

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO  
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação  
ISSN 0103-2577

---

**Split Firs**

P.M. Cohn  
V.O. Ferreira

Nº 136

---

NOTAS

Série Matemática



São Carlos – SP  
Mar./2002

SYSNO	1233150
DATA	/ /
ICMC - SBAB	

## Resumo

Seja  $R$  um anel de ideais livres (“fir”) com centro  $k$  e seja  $E$  uma extensão de corpos comutativos. Neste trabalho, estuda-se a estrutura do anel  $R_E = R \otimes_k E$ . Consideramos uma generalização do conceito de “fir”, anéis de ideais de potências livres (“pfirs”), e mostramos que para todo “fir”  $R$ , existe uma localização finita  $T$  de  $R$  tal que, para qualquer extensão galoisiana  $E$  do centro  $k$  de  $R$ , o anel  $T_E$  é um “pfir”.

Alguns casos nos quais o anel estendido é um anel de matrizes sobre um “fir” e nos quais a localização não é necessária também são estudados.

# SPLIT FIRS

P. M. COHN AND V. O. FERREIRA

ABSTRACT. A study is made of firs under ground field extension. We consider a generalization: power-free ideal rings (pfirs) and show that for any fir  $R$  there is a finite localization  $T$  such that for any Galois extension  $E$  of the centre  $T_E$  is a pfir. Cases are examined where the resulting ring is a fir and where no localization is necessary.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be a skew field with centre  $k$ . For any finite field extension  $E$  of  $k$ , the extended algebra  $D_E = D \otimes_k E$  is a total matrix ring over a skew field, as is well known (see e.g. [5], Chapter 7). For a subring  $R$  of  $D$  which is a  $k$ -algebra and which generates  $D$  as a skew field, it is no longer true that  $R_E$  is necessarily a full matrix ring, but this can be achieved if we enlarge  $R$  by adjoining the inverses of a finite set of elements. In the case where  $R_E$  is a full matrix ring of the same order as the ring  $D_E$ ,  $R$  is said to be *split* by  $E$ . Here it is of some interest to find out what properties of  $R$  are preserved by extension. We shall be particularly concerned with free ideal rings, briefly firs; it seems plausible that for a fir  $R$  a split fir can be obtained by adjoining inverses of a finite set, this has been proved in the particular case of tensor rings in [8]. For general firs we are not quite able to prove this, but we shall find in §1 that the extended ring is hereditary and power-free, i.e. every finitely generated projective module has a power which is free of unique rank. This leads to the notion of a power-free ideal ring (pfir), which is discussed in §2. In §3 we study the effect on skew fields of extending the centre, and in §4 we look at some special cases where the extended ring is a matrix ring over a fir. This already yields some interesting constructions; in particular, we shall need the matrix reduction functor (§5) as well as some of the results on division algebras

---

*Date:* 19 February 2002.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E60.

which remain true for skew fields finite-dimensional over their centres (§6).

Sometimes the prefix “skew” is omitted from skew field; it is usually clear from the context whether fields are commutative or not.

## 1. GENERALITIES ON EXTENSIONS

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\Sigma$  a set of matrices over  $R$ . If we adjoin a formal inverse to each matrix in  $\Sigma$  we obtain a ring  $R_\Sigma$ , called the *localization* of  $R$  by  $\Sigma$ , with a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_\Sigma$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of matrices over  $R$ , then  $R_\Sigma$  will be called a *finite localization* of  $R$ . Since we are mainly interested in fields of fractions, we shall assume that  $\Sigma$  consists of full matrices, where a matrix  $A$  is *full* if it is square, say  $n \times n$ , and for any factorization into an  $n \times r$  by an  $r \times n$  matrix of  $A$  we have  $r \geq n$  (see [3] or [6]). When  $R$  is a fir, semifir, or more generally, a Sylvester domain, the mapping  $R \rightarrow R_\Sigma$  is injective for any set  $\Sigma$  of full matrices, and there exists a universal (skew) field of fractions  $U$ , obtained by localizing at the set of all full matrices over  $R$ .

Suppose further that  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is a commutative field, and let  $E$  be a finite commutative field extension of  $k$ . We write  $R_E = R \otimes_k E$  and similarly for the universal field of fractions  $U$ . Our object will be to compare  $R$  with  $R_E$ , for any fir  $R$ , given information about the relation between  $U$  and  $U_E$ . The centre  $C$  of  $U$  is a commutative extension field of  $k$  and if we localize a fir  $R$  at  $C^\times$  we again obtain a fir, by [3], Proposition 1.5.4; a similar result (with a similar proof) holds for semifirs or Sylvester domains. Henceforth we shall assume that  $R, U, k$  are as before and in addition  $k$  is the centre of  $R$  and of  $U$ . As we know from Corollary 6.3.4 of [3], this is so for any non-Ore fir.

Our first result concerns the effect of extending the ground field.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $R$  be an algebra over a commutative field  $k$  and  $E/k$  be a commutative field extension. Then for any set  $\Sigma$  of matrices over  $R$  we have*

$$(1) \quad (R_\Sigma)_E \cong (R_E)_\Sigma$$



*Proof.* The natural homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_\Sigma$  arises by inverting  $\Sigma$ ; hence we have a homomorphism  $R_E \rightarrow (R_\Sigma)_E$  in which  $\Sigma$  is inverted. This gives rise to a homomorphism  $(R_E)_\Sigma \rightarrow (R_\Sigma)_E$ ; to show that it is an isomorphism, we construct its inverse. The homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R_E$  extends to a homomorphism  $R_\Sigma \rightarrow (R_E)_\Sigma$ , and hence to a map  $(R_\Sigma)_E \rightarrow (R_E)_\Sigma$ , which is seen to be the inverse, and it establishes the isomorphism (1).  $\square$

In the particular case where  $R$  is a semifir and  $\Sigma$  the set of all full matrices over  $R$ , this (with the remark before Proposition 1.1) proves

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a semifir with universal field of fractions  $U$ , and suppose that  $R$  is a  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is the centre of  $U$  (hence also of  $R$ ). Let  $E/k$  be a commutative field extension and denote by  $\Phi$  the set of all full matrices over  $R$ . Then*

$$U_E \cong (R_E)_\Phi. \quad \square$$

Next we note that coproducts are preserved by field extensions.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $k$  be a commutative field and  $R, S$  any  $k$ -algebras with a common subfield  $F$  which is a  $k$ -algebra. If  $E/k$  is any commutative field extension, then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(2) \quad (R *_F S)_E \cong R_E * S_E,$$

where the coproduct on the right is taken over  $F_E$ .

