UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
ISSN 0103-2577

Asymptotically Almost Periodic and Almost Periodic Solutions for a Class of Evolution Equation

Eduardo Hernández M
José P. C. dos Santos
Mauricio L. Pelicer

Nº 190

NOTAS

Série Matemática

São Carlos – SP
Mar./2004

Existência de Soluções Quase Periódicas e Assintoticamente Quase Periódicas para uma Equação de Evolução

Eduardo Hernández M, José P. C. dos Santos & Mauricio L. Pelicer

Departamento de Matemática - ICMC - USP.

Resumo

Neste artigo estudamos a existência de soluções quase periódicas e assintoticamente quase periódicas para uma classe de equações de evolução modeladas na forma

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t))) &= Ax(t) + F(t, Dx(t)), \\ x(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned}$$

sendo A o gerador infinitesimal de um semigrupo analítico exponencialmente estável definido sobre um espaço de Banach X; $B : D(B) \subset X \to X$ um operador fechado e $f(\cdot), g(\cdot)$ funções apropriadas.

Asymptotically almost periodic and Almost Periodic Solutions for a class of Evolution Equation

Eduardo Hernández M, José P. C. dos Santos & Mauricio L. Pelicer

AMS-Subject Classification: Primary 34C27, 47D06.

Keywords: Almost periodic solutions, abstract evolution equations, semigroup of linear operators.

Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for a class of partial evolution equation described in the form $\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t)) = Ax(t) + f(t, Bx(t))$, where A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on a Banach space X, B is a closed linear operator and f, g are appropriate functions.

1 Introduction

The existence of almost periodic solutions for abstract evolution equation defined on abstract Banach spaces has been studied in different works, see for instance [2, 9, 10, 11, 12]. By using the semigroup theory and the contraction mapping principle, Zaidman studied in [10] the existence of almost periodic solutions for the integral equation associated to the abstract partial differential equation

$$x'(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)), \tag{1}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-semigroup of bounded linear operators on a Banach space. Recently, Bahaj and Sidki have studied in [2] the existence of almost periodic solution for (1).

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for a class of partial evolution equations modelled in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) + g(t, x(t)) = Ax(t) + f(t, Bx(t)), \tag{2}$$

$$x(t_0) = y_0, \tag{3}$$

where A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of linear operators defined on a Banach space X, $B : D(B) \subset X \to X$ is a special type of closed operator and $f, g : I \times X \to X$ are appropriates functions.

We remark that the technical framework used in this work allow us, for instance, to study the partial differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t,\xi)+g(t,u(t,\xi)))=\frac{\partial^2 u(t,\xi)}{\partial^2\xi}+f(t,\frac{\partial u(t,\xi)}{\partial\xi})).$$

In fact, it's well known, see [7], that for a class of operators A, there is a bounded linear operator $L:X\to X$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}=(-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}\circ L$. Additionally, we mention that by using the techniques used in this paper, it's possible to establish the existence of asymptotically almost periodic solutions for (2)-(3) without making additional regularity assumptions on the initial data. We refer to Bridges [1] and Rankin [7] for complementary remarks about this matter.

The results in this work are generalizations of the results in [2, 10] and our ideas and techniques can be used in the study of the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions of partial neutral functional differential equations and partial differential equations of Sobolev type, see Hernndez [5] for details. In general, our results are proved by using the semigroup theory of bounded linear operators, the theory of fractional power of closed operators and the contraction mapping principle.

This paper has four sections. In section 3 we study the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for the integral equation associated to 5 and in section 4 we establish conditions under which these " mild " solutions are classical solutions. In section 5 an example is considered.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we mention a few results and notations needed to establish our results. In this paper, $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space and $A:D(A)\subset X\to X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly exponentially stable analytic semigroup of linear operators $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X such that $0\in\rho(A)$. Throughout this work, M,δ are positive constants such that $\|T(t)\|\leq Me^{-\delta t}$ for every $t\geq 0$. Under these conditions it is possible to define the fractional power $(-A)^{\alpha}$, $0<\alpha\leq 1$, as a closed linear operator on its domain $D((-A)^{\alpha})$. Furthermore, $D((-A)^{\alpha})$ is dense in X and the expression $\|x\|_{\alpha}=\|(-A)^{\alpha}x\|$ defines a norm in $D((-A)^{\alpha})$. If X_{α} is the space $D((-A)^{\alpha})$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$, then the following properties hold, see [6].

