ be an accumulation point of $((y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_f)$. It follows that condition B) is satisfied.

Fix a non-constantly 0 function $s : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{ran } s \subseteq [-k, k]$. Then $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot a_{i,f} \in \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot V_{f|_k,i}}$ by condition b). By condition d), this last set does not contain 0, therefore, $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot a_{i,f} \neq 0$. As s is arbitrary, it follows that $(a_{i,f} : i < M)$ is linearly independent and condition A) is satisfied.

Fix functions of nonempty support $s_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_j \in {}^\omega 2$ for $j < 2$ with $f_0 \neq f_1$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\text{ran } s_0 \cup \text{ran } s_1 \subseteq [-k, k]$ and $f_0|_k \neq f_1|_k$.

By condition c), it follows that

$$\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{f_0|_k,i}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{f_1|_k,i}} = \emptyset.$$

It follows by condition b) that, for each $j < 2$,

$$\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot a_{i,f} \in \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot V_{f_j|_k,i}}.$$

Therefore $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot a_{i,f_0} \neq \sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot a_{i,f_1}$ and condition C) is satisfied. Hence all conditions A)-C) are satisfied.

We will now go back to the construction of the sets satisfying condition a) – e). Set $V_\emptyset = G$ and $A_\emptyset = A$. Clearly all conditions are satisfied.

Suppose that V_p and A_p are constructed for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < m} {}^k 2$ and satisfy the inductive conditions a)-e).

For each $p \in {}^{m-1} 2$, set $A_{p \wedge 0} = A_{p \wedge 1} = A_p$ and $V_{p \wedge 0} = V_{p \wedge 1} = V_p$. Enumerate as $\{((s_j^0, p_j^0), (s_j^1, p_j^1)) : j < t\}$ all the pairs $((s_u, p_u), (s_{u+1}, p_{u+1}))$ such that $p_i \in {}^m 2$ with $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $s_u : M \rightarrow [-m, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ whose support is nonempty, for each $u < 2$.

Suppose we have defined A_p^j and V_p^j for each $j < l \leq t$ satisfying:

I) $\overline{V_p^{j+1}} \subseteq V_p^j$ and $A_p^{j+1} \subseteq A_p^j$ if $p \in \{p_j^0, p_j^1\}$ and $j + 1 < l$;

II) $V_p^{j+1} = V_p^j$ and $A_p^{j+1} = A_p^j$ if $p \in {}^m 2 \setminus \{p_j^0, p_j^1\}$ and $j + 1 < l$;

III) $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_p^j\} \subseteq V_p^j$, for each $p \in {}^m 2$ and $j < l$;

IV) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_j^0,i}^{j+1}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_j^1,i}^{j+1}} = \emptyset$, for each $j + 1 < l$; and

V) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_j^0,i}^{j+1}} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_j^1,i}^{j+1}}$.

By III), we can apply Lemma 5 on $A_r = A_{p_{l-1}^{l-1}}$ and $U_r = V_{p_{l-1}^{l-1}}$ to obtain $A_{p_{l-1}^l} \subseteq A_{p_{l-1}^{l-1}}$ and a basic open set $V_{p_{l-1}^l}$ for each $r < 2$ that satisfy:

i) $\overline{V_{p_{l-1}^l}^l} \subseteq V_{p_{l-1}^{l-1}}^{l-1}$ for each $r < 2$;

ii) $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_{p_{l-1}^l}^l\} \subseteq V_{p_{l-1}^l}^l$, for each $r < 2$;

iii) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^0} s_{l-1}^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1,i}^0}^l} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^1} s_{l-1}^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1,i}^1}^l} = \emptyset$; and

iv) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^0} s_{l-1}^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1,i}^0}^l} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^1} s_{l-1}^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1,i}^1}^l}$.

Condition I) holds by i). Define $V_p^l = V_p^{l-1}$ and $A_p^l = A_p^{l-1}$ if $p \in {}^m 2 \setminus \{p_{l-1}^0, p_{l-1}^1\}$ as in condition II). Condition III) for $p \in \{p_{l-1}^0, p_{l-1}^1\}$ is satisfied by ii). Condition III) for $p \in {}^m 2 \setminus \{p_{l-1}^0, p_{l-1}^1\}$ is satisfied by condition II) and condition III) for $j = l - 1$. Conditions IV) and V) for $j = l - 1$ follows from conditions iii) and iv).

Now, define $V_p = V_p^t$ and $A_p = A_p^t$. We will check that conditions a)-e) are satisfied. For each $p \in {}^m 2$, there exists $j < t$ such that $p = p_j^0$. By I) and II) it follows that

$$\overline{V_p} \subseteq \overline{V_p^{j+1}} \subseteq V_p^j \subseteq V_{p|_{m-1}}$$
 and a) holds.

It follows from condition III) that $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < M} : n \in A_p^t\}} \subseteq V_p^t$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$. Thus condition b) is satisfied.

Fix a pair $(s_0, p_0), (s_1, p_1)$ with $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $|p_0| = |p_1| = m$, $s_r : M \rightarrow [-m, m] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ whose support is nonempty, for each $r < 2$. Let $j < t$ be such that $(s_r, p_r) = (s_j^r, p_j^r)$, for each $r < 2$. By condition IV)

$\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{j+1,i}^0}^j} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{j+1,i}^1}^j} = \emptyset$. By condition I) and II) it follows that $V_{p_r} = V_{p_r^j} \subseteq V_{p_{j+1}^r}$, for each $r < 2$. Thus c) is satisfied.

It follows from condition V) that $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{j,i}^0}^{j+1}} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{j,i}^1}^{j+1}}$. By I) and II) it follows that condition d) is satisfied.

Condition I), II) and $A_p^0 = A_{p|_{m-1}}$ imply that $A_p \subseteq A_{p|_{m-1}}$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{m < \omega} {}^m 2$ and $j < 2$, therefore condition e) is satisfied. \square

Proposition 7. Let M be a positive integer and $\{(g_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha} : \omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$ such that $\bigcup_{i < M_\alpha, n \in A_\alpha} \text{supp } g_{\alpha,i}(n) \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Suppose that there exists an infinite non torsion topological group H without non-trivial convergent sequences and H^M is countably compact. Then, there exists a independent set $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subseteq H$ such that $(x_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $((g_{\alpha,i}(n))_{i < M_\alpha} : n \in A_\alpha)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. A countably compact Abelian non torsion group is such that its free rank is at least \mathfrak{c} . In particular, we can find an independent set $\{x_{m,i} : m, i < \omega\}$ of infinite order.

Suppose by induction that $\{x_{\beta,i} : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ is linearly independent with $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Let K be the group generated by $X_\alpha = \{x_{\beta,i} : \beta < \alpha \text{ and } i < \omega\}$.

Then, the sequence $\{(g_{\alpha,i}(n))_{i < M_\alpha} : n < \omega\}$ is already defined. Set $y_{\alpha,i} = x_{g_{\alpha,i}}$, for each $i < M_\alpha$. By the linear independence of X_α , it follows from an argument similar to Lemma 3 that $(y_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(K, M + 1)$. Apply Lemma 6 to obtain $\{a_{f,i} : f \in {}^\omega 2 \text{ and } i < M_\alpha\}$.

We claim that there exists $h \in {}^\omega 2$ such that $X_\alpha \cup \{a_{h,i} : i < M_\alpha\}$ is independent. Suppose by contradiction that this was not the case. Then, there exists $r_f : M_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of nonempty support such that $\sum_{i < M_\alpha} r_f(i) \cdot a_{f,i}$ is an element of K , for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$. By cardinality arguments, it follows that there exists two distinct f_0 and f_1 in ${}^\omega 2$ such that $\sum_{i < M_\alpha} r_{f_0}(i) \cdot a_{f_0,i} = \sum_{i < M_\alpha} r_{f_1}(i) \cdot a_{f_1,i}$, but this contradicts condition C) in Lemma 6.