*Proof.* We have a bilinear map  $(R * S) \times E \rightarrow R_E * S_E$  which extends to a homomorphism

$$(3) \quad (R * S) \otimes_k E = (R * S)_E \rightarrow R_E * S_E.$$

In the other direction we have embeddings  $R_E \rightarrow (R * S)_E$ ,  $S_E \rightarrow (R * S)_E$ , and hence a homomorphism from right to left in (2) which is inverse to the map (3), hence the latter is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We also note that for a coproduct of firs, we can find the universal field of fractions of the coproduct by taking the firs or their universal fields of fractions. A homomorphism is called *honest* if it keeps all full matrices full.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $R_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a fir with centre  $k$  and universal field of fractions  $U_i$  and put  $R = R_1 *_k R_2$ , with universal field of fractions  $U$ . Then  $U$  is also the universal field of fractions of  $U_1 *_k U_2$ .*

*Proof.* The coproduct  $U_1 * U_2$  is a fir and so has a universal field of fractions  $V$ , say, and we have to show that  $U \cong V$ . Consider the inclusion map  $R_1 \rightarrow R_1 * R_2$ . It is honest, for if we combine it with the natural map  $R_1 * R_2 \rightarrow U_1 * U_2$ , it maps all full matrices over  $R_1$  to invertible matrices, so these matrices must be full over  $R_1 * R_2$ . It follows that the natural map  $R_1 * R_2 \rightarrow U$  inverts all full matrices over  $R_1$  and likewise all full matrices over  $R_2$ , so we have a map  $U_1 * U_2 \rightarrow U$ . Now any full matrix over  $R_1 * R_2$  is inverted over  $U$  (by definition of the latter) and so is full over  $U_1 * U_2$ ; therefore it is inverted over  $V$  and so we have a map  $U \rightarrow V$  which restricts to the identity on  $R_1 * R_2$ . But the map  $U_1 * U_2 \rightarrow V$  is an epimorphism, hence the map  $U \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism, as claimed.  $\square$

Auslander-Reiten-Smalø have given conditions for a ring extension to preserve the global dimension (cf. III.4, p. 89ff. of [2]), which can be put as follows in a more general context.

All rings are  $K$ -algebras, where  $K$  is a commutative ring. We shall be concerned with a ring extension  $A \subseteq B$ , and look for conditions under which the global dimension is unchanged.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $A, B$  be rings, where  $A \subseteq B$  with a split exact sequence of  $A$ -bimodules*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

*where  $C_A$  is projective. Then  $\text{r.gl.dim}(A) \leq \text{r.gl.dim}(B)$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $B = A \oplus C$ , hence we have, for any right  $A$ -module  $M$ ,  $M \otimes B = M \oplus (M \otimes C)$ , and so

$$(4) \quad \text{pd}_A(M) \leq \text{pd}_A(M \otimes B).$$

Now take a minimal projective  $B$ -resolution of  $M \otimes B$ :

$$\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \otimes B \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $B_A = A \oplus C$  is projective, this is a projective resolution of  $M \otimes B$  as  $A$ -module, so  $\text{pd}_A(M \otimes B) \leq \text{pd}_B(M \otimes B)$ , and with (4) this shows

that

$$\mathrm{pd}_A(M) \leq \mathrm{pd}_B(M \otimes B) \leq \mathrm{r.gl.dim}(B).$$

Taking the supremum over all  $M_A$ , we get the asserted inequality.  $\square$

For an inequality in the other direction we need a stronger condition. We recall that, regarding  $B$  as an  $A$ -ring, we have an exact sequence of  $B$ -bimodules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow B \otimes_A B \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the second homomorphism is the multiplication map (and  $\Omega$  is the universal derivation module, cf. [5] 2.2, p. 48). If the sequence splits, as  $B$ -bimodule sequence,  $B$  is said to be *separable* over  $A$ . As is well known, this is equivalent to the existence of a separability idempotent  $e = \sum a_i \otimes b_i$ , i.e. an idempotent  $e$  in  $B \otimes B$  mapping to 1 in  $B$  and such that  $ea = ae$  for all  $a \in A$  ([5], Proposition 6.7.1, p. 249).

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $A, B$  be rings such that  $B$  is separable as  $A$ -ring and projective as left  $A$ -module. Then  $\mathrm{r.gl.dim}(B) \leq \mathrm{r.gl.dim}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* For any right  $B$ -module  $N$  we have  $N \otimes_A B = N \otimes_B (B \otimes_A B) = N \oplus (N \otimes_B \Omega)$ , hence

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{pd}_B(N) \leq \mathrm{pd}_B(N \otimes B).$$

Now take a minimal projective  $A$ -resolution of  $N$ :

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

and tensor with  $B$  over  $A$ . Since  ${}_A B$  is projective, we get an exact sequence

$$(6) \quad \dots \longrightarrow P_1 \otimes B \longrightarrow P_0 \otimes B \longrightarrow N \otimes B \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now for any projective right  $A$ -module  $P$  and right  $B$ -module  $N$ ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_B(P \otimes B, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, \mathrm{Hom}_B(B, N)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, N);$$

since  $P_A$  is projective, this is exact in  $N$ , so  $P \otimes B$  is  $B$ -projective and (6) is a projective  $B$ -resolution of  $N \otimes B$ . It follows that

$$(7) \quad \mathrm{pd}_B(N \otimes B) \leq \mathrm{pd}_A(N).$$

Combining (5) and (7) we find that  $\mathrm{pd}_B(N) \leq \mathrm{pd}_A(N)$  for all  $B$ -modules  $N$ , hence the result.  $\square$



To apply these results to group rings we note that for any ring  $A$  and any finite group  $G$  whose order is a unit in  $A$ , the group ring  $GA$  of  $G$  over  $A$ , more generally any skew group ring of  $G$  over  $A$  is separable over  $A$ , for we have the separability idempotent  $e = |G|^{-1} \sum g^{-1} \otimes g$ , where the summation is over all  $g \in G$ . Clearly  $e$  maps to 1 and for any  $h \in G$ ,  $\sum g^{-1} \otimes gh = h \sum (gh)^{-1} \otimes gh$ , hence  $eh = he$ , while for  $a \in A$ ,  $\sum g^{-1} \otimes ga = \sum g^{-1} \otimes a^g g = \sum g^{-1} a^g \otimes g = a \sum g^{-1} \otimes g$ , so  $ea = ae$ . Thus we have

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $A$  be any ring and  $G$  a finite group with an action on  $A$  and such that  $|G|$  is a unit in  $A$ . Then the skew group ring  $B$  of  $G$  over  $A$  has the same (left or right) global dimension as  $A$ .  $\square$*

Suppose that  $E/k$  is any commutative field extension which is separable. Then the multiplication map  $E \otimes_k E \rightarrow E$  is split by a separability idempotent, and for any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  we have

$$A_E \otimes_A A_E = (A \otimes_k E) \otimes_A (A \otimes_k E) = A \otimes_k E \otimes_k E,$$

and now the separability idempotent for  $E$  can be used to show that  $A_E$  is separable over  $A$ . Thus we obtain

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let  $A$  be any  $k$ -algebra, where  $k$  is a commutative field and let  $E/k$  be a finite separable field extension. Then  $A_E$  is separable over  $A$  and so these two rings have the same global dimension.  $\square$*

We recall from [3] that any fir is (left and right) hereditary; in fact it may be defined as a ring which is (left and right) hereditary and projective-free (i.e. finitely generated projective modules are free, of unique rank). Hence the above result shows in particular that a fir under a separable field extension of the centre remains a hereditary ring. Whether it remains a fir depends on the behaviour of the monoid of projectives. Our next result gives some information in the case of a Galois extension. A ring  $R$  will be called *powerprojective-free*, or briefly *power-free*, if it has IBN (invariant basis number) and for each finitely generated projective  $R$ -module  $P$  there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $P^n$  is free. If the integer  $n$  can be chosen independently of  $P$ ,  $R$  is said to be power-free of *index*  $n$ , or generally of *bounded index*. It is clear that any power-free ring has a unique projective rank function.