Lemma 1 *Let $0<\gamma\leq\vartheta\leq 1$. Then X_{ϑ} is a Banach space and $X_{\vartheta}\hookrightarrow X_{\gamma}$. Moreover, the function $t\to(-A)^{\vartheta}T(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology on $(0,\infty)$ and there exist constants $C_{\vartheta},C'_{\vartheta}$ such that*

$$\|(-A)^{\vartheta}T(t)\|\leq\frac{C_{\vartheta}e^{-\delta t}}{t^{\vartheta}}\quad\text{and}\quad\|(T(t)-I)(-A)^{-\vartheta}\|\leq C'_{\vartheta}t^{\vartheta}$$

for every $t>0$.

Let $(Z,\|\cdot\|_Z)$ and $(W,\|\cdot\|_W)$ be abstract Banach spaces. In this work, we indicate by $\mathcal{L}(Z:W)$ the Banach space of bounded linear operator of Z into W and we abbreviate to $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ whenever $Z=W$. The notation $C(I:Z)$ represents the space of continuous function

from I into Z endowed with the uniform convergence topology. As usual, $C_b([0,\infty) : Z)$ is the space of bounded continuous function from $[0,\infty)$ into Z endowed with the uniform convergence topology and $C_0([0,\infty) : Z)$ is the subspace of $C_b([0,\infty) : Z)$ formed by the functions which vanish at infinity. Along this work, $B_r(x : Z)$, $x \in Z$, will denote the closed ball with center at x and radius $r > 0$ in Z. For a bounded and continuous function $\xi : (a,b) \to Z$ and $t \in (a,b)$, we will employ the notation $\| \xi \|_{a,t,Z}$ for

$$\| \xi \|_{a,t,Z} = \sup\{\| \xi(s) \|_Z : s \in (a,t]\}, \tag{4}$$

and we will write simply $\| \xi \|_{t,Z}$ when non confusion arise.

We remark that a function $f : [a,b] \to Z$ is σ-Hölder continuous, $0 < \sigma \leq 1$, if there is a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\| f(s) - f(t) \| \leq \kappa \mid t - s \mid^{\sigma}, \quad s,t \in [a,b].$$

We represent by $C^{\sigma}([a,b];Z)$ the space formed by the σ-Hölder continuous function from $[a,b]$ into Z endowed with the uniform convergence topology. The notation $C^{\sigma}((a,b];Z)$ stands for the space of continuous function $f : [a,b] \to X$ such that $f \in C^{\sigma}([\delta,b];Z)$ for every $\delta > a$.

Next we make some remarks concerning of almost periodic and asymptotically almost periodic functions.

Definition 1 *A continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to Z$ is called almost periodic if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a relatively dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\epsilon, f, Z)$, such that*

$$\|f(t+\xi) - f(t)\|_Z < \epsilon,$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(\epsilon, f, Z)$.

Definition 2 *A continuous function $f : [0,\infty) \to Z$ is the called asymptotically almost periodic if there exists an almost periodic function $g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to Z$ and $w(\cdot) \in C_0([0,\infty) : Z)$ such that $f(t) = g(t) + w(t)$ for every $t \geq 0$.*

In this paper, $AP(Z)$ and $AAP(Z)$ are the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} AP(Z) &= \{u \in C_b(\mathbb{R} : Z) : u \text{ is almost periodic }\}, \\ AAP(Z) &= \{u \in C_b([0,\infty) : Z) : u \text{ is asymptotically almost periodic }\}, \end{aligned}$$

provided with the norm of the uniform convergence. It' s well known that $AP(Z)$ and $AAP(Z)$ are Banach spaces, see [13].