Set $x_{\alpha,i} = a_{h,i}$, for each $i < M_\alpha$. Choose $x_{\alpha,i}$ for $M_\alpha \leq i < \omega$ so that $\{x_{\beta,i} : \beta \leq \alpha \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ is a basis for a free Abelian group. \square

For the case ω , it is not necessary to refine the topology.

Theorem 8. Suppose that there exists a non torsion topological Abelian group H without non-trivial convergent sequences such that the group H^m is countably compact, for each $m < \omega$. Then, there exists a topological

free Abelian group G of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that G^m is countably compact for each $m < \omega$ and G^ω is not countably compact.

Proof. Let $\{g_{\alpha,i} : \omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < M_\alpha\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{F}(< \omega)$ such that $\bigcup_{i < M_\alpha} \text{supp } g_{\alpha,i} \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$, for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$.

Using the same argument in the proof of Proposition 7, it follows that there exists an independent set $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ such that $(x_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $((x_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < M_\alpha} : n \in \omega)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Let G be the group generated by X . By Lemma 3, every element of $\mathcal{F}(G, < \omega)$ appears listed as $(x_{g_{\alpha,i}})_{i < M_\alpha}$, for some $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$.

By Lemma 4, it follows that every finite power of the group G is countably compact. Tomita [16] showed that the countable power of a topological free Abelian group cannot be countably compact and this ends the proof. \square

2.3. Refining topologies

The next result is used to refine the original topology. A lemma for groups of order 2 appears in Tomita [20]. There are some differences as we are dealing with a group of infinite order. For the sake of completeness we give a detailed proof.

Proposition 9. Let $\{(g_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha} : \omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$ such that $\bigcup_{i < M_\alpha} \text{supp } g_{\alpha,i} \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a basis for a free Abelian group as in Proposition 7. There exists $\{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i \in \omega\} \subseteq \langle X \rangle \times \mathbb{T}^\mathfrak{c}$ such that

- i) $z_{\alpha,i}$ extends $x_{\alpha,i}$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $i < \omega$;
- ii) $(z_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $((z_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < M_\alpha} : n \in \omega)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- iii) For each function $D : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, there exists a coordinate μ such that $z_{n,i}(\mu) = D(n, i)$ for each $(n, i) \in \omega \times \omega$;
- iv) If \mathcal{U} is a free ultrafilter, F_j is a finite nonempty subset of ω for $j < 2$, $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for $j < 2$ are distinct, then $\mathcal{U}\text{-lim } (\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) \neq \mathcal{U}\text{-lim } (\sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$; and
- v) If \mathcal{U}_j for $j < 2$ are distinct ultrafilters, F_j for $j < 2$ are nonempty finite subsets of ω and $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for each $j < 2$, then $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-lim } (\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) \neq \mathcal{U}_1\text{-lim } (\sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$.

Proof. Let A_α be the domain of $f_{0,\alpha}$ and \mathcal{V}_α be a free ultrafilter on A_α such that $(x_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is the \mathcal{V}_α -limit of the sequence $((x_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < M_\alpha} : n \in A_\alpha)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Enumerate $\mathbb{T}^{\omega \times \omega}$ as $\{D_\mu : \mu < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

We will define a homomorphism $\phi_\mu : \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ that will witness *iii*), for $D_\mu : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$.

First, set $\phi_\mu(x_{n,i}) = D_\mu(n, i)$, for each $(n, i) \in \omega \times \omega$ and $\phi_\mu(x_{\alpha,i}) = 0$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ and $i \geq M_\alpha$.

Now, use the divisibility of \mathbb{T} , the independence of X and the fact that $\text{supp } g_{\alpha,i}(n) \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$, for each $n \in A_\alpha$, to inductively extend it to a homomorphism such that $\phi_\mu(x_{\alpha,i})$ is the \mathcal{V}_α -limit of the sequence $(\phi_\mu(x_{g_{\alpha,i}(k)}) : k \in A_\alpha)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and for each $i < M_\alpha$.

Let $z_{\alpha,i}$ be the function $x_{\alpha,i} \wedge \{\phi_\mu(x_{\alpha,i}) : \mu < \mathfrak{c}\}$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $i < \omega$. Clearly condition *i*) and *iii*) are satisfied.

Condition *ii*) is also satisfied, as $(z_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is the \mathcal{V}_α -limit of the sequence $((z_{g_{\alpha,i}(k)})_{i < M_\alpha} : k \in A_\alpha)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

To check the two last conditions, we first fix an independent set $\{a_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ whose elements have infinite order.

We will check condition *iv*) first. Fix an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} , finite nonempty subsets F_j of ω for $j < 2$ and distinct functions $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for $j < 2$.

Fix a function D such that $D(n, i) = a_n$ for each $n \in F_0 \cup F_1$ and $i \in \omega$ and let $\mu < \mathfrak{c}$ be such that $D = D_\mu$. Thus, the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(\sum_{n \in F_j} r_j(n).z_{n,i}(\mu) : i \in \omega)$ is $\sum_{n \in F_j} r_j(n).a_n$. By the linearly independence of $\{a_n : n \in F_0 \cup F_1\}$, it follows that $\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n).a_n \neq \sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n).a_n$. Hence, condition *iv*) is satisfied.

Finally, we check condition *v*). Let $\{\mathcal{U}_j : j < 2\}$ be distinct ultrafilters, F_j finite nonempty subsets of ω and $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for each $j < 2$. Fix $m_j \in \omega$ such that $m_j \in F_j$ and B_0, B_1 are disjoint subsets of ω such that $B_j \in \mathcal{U}_j$ for $j < 2$. Set the function $E : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $E(n, i) = a_j$ if $(n, i) \in \{m_j\} \times B_j$ for $j < 2$ and $E(n, i) = 0$ otherwise. Let $\nu < \mathfrak{c}$ be such that $E = D_\nu$.

It follows that $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-lim} (\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n).z_{n,i}(\nu) : i \in \omega) = r_0(m_0).a_0 \neq r_1(m_1).a_1 = \mathcal{U}_1\text{-lim} (\sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n).z_{n,i}(\nu) : i \in \omega)$. Hence condition *v*) is also satisfied. \square

We will now take a subgroup of the refinement to obtain the desired topology for finite powers.

Theorem 10. *Suppose that there exists an infinite non torsion topological group H without non-trivial convergent sequences and such that H^M is countably compact for some positive integer M . Then there exists a free Abelian group G such that G^M is countably compact and G^{M+1} is not countably compact.*

Proof. Let $\{g_{\alpha,i} : \omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < M_\alpha\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$ such that $\bigcup_{i < M_\alpha} \text{supp } g_{\alpha,i} \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ and each element of $\mathcal{F}(< M + 1)$ appears \mathfrak{c} many times in the enumeration.

By Propositions 7 and 9 there exist a family $Z = \{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i \in \omega\}$ such that

- a) $\{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ is a basis for a free Abelian;
- b) $(z_{\alpha,i})_{i < M_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $((z_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < M_\alpha} : n \in \omega)$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- c) For each function $D : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, there exists a coordinate μ such that $z_{n,i}(\mu) = D(n, i)$ for each $(n, i) \in \omega \times \omega$; and
- d) If \mathcal{U}_0 and \mathcal{U}_1 are distinct free ultrafilters. F_0 and F_1 are nonempty finite subsets of ω and $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for each $j < 2$, then $\mathcal{U}_0\text{-lim} (\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n).z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) \neq \mathcal{U}_1\text{-lim} (\sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n).z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$.

