RT-MAE-8923

AN ITERATIVE PROCEDURE FOR THE MSAE ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS IN A DOSE-RESPONSE MODEL

by C.D.S. André, C.A. Peres and S.C. Narula

Palavras Chaves: Exponential model; least squares; maximum
(Key words) likelihood; nonlinear regression; radiobiology

Classificação AMS: 62Fl0, 62Fl1, 62Pl0 (AMS Classification)

AN ITERATIVE PROCEDURE FOR THE MSAE ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS IN A DOSE-RESPONSE MODEL

Carmen D. S. André University of São Paulo São Paulo, Brazil Clovis A. Peres University of São Paulo São Paulo, Brazil

Subhash C. Narula Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia 23284

ABSTRACT

The least squares estimates of the parameters in the multistage dose-response model are unduly affected by outliers in a
data set whereas the minimum sum of absolute errors, MSAE estimates are more resistant to outliers. Algorithms to compute the
MSAE estimates can be tedious and computationally burdensome. We
propose a linear approximation for the dose-response model that
can be used to find the MSAE estimates by a simple and
computationally less intensive algorithm. A few illustrative
examples show that we get comparable values of the MSAE estimates
of the parameters in a dose-response model using the exact model
and the linear approximation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let y_1 denote the value of the response variable corresponding to dose d_1 . The multistage dose-response model

$$y_i = 1 - \exp(-x_{i=0}^k \alpha_i d_i^j) + e_i, i=1,...,n,$$
 (1)

where a_0 , a_1 , ..., a_k denote the nonnegative unknown parameters and e_i denotes the unobservable random error, was developed by

Armitage and Doll (1954) to assess the risk of exposure to chemicals and pollution agents. The model is based on the assumption that the mechanism of carcinogenesis can be expressed as a series of k mutations at the cellular level. The model can also be used in radiobiological areas, Peres and Narula (1989) where 1-y represents the survival probability corrected by the natural survival at q_0 and radiation dose equal to zero.

The maximum likelihood and the least squares methods are often used to estimate the parameters of the model in (1). These estimates may be unduly affected by outliers. As an illustration, consider the data in the first three columns of Table I. These data are taken from Table II of Sankaranarayanan (1969b) and represent the effect of nitrogen post-treatment on mortality of Drosophilia eggs irradiated as stage-7 occytes. In Column 4, we have replaced the value of y₅ by y₃, i.e., changed y₅ from 0.104 to 0.249. The least squares, LS estimates of the parameter of the model for the original and the altered data are given in Table I.

TABLE I

The Data and the Estimates of the Parameter for the Model y = exp (- ad) + e.

| 1 | d ₁ | Original y _i | Altered y _i |
|------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 0.15 | 0.625 | 0.625 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.407 | 0.407 |
| 3 | 0.45 | 0.249 | 0.249 |
| 4 | 0.60 | 0.157 | 0.157 |
| 5 | 0.75 | 0.104 | 0.249 |
| LS E | stimate | 3.0620 | 2.8416 |
| MSAE | Estimat | e 3.0858 | 3.0848 |

Clearly, the values of the two estimates are quite different. Therefore, it is desirable to develop alternative estimation procedures that are more resistant to outliers.

During the last two decades it has been recognized that the minimum sum of absolute errors, MSAE estimates of the parameters in the multiple linear regression model are not unduly affected by the presence of outliers, Huber (1974) and Narula and Wellington (1985). The MSAE estimates of the parameter for the original and the altered data are given in Table I. The values of the two estimates are the same.

The model in (1) is intrinsically nonlinear. A number of algorithms have been proposed to compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters in a general linear regression model. However, most of these algorithms are tedious and require intensive computations. Since the model in (1) has a special form, we can approximate it by a linear model that can be solved by a simpler and computationally less intensive algorithm.

Our objective in this paper is to present an easy to understand and effective algorithm to compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters in a multistage dose-response model. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we describe an algorithm to estimate the parameters using model in (1). In Section 3, we give a linear approximation for the dose-response model and develop an algorithm to compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters in model (1) using the approximation. In Section 4, we compare the MSAE estimates of the parameters in model (1) using the two procedures. We conclude the paper with a few remarks in Section 5.

2. AN ALGORITHM USING THE EXACT MODEL

Schlossmacher (1973) proposed an iterative weighted least squares procedure to compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters of a multiple linear regression model. He commented that one may also use this procedure to compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters in the nonlinear regression models.

The basic idea of the algorithm can be stated as follows: Our objective is to minimize

$$G(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |e_i|$$
.