Lemma 2 (Characterization of almost periodic function) *A function $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R} : Z)$ is almost periodic if and only if the set of translations $\{H_\tau f : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $H_\tau f(\theta) = f(\tau + \theta)$, is relatively compact in $C_b(\mathbb{R} : Z)$.*

Lemma 3 *[13, Theorem 5]* **(Characterization of asymptotically almost periodic function)** *Let $F([0,\infty) : Z)$ be the subspace of $C_b([0,\infty) : Z)$ formed by the functions $f(\cdot)$ which satisfy the following property: for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $L(\epsilon, f, Z) > 0$ and a relatively dense subset of $[0,\infty)$, denoted by $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon, f, Z)$, such that*

$$\|f(t+\xi) - f(t)\|_Z < \epsilon,$$

for every $t \geq L(\epsilon, f, Z)$ and every $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon, f, Z)$. Then, $F([0,\infty) : Z) = AAP(Z)$.

The next definitions and properties are essential for establishing our results.

Definition 3 *Let $\Omega \subset W$ be a open set and $F : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to Z$ be a continuous function.*

1. *F is called pointwise almost periodic (pointwise a.p.), if $F(\cdot, x) \in AP(Z)$ for every $x \in \Omega$.*

2. *F is called uniformly almost periodic (u.a.p.), if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every compact $K \subset \Omega$ there exists a relatively dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}(\epsilon, F, K, Z)$, such that*

$$\|F(t+\xi, y) - F(t, y)\|_Z \leq \epsilon,$$

for every $(t, \xi, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H}(\epsilon, F, K, Z) \times K$.

Definition 4 *Let $\Omega \subset W$ be a open set and $F : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to Z$ be a continuous function.*

1. *F is called pointwise asymptotically almost periodic (pointwise a.a.p.), if $F(\cdot, x) \in AAP(Z)$ for every $x \in \Omega$.*

2. *F is called uniformly asymptotically almost periodic (u.a.a.p.), if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every compact $K \subset \Omega$ there exists a relatively dense subset of $[0, \infty)$, denoted by $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon, F, K, Z)$, and $L(\epsilon, F, K, Z) > 0$ such that*

$$\|F(t+\xi, y) - F(t, y)\|_Z \leq \epsilon,$$

for every $t \geq L(\epsilon, F, K, Z)$ and every $(\xi, y) \in \mathcal{T}(F, \epsilon, K, Z) \times K$.

For details concerning the next two lemmas, see [8, Theorem 1.2.7] and [10].

Lemma 4 *Let $\Omega \subset W$ be a open set and $F : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to Z$ be a continuous function. Then the following properties hold.*

1. *If F is pointwise a.p. and satisfies a local Lipschitz condition at $x \in \Omega$, uniformly at t, then F is u.a.p.*

2. *If F is u.a.p. and $y \in AP(W)$ is such that $\overline{\{y(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}^W \subset \Omega$, then $F(t, y(t)) \in AP(Z)$.*

Lemma 5 *Let $\Omega \subset W$ be a open set and $F : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \to Z$ be a continuous function. Then the following properties hold.*

1. *If F is pointwise a.a.p. and satisfies a local Lipschitz condition at $x \in \Omega$, uniformly at t, then F is u.a.a.p.*

2. *If F is u.a.a.p. and $y \in AAP(W)$ is such that $\overline{\{y(t) : t \in [0, \infty)\}}^W \subset \Omega$, then $F(t, y(t)) \in AAP(Z)$.*

Throughout this paper, $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ are fixed numbers and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a Banach space such that $X_\eta \hookrightarrow Y \hookrightarrow X$ for every $\eta \in (0, 1)$. To obtain our results we will use the following technical conditions.