Let \mathfrak{U} be the set of all ultrafilters \mathcal{U} such that the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$ is an element of the group $\langle Z \rangle$, for every $n < M + 1$. Note that if $(a_n)_{n < M+1}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $((z_{n,i})_{i < M+1} : i \in \omega)$ then there is $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $(a_n)_{n < M+1}$ is the \mathcal{U} -limit of this sequence.

We will now obtain inductively a subset $I_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ and the desired example will be $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha\} \rangle$.

The induction will satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) $|I_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{I_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 2) \mathfrak{U}_α is the set of all ultrafilters $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$ for which there exists $r : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\emptyset \neq F \subseteq M + 1$ such that the \mathcal{U} -limit of $\{\sum_{m \in F} r(m).z_{m,i} : i \in \omega\}$ is an element of $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 3) $|\mathfrak{U}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is \subseteq -increasing chain;
- 4) J_α is a subset of $\mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ and for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$, there exists $m < M + 1$ such that $\mathcal{U}\text{-lim} \{z_{m,i} : i \in \omega\} \notin \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus J_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 5) $|J_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$ for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{J_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 6) $\theta_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is the least ordinal $\theta \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus \{\theta_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in A_\theta, i < M_\theta} \text{supp } g_{\theta,i}(n) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$;
- 7) $\rho_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is such that $(g_{\theta_\alpha,i})_{i < M_{\theta_\alpha}} = (g_{\rho_\alpha,i})_{i < M_{\rho_\alpha}}$, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- 8) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \omega \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \setminus (\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} (J_\mu \cup I_\mu))$, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- 9) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times M_{\rho_\alpha} \subseteq I_\alpha$, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$; and
- 10) $J_\alpha \cap I_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

We will show first that if $I_\alpha, J_\alpha, \theta_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$ are constructed for $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ satisfying the conditions 1) – 10), then $G = \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha\} \rangle$ is as required.

By condition a), it follows that G is a free Abelian group.

We claim that G^M is countably compact. By Lemma 4, it suffices to show that every sequence associated to an element of $\mathcal{F}(G, m)$ has an accumulation point in G^m , for each $m \leq M$. Fix an arbitrary $m \leq M$ and $(f_i)_{i < m} \in \mathcal{F}(G, m)$. Let A be the domain of f_i for some (all) $i < m$. By Lemma 3, there exists $(h_i)_{i < m} \in \mathcal{F}(m)$ such that $f_i(n) = z_{h_i(n)}$, for each $i < m$ and $n \in A$. Let $\mu < \mathfrak{c}$ be the smallest ordinal such that $\bigcup_{i < m, n \in A} h_i(n) \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \mu} I_\beta$ and let θ be an ordinal such that $A_\theta = A$, $M_\theta = m$ and $(h_0, \dots, h_{m-1}) = (g_{\theta,0}, \dots, g_{\theta,m-1})$.

We claim that $\theta = \theta_\nu$ for some infinite ordinal ν . If that is not the case, $\theta_\beta < \theta$ for each $\beta \geq \mu$, but this contradicts 6) since the θ_β 's are pairwise distinct. Thus, there exists $\nu < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\theta = \theta_\nu$. By condition 7), $M_{\theta_\nu} = M_{\rho_\nu}$ and $g_{\theta_\nu,i} = g_{\rho_\nu,i}$ for each $i < m$ and $(\rho_\nu, i) \in I_\nu$. By b), the sequence $((f_i(n))_{i < m} : n \in A) = ((z_{g_{\rho_\nu,i}}(n))_{i < m} : n \in A)$ has $(z_{\rho_\nu,i})_{i < m} \in G^m$ as an accumulation point.

We will show now that $((z_{n,i})_{n < M+1} : i \in \omega)$ does not have an accumulation point in G^{M+1} . Suppose by way of contradiction that it does have an accumulation point. Then, there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that each sequence $(z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$ has a \mathcal{U} -limit in G , for each $n < M + 1$. In particular, it follows that $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$. Let α be an ordinal such that the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{0,i} : i \in \omega)$ is an element of the group generated by $\{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\}$. It follows by condition 2) that $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$. By 10), there exists $k < M + 1$ such that the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{k,i} : i \in \omega)$ is not an element of $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : \beta \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus J_\alpha\} \rangle$.

By 1), 5) and 10), it follows that $G \subseteq \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus J_\gamma\} \rangle$. Therefore, the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{k,i} : i \in \omega)$ is not in G , a contradiction.

We will now start the inductive construction satisfying conditions 1)–10).

Case 1 [$\alpha < \omega$]. Set $I_\alpha = \omega \times \omega$, for each $\alpha \in \omega$. Then condition 1) holds.

We claim that \mathfrak{U}_α defined as in condition 2) is the empty set. Let $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $z \in \langle \{z_{n,i} : i \in \omega \text{ and } n \in \omega\} \rangle$.

Case A. The element z is not the identity, let $F \subseteq \omega \times \omega$ be a nonempty finite set and $r : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $z = \sum_{(n,i) \in F} r(n,i) \cdot z_{n,i}$. Let $\{a_{n,i} : (n,i) \in F\}$ be an independent set whose elements have infinite order in \mathbb{T} . Let $D : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $D(n,i) = a_{n,i}$ for each $(n,i) \in F$ and $D(n,i) = 0$ for each $(n,i) \in \omega \times \omega \setminus F$. Let $\mu < \mathfrak{c}$ be as in condition c) for D .

Then the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{n,i}(\mu) : i \in \omega)$ is 0 for each $n < M + 1$ and $z(\mu) = \sum_{(n,i) \in F} r(n,i) \cdot a_{n,i} \neq 0$. Hence, the \mathcal{U} -limit of the sequence $(\sum_{n < M+1} s(n) \cdot z_{n,i}(\mu) : i \in \omega)$ is 0 for every $s : M + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, z is not the \mathcal{U} -limit of any sequence $(\sum_{n \in \text{dom } s} s(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$, for every $s : M + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ whose support is nonempty.

Case B. The element z is the identity. Fix $s : M + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ whose support is nonempty and $a \in \mathbb{T}$ any non torsion element. Let $n_* < M + 1$ be such that $s(n_*) \neq 0$. Let $E : \omega \times \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be such that $E(n_*, i) = a$ for each $i \in \omega$ and $E(n, i) = 0$ for each $n \in \omega \setminus \{n_*\}$ and $i \in \omega$. Let $\nu < \mathfrak{c}$ be as in condition c) for E . Then, the \mathcal{U} -lim $(\sum_{n \in M+1} s(n) \cdot z_{n,i}(\nu) : i \in \omega) = \mathcal{U}$ -limit of $(s(n_*) \cdot z_{n_*,i}(\nu) : i \in \omega) = s(n_*) \cdot a \neq 0 = z(\mu)$.

It follows from Cases A and B that $\mathcal{U} \notin \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$, for each $\alpha \in \omega$. Hence condition 2) and 3) are satisfied.

Set $J_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha \in \omega$. Condition 4) is satisfied as $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha = \emptyset$. As $J_\alpha = \emptyset$, it follows that condition 5) and 10) are satisfied. Conditions 6)–9) are trivially satisfied since $\alpha < \omega$.

Suppose that the induction holds for every $\mu < \alpha$.

Case 2 [$\alpha \geq \omega$].

Let θ_α be the least ordinal for which $\bigcup_{i < M_{\theta_\alpha}, n \in \omega} \text{supp } g_{\theta_\alpha,i}(n) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu$. Then condition 6) is satisfied.

The set $\{\beta < \mathfrak{c} : (\exists i \in \omega)((\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu)\}$ has cardinality smaller than \mathfrak{c} and the set $\{\rho \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[: M_\rho = M_{\theta_\alpha} \text{ and } g_{\rho,i} = g_{\theta_\alpha,i} \forall i < M_{\theta_\alpha}\}$ has cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Therefore, we can choose ρ_α satisfying condition 7) and 8). Set $I_\alpha = \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu \cup (\{\rho_\alpha\} \times M_{\rho_\alpha})$. Then, condition 1) and 9) are satisfied.