This objective can be achieved by minimizing

$$G_{\mathbf{w}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} e_{i}^{2}$$
 (2)

where $w_i = 1/|e_i|$, i = 1, ..., n. However, w_i 's are not known at the start of the procedure. Therefore, one may use the following iterative procedure to minimize $G_{\omega}(\alpha)$.

Step 1: Set m=0 and solve the weighted least squares problem (2) using $w_i(m)=1,\ i=1,\ldots,\ n$. Compute

$$r_{i}(\mathbf{n}) = 1 - y_{i} - \exp(-\sum_{j=0}^{k} \hat{a_{j}}(\mathbf{n}) d_{i}^{j})$$

the observed residuals at the mth iteration.

Step 2: Solve the weighted least squares problem (2) using $w_i(m+1) = 1/|r_i(m)|$, i = 1,..., n; if any $r_i(m) = 0$, set $w_i(m+1) = 0$. Set m = m + 1 and compute $r_i(m)$.

Step 3: If $|r_1(m+1) - r_1(m)| = 0$, 1 = 1,..., n, stop; otherwise, go to Step 2.

It is clearly an iterative algorithm. Furthermore, since (1) is a nonlinear model, in Steps 1 and 2 we have to use some iterative procedure to determine the weighted least squares estimates of the parameters. Therefore, the algorithm consists of two nested iterative procedures requiring intensive computations.

3. AN ALGORITHM USING THE APPROXIMATE MODEL

Peres and Narula (1989) have shown that it is possible to approximate a dose-response model by a linear model. Clearly, once the model has been linearized, we can avoid the iterative procedure required to solve the nonlinear model in Steps 1 and 2 of the algorithm in Section 2; thus making the algorithm computationally less intensive.

To compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters in (1), we observe that

$$|e_i| = |z_i - g(d_i, \alpha)|$$

where $z_i = 1 - y_i$ and $g(d_i, \alpha) = \exp(-z_{j=0}^k q_i^j)$.

Thus

$$|e_i| = |z_i\{1 - g(d_i, \alpha)/z_i\}|$$

= $|z_i\{1 - \exp(-(\ln z_i - \ln g(d_i, \alpha)))\}|$.

When model (1) is the correct model, then $|\ln z_1 - \ln g(d_1, \alpha)| < 1$, and, in fact, it is close to zero. Therefore, if we expand $\{1 - \exp(-(\ln z_1 - \ln g(d_1, \alpha)))\}$ by the Taylor series expansion around $\ln z_1 - \ln g(d_1, \alpha) = 0$ and retain only the first term, Peres and Narula (1989) have shown that

$$|e_i| = |z_i(\ln z_i - g(d_i, \alpha))|, i = 1,...,n.$$

However, to obtain even better approximation, we may include the second term in the approximation which gives us

$$|e_i| = |v_i(1nz_i - 1n g(d_i, \alpha)|, i = 1,...,n$$
 (3)

where $v_i = z_i \{1 - (\ln z_i - (\ln g(d_i, \alpha))/2)\}$. Using (3), our objective is to find α 's that minimize

$$G^{*}(\alpha) = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} | \mathbf{v}_{i} \in \mathbf{e}_{i}^{*} |,$$
 (4)

where $e_i^* = \ln z_i - \ln g(d_i, \alpha) = \ln z_i + \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j d_i^j$. Note that e_i^* 's denote the errors from the linear model

$$\ln z_{i} = \ln g(d_{i}, \alpha) + e_{i}^{\dagger},$$

$$= -z_{j=0}^{k} a_{j}^{\dagger} d_{i}^{\dagger} + e_{i}^{\dagger}.$$
(5)

Using the linear model (5), we can compute the MSAE estimates of the parameters of model in (1) by minimizing

$$G_W^{A}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \ v_i^2 \ (e_i^A)^2$$
, (6)

where $w_i = 1/|e_i|$, i = 1,...,n. Since w_i 's and v_i 's are not known, we can use the following iterative procedure:

Step 1: Set m = 0 and solve the weighted least squares problem (6) using w_i(m) = 1, and v_i(m) = z_i, i = 1,...,n. Compute

$$r_{i}^{*}(m) = \ln z_{i} + \sum_{j=0}^{k} \hat{a_{j}}(m) d_{i}^{j},$$

and

$$r_i(\mathbf{m}) = z_i - \exp(-\Sigma_{j=0}^k q_j(\mathbf{m}) d_i^j),$$

the observed residuals for models in (5) and (1), respectively.

Step 2: Solve the weighted least squares problem (6) using $w_i(m+1) = z_i (1 - r_i^*(m)/2)$, i=1,...,n; if any $r_i(m) = 0$, set $w_i(m+1) = 0$. Set m = m+1 and compute $r_i(m)$ and $r_i^*(m)$ as in Step 1.