H_1 The function $s \to T(s)y \in C([0,\infty);Y)$ for every $y \in Y$ and there are $\widetilde{M} > 0$, $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ such that $\| T(s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} \leq \widetilde{M} e^{-\tilde{\delta} s}$ for every $s \geq 0$. Moreover, the functions $s \to (-A)^{1-\beta}T(s)$, $s \to (-A)^{\alpha}T(s)$ defined from $(0,\infty)$ into $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ are strongly measurable and there are non-decreasing functions H_β, H_α and numbers $\omega_i < 0$, $i = 1,2$, such that $e^{\omega_1 s}H_\beta(s) \in L^1([0,\infty))$, $e^{\omega_2 s}H_\alpha(s) \in L^1([0,\infty))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \| (-A)^{1-\beta}T(s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} &\leq e^{\omega_1 s}H_\beta(s), \qquad s > 0, \\ \| (-A)^{\alpha}T(s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} &\leq e^{\omega_2 s}H_\alpha(s), \qquad s > 0. \end{aligned}$$

H_2 The function $g(\cdot)$ is X_β-valued, $(-A)^\beta g : \mathbb{R} \times X \to Y$ is continuous, $(-A)^\beta g(s,0) = 0$ for every $s \geq 0$ and there is a continuous function $L_g : [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ such that $L_g(0) = 0$ and

$$\|(-A)^\beta g(t_1,y_1) - (-A)^\beta g(t_2,y_2)\| \leq L_g(r)(|t_1 - t_2| + \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y),$$

for every $(t_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times B_r(0,Y)$.

H_3 The map $B : D(B) \subset X \to X$ is a closed linear operator, $D((-A)^\alpha) \subset D(B)$ and there are continuous functions $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \times Y \to X$, $L_{\tilde{f}} : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that $L_{\tilde{f}}(0) = 0$, $\tilde{f}(s,0) = 0$ for every $s \geq 0$, $\tilde{f}(\mathbb{R} \times X_\alpha) \subset X_\alpha$, $(-A)^\alpha \tilde{f}(t,x) = f(t,Bx)$ for every $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_\alpha$ and

$$\|\tilde{f}(t_1,y_1) - \tilde{f}(t_2,y_2)\| \leq L_{\tilde{f}}(r)(|\, t_1 - t_2| + \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y),$$

when $(t_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times B_r(0,Y)$.

Remark 1 *For examples of semigroups of linear operators and functions verifying the previous assumption, see Bridges [1], Hagen & Turi [4] and Rankin [7].*

By considering Hernndez [5] and Rankin [7] we introduce the next concepts.

Definition 5 *A function $u \in C([t_0,r) : Y)$ is a Y-mild solution of (2)-(3) if $u(t_0) = y_0$; the functions $s \to AT(t-s)g(s,u(s))$, $s \to (-A)^\alpha T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s,u(s))$ belong to $\mathrm{L}^1([\mathrm{t}_0,\mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{Y})$ for every $t_0 \leq t < r$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t-t_0)(y_0 + g(t_0,y_0)) - g(t,u(t)) - \int_{t_0}^t AT(t-s)g(s,u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t (-A)^\alpha T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s,u(s))ds, \qquad t \in [t_0,r]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6 *A function $u \in C([t_0,r) : X)$ is a mild solution of (2)-(3) if $u(t_0) = y_0$; $u \in C((t_0,r) : X_\alpha)$; the function $s \to AT(t-s)g(s,u(s))$ belongs to $\mathrm{L}^1([\mathrm{t}_0,\mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{X})$ for every $t \in [t_0,r)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t-t_0)(y_0 + g(t_0,y_0)) - g(t,u(t)) - \int_{t_0}^t AT(t-s)g(s,u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)f(s,Bu(s))ds, \qquad t \in [t_0,r). \end{aligned}$$

The next definition has been introduced in Hernndez [5].