For the next proof we shall need a result on Galois descent (see [7], Chapter 11). If  $E/k$  is a finite Galois extension with group  $G$  and  $V$  is a vector space over  $E$  with an action of  $G$  by semilinear transformations, then  $V$  can be obtained from a  $k$ -space by extension of scalars and the same holds for any subspace admitting the  $G$ -action (Theorem 11.9.1).

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $R$  be a fir with centre  $k$ , where  $k$  is a field, and let  $E/k$  be a (commutative) Galois extension of finite degree  $n$ . Then there is a finite localization  $T$  of  $R$  such that  $T_E = T \otimes_k E$  is power-free of index at most  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Denote the Galois group of  $E/k$  by  $G$ , write  $S = R_E = R \otimes_k E$  and let  $P$  be any (finitely generated) projective  $S$ -module. If  $P$  is a direct summand of  $S^r$ , write  $P_1 = P, P_2, \dots, P_n$  for the submodules of  $S^r$  obtained by applying the  $n$  elements of  $G$  to  $P$ , form  $Q = P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_n$  and define a homomorphism  $\phi : Q \rightarrow S^r$  by the rule  $(x_i) \mapsto \sum x_i$ . The image  $I$  is invariant under  $G$  and hence is obtained by extension from a submodule of a free  $R$ -module, which is itself free because  $R$  is a fir. It follows that the image is free, say  $I \cong S^s$ . The kernel  $K$  consists of all elements  $u \in Q$  such that  $u$  maps to 0 in  $S^r$ , but if  $u$  maps to 0, then so does  $u^\sigma$ , for any  $\sigma \in G$ , so  $K$  is again obtained by extension from a free module and so is itself a free  $S$ -module, say  $K \cong S^t$ . Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\phi} I \rightarrow 0.$$

The sequence is clearly split, and we conclude that  $Q \cong S^{t+s}$ . Now let  $U$  be the universal field of fractions of  $R$ ; then  $U_E$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix ring, where  $d \mid n$ , so over  $U_E$  the modules  $P_i$  are all isomorphic: if  $P_i = S^r e_i$ , then  $e_i = e_1 u_i$  for a unit  $u_i$  in  $U_E$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of denominators of the  $u_i$  in  $R$  and set  $T = R_\Sigma$ ; then over  $T_E$  all the  $P_i$  become isomorphic and so  $Q \cong P^n \cong T_E^{t+s}$ , which is what we had to prove.  $\square$

It seems likely that under the given hypothesis  $T_E$  is actually a full matrix ring over a fir, but so far there is no proof.

Let  $U$  be any skew field with centre  $k$ . Then for any finite field extension  $E$  of  $k$ , the extended ring  $U_E$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix ring over a skew field, where  $d \mid [E : k]$ . If  $R$  is a  $k$ -subalgebra of  $U$  such that  $R_E$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix ring, we shall say that  $R$  is *split* by  $E$ . If in particular  $R$  is split by the algebraic closure of  $k$ ,  $R$  is said to be *absolutely split*. It



is easy to see that any algebra generating a skew field can be extended to a split ring by adjoining a finite set of inverses of elements. We need only form  $U_E$  and adjoin the inverses occurring in the matrix units  $e_{ij}$ . Thus we obtain

**Proposition 1.10.** *Let  $U$  be a skew field with centre  $k$  and let  $R$  be a  $k$ -subalgebra generating  $U$  as a skew field. Then there is a finite localization of  $R$  which is absolutely split.*  $\square$

## 2. POWER-FREE IDEAL RINGS

The results of §1 suggest the following slight extension of the notion of a fir.

**Definition.** A ring  $R$  is called a *left power-free ideal ring*, *left pfir* for short, if there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that for every left ideal  $I$  of  $R$  the left  $R$ -module  $I^n$  is free of unique rank. *Right pfirs* are defined similarly and a *pfir* is a left and right pfir.

We note that in contrast to firs, pfirs may have zero-divisors; for example, any full matrix over a fir is a pfir, as is easily verified. The converse does not hold, as Theorem 2.2 below shows.

In analogy with the case of firs we have

**Proposition 2.1.** *A ring is a left pfir if and only if it is left hereditary and power-free of bounded index.*

*Proof.* Under either hypothesis  $R$  has IBN. Now let  $R$  be a left pfir of index  $n$ . For any left ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $I^n$  is free, hence projective, so  $R$  is hereditary. Further, any finitely generated projective left  $R$ -module  $P$  is a direct sum of left ideals and it follows that  $P^n$  is free, so  $R$  is power-free of index  $n$ . Conversely, assume that  $R$  is left hereditary and power-free of index  $n$ . Then any left ideal  $I$  is projective, and in fact isomorphic to a direct sum of finitely generated projective modules. It follows that  $I^n$  is free, and so  $R$  is a left pfir.  $\square$

As an example of a pfir we have the coproduct of skew fields under field extension.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $C, D$  be skew fields with a common centre  $k$  and let  $R = C *_k D$ . Then, for any finite commutative field extension  $E$  of  $k$ ,  $R_E$  is a pfir.*

*Proof.* We know that  $R_E \cong C_E *_E D_E$ , by Theorem 1.3, and that  $C_E \cong \mathfrak{M}_r(K)$ ,  $D_E \cong \mathfrak{M}_s(L)$ , where both  $r$  and  $s$  divide  $[E : k]$  and  $K$  and  $L$  are skew fields with centre  $E$ . Therefore  $R_E$  is hereditary. Moreover, the monoid of projectives of  $R_E$  is isomorphic to  $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{N} \coprod_{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{s}\mathbb{N}$  and hence  $R_E$  is power-free of index at most  $[E : k]$ .  $\square$

It is clear that if in this theorem we choose  $C, D$  and  $E$  so that neither  $r$  nor  $s$  is 1, we obtain a pfir which is not a full matrix ring over a fir.