Step 3: If
$$|r_i(m+1) - r_i(m)| = 0$$
, $i=1,...,n$, stop; otherwise, go to Step 2.

The preceding algorithm is also iterative. However, in Steps 1 and 2 we solve the linear model (5) using any weighted least squares regression procedure; thus making it computationally less demanding than the algorithm in Section 2.

4. COMPUTATIONAL EXPERIENCE

The algorithm of Section 2 was implemented on the Burrough B-1900 computer at the Centro de Computação Electronica de USP using BMDP-3R routine. The algorithm of Section 3 was implemented in Pascal on an IBM compatible microcomputer.

To compare the MSAE estimates of the parameters of a multi-stage dose-response model obtained by using the exact model (1) and the approximate linear model (5), we computed the MSAE estimates for a few data sets taken from Sankaranarayanan (1969a, 1969b). The results for the model $y_1 = \exp(-\alpha d_1) + e_1$, are summarized in Table II, and for the model $y_1 = \exp(-\alpha d_1) + e_1$, are

From Tables II and III we observe that the MSAE estimates obtained using the exact model and the linear approximation are comparable.

TABLE II

The MSAE Estimates of the Parameter α in the Model $y_i = \exp(-\alpha d_i) + e_i$ and the Sum of Absolute Errors Using the Exact Model and the Linear Approximation

| Data Set* | Model Used | MSAE Estimate of q | Sum of . Absolute Error |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Nitrogen Post- | Exact Model | 3.0858 | 0.00417 |
| Table II | Linear Approx. | 3.0858 | 0.00417 |
| Oxygen Post- | Exact Model | 2.5295 | 0.00943 |
| treatment Table II | Linear Approx. | 2.5295 | 0.00943 |

^{*}Table number refers to Table in Sankaranarayanan (1969b).

TABLE III

The MSAE Estimates of the Parameters a_1 and a_2 in the Model $y_1 = \exp(-a_1 d_1 - a_2 d_1^2) + e_1$ and the Sum of Absolute Errors for the Data From Table II of Sankaranarayanan (1969a) Using the Exact Models and the Linear Approximation

| | MSAE Estimate of | | Sum of Absolute Errors |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Model Used | α ₁ α ₂ | | |
| Exact Model | 0.21235 | 0.07536 | 0.00822 |
| Linear Approx. | 0.21601 | 0.07434 | 0.00807 |

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The MSAE estimates of the parameters in a multistage doseresponse model are more resistant to outliers than the least
squares estimates. We have shown how we can obtain these
estimates by a simple and computationally less intensive algorithm
using a linear approximation for the model. Furthermore, the
algorithm can be implemented by using any program for solving a
weighted least squares multiple linear regression problem or by
appropriately modifying the data and using a program for least
squares linear regression problem.

ACKNOWLEDGHENTS

We thank Prof. Gabriela Stangenhaus of the University of Campinas for helpful suggestions during the research. We also thank FAPESP and FINEP for the grants that partially supported the work of Prof. Narula.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armitage, P. and Doll, R. (1954). The age distribution of cancer and a multistage theory of carcinogenesis.

 <u>British Journal</u>
 of Cancer, 8, 1-12.
- Huber, P. J. (1974). Comment on "Adaptive robust procedures: A partial review and some suggestions for future applications and theory," by R. V. Hogg, <u>J. of the American Statistical Association</u>, 69, 926-927.
- Narula, S. C. and Wellington, J. F. (1985). Interior analysis for the minimum sum of absolute errors regression.

 Technometrics, 27, 181-188.
- Peres, C. A. and Narula, S. C. (1989). An approximate closed form solution for a quadratic dose-response model. <u>Communications</u>
 in Statistics Simulation and Computation, 18, 643-653.
- Sankaranarayanan, K. (1969a). The effect of oxygen and nitrogen post-treatments on the mortality of Drosophilia eggs irradiated as stage-7 occytes. <u>Mutation Research</u>, 7, 357-368.
- Sankaranarayanan, K. (1969b). The effect of oxygen and nitrogen post-treatments on the survival of irradiated stage-14 occytes and possible basis for sensitivity differences between stage-7 and stage-14 occytes of Drosophilia Melanogaster. Mutation Research, 7,, 369-383.
- Schlossmacher, E. J. (1973). An iterative technique for absolute deviations curve fitting. <u>Journal of the American Statistical Association</u>, 68, 857-859.