Definition 7 *A function $u \in C([t_0, r] : X)$ is an S-classical (Semi-classical) solution of (2)-(3) if $u(t_0) = y_0$, $\frac{d}{dt}(u(t) + g(t, u(t)))$ is continuous on (t_0, r), $u(t) \in D(A)$ for all $t \in (t_0, r]$ and $u(\cdot)$ satisfies (2)-(3) on (t_0, r).*

In relation to asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 8 *A function $u \in AP(Y)$ is an almost periodic Y-mild solution of (2)-(3) if the functions $s \to AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))$ and $s \to (-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s, u(s))$ belong to $\mathrm{L}^1((-\infty, \mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{Y})$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$u(t) = -g(t, u(t)) - \int_{-\infty}^{t} AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} (-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s, u(s))ds,$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 9 *A function $u \in AP(X)$ is an almost periodic mild solution of (2)-(3) if $u \in C(\mathbb{R} : X_\alpha)$, the function $s \to AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))$ belongs to $\mathrm{L}^1((-\infty, \mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{X})$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$u(t) = -g(t, u(t)) - \int_{-\infty}^{t} AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))ds + \int_{-\infty}^{t} T(t-s)f(s, Bu(s))ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 10 *A function $u \in AP(X)$ is a S-classical solution of (2)-(3) on $\mathbb{R}$, if u is a S-classical solution of (2)-(3) on every interval $[t_0, t_0 + \sigma] \subset \mathbb{R}$, with $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$.*

Definition 11 *A function $u \in AAP(Y)$ is an asymptotically almost periodic Y-mild solution of (2)-(3) if $u(0) = y_0$, the functions $s \to AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))$, $s \to (-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s, u(s))$ belong to $\mathrm{L}^1((0, \mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{Y})$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t)(y_0 + g(t_0, y_0)) - g(t, u(t)) - \int_0^t AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s, u(s))ds, \qquad t \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 12 *A function $u \in AAP(X)$ is a mild solution of (2)-(3) if $u(0) = y_0$, $u \in C((0, \infty) : X_\alpha)$, the function $s \to AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))$ belongs to $\mathrm{L}^1([0, \mathrm{t}] : \mathrm{X})$ for every $t \in [0, \infty)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t)(y_0 + g(t_0, y_0)) - g(t, u(t)) - \int_0^t AT(t-s)g(s, u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s, Bu(s))ds, \qquad t \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 13 *A function $u \in AAP(X)$ is a S-classical solution of (2)-(3) if u is a S-classical solution of (2)-(3) on $[0, r]$ for every $r > 0$.*

3 Existence results of Y-mild solutions

In this section we establish the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic Y-mild solutions for (2)-(3). First, we need the next result.

Proposition 1 *Let $\mu \in (0,1)$, $v(\cdot) \in AAP(X_\mu)$ and assume that there are $\omega < 0$ and a non-increasing function $H_\mu(\cdot)$ so that $e^{\omega s}H_\mu(s) \in \mathrm{L}^1([0,\infty))$ and $\| (-A)^{1-\mu}T(t) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \leq e^{\omega t}H_\mu(t)$ for every $t > 0$. If $u(\cdot)$ is the function defined by*

$$u(t) = \int_0^t AT(t-s)v(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{5}$$

then $u(\cdot) \in AAP(Y)$.

Proof: From Lemma 3, it's sufficient to prove that $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^+ : Y)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ given and $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon, v, X_\mu)$, $L = L(\epsilon, v, X_\mu)$ be as in Lemma 3. If $t \geq L(\epsilon, v, X_\mu) + 1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon, v, X_\mu)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t+\xi) - u(t)\|_Y \\
&\qquad \leq \int_0^\xi \|(-A)^{1-\mu}T(t+\xi-s)(-A)^\mu v(s)\|_Y ds \\
&\qquad\quad + \int_0^t \|(-A)^{1-\mu}T(t-s)\left((-A)^\mu v(s+\xi) - (-A)^\mu v(s)\right)\|_Y ds \\
&\qquad = I_1(t,\xi) + I_2(t,\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate each term $I_i(t,\xi)$ separately. For the first term we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(t,\xi) &\leq \|(-A)^\mu v\|_{AAP(X)} \int_0^\xi e^{\omega(t+\xi-s)}H_\mu(t+\xi-s)ds \\
&\leq e^{\omega t}\|(-A)^\mu v\|_{AAP(X)} \int_0^\xi e^{\omega(\xi-s)}H_\mu(\xi-s)ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence, there exits $d_1 > 0$ independent of ξ such that

$$I_1(t,\xi) \leq c_1 e^{\omega t}, \tag{6}$$

for every $t \geq L(\epsilon, v, X_\mu) + 1$.