More generally, we obtain from Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 1.9,

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a fir with centre  $k$ . Then for any Galois field extension  $E$  of  $k$ , there exists a finite localization  $T$  of  $R$  such that  $T_E$  is a pfir of index at most  $[E : k]$ .*  $\square$

### 3. SKEW FIELDS UNDER EXTENSION OF THE CENTRE

Let  $R$  be a semifir with centre  $k$ , a field, and suppose that the universal field of fractions  $U$  of  $R$  also has the precise centre  $k$ . Given a finite algebraic extension field  $E$  of  $k$  of degree  $n$ , form  $R_E = R \otimes_k E$ ; this is contained as a subring in  $U_E = U \otimes_k E$ . By Theorem 7.1.3 of [5],  $U_E$  is a central simple  $E$ -algebra, left Artinian, because  $[U_E : U] = [E : k] = n$  and so we have

$$U_E \cong D \otimes_E E_r,$$

for some integer  $r$  and skew field  $D$ . Secondly, since  $E$  is embedded in  $k_n$ ,  $U_E$  is embedded in  $U_n$ . But  $U_n \cong U \otimes k_n$  contains  $U_E$  and hence  $k_r$ . If the centralizer of  $k_r$  in  $U_n$  is denoted by  $B$ , then  $B \cong C_s \cong C \otimes k_s$  for some skew field  $C$ , and so, by Proposition 7.1.5 of [5],

$$U \otimes k_n = k_r \otimes B \cong k_r \otimes C \otimes k_s,$$

in fact,  $C \cong U$  by uniqueness, and now a comparison gives  $n = rs$ . Thus we have

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $U$  be any skew field with centre  $k$ , and  $E$  a finite extension of  $k$  of degree  $n$ . Then  $U_E = D_r$ , for a skew field  $D$ , where  $r \mid n$ . More precisely, if  $E = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  has the minimal polynomial  $f$  of degree  $n$  over  $k$ , then  $f$  splits into  $r$  factors over  $D$ ; thus  $D_E$  is a skew field if and only if  $f$  remains irreducible over  $D$ .*

*Proof.* We have seen that  $U_E = D_r$ . Now assume that  $E/k$  is a simple extension, say  $E = k(\alpha)$ , and let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $k$ ,  $\deg f = [E : k] = n$ . Since  $f$  is irreducible over the centre  $k$  of  $D$ ,  $f$  is an  $I$ -atom in  $D[t]$  (that is,  $f$  is an invariant non-unit which is not a product of invariant non-units) and over  $D$  we have the factorization  $f = p_1 \dots p_r$ , where the polynomials  $p_i$  all have the same degree  $t = n/r$ , and by tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (f) \longrightarrow k[t] \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $D$  we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow (f) \longrightarrow D[t] \longrightarrow D_E \longrightarrow 0,$$

hence  $D_E = D_r$ , where  $D$  is the endomorphism ring of the (unique) simple module of  $D_E$  (see [3] Theorem 6.4.3, p. 314, [6] Theorem 1.5.4, p. 29).  $\square$

The next lemma generalizes Theorem 7.2.6 of [5] (which was limited to finite-dimensional algebras). We shall write  $A \oplus B$  for the diagonal sum of matrices  $A, B$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $D$  be a skew field with centre  $k$ , let  $E/k$  be a finite field extension of degree  $r$  and let  $n$  be the least integer such that  $E$  is embedded in  $D_n$ . Then  $n \leq r$ , the centralizer  $E'$  of  $E$  in  $D_n$  is a skew field and  $D \otimes_k E \cong (E')_d$ , where  $r = nd$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E \subseteq k_r \subseteq D_r$ , it follows that  $n$  exists and satisfies  $n \leq r$ . Take the least such  $n$  and denote by  $E'$  the centralizer of  $E$  in  $D_n$ . By Theorem 7.1.9 of [5],  $E'$  is simple with centre  $E$ ; if  $E'$  consists entirely of non-singular elements it is a field. Otherwise take  $A \in E'$  singular but not zero. By similarity transformation we have  $A = B \oplus 0$ , where  $B$  is non-singular. Any matrix commuting with  $A$  has the form  $P \oplus Q$ , where  $PB = BP$ . Thus all the matrices in  $E$  have this form, and if  $P(a) \oplus Q(a)$  is the matrix corresponding to  $a \in E$ , then the map  $a \mapsto P(a)$  is a homomorphism  $E \rightarrow D_m$ , where  $m < n$  and this contradicts the definition of  $n$ . Thus  $E'$  is a field; by Theorem 7.1.9 of [5], we have  $D_n \otimes E \cong E' \otimes k_r$ , hence  $n \mid r$ , say  $r = dn$ , and so  $D \otimes E \cong (E')_d$ .  $\square$



Given a fir  $R$  with centre  $k$ , if  $R$  contains a commutative field  $F$  (containing  $k$ ) and  $E \cong F$ , then  $R_E$  has zero-divisors and so is not a fir; to study it, we shall need to examine  $F \otimes E$ ; this is a direct product of commutative fields, which are all isomorphic when  $F/k$  is Galois (5.7 of [4]). To find its structure it will be helpful to have idempotents in  $F \otimes E$ :

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $f$  be a separable polynomial over  $k$  and define  $\varphi(x, y)$  by the equation*

$$(8) \quad \varphi(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{(x - y)}.$$

*If  $F, E$  are extension fields of  $k$  generated by zeros  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $f$  respectively, then in  $F \otimes E$  the element  $p = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$  satisfies  $p\alpha = p\beta$  and  $p^2 = f'(\beta)p$ , where  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$ ; so  $p/f'(\beta)$  is an idempotent in  $F \otimes E$ .*

*Proof.* By definition we have  $\varphi(x, y)(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$ , hence  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha - \beta) = f(\alpha) - f(\beta) = 0$ , and it follows that  $p\alpha = p\beta$ . Now the Taylor expansion shows that  $\varphi(x, \beta) = f'(\beta) + (x - \beta)h(x)$ , hence  $p - f'(\beta) = (\alpha - \beta)h(\alpha)$ , and so  $(p - f'(\beta))p = 0$ , as we had to show.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a fir with centre  $k$  and let  $F$  be a subfield of  $R$  containing  $k$  such that  $F/k$  is a Galois extension of finite degree  $n$ , say  $F = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  are the conjugates of  $\alpha$ . Given a field  $E$  isomorphic to  $F$ , with  $\alpha \longleftrightarrow \beta$  say, consider the extension  $R_E$ . Let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $k$  and define  $\varphi(x, y)$  as in (8). We note that  $\varphi(y, x) = \varphi(x, y)$ ,  $\varphi(x, x) = f'(x)$ . In  $F \otimes E$  we have  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)(\alpha - \beta) = f(\alpha) - f(\beta) = 0$ , hence  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)\alpha = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)\beta$  and so  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)f'(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)f'(\beta)$ . Let us define

$$e_i = \frac{\varphi(\alpha_i, \beta)}{f'(\alpha_i)} = \frac{\varphi(\alpha_i, \beta)}{f'(\beta)},$$

so that  $e_i$  is an idempotent, by Proposition 3.3. For any  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  the polynomial  $F(x) = \sum b_i \varphi(x, \alpha_i)/f'(\alpha_i)$  is of degree at most  $n - 1$  and for  $x = \alpha_j$  it reduces to  $b_j$ , because  $\varphi(\alpha_j, \alpha_i)/f'(\alpha_i) = \delta_{ij}$ . Thus  $F$  is constant, equal to 1, and so  $\sum e_i = 1$ ; further for  $i \neq j$  we have  $\varphi(\alpha_i, \beta)\varphi(\alpha_j, \beta)\alpha_i = \varphi(\alpha_i, \beta)\varphi(\alpha_j, \beta)\beta = \varphi(\alpha_i, \beta)\varphi(\alpha_j, \beta)\alpha_j$ , hence  $e_i e_j = 0$ ; so it only remains to show that all the  $e_i$  are conjugate.