RELATÓRIO TÉCHICO DO DEPARTAMENTO DE ESTATÍSTICA

TITULOS PUBLICADOS EM 1987

- 8701 ACHCAR, J.A. & BOLFARINE, H.; Constant Hazard Against a ChangePoint Alternative: A Bayesian Approach with Censored Data,
 São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 20p.
- 8702 RODRIGUES, J.; <u>Some Results on Restricted Bayes Least Squares</u>

 <u>Predictors for Finite Populations</u>, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987,
 16p.
- 8703 LEITE, J.G., BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Exact Expression for the Posterior Mode of a Finite Population Size: Capture-Recapture Sequential Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 14p.
- 8704 RODRIGUES, J., BOLFARINE, H. & LEITE, J.G.; A Bayesian Analysis

 in Closed Animal Populations from Capture Recapture Experiments with Trap Response, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 21p.
- 8705 PAULINO, C.D.M.; Analysis of Categorical Data with Full and Partial Classification: A Survey of the Conditional Maximum Likelihood and Weighted Least Squares Approaches, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 52p.
- 8706 CORDEIRO, G.M. & BOLFARINE, H.; <u>Prediction in a Finite Popula tion under a Generalized Linear Model</u>, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 21p.
- 8707 RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; Nonlinear Bayesian Least-Squares

 Theory and the Inverse Linear Regression, São Paulo, IMEUSP, 1987, 15p.
- 8708 RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Bayesian Least-Squares

 Estimators of Time-Varying Regression Coefficients, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 11p.

- 8709 ACHCAR, J.A., BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Inverse Gaussian

 Distribution: A Bayesian Approach, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987,

 20p.
 - 8710 CORDEIRO, G.M. & PAULA, G.A.; Improved Likelihood Ratio Statistics for Exponential Family Nonlinear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 26p.
 - 8711 SINGER, J.M.; PERES, C.A.& HARLE, C.E.; On the Hardy Weinberg

 Equilibrium in Generalized ABO Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP,

 1987, 16p.
- 8712 BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; A Review and Some Extensions on

 Distribution Free Bayesian Approaches for Estimation and

 Prediction, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 19p.
- 8713 RODRIGUES, J.; BOLFARINE, H. & LEITE, J.G.; A Simple Nonparametric Bayes Solution to the Estimation of the Size of a Closed Animal Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 11p.
- 8714 BUENO, V.C.; Generalizing Importance of Components for Multistate Monotone Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 12p.
- 8801 PEREIRA, C.A.B. & WECHSLER, S.: On the Concept of P-value, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 22p.
- 8802 ZACKS, S., PEREIRA, C.A.B. & LEITE, J.G.; Bayes Sequential Estimation of the Size of a Finite Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 23p.
- 8803 BOLFARINE, H.; Finite Population Prediction Under Dynamic Generalized Linear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 21p.
- 8804 BOLFARINE, H.; Minimax Prediction in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8805 SINGER, J.M. & ANDRADE, D.F.; On the Choice of Appropriate Error Terms for Testing the General Linear Hypothesis in Profile Analysis, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 23p.
- 8806 DACHS, J.N.W. & PAULA, G.A.; Testing for Ordered Rate Rations
 in Follow-up Studies with Incidence Density Data, São Paulo,
 IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8807 CORDEIRO, G.M. & PAULA, G.A.; Estimation, Significance Tests

 and Diagnostic Methods for the Non-Exponential Family Nonlinear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 29p.

- 8808 RODRIGUES, J. & ELIAN, S.N.; The Coordinate Free Estimation in Finite Population Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 5p.
- 8809 BUENO, V.C. & CUADRADO, R.Z.B.; On the Importance of Components for Continuous Structures, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 14p.
 - 8810 ACHCAR, J.A., BOLFARINE, H & PERICCHI, L.R.; Some Applications of Bayesian Methods in Analysis of Life Data, São Paulo, DE-USP, 1988, 30p.
 - 8811 RODRIGUES, J.; A Bayesian Analysis of Capture-Recapture Experiments for a Closed Animal Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 10p.
- 8812 FERRARI, P.A.; Ergodicity for Spin Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 25p.
- 8813 FERRARI, P.A. & MAURO, E.S.R.; A Method to Combine Pseudo-Random Number Generators Using Xor, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 10p.
- 8814 BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Finite Population Prediction Under a Linear Functional Superpopulation Model a Bayesian
 Perspective, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 22p.
- 8815 RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Asymptotically Unbiased Designs in Survey Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 6p.
- 8816 BUENO, V.C.; Bounds for the a Availabilities in a Fixed Time

 Interval for Continuous Structures Functions, São Paulo,

 IME-USP, 1988, 22 p.
- 8817 TOLOI, C.M.C. & MORETTIN, P.A.; Spectral Estimation for Time

 Series with Amplitude Modulated Observations: A Review, São
 Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 16p.
- 8818 CHAYES, J.T.; CHAYES, L.; GRIMMETT, G.R.; KESTEN, H. & SCHONMANN,

 R.H.; The Correlation Length for the High Density Phase of

 Bernoulli Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1938, 46p.
- 8819 DURRETT, R., SCHONMANN, R.H. & TANAKA, M.I.: The Contact Process

 on a Finite Set, III: The Critical Case, São Paulo, IME-USP,
 1988, 3lp.