Let \mathfrak{U}_α be as in condition 2). We claim that $|\mathfrak{U}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$. In fact, for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$, there exists a function of nonempty support $s : M + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that \mathcal{U} -limit of $(\sum_{k \in \text{supp } s} s(k) \cdot z_{k,i} : i \in \omega)$ is $y_{\mathcal{U}} \in \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$.

By condition *d*), it follows that $\{y_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha\}$ are pairwise distinct. Therefore, $|\mathfrak{U}_\alpha| \leq |I_\alpha| + \omega \leq |\alpha| + \omega$ by condition 1). This shows that condition 3) holds.

We will define J_α :

Fix $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu$. Let $z_{\mathcal{U},n}$ be the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$, for each $n < M + 1$. We claim that there is $n_{\mathcal{U}} < M + 1$ such that $z_{\mathcal{U},n_{\mathcal{U}}} \notin \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta,i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$. Suppose by contradiction that this is not the case. Then, there exists $w_n \in \langle \{z_{\rho_\alpha,i} : i < M_{\rho_\alpha}\} \rangle$ such that $z_{\mathcal{U},n} - w_n \in \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta,i) \in \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu\} \rangle$, for each $n < M + 1$. Since $\{w_n : n < M + 1\}$ is a subset of a free Abelian group generated by at most M elements, it follows that there exists a function of nonempty support $r : M + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum_{n \in \text{supp } r} r(n) \cdot w_n = 0$. Thus, \mathcal{U} -limit of $(\sum_{n \in \text{supp } r} r(n) z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) = \sum_{n \in \text{supp } r} r(n) \cdot z_{\mathcal{U},n} = \sum_{n \in \text{supp } r} r(n) \cdot (z_{\mathcal{U},n} - w_n) \in \langle \{z_{\gamma,i} : (\gamma,i) \in \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu\} \rangle$. But this contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{U} \notin \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu$.

Let $F_{\mathcal{U}}$ be a finite subset of $\mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ and $r_{\mathcal{U}} : F_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $z_{\mathcal{U},n_{\mathcal{U}}} = z_{r_{\mathcal{U}}}$. Fix $(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}}) \in F_{\mathcal{U}} \setminus I_\alpha$.

Define J_α as the set $\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} J_\mu \cup \{(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}}) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu\}$. By condition 3), it follows that $|J_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$ and condition 5) holds.

Clearly condition 4) holds for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu$. Since $J_\alpha \supseteq J_\mu$ for $\mu < \alpha$, it follows from 4) at stage μ that condition 4) also holds for \mathfrak{U}_μ at stage α . Thus, condition 4) holds for each element of \mathfrak{U}_α .

Finally, to show that condition 10) holds, note that $J_\alpha \cap I_\alpha$ is the union of three empty sets $[(\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} J_\mu) \cap (\bigcup_{\nu < \alpha} I_\nu)] \cup [(\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} J_\mu) \cap (I_\alpha \setminus (\bigcup_{\nu < \alpha} I_\nu))] \cup [J_\alpha \setminus (\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} J_\mu) \cap I_\alpha]$. The first intersection is empty by inductive hypothesis, the second intersection is empty by the choice of ρ_α and the third intersection is empty by the choice of $(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}})$ for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu$. \square

Example 11. Assume the existence of \mathfrak{c} incomparable selective ultrafilters. For each $M < \omega$, there exists a topological group topology on the free Abelian group G of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that G^M is countably compact and G^{M+1} is not countably compact.

Proof. Assuming the existence of \mathfrak{c} incomparable selective ultrafilters, Tomita [21] showed that there exists a topological free Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences whose every finite power is countably compact. Applying Theorem 10, we obtain the example. \square

3. Countably compact groups of finite order

The proof for the finite case is very similar to the non torsion case but we have the infinite case that did not occur for the non torsion case. A key difference is that the ultrapower of a free Abelian group is no longer a free Abelian group, whereas an ultrapower of a vector space over the field \mathbb{Z}_p is a vector space over the field \mathbb{Z}_p . This last fact is used to show that an arbitrary countable family of sequences can be associated to a family of sufficiently independent sequences for which we can refine the topology as in the torsion case.

We will sketch the proofs for the torsion case and point out some differences with the non torsion case.

Notation 12. Given a prime number P , an Abelian group G of order P and $\lambda \leq \omega$, let $\mathcal{F}_P(G, \lambda)$ be the set of all sequences $(f_i)_{i < \lambda}$ from some infinite subset $A \subseteq \omega$ into G such that, for a nonempty support function $s \in (\mathbb{Z}_P)^\lambda$, there exists F_s finite such that the set $\{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot f_i(n) : n \in A \setminus F_s\}$ is one-to-one.

Define $\mathcal{F}_P(G, < \kappa) = \bigcup_{\lambda < \kappa} \mathcal{F}_P(G, \lambda)$, for each $\kappa \leq \omega_1$.

If $G = \mathbb{Z}_P^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ then we will write $\mathcal{F}_P(< \kappa)$ and $\mathcal{F}_P(\kappa)$, respectively.

Notation 13. Given a prime number P , $F \in \mathbb{Z}_P^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$, a group H of order P and a family $\{z_{\alpha,i} : (\alpha,i) \in \text{supp } F\} \subseteq H$, z_F denotes the sum $\sum_{(\alpha,i) \in \text{supp } F} F(\alpha,i) \cdot z_{\alpha,i}$.

Given A a subset of ω , $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ and $\{z_{\alpha,i} : (\alpha,i) \in \bigcup\{\text{supp } g(n) : n \in A\}\} \subseteq H$, we denote by z_g the function with domain A and range H such that $z_g(n) = z_{g(n)}$, for each $n \in A$.

Lemma 14. *Let $\kappa \leq \omega_1$ be a cardinal and H be a topological group whose torsion subgroup is of uncountable cardinality and such that H^γ is countably compact, for each cardinal $\gamma < \kappa$. Then there exists a prime number P and a group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences on $(\mathbb{Z}_P)^{(\mathfrak{c})}$ such that its γ -th power is countably compact, for each $\gamma < \kappa$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, the torsion subgroup of H is uncountable. Hence, there exists m such that $m.g = 0$ for uncountably many $g \in H$. Let $K_0 = \{g \in H : m.g = 0\}$. The group K_0 is a bounded torsion group, therefore, a direct sum of cyclic groups of some order p^l , p prime, and p^l divisor of m . Then, there exists a prime P that divides m and uncountably many summands that are copies of \mathbb{Z}_{P^l} for some l such that P^l divides m . It follows that $K_1 = \{g \in H : P.g = 0\}$ is uncountable. The group K_1 is a closed subgroup of H , thus the γ -th power of K_1 is countably compact, for each cardinal $\gamma < \kappa$. Using closing off arguments and the fact that $\gamma < \kappa \leq \omega_1$, we can find a subgroup K of K_1 of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that K^γ is countably compact for each $\gamma < \kappa$.

The group H does not have non-trivial convergent sequences, therefore, its subgroup K also inherits this property. Since K is a group of order prime P , it is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_P)^{(\mathfrak{c})}$. Use the isomorphism to give the desired group topology on $(\mathbb{Z}_P)^{(\mathfrak{c})}$. \square

Lemma 15. *Let $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i < \omega\}$ be a set of generators for an Abelian group G of prime order P .*

Then $\mathcal{F}_P(G, < \kappa) \subseteq \{(x_{g_i})_{i < \lambda} : (g_i)_{i < \lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_P(< \kappa)\}$.