Let  $L(c), R(c)$  denote left and right multiplication by  $c$ , respectively, for any  $c \in R$ . Since  $L(a), R(b)$  commute, for any  $a, b$ , by associativity, we have  $\varphi(L(\alpha), R(\alpha_i))(L(\alpha) - R(\alpha_i)) = f(L(\alpha)) - f(R(\alpha_i)) = 0$ . Now choose  $x \in R$  such that  $x\alpha \neq \alpha x$ ; this is possible, because  $\alpha$  is not in the centre  $k$  of  $R$ . We put  $c_i = x\varphi(L(\alpha), R(\alpha_i))$ ; then  $\alpha c_i - c_i \alpha_i = c_i(L(\alpha) - R(\alpha_i)) = 0$ , so  $c_i^{-1}\alpha c_i = \alpha_i$  and more generally,  $c_i^{-1}e_1 c_i = e_i$ . Thus all the  $e_i$  are conjugate, once the inverses of the  $c_i$  have been adjoined.

Let  $U$  be the universal field of fractions of  $R$ ; then  $R_E \subseteq U_E$ , while  $U_E \cong D_n$  for some field  $D$ . Now  $e_i U_E \cong D^n$ , a simple  $D_n$ -module; it follows that  $e_i R_E$  is indecomposable, and every projective  $R_E$ -module is a power of  $e_i R_E$ . Hence  $R_E$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix ring over a fir.

We sum up the result as

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a fir with centre  $k$  and let  $F$  be a subfield of  $R$  containing  $k$  such that  $F/k$  is a Galois extension of degree  $n$ . Then there is a finite localization  $T$  of  $R$  such that for any field  $E$  isomorphic to  $F$ ,  $T_E$  is a full  $n \times n$  matrix ring over a fir.*  $\square$

#### 4. EXAMPLES

Let us look again at the examples at the end of §3, of a fir which is split by a field extension. Our ground field  $k$  is assumed to have characteristic not 2 and to contain an element  $a$ , say, which is not a square. We put  $F = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^2 = a$ , and consider the tensor ring  $R = F_k\langle x \rangle$ . Clearly this is a fir, we denote its universal field of fractions by  $U$ . Next take a field  $E$  isomorphic to  $F$ , say  $E = k(\beta)$ , where  $\beta^2 = a$ , and consider  $R_E = R \otimes_k E$ . We have  $U_E = D_2$ , where  $D$  is a skew field, and we ask what elements need to be adjoined to  $R$  to ensure that an extension of the ground field  $k$  to  $E$  produces a  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring. Clearly what is needed is a complete set of matrix units, so we need to take the matrix units in  $U_E$  and adjoin inverses of their denominators, elements of  $R$ , to  $R$ . They can be obtained as follows. In  $F \otimes E$  we have an idempotent  $e = 1/2(1 + \alpha/\beta)$ ; we put  $e_{11} = e, e_{22} = 1 - e$ , and further define  $u = \alpha x + x\alpha, v = \alpha x - x\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha u = \alpha x \alpha + \alpha x = u\alpha, \alpha v = \alpha x - \alpha x \alpha = -v\alpha$ , hence  $\alpha v^2 = v^2 \alpha$ . The set  $\Sigma = \{v\}$  regarded as a matrix system is *factor-complete*, i.e. if  $AB \in \Sigma$ , where  $A$  is  $r \times n$  and  $B$  is  $n \times r$ , then  $r \leq n$  and in the



localization  $R_\Sigma$  there exists an  $n \times (n-r)$  matrix  $B'$  such that  $(B, B')$  is invertible. It follows that the multiplicative system  $\Sigma'$  generated by  $\Sigma$  is also factor-complete. Let us put  $S = R_{\Sigma'}$ ; by Theorem 7.10.7 of [3],  $S$  is again a fir and in  $S$  we have  $e_{11}v = ve_{22}$ ; we now put  $e_{12} = e_{11}v, e_{21} = v^{-1}e_{11}$ ; then  $e_{12} = e_{22}v, e_{21} = e_{22}v^{-1}$ , and it is easily verified that the  $e_{ij}$  form a set of matrix units. Thus we have

$$(9) \quad S_E \cong T_2,$$

where  $T$  is a subring of  $D$ , because  $S_E \subseteq U_E$ . Since  $S_E$  is hereditary, by Proposition 1.8 above, so is  $T$  (by Morita equivalence). We also note that  $R$  has centre  $k$ , as does  $S$ , so  $S_E$  has centre  $E$  and hence  $T$  also has centre  $E$ .

Now  $T$  is the centralizer of the  $e_{ij}$  and it follows that  $T$  contains  $v^2$  as well as  $p, q$ , where  $p = e_{11}u + e_{22}v^{-1}uv, q = e_{22}u + e_{11}vuv^{-1}$ . To realize the isomorphism (9) we need to map  $s \in S_E$  to  $(s_{ij})$ , where  $s_{ij} = \sum_\nu e_{\nu i} s e_{j\nu}$ . Writing  $[s]$  for the matrix  $(s_{ij})$ , we find

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} [u] &= \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, & [v] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ [\alpha] &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix}, & [x] &= \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2a}(u+v) \right] = \frac{\beta}{2a} \begin{pmatrix} p & 1 \\ -v^2 & -q \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A = E\langle p, q, r \rangle$ , the free  $E$ -algebra on  $p, q, r$ . This is a fir, and if we adjoin  $r^{-1}$ , we again get a fir,  $B$  say. We claim that  $B \cong T$ ; to prove this it will be enough to show that  $B_2 \cong T_2$ . We define a map from  $S_E$  to  $B_2$  as follows:

$$x \mapsto \frac{\beta}{2a} \begin{pmatrix} p & 1 \\ -r & -q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\alpha x + x\alpha = u, \alpha x - x\alpha = v$ , where  $u, v$  are as in (10) (with  $v^2$  replaced by  $r$ ). Thus we have a homomorphism from  $S_E$  to  $B_2$ , which is surjective, since the image includes a generating set, and the restriction to  $T$  is injective, because its image  $B$  is free. This shows that  $B \cong T$ , so  $T$  is a fir, as claimed.