- 8820 DURRET, R,; SCHONMANN, R.H. & TANAKA, N.I.; Correlation Lengths

 for Oriented Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8821 BRICMONT, J.; KESTEN, H.; LEBOWITZ, J.L. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; A

 Note on the Ising Model in High Dimensions, São Paulo, IMEUSP, 1988, 21p.
- 8822 KESTEN, H, & SCHONMANN, R,H,; Behavior in Large Dimensions of the Potts and Heisenberg Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 61p.
- 8823 DURRET, R, & TANAKA, N.I.; Scaling Inequalities for Oriented Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 21p.
- 3901 RODRIGUES, J.; Asymptotically Design Unbiased Predictors to
 Two-Stage Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 9p.
- 8902 TOLOI, C.M.C. & MORETTIN, P.A.; Spectral Analysis for Amplitude Modulated Time Series, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 24p.
- 8903 PAULA, G.A.; <u>Influence Measures for Generalized Linear Models</u>
 with <u>Restrictions in Parameters</u>, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989,
 18p.
- 8904 HARTIN, M.C. & BUSSAB, W.O.; An Investigation of the Properties of Raking Ratio Estimators for Cell Frequencies with Simple Random Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 11p.
- #905 WECHSLER, S.; Yet Another Refutation of Allais' Paradox, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 6p.
- 6906 BARLOW, R.E. & PEREIRA, C.A.B.; Conditional Independence and Probabilistic Influence Diagrams, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1969, 21p.
- 8907 BARLOW, R.E.; PEREIRA, C.A.B. & WECHSLER, S.; The Bayesian
 Approach to Ess, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 20p.
- 8908 PEREIRA, C.A.B. & BARLOW, R.E.; Medical Diagnosis Using Influence Diagrams, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 13p.
- 8909 BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Finite Population Prediction Under
 Asymmetric Loss Functions, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.

- 8910 BOLFARINE, H.; Bayesian Modelling in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.
- 8911 NEVES, M.M.C & MORETTIN, P.A.; A Generalized Cochrane-Orcutt-Type Estimator for Time Series Regression Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 29p.
- 8912 MORETTIN, P.A., TOLOI, C.M.C., GAIT, N. & MESQUITA, A.R.; Analysis of the Relationships Between Some Natural Phenomena: Atmospheric Precipitation, Mean Sea Level and Sunspots, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 35p.
- 8913 BOLFARINE, H.; <u>Population Variance Prediction Under Normal Dy-</u>
 namic Superpopulation Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989,7p.
- 8914 BOLFARINE, H.; Maximum Likelihood Prediction in Two Stage Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 4p.
- 3915 WECHSLER, S.; Exchangeability and Predictivism, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 10p.
- 8916 BOLFARINE, H.; Equivariant Prediction in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 13p.
- 8917 SCHONMANN, R.H.; <u>Critical Points of Two Dimensional Bootstrap</u>

 <u>Percolation Like Cellular Automata</u>, São Paulo, IME-USP,1989,

 6p.
- 8918 SCHONMANN, R.H.; On the Behavior of Some Cellular Automata Related to Bootstrap Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 26p.
- 8919 PEREIRA, P.L.V.; Local Nonlinear Trends, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.
- 8920 ANDJEL, E.D., SCHINAZI, R.B. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; Edge Processes

 of One Dimensional Stochastic Growth Models, São Paulo, IME

 -USP, 1989, 20p.
- 8921 7 MARKWALD, R, MOREIRA, A.R.B. & PEREIRA, P.L.V.; Forecasting Level and Cycle of the Brazilian Industrial Production Leading

 Indicators versus Structural Time Series Models, São Paulo,
 IME-USP, 1989, 23p.

8922 - NEVES, E.J. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; Critical Droplets and Metastability for a Glauber Dynamics at Very Low Temperatures, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 33p.

of the state of the second control of the state of the st

the state of the s

The body of the later and provide the state of the later and the same of the s

The state of the s

the second title and a second to the second

at believe to the state of the

والمرور فتد المرور المثارين والأراق