Proof. The proof is as in Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 16. *Let $\kappa \leq \omega_1$ and G be a topological Abelian group of prime order P such that $\{(f_i(n))_{i < \lambda} : n \in A\}$ has an accumulation point in G^λ for each $(f_i)_{i < \lambda} \in \mathcal{F}_P(G, < \kappa)$ with $\text{dom } f_i = A$ for each $i < \lambda$. Then G^γ is countably compact, for each $\gamma < \kappa$.*

Proof. Fix $\alpha < \kappa$ and arbitrary functions $g_i : \omega \rightarrow G$, for each $i < \alpha$. We want to show that $((g_i(n))_{i < \alpha} : n \in \omega)$ has an accumulation point in G^α . Fix a free ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω . Then, the Abelian group K generated by $\{(g_i)_{i < \alpha} : i < \alpha\}$ is a vector space of order P of finite (possibly 0) or countable infinite dimension. If the dimension is 0 then the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(g_i(n) : n \in \omega)$ is 0, for each $i < \alpha$ and we are done. Assume that the dimension is positive and let K_0 be the subgroup of K of the classes of constant sequences. Since K is a vector space over the field \mathbb{Z}_P , there exists a subgroup K_1 of K such that K is the direct sum of K_0 and K_1 . Let $\{t_i^j : i < \lambda_j\}$ be such that $\{(t_i^j)_{i < \lambda_j} : i < \lambda_j\}$ is a basis for K_j , for each $j < 2$. We can choose t_i^0 to be constant functions, for each $i < \lambda_0$.

Let C_m be an element of \mathcal{U} for each $m < \alpha$, be such that there exists $s_m^j : \lambda_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with finite support for each $j < 2$ such that $g_m(n) = \sum_{l \in \text{supp } s_m^0} s_m^0(l) \cdot t_l^0(n) + \sum_{l \in \text{supp } s_m^1} s_m^1(l) \cdot t_l^1(n)$, for each $n \in C_m$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{C_m : m \in \omega\}$ is a \subseteq -decreasing sequence.

Enumerate as $\{r_m : m \in \omega\}$ the set of all functions $r : \lambda_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ with nonempty finite support. Note that the set $\{\sum_{j \in \text{supp } r} r(j) \cdot t_j^1(n) : n \in C\}$ is infinite, for each $C \in \mathcal{U}$.

Choose inductively $n_q > \max\{n_0, \dots, n_{q-1}\}$ such that

$$n_q \in \bigcap_{a,b \leq q \wedge p < q} C_q \setminus \{n \in \omega : \sum_{l \in \text{supp } r} r_a(l) \cdot t_l^1(n) = \sum_{l \in \text{supp } r} r_b(l) \cdot t_l^1(n_p)\}.$$

The set $A = \{n_q : q \in \omega\}$ is such that $(\sum_{l \in \text{supp } r_q} r_q(l) \cdot t_l^1(n) : n \in A \setminus n_q)$ is one-to-one for each $q \in \omega$. Therefore $(t_i^1)_{i < \lambda_1}$ is in $\mathcal{F}_P(G, \lambda_1)$, where the domain of each t_i^1 is A . By hypothesis, $((t_i(n))_{i < \lambda_1} : n \in A)$ has an accumulation point in G^{λ_1} .

The proof of some arguments above, as well as rest of the proof is as in the proof of Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 17. Let H be a topological group of prime order P without non-trivial convergent sequences such that H^λ is countably compact for some $\lambda \leq \omega$, A_0 and A_1 infinite subsets of ω and $\{y_{i,n} : i < \lambda \text{ and } n \in A_0 \cup A_1\}$ be a subset of H . Suppose that the function $s_j : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ has finite support and the finite set F_j are such that $\{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j} s_j(i) \cdot y_{i,n} : n \in A_j \setminus F_j\}$ is one-to-one, for each $j < 2$.

If $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A_j\}$ has an accumulation point in an open set U_j of H^λ then there exist B_j an infinite subset A_j and $V_j = \prod_{i < \lambda} V_i^j$ a basic open subset of U_j for each $j < 2$ such that

- (1) $\overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$ for each $j < 2$;
- (2) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in B_j\}} \subseteq V_j$, for each $j < 2$;
- (3) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{0,i}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{1,i}} = \emptyset$; and
- (4) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{0,i}} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{1,i}}$.

Proof. The proof is as in Lemma 5 if we replace M by λ . \square

Proposition 18. Let H be a topological group of order P without non-trivial convergent sequences such that H^γ is countably compact, for some cardinal $0 < \gamma \leq \omega$. Let $((y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A) \in \mathcal{F}_P(H, \lambda)$, for $\lambda \leq \gamma$. There exists a family $\{a_{i,f} : i < \lambda \text{ and } f \in {}^\omega 2\} \subseteq H$ such that

- A) $\{a_{i,f} : i < \lambda\}$ is an independent set whose elements have order P , for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$;
- B) $(a_{i,f})_{i < \lambda}$ is an accumulation point of $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A\}$, for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$; and
- C) $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot a_{i,f_0} \neq \sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot a_{i,f_1}$, for $s_j : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ with nonempty finite support for $j < 2$ and $f_0, f_1 \in {}^\omega 2$ with $f_0 \neq f_1$.

Proof. We modify the proof of Proposition 6 and give here a sketch and indicate where changes had to be made.

We will construct a tree of basic open subsets of H^λ , $\{V_p : p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2\}$ and a tree of subsets of A , $\{A_p : p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2\}$ satisfying conditions a) – d). Conditions c) and d) are different from the proof of Proposition 6.

- a) $\overline{V_p \wedge_j} \subseteq V_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $j < 2$;
- b) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A_p\}} \subseteq V_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$;

In c) and d) below, we recall that $V_{p,i}$ is the i -th coordinate of the basic open set V_p , for each $i < \lambda$:

- c) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_0} s_0(i) \cdot V_{p_0,i}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_1} s_1(i) \cdot V_{p_1,i}} = \emptyset$, whenever $|p_0| = |p_1| = k$, $s_j : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ has non-empty support contained in k and $p_0 \neq p_1$;
- d) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot V_{p,i}}$, whenever $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $s : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ has nonempty support contained in $k \cap \lambda$; and
- e) $A_p \wedge_j \subseteq A_p$, for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < \omega} {}^k 2$ and $j < 2$.

Let us assume for a moment that there are sets satisfying a)-e). By condition e), we can find $A_f \subseteq A$ such that $A_f \setminus A_{f|_n}$ is finite, for each $f \in {}^\omega 2$ and for each $n \in \omega$.

Since H^γ is countably compact and $\lambda \leq \gamma$, there exists $(a_{i,f})_{i < \lambda}$ in H^λ that is an accumulation point of $\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A_f\}$. It then follows that condition B) is satisfied.

Fix a function $s : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ whose support is a nonempty finite set. Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{dom } s \subseteq k$. Then $\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot a_{i,f} \in \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s} s(i) \cdot V_{f|_k,i}}$ by condition b). The same argument in the proof of Proposition 6 shows that condition A) is satisfied.

Fix $s_j : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with nonempty finite support for $j < 2$ and $f_0, f_1 \in {}^\omega 2$ with $f_0 \neq f_1$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\text{dom } s_0 \cup \text{dom } s_1 \subseteq k$ and $f_0|_k \neq f_1|_k$. The same argument now shows that condition C) is satisfied.

Hence all conditions A)-C) are satisfied.

We return to the construction of the sets satisfying condition a) – e). Set $V_\emptyset = G$ and $A_\emptyset = A$. Clearly all conditions are satisfied.

Suppose that V_p and A_p are constructed for each $p \in \bigcup_{k < m} {}^k 2$ and satisfy the inductive conditions a)–e).