More generally, suppose that  $F/k$  is a cyclic extension of degree  $n$  (prime to  $\text{char } k$ ), say  $F = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^n = a \in k$ , and  $E = k(\beta)$  with  $\beta^n = a$ , assume further that  $k$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of 1, say  $\omega$ , and put  $v = \alpha^{n-1}x + \omega\alpha^{n-2}x\alpha + \cdots + \omega^{n-1}x\alpha^{n-1}$ . Then we have

$\alpha v = v\omega\alpha$ , thus the automorphism  $\alpha \mapsto \omega\alpha$  of  $F$  is realized within  $F_k\langle x \rangle$  by conjugation with  $v$ . From this it is easy to construct a set of matrix units in  $S_E$  as before.

As another example let us take a field  $k$  of characteristic 2, with an element  $a$  which is not a square in  $k$ . Define  $F = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^2 = a$ , and form the tensor ring  $F_k\langle x \rangle$  with a single indeterminate  $x$  and its universal field of fractions  $U$ . Let  $E$  be a field isomorphic to  $F$  over  $k$ , say  $E = k(\beta)$ , where  $\beta^2 = a$ , and consider  $U_E = U \otimes_k E$ . As a skew field under extension,  $U_E$  is central simple and Artinian, but it is not a field because it has zero divisors, e.g.  $1 + \alpha^{-1}\beta$ ; hence it is a matrix ring over a field. Let us find an explicit form for the matrix units. We put  $t = x(x\alpha + \alpha x)^{-1}$ ; then  $\alpha t + t\alpha = 1$  and if we put  $u = t\alpha$ , then  $\alpha t = u + 1$  and  $u(u + 1) = t^2a$ . Now consider  $u + \beta t = t(\alpha + \beta)$  in  $U_E$ ; we have  $(u + \beta t)^2 = u^2 + \beta(ut + tu) + at^2 = u + \beta t$ , because  $ut + tu = t\alpha t + t^2\alpha = t$ . Thus we have found an idempotent  $e = t(\alpha + \beta)$  different from 0 and 1 in  $U_E$ . Further, we have  $t^{-1}et = (\alpha + \beta)t = t(\alpha + \beta) + 1 = 1 - e$ . Now put  $p = t(\alpha + \beta)t$ ,  $q = \alpha + \beta$ , so that  $p^2 = q^2 = 0$ . Then  $pe = 0$ ,  $ep = p$ ,  $qe = q$ ,  $eq = 0$  with similar equations for  $1 - e$  in place of  $e$ , so that  $e, p, q, 1 - e$  form a set of matrix units for  $U_E$ .

More generally consider the case of a  $p$ -radical (purely inseparable) extension in characteristic  $p$ . We shall need the following elementary fact:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field of prime characteristic  $p$  and let  $x, y$  be two indeterminates satisfying the relation*

$$(11) \quad xy - yx = 1.$$

*Then for any positive integer  $r$ ,*

$$(12) \quad x^r y - y x^r = r x^{r-1},$$

$$(13) \quad (xy)^p = x^p y^p + xy,$$

$$(14) \quad (yx)^{p-1} = x^{p-1} y^{p-1} + 1.$$

*Proof.* The formula (12) (which is well known) follows by an easy induction left to the reader. To prove (13), let us put  $z = xy$ ; then (11) becomes  $xz = zx + x = (z + 1)x$ , hence  $zx^{-1} = x^{-1}(z + 1)$ . Now we have  $y^p = (x^{-1}z)^p = x^{-p}(z + p - 1)(z + p - 2) \dots (z + 1)z = x^{-p}(z^p - z)$ ,

hence  $z^p - z = x^p y^p$ , i.e. (13), and (14) follows on dividing by  $x$  on the left and  $y$  on the right.  $\square$

Now, as before, let  $F = k(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^p = a$ , and  $\text{char } k = p$ , form the tensor ring  $F_k\langle x \rangle$  with a single indeterminate  $x$  and denote its universal field of fractions by  $U$ . Further, let  $E = k(\beta)$ , where  $\beta^p = a$ , and consider  $U_E$ . As before this is a full matrix ring over a field and our task is to find a set of matrix units in  $U_E$ . We shall use the characterization of matrix rings given in [1]: a given ring  $R$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix ring if and only if  $R$  contains elements  $c, f, g$  such that  $f^n = 0$  and  $cf^{n-1} + fg = 1$ . In that case  $R = S_n$ , where  $S$  is the centralizer of  $c, f, g$  in  $R$ .

Let  $\delta$  be the inner derivation  $u \mapsto u\alpha - \alpha u$  on  $U$ . Clearly  $\delta^p = 0$ , so if we put  $t = x\delta^{p-2}(x\delta^{p-1})^{-1}$ , then  $t\delta = 1$ , i.e.  $t\alpha - \alpha t = 1$ , and it follows that on writing  $d = \alpha - \beta$  we have  $td - dt = 1$ . Further, we have  $d^p = (\alpha - \beta)^p = \alpha^p - \beta^p = a - a = 0$ , and, by (14),  $(dt)^{p-1} = t^{p-1}d^{p-1} + 1$ . Let us put  $f = d, c = t^{p-1}dt, g = t(dt)^{p-2}$ . We have  $f^p = 0, cf^{p-1} + fg = t^{p-1}dtd^{p-1} + (dt)^{p-1} = t^{p-1}(td - 1)d^{p-1} + (dt)^{p-1} = t^p d^p - t^{p-1}d^{p-1} + (dt)^{p-1} = 1$ , by (14), bearing in mind that  $d^p = 0$ . Thus the conditions for a matrix ring are satisfied and we have  $U_E = V_p$ , where  $V$  is the centralizer in  $U_E$  of  $c, f, g$ , i.e. of  $d, t^{p-1}dt$  and  $t(dt)^{p-2}$ .

In this connexion it may be of interest to note Theorem 3.2 of [8], which deals with a purely inseparable field extension  $F/k$  of degree  $p$ : given a tensor ring  $R = F_k\langle x \rangle$ , there is an element of  $R$  such that by adjoining its inverse we obtain a ring  $S$  with the property that  $S_F = A_p$ , where  $A$  is a fir.