For each $p \in {}^{m-1} 2$, set $A_{p \wedge 0} = A_{p \wedge 1} = A_p$ and $V_{p \wedge 0} = V_{p \wedge 1} = V_p$. Enumerate as $\{(s_j^0, p_j^0), (s_j^1, p_j^1)\} : j < t\}$ all the pairs $((s_0, p_0), (s_1, p_1))$ such that $p_i \in {}^m 2$ with $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $s_l : \lambda \cap m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$, for each $l < 2$.

Suppose we have defined A_p^j and V_p^j for each $j < l \leq t$ satisfying:

- I) $\overline{V_p^{j+1}} \subseteq V_p^j$ and $A_p^{j+1} \subseteq A_p^j$ if $p \in \{p_j^0, p_j^1\}$ and $j + 1 < l$;
- II) $V_p^{j+1} = V_p^j$ and $A_p^{j+1} = A_p^j$ if $p \in {}^m 2 \setminus \{p_j^0, p_j^1\}$ and $j + 1 < l$;
- III) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A_p^j\}} \subseteq V_p^j$, for each $p \in {}^m 2$ and $j < l$;
- IV) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_j^0, i}^{j+1}} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_j^1, i}^{j+1}} = \emptyset$, for each $j + 1 < l$; and
- V) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^0} s_j^0(i) \cdot V_{p_j^0, i}^{j+1}} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_j^1} s_j^1(i) \cdot V_{p_j^1, i}^{j+1}}$.

By III), we can apply Lemma 17 on $A_r = A_{p_{r-1}^{l-1}}$ and $U_r = V_{p_{r-1}^{l-1}}^l$ to obtain $A_{p_{r-1}^l} \subseteq A_{p_{r-1}^{l-1}}$ and a basic open set $V_{p_{r-1}^l}^l$, for each $r < 2$, that satisfy:

- i) $\overline{V_{p_{r-1}^l}^l} \subseteq V_{p_{r-1}^{l-1}}^{l-1}$, for each $r < 2$;
- ii) $\overline{\{(y_{i,n})_{i < \lambda} : n \in A_{p_{r-1}^l}^l\}} \subseteq V_{p_{r-1}^l}^l$, for each $r < 2$;
- iii) $\overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^0} s_{l-1}^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1}^0, i}^l} \cap \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^1} s_{l-1}^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1}^1, i}^l} = \emptyset$; and
- iv) $0 \notin \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^0} s_{l-1}^0(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1}^0, i}^l} \cup \overline{\sum_{i \in \text{supp } s_{l-1}^1} s_{l-1}^1(i) \cdot V_{p_{l-1}^1, i}^l}$.

Conditions I) – V) for l follow as in the proof of Proposition 6 using conditions i) – iv).

Now, define $V_p = V_p^t$ and $A_p = A_p^t$. We will check that conditions a)–e) are satisfied. For each $p \in {}^m 2$, there exists $j < t$ such that $p = p_j^0$.

Conditions a) and b) follow from conditions I) – V) as in the proof of Proposition 6.

Fix a pair $(s_0, p_0), (s_1, p_1)$ with $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $|p_0| = |p_1| = m$, $s_r : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ whose support is a finite nonempty set, for each $r < 2$. Let $j < t$ be such that $(s_r, p_r) = (s_j^r, p_j^r)$, for each $r < 2$. Condition c) holds applying the same argument as in Proposition 6.

Condition d) and e) also follow from the same arguments in Proposition 6. \square

We state now the topology refinement for groups of prime order P .

Proposition 19. *Let L be a nonempty subset of $[\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ such that $\mathfrak{c} \setminus L$ has cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Let κ be a cardinal $\leq \omega_1$ and $\{(g_{\alpha,i})_{i < \lambda_\alpha} : \alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[\setminus L\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{F}_P(< \kappa)$ such that $\bigcup_{i < \lambda_\alpha} \text{supp } g_{\alpha,i} \subseteq \alpha \times \omega$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$. Let $X = \{x_{\alpha,i} : i < \omega \text{ and } \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a basis for a group of prime order P such that $(x_{\alpha,i})_{i < \lambda_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $\{(x_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < \lambda_\alpha} : n \in \omega\}$, for each infinite ordinal $\alpha \in L$. There exists $\{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i \in \omega\} \subseteq \langle X \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}_P^\omega$ such that*

- i) $z_{\alpha,i}$ extends $x_{\alpha,i}$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $i < \omega$;
- ii) $(z_{\alpha,i})_{i < \lambda_\alpha}$ is an accumulation point of the sequence $\{(z_{g_{\alpha,i}(n)})_{i < \lambda_\alpha} : n \in \omega\}$, for each ordinal $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[\setminus L$;
- iii) For each function $D : L \times \omega \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_P)^\omega$, there exists a coordinate μ such that $z_{n,i}(\mu) = D(n, i)$, for each $(n, i) \in L \times \omega$;
- iv) If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter, F_j is nonempty finite subsets of L for $j < 2$ and $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P \setminus \{0\}$ for $j < k$ are distinct, then the \mathcal{U} -lim $(\sum_{n \in F_j} r_j(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) \neq \mathcal{U}$ -lim $(\sum_{n \in F_l} r_l(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$; and
- v) If \mathcal{U}_0 and \mathcal{U}_1 are distinct ultrafilters, F_j is a nonempty finite subset of L for $j < 2$ and $r_j : F_j \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P \setminus \{0\}$ for each $j < 2$, then \mathcal{U}_0 -lim $(\sum_{n \in F_0} r_0(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega) \neq \mathcal{U}_1$ -lim $(\sum_{n \in F_1} r_1(n) \cdot z_{n,i} : i \in \omega)$.

Proof. It suffices to make minor changes in the proof of Proposition 9, replacing \mathbb{Z} for \mathbb{Z}_P, \mathbb{T} by $(\mathbb{Z}_P)^\omega$ and $\omega \times \omega$ by $L \times \omega$. One can check that, following the proof of Proposition 9, if $D(\beta, i) = 0$ for $\beta \in L \cap \eta$ and $i \in \omega$ and $D = D_\mu$ then $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = 0$, for every $\beta < \eta$ and $i \in \omega$. \square

We use $(\mathbb{Z}_P)^\omega$ to get sufficiently large countable linearly independent subsets to mimic the proof using \mathbb{T} for free Abelian groups.

Theorem 20. *Suppose that there exists an infinite topological group H without non-trivial convergent sequences of prime order P and such that H^γ is countably compact, for every $\gamma < \kappa$ with $\kappa \leq \omega_1$. Then there exists a topological group G of order P such that G^γ is countably compact for each $\gamma < \kappa$ and G^κ is not countably compact.*

Proof. Let $\kappa \leq \omega_1$ and L be a subset of cardinality κ . The only case we could not start with $L = \kappa$ is if $\kappa = \omega_1$ and the Continuum Hypothesis holds.

Either 1) $\kappa < \omega_1$ or 2) $\kappa = \omega_1$ and CH does not hold.

Take $L = \kappa$.

Let $Z = \{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i \in \omega\}$ be the family in Proposition 19.

Let \mathfrak{U} be the set of all ultrafilters \mathcal{U} such that there exists $\xi \in \kappa$ such that \mathcal{U} -limit of $\{z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega\}$ is an element of the group $\langle Z \rangle$.

As in the proof of Theorem 10, \mathfrak{U} has cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} .

We will now obtain inductively a subset $I_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ and the desired example will be $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha\} \rangle$.

The sets I_α will satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) $|I_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \kappa$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{I_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 2) \mathfrak{U}_α is the set of all ultrafilters $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$ for which there exists a nonempty finite support function $r : \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ such that \mathcal{U} -lim $(\sum_{\xi \in \text{supp } r} r(\xi) \cdot z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega)$ is an element of $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 3) $|\mathfrak{U}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \kappa$ for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is \subseteq -increasing chain;
- 4) J_α is a subset of $\mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ and for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$, there exists $\xi < \kappa$ such that \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega) \notin \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus J_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 5) $|J_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$ for each $\kappa \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{J_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 6) $\theta_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is the least ordinal $\theta \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus \{\theta_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega \text{ and } i < \lambda_\theta} \text{supp } g_{\theta,i}(n) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu$, for each $\alpha \in [\kappa, \mathfrak{c}[$;
- 7) $\rho_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is such that $g_{\theta_\alpha} = g_{\rho_\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in [\kappa, \mathfrak{c}[$;
- 8) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \omega \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \setminus (\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} (J_\mu \cup I_\mu))$, for each $\alpha \in [\kappa, \mathfrak{c}[$;
- 9) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \lambda_{\rho_\alpha} \subseteq I_\alpha$, for each $\alpha \in [\kappa, \mathfrak{c}[$; and
- 10) $J_\alpha \cap I_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Once $I_\alpha, J_\alpha, \theta_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$ are constructed for $\kappa \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ satisfying the conditions above, then $G = \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : i \in \omega \text{ and } \beta \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha\} \rangle$ is as required.

Suppose that the inductive construction is complete. The argument to show that the powers smaller than κ are countably compact and that the κ -th power is not is as in the proof of Theorem 10.

We will now proceed with the inductive construction:

Case 1 $[\alpha < \kappa]$. Set $I_\alpha = \kappa \times \omega$, for each $\alpha < \kappa$.

The same argument used in the proof of Theorem 10 works if we make changes in notation and we obtain $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha = J_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \kappa$.

Case 2 $[\alpha \geq \kappa]$.

Basically, the same proof works. The only observation:

Given $z_{\mathcal{U},n}$ the \mathcal{U} -limit of $\{z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega\}$, for each $\xi < \kappa$. As before, the cardinality of the set is bigger than the cardinality of the set added at the stage, thus, there is $n_{\mathcal{U}} < \kappa$ such that $z_{\mathcal{U},n_{\mathcal{U}}} \notin \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$, for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} \mathfrak{U}_\mu$.

3) The Continuum Hypothesis holds and $\kappa = \omega_1$.

We use $\omega \subseteq L \subseteq \omega_1$ such that L and $\omega_1 \setminus L$ are unbounded in ω_1 .

Let $Z = \{z_{\alpha,i} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } i \in \omega\}$ be the family in Proposition 19.

Let \mathfrak{U} be the set of all ultrafilters \mathcal{U} such that for each $\xi \in \kappa$ such that \mathcal{U} -limit of $\{z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega\}$ is an element of the group $\langle Z \rangle$.

As in the proof of Theorem 10, \mathfrak{U} has cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} .

We will now obtain inductively a subset $I_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ and the desired example will be $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha \cup (L \times \omega)\} \rangle$.

The sets I_α will satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) $|I_\alpha| < \omega_1 = \mathfrak{c}$, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, $I_\alpha \supset (L \cap \alpha) \times \omega$ and $\{I_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 2) \mathfrak{U}_α is the set of all ultrafilters $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}$ for which there exists $r : L \cap \{\beta : \{\beta\} \times \omega \cap I_\alpha \neq \emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_P$ with nonempty finite support such that $\mathcal{U}\text{-lim} (\sum_{\xi \in F} r(\xi) \cdot z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega)$ is an element of $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in I_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 3) $|\mathfrak{U}_\alpha| < \omega_1$ for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $\{\mathfrak{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is \subseteq -increasing chain;
- 4) J_α is a subset of $\mathfrak{c} \times \omega$ and for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$, there exists $\xi \in L$ such that \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{\xi,i} : i \in \omega) \notin \langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus J_\alpha\} \rangle$;
- 5) $|J_\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$ for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\{J_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a \subseteq -increasing chain in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)$;
- 6) $\theta_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is the least ordinal $\theta \in \mathfrak{c} \setminus \{\theta_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \omega \text{ and } i < \lambda_\theta} \text{supp } g_{\theta,i}(n) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu$, for each $\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$;
- 7) $\rho_\alpha \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}[$ is such that $g_{\theta_\alpha} = g_{\rho_\alpha}$, whenever $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- 8) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \omega \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \setminus (\bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} (J_\mu \cup I_\mu))$, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$;
- 9) $\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \lambda_{\rho_\alpha} \subseteq I_\alpha$, for each $\omega \leq \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$; and
- 10) $J_\alpha \cap (L \cup I_\alpha) = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Before proving the conditions 1) – 10), we note that the group G defined as $\langle \{z_{\beta,i} : (\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} I_\alpha \cup (L \times \omega)\} \rangle$ is as required. Using similar arguments as before, one can show that G^ω is countably compact and G^{ω_1} is not countably compact.

Case 1. $I_\alpha = (\omega \times \omega) \cup (L \cap \omega) \times \omega = (L \cap \omega) \times \omega$. Then $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha = J_\alpha = \emptyset$, for each $\alpha < \omega$. The argument is similar as before.

Assume $\alpha \geq \omega$ and that the induction has been carried out for $\mu < \alpha$.

Case 2. The definition of θ_α and ρ_α are as before and conditions 5) – 8) are satisfied.

Fix $\nu_\alpha \in L$ such that $\nu_\alpha > \rho_\alpha$ and $\nu_\alpha > \beta$ for each $(\beta, i) \in \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu \cup J_\mu$. This will be used to define J_α later.

Define $I_\alpha = \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} I_\mu \cup (\{\rho_\alpha\} \times \lambda_{\rho_\alpha}) \cup (\{\nu_\alpha\} \times \omega)$. Then condition 1) and 9) are satisfied.

Define \mathfrak{U}_α as in condition 2). Similarly as before, condition 3) is satisfied.

The definition of J_α is different and uses ν_α . Fix $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$. Let $z_\mathcal{U}$ be the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{\nu_\alpha,i} : i \in \omega)$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathfrak{c} \times \omega)}$ be the such that $z = z_r$.

Claim 1. The support of r is not a subset of $\nu_\alpha \times \omega$. If that is the case, fix $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^P)^\omega$ of order P and define $D : L \times \omega \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^P)^\omega$ such that $D(\beta, i) = a$ if $(\beta, i) \in (\{\nu_\alpha\} \times \omega) \setminus \text{supp } r$ and $D(\beta, i) = 0$ otherwise. Let μ be such that $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = D(\beta, i)$, for each $(\beta, i) \in L \times \omega$.

By the fact that $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = 0$ for each $(\beta, i) \in (L \times \omega) \cup (\bigcup_{\beta \in \nu_\alpha \setminus L} \{\beta\} \times (\omega \setminus \lambda_\beta))$, it follows by induction that $z_{\beta,i}(\mu)$ is an accumulation point for a sequence of 0's. Thus, $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = 0$, for each $\beta \in \nu_\alpha \setminus L$ and $i < \lambda_\beta$. Hence, $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = 0$ for each $(\beta, i) \in (\nu_\alpha \times \omega) \cup \text{supp } r$ and $z_{\beta,i}(\mu) = a$ for each $(\beta, i) \in (\{\nu_\alpha\} \times \omega) \setminus \text{supp } r$.

Therefore, the $\text{supp } r \setminus \nu_\alpha \times \omega \neq \emptyset$. Let β_* be the largest ordinal for which $F_* = \text{supp } r \cap (\{\beta_*\} \times \omega) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 2. $\beta_* \notin L$.