## 5. MATRIX REDUCTION

We recall the matrix reduction functor from [3], 2.11 and [6], 1.7 in a slightly generalized form. Let  $K$  be any ring and consider the category  $\text{Rg}_K$  of  $K$ -rings. For each  $n \geq 1$ , the *matrix reduction functor*  $\mathfrak{W}_n$  is defined as the left adjoint of the  $n \times n$  matrix functor  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = R_n$ :

$$\text{Rg}_K(R, \mathfrak{M}_n(S)) \cong \text{Rg}_K(\mathfrak{W}_n(R), S).$$

The explicit definition of  $\mathfrak{W}_n$  runs as follows. Put  $\mathfrak{F}_n(R) = R *_K K_n$ ; since  $\mathfrak{F}_n(R)$  contains a set of  $n \times n$  matrix units  $(e_{ij})$ , it is itself a matrix ring:  $\mathfrak{F}_n(R) = S_n$  (cf. Proposition 0.1.1 of [3] or Proposition 4.3.3 of



[4]). We put  $S = \mathfrak{W}_n(R)$ , thus

$$R *_K K_n \cong \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R)).$$

Sometimes we shall write  $\mathfrak{W}_n(R; K)$  to emphasize the rôle of  $K$ . Another description which is sometimes useful (and is easily seen to be equivalent to the one just given) is as follows: interpret the elements of  $R$  as  $n \times n$  matrices, with the elements of  $K$  as scalar matrices. To obtain the elements of  $\mathfrak{W}_n(R)$  we take the centralizer of the  $e_{ij}$ . For each  $a \in R$  this gives  $n^2$  elements  $a_{ij} = \sum_{\nu} e_{i\nu} a e_{j\nu}$ ; when  $a \in K$ , then since  $a$  commutes with the  $e_{ij}$ , this reduces to  $a_{ij} = a \delta_{ij}$ . Now we have

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $K$  be a field and  $R$  a  $K$ -ring which is non-trivial. Then for any  $n > 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{W}_n(R; K)$  is a  $K$ -ring which is an  $(n - 1)$ -fir. If  $R$  is a fir and  $K$  is a field, then  $\mathfrak{W}_n(R; K)$  is again a fir.*

*Proof.* When  $K$  is in the centre of  $R$ , then  $\mathfrak{W}_n(R; K)$  has a filtration satisfying the  $(n - 1)$ -term weak algorithm, by Theorem 2.11.2 of [3], and the proof clearly still applies in the more general case of a  $K$ -ring. The last part follows by Corollary 5.7.7 of [6] when  $K$  is a commutative field; the extension to the general case is straightforward.  $\square$

We can also obtain pfirs through matrix reduction. We shall need

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $R$  be an algebra over a commutative field  $k$  and let  $E/k$  be a commutative field extension. Then for any  $n \geq 1$  we have an isomorphism of  $E$ -algebras*

$$\mathfrak{W}_n(R; k)_E \cong \mathfrak{W}_n(R_E; E).$$

*Proof.* If we tensor  $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R; k))$  with  $E$  over  $k$ , we obtain

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R; k))_E \cong \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R; k)_E).$$

Next we tensor  $R *_k \mathfrak{M}_n(k)$  with  $E$  over  $k$  and use Theorem 1.3 to obtain

$$(R *_k \mathfrak{M}_n(k))_E \cong R_E *_E \mathfrak{M}_n(E).$$

By definition,  $R_E *_E \mathfrak{M}_n(E) \cong \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R_E; E))$ , therefore

$$(16) \quad (R *_k \mathfrak{M}_n(k))_E \cong \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{W}_n(R_E; E)).$$

We now combine (15) and (16), bearing in mind that all the isomorphisms involved preserve the matrix units; this yields the desired result.  $\square$

As a consequence we have

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $D$  be a skew field with centre  $k$  and let  $E/k$  be a finite commutative field extension. Then, for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R = \mathfrak{M}_n(D; k)$  is a fir and  $R_E$  is a pfir, but not a fir, unless  $D_E$  is a skew field.*

*Proof.* We have seen in Theorem 5.1 that  $R$  is a fir and, by Proposition 3.1,  $D_E \cong \mathfrak{M}_r(U)$ , where  $r \mid [E : k]$  and  $U$  is a skew field. By Lemma 5.2,

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{M}_n(R_E) \cong \mathfrak{M}_r(U) *_E \mathfrak{M}_n(E).$$

By (17),  $\mathfrak{M}_n(R_E)$  is hereditary, hence so is  $R_E$ , and if  $M$  denotes its monoid of projectives, the isomorphism (17) gives  $\frac{1}{n}M \cong \frac{1}{r}N \coprod_N \frac{1}{n}N$ . Hence  $R$  is power-free of index  $r$ . Finally it is clear that  $M \cong N$  if and only if  $r = 1$ .  $\square$

Given a fir  $R$  with centre  $k$  and universal field of fractions  $U$ , let us take an algebraic extension  $E$  of  $k$  of degree  $r$ , say. Then  $U_E = U \otimes_k E \cong D_s$ , where  $D$  is a field and  $s$  is a factor of  $r$ . We ask: what can be said about  $R_E$ ? One would hope that  $R_E$  has the form  $T_s$ , where  $T$  is a fir with universal field of fractions  $D$ , or at least a well-behaved integral domain, but this need not be so. E.g. let  $R = F *_k F$ , where  $F$  is a field with centre  $k$  and with  $E$  as commutative subfield. Then  $F_E \cong L_s$ , for some field  $L$  with centre  $E$ , and  $s > 1$ , because  $E \otimes_k E$ , a subring of  $F_E$ , has zero-divisors. It follows that  $R_E = (F *_k F)_E = F_E *_E F_E = L_s *_E L_s$  (cf. Theorem 1.3 above), and this is not of the form  $A_t$  for any fir  $A$  and any integer  $t$ . For we have  $R_E = \mathfrak{M}_s(\mathfrak{M}_s(L *_E L_s; L)) \cong T_s$ , where  $T$  is a ring with  $(s-1)$ -term weak algorithm, but  $T$  has non-trivializable  $s$ -term relations and so is not an  $s$ -fir (cf. [6], Theorem 5.7.6, p. 247).

We also note a characterization of matrix algebras, taken from [9], which is sometimes useful. Let  $R$  be a ring which is an  $n \times n$  matrix algebra, say  $R = \mathfrak{M}_n(A)$ ; if  $e = e_{11}$ ,  $w = e_{1n} + e_{21} + e_{32} + \cdots + e_{nn-1}$ , then we have equations

$$w^n = 1 = \sum_i w^{i-1} e w^{1-i} \quad \text{and} \quad e w^{i-1} e = \delta_{i1} e.$$

Conversely if a ring  $R$  contains two elements  $e, w$  satisfying these equations, then  $R \cong \mathfrak{M}_n(A)$ , where  $A$  is the centralizer of  $e$  and  $w$ . To see



this we define, for any  $b \in R$ ,  $n^2$  elements  $b_{ij}$  by the equations

$$b_{ij} = ew^{1-i}bw^{j-1}e, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now it is easily verified that the rule  $b \mapsto (b_{ij})$  defines a homomorphism from  $R$  to  $\mathfrak{M}_n(A)$ , where  $A = eRe$ , with inverse  $(c_{ij}) \mapsto \sum_{ij} w^{i-1}ec_{ij}ew^{1-j}$ .

## 6. SPECIAL CASES OF EXTENSIONS

Let  $D$  be a skew field with centre  $k$  and let  $E/k$  be a finite commutative field extension, say  $[E : k] = r$ . Then  $D_E \cong G_s$ , where  $s \mid r$  and  $G$  is a skew field with centre  $E$ , by Proposition 3.1.