Suppose by contradiction that $\beta_* \in L$. Fix an independent set $(a_{\beta_*,i} : (\beta_*, i) \in F_*)$ contained in $(\mathbb{Z}^P)^\omega$. Define $E : L \times \omega \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^P)^\omega$ such that $E(\beta, i) = a_{\beta,i}$ if $(\beta, i) \in F_*$ and $E(\beta, i) = 0$ otherwise. Let μ_* be the coordinate for which $z_{\beta,i}(\mu_*) = E(\beta, i)$, for each $(\beta, i) \in L \times \omega$. Then, the \mathcal{U} -limit of $(z_{\nu_\alpha,i} : i \in \omega) = 0$ and $z_r(\mu_*) = z_r|_{F_*}(\mu_*) = \sum_{(\beta_*,i) \in F_*} r(\beta_*,i) a_{\beta_*,i} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

It follows from Claim 1 and 2 that there exist $(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}}) \in \text{supp } r \setminus (L \times \omega)$ such that $\beta_{\mathcal{U}} > \nu_{\alpha}$.

Set $J_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\mu < \alpha} J_{\mu} \cup \{(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}}) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\alpha}\}$. The points $(\beta_{\mathcal{U}}, i_{\mathcal{U}})$ show that condition 4) is satisfied and the choice of ν_{α} and $\beta_{\mathcal{U}} > \nu_{\alpha}$ imply that 10) is satisfied.

Thus all conditions 1) – 10) are satisfied. \square

Example 21. In the Random model, for each cardinal $\kappa \leq \omega_1$, there exists a topological group topology on the Boolean group G of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that G^{γ} is countably compact for each $\gamma < \kappa$ and G^{κ} is not countably compact.

Proof. Szeptycki and Tomita [13] showed that the Boolean group H of cardinality \mathfrak{c} admits a group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences such that H^{ω} is countably compact. Applying Theorem 20 we obtain the desired example. \square

As earlier mentioned, the example of Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos and Shelah give:

Example 22. In ZFC, for each cardinal $\kappa \leq \omega_1$, there exists a topological group topology on the Boolean group G of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that G^{γ} is countably compact for each $\gamma < \kappa$ and G^{κ} is not countably compact.

4. Questions and remarks

This work has been inspired by questions on surveys of Professor Comfort. We list some variations of his questions that remain open.

A natural question is the preservation of the algebraic structure of the example. The following questions are in this direction:

Question 2. Let G be a torsion Abelian group of cardinality \mathfrak{c} and κ some cardinal not greater than ω_1 . Suppose that G is a topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences such that G^{α} is countably compact, for each $\alpha < \kappa$ with a group topology τ . Is there a group topology σ on G which in addition makes its κ -th power not countably compact?

Under Martin's Axiom, an Abelian group of cardinality \mathfrak{c} admits a countably compact group topology if and only if it admits a countably compact group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Question 3. Assume Martin's Axiom and let G be an Abelian group of cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Does it hold that G has a countably compact group topology if and only if for each $\kappa \leq \omega$ there is a group topology on G such that κ is the least cardinal for which G^{κ} fail to be countably compact?

We do not know a model without selective ultrafilters for which there exists a countably compact free Abelian group.

Question 4. Is there a model without selective ultrafilters in which there exists a group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences in some torsion-free Abelian group whose finite powers are countably compact?

In [2] the authors showed that under Martin's Axiom there exists a topology without non-trivial convergent sequences in the real line that makes its square countably compact, but not its cube:

Question 5. Is there a topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences in a torsion-free Abelian group such that every power is countably compact? In particular, the algebraic group \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{T} has such group topology?

After the result of Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos and Shelah, some new questions arise in ZFC. The author would like to thank Professor Hrušák for explaining the main idea of their construction before the completion of their preprint.

Question 6. *a)* Which Abelian groups G admit in ZFC a countably compact group topology without non-trivial convergent sequences? In particular, is there a non torsion Abelian group without non-trivial convergent sequences that is countably compact?

b) Classify, in ZFC, the Abelian groups of size \mathfrak{c} admitting a countably compact group topology.

c) Is there in ZFC a free ultrafilter p and a p -compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences? Is there p for which such topology does not exist?

d) Is there a Wallace semigroup in ZFC? (A countably compact both-sided cancellative topological semigroup that is not algebraically a group).

We note that a positive solution for the second question in *a)* yields a positive solution for *d)*.

The author would like to thank the referee for comments that improved the presentation of this work.

I first met Professor Comfort as the external examiner for my doctoral thesis and in all few occasions I saw him in conferences or asked him something by e-mail, I felt his kindness and good spirits and will always remember him for this.

References

- [1] A.C. Boero, A.H. Tomita, A group topology on the free abelian group of cardinality that makes its square countably compact, *Fundam. Math.* 212 (3) (2011) 235–260.
- [2] A.C. Boero, I. Castro-Pereira, A.H. Tomita, A group topology on the real line that makes its square countably compact but not its cube, *Topol. Appl.* 192 (2015) 3057.
- [3] W.W. Comfort, Problems on topological groups and other homogeneous spaces, in: J. van Mill, G.M. Reed (Eds.), *Open Problems in Topology*, North Holland, 1990, pp. 311–347.
- [4] E.K. van Douwen, The product of two countably compact topological groups, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 262 (Dec. 1980) 417–427.
- [5] S. Garcia-Ferreira, A.H. Tomita, S. Watson, Countably compact groups from a selective ultrafilter, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 133 (2005) 937–943.
- [6] J. Ginsburg, V. Saks, Some applications of ultrafilters in topology, *Pac. J. Math.* 57 (1975) 403–418.
- [7] A. Hajnal, I. Juhász, A normal separable group need not be Lindelöf, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 6 (1976) 199–205.
- [8] K.P. Hart, J. van Mill, A countably compact topological group H such that $H \times H$ is not countably compact, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 323 (Feb 1991) 811–821.
- [9] P.B. Koszmider, A.H. Tomita, S. Watson, Forcing countably compact group topologies on a larger free Abelian group, *Topol. Proc.* 25 (Summer 2000) 563–574.
- [10] R. Madariaga-Garcia, A.H. Tomita, Countably compact topological group topologies on free abelian groups from selective ultrafilters, *Topol. Appl.* 154 (7) (2007) 1470–1480.
- [11] M. Sanchis, A.H. Tomita, Almost p -compact groups, *Topol. Appl.* 159 (9) (2012) 2513–2527.
- [12] P. Szeptycki, A.H. Tomita, HFD groups in the Solovay model, *Topol. Appl.* 156 (2009) 1807–1810.
- [13] P. Szeptycki, A.H. Tomita, Countable compactness of powers of HFD groups, *Houst. J. Math.* 40 (3) (2014) 899–916.
- [14] M. Tkachenko, Countably compact and pseudocompact topologies on free abelian groups, *Sov. Math. (Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved.)* (5) (1990) 79–86.
- [15] A.H. Tomita, On finite powers of countably compact groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* 37 (3) (1996) 617–626.
- [16] A.H. Tomita, The existence of initially ω_1 -compact group topologies on free abelian groups is independent of ZFC, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carol.* 39 (2) (1998) 401–413.
- [17] A.H. Tomita, A group under $MA_{countable}$ whose square is countably compact but whose cube is not, *Topol. Appl.* 91 (1999) 91–104.
- [18] A.H. Tomita, Countable compactness in finite powers of topological groups without non-trivial convergent sequences, *Topol. Appl.* 146/147 (2005) 527–538.
- [19] A.H. Tomita, Square of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences, *Topol. Appl.* 153 (2005) 107–122.
- [20] A.H. Tomita, A solution to Comfort’s question on the countable compactness of powers of a topological group, *Fundam. Math.* 186 (1) (2005) 1–24.
- [21] A.H. Tomita, A group topology on the free abelian group of cardinality \mathfrak{c} that makes its finite powers countably compact, *Topol. Appl.* 196 (2015) 976–998.
- [22] A.H. Tomita, S. Watson, Ultraproducts, p -limits and antichains on the comfort group order, *Topol. Appl.* 143 (2004) 147–157.