If  $E$  has a subfield containing  $k$  which can be embedded in  $D$ , then  $D_E$  clearly cannot be a field, as we see by taking the minimal equation of an element in  $E \setminus k$  which has a root in  $D$ . To give an example where  $D_E$  is a matrix ring even though  $E$  and  $D$  have no isomorphic subextension over  $k$ , take any commutative field  $F$  and let  $H = F(x, \xi)$  be a rational function field, put  $\eta = x(\xi - x)$  and  $k = F(\xi, \eta)$ ,  $D = H \circ_k k(y)$  with an indeterminate  $y$ , where  $\circ$  stands for the field coproduct. Then  $D$  is a field with centre  $k$  and  $f = t^4 + \xi t^2 + \eta$  is irreducible over  $k$ , but over  $D$  we have  $f = (t^2 + x)(t^2 + \xi - x)$ , where the factors are irreducible over  $D$ . Let  $E$  be the field obtained by adjoining to  $k$  a zero of  $f$ ; calling this zero  $\lambda$ , we have  $(\lambda^2 + x)(\lambda^2 + \xi - x) = 0$ . If  $D_E$  were a skew field, it would follow that either  $\lambda^2 + x = 0$  or  $\lambda^2 + \xi - x = 0$ , so  $x$  now lies in the centre, but  $xy - yx \neq 0$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $D_E \cong G_2$  for some field  $G$ . However,  $D$  contains no zero of  $f$ , because  $f$  splits into two irreducible factors of degree greater than one.

The phenomenon can also occur for finite-dimensional algebras. Let  $F$  and  $H = F(x, \xi)$  be as before; on  $H$  we have an automorphism  $\alpha$  of order two fixing  $F(\xi)$  and mapping  $x$  to  $\xi - x$ . We form the skew polynomial ring  $H[y; \alpha]$  and its rational function field  $D = H(y; \alpha)$ ; clearly  $D$  is generated over  $F$  by  $x, y, \xi$  subject to  $xy = y(\xi - x)$ , and its centre is  $C = F(\xi, y^2, x(\xi - x))$ . Since  $1, x, y, xy$  span  $D$  over  $C$  and are linearly independent, we have  $[D : C] = 4$ . We write  $\eta = x(\xi - x)$  and consider the polynomial  $f = t^4 - \xi t^2 + \eta$  in  $D[t]$ ; it is irreducible over  $C$ , while over  $D$  it splits into two irreducible factors:  $f = (t^2 - x)(t^2 - \xi + x)$ . Let  $E$  be the field obtained by adjoining a zero  $\lambda$  of  $f$  and consider  $D_E$ .

If this were a skew field, we find as before that  $x$  lies in the centre, but  $xy = y(\xi - x)$ , so  $2x = \xi$ , a contradiction.

However, it is not clear whether the stated condition (no isomorphic subfields) is really satisfied, so it may be better to use the example below.

Here is an example of a central division algebra (finite-dimensional)  $D/k$  and a finite commutative extension  $E/k$  such that  $D_E$  is not a skew field, even though  $E$  and  $D$  have no commutative subfields strictly containing  $k$  that are isomorphic. Let  $D$  be the rational quaternions, so that  $k = \mathbf{Q}$ , the rationals, and take  $E/k$  to be of degree 4, generated by two square roots  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , where  $\beta_i^2 = b_i \in k$ . We know that  $D_E$  is a total matrix ring over a skew field; to show that it is not a skew field, it will be enough to show that it contains a non-zero element whose square is zero. Consider the element  $f = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\beta_2$ , where we have omitted the tensor product for simplicity. If  $f^2 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j}\beta_1 + \mathbf{k}\beta_2)^2 \\ (18) \quad &= -1 - b_1 - b_2 + (\mathbf{ij} + \mathbf{ji})\beta_1 + (\mathbf{ik} + \mathbf{ki})\beta_2 + (\mathbf{jk} + \mathbf{kj})\beta_1\beta_2 \\ &= -1 - b_1 - b_2. \end{aligned}$$

It only remains to choose  $b_1, b_2$  so that  $\sqrt{-1} \notin E$ . Take  $b_1 = 2, b_2 = -3$ , then (18) is satisfied and  $E = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-3})$ . Clearly  $E$  does not contain  $\sqrt{-1}$ , so this is the desired construction. We note further that for any commutative subfield  $F$  of  $D$ ,  $F \otimes E$  is again a field, by Theorem 5.5.5', p. 188 of [5], because  $F$  is a Galois extension of  $k$  (so, for that matter, is  $E/k$ ).

## REFERENCES

1. G. AGNARSSON, S. A. AMITSUR and J. C. ROBSON, 'Recognition of matrix rings. II', *Israel J. Math.* 96 (1996), 1–13.
2. M. AUSLANDER, I. REITEN and S. O. SMALØ, *Representation theory of Artin algebras* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
3. P. M. COHN, *Free rings and their relations*, 2nd Ed., London Mathematical Society Monographs, 19 (Academic Press, London, 1985).
4. P. M. COHN, *Algebra*, 2nd Ed., Vol. 2 (John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1989).
5. P. M. COHN, *Algebra*, 2nd Ed., Vol. 3 (John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1991).
6. P. M. COHN, *Skew fields. Theory of general division rings*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 57 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

7. P. M. COHN, *Basic Algebra* (Springer-Verlag, London, to appear).
8. V. O. FERREIRA, 'Tensor rings under field extensions', *J. Algebra* 231 (2000), 342–363.
9. T. W. PALMER, *Banach algebras and the general theory of  $*$ -algebras. Vol. I. Algebras and Banach algebras*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 49 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, GOWER STREET, LONDON WC1E 6BT, UK

*E-mail address:* pmc@math.ucl.ac.uk

DEPTO. DE MATEMÁTICA, ICMC/USP - SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 668, 13560-970 SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* vitor@icmc.sc.usp.br

# NOTAS DO ICMC

## SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

- 135/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E., HENRÍQUEZ, H. – Global solutions for a functional second order abstract Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions.
- 134/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E., HENRÍQUEZ, H. – Existence of solutions of the functional second order abstract Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions.
- 133/2002 HERNÁNDEZ M., E. – A massera type criterion for partial neutral functional differential equations.
- 132/2002 FERREIRA, V. O.; GONÇALVES, J.Z.; MANDEL, A. – Free symmetric and unitary pairs in division rings with involutions.
- 131/2001 CARBINATTO, M.C.; RYBAKOWSKI, K.P. – Morse decompositions in the absence of uniqueness.
- 130/2001 CONDE, A. – O terceiro problema de Hilbert.
- 129/2001 SAIA, M.J.; TOMAZELLA, J.N. – Deformations with constant Milnor number and multiplicity of non-degenerate complex hypersurfaces.
- 128/2001 BIASI, C.; LIBARDI, A.K.M. – Remarks on immersions in the metastable range dimension.
- 127/2001 CONDE, A. – Polyhedral structure on flag manifolds.
- 126/2001 HERNÁNDEZ M., E. – Existence results for a second order differential equation with nonlocal conditions.