On the other hand, for the second term we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(t,\xi) &\leq \int_0^{L+1} \|(-A)^{1-\mu}T(t-s)\left((-A)^\mu v(s+\xi) - (-A)^\mu v(s)\right)\|_Y ds \\
&\quad + \int_{L+1}^t \|(-A)^{1-\mu}T(t-s)(-A)^\mu (v(s+\xi) - v(s))\|_Y ds \\
&\leq 2\|(-A)^\mu v\|_{AAP(X)} e^{\omega(t-L-1)} \int_0^{L+1} e^{\omega(L+1-s)}H_\mu(L+1-s)ds \\
&\quad + \epsilon \int_{L+1}^t \| (-A)^{1-\mu}T(t-s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\, ds \\
&\leq 2\|(-A)^\mu v\|_{AAP(X)} e^{\omega(t-L-1)} \int_0^\infty e^{\omega s}H_\mu(s)ds + \epsilon \int_0^\infty e^{\omega s}H_\mu(s)ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exist positive constants d_2, d_3 independents of $t \geq L(\epsilon, v, X_\mu) + 1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon, v, X_\mu)$ such that

$$I_2(t, \xi) \leq d_2 e^{\omega t} + \epsilon d_3. \tag{7}$$

From the inequalities (6)-(7) we have

$$\|u(t+\xi) - u(t)\|_Y \leq d_4 e^{\omega t} + \epsilon d_5,$$

where d_4, d_5 are positive constants independent of $t \geq L(\epsilon, v, X_\mu) + 1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\epsilon, v, X_\mu)$. Thus, for an appropriate $L(\epsilon, u) > L(\frac{\epsilon}{2d_5}, v, X_\mu) + 1$, it follows

$$\|u(t+\xi) - u(t)\|_Y \leq \epsilon$$

for every $t \geq L(\epsilon, u)$ and all $\xi \in \mathcal{T}(\frac{\epsilon}{2d_5}, v, X_\mu)$, which shows that $u \in F(\mathbb{R}^+ : Y)$ and completes the proof of this result. ■

Proceeding as in the previous proof we can prove the next result.

Corollary 1 *Let $\mu \in (0,1)$ and $v \in AAP(X_\mu)$. If $u(\cdot)$ is the function defined by (5), then $u(\cdot) \in AAP(X)$.*

In the next result we establish the existence of asymptotically almost periodic Y-mild solution of (2)-(3).

Theorem 1 *Let $\mathbf{H_1}, \mathbf{H_2}, \mathbf{H_3}$ be verified. Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $y_0 \in B_\epsilon(0, Y)$ there exits an Y-mild solution $u(\cdot, y_0) \in C([0,\infty) : Y)$ of (2)-(3). Moreover, if the functions $\tilde{f}, (-A)^\beta g : [0,\infty) \times Y \to X$ are pointwise asymptotically almost periodic, then $u(\cdot, y_0) \in AAP(Y)$.*

Proof: Let $J : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$J(r) = L_g(r)\left(\| (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} + \int_0^\infty e^{\omega_1 s} H_\beta(s) ds\right) + L_{\tilde{f}}(r) \int_0^\infty e^{\omega_2 s} H_\alpha(s) ds$$

and let $r > 0$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$ be such that

$$\widetilde{M}\left(1 + r \| (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} L_g(r)\right)\gamma r + J(r) r \quad < \quad r. \tag{8}$$

We affirm that the assertion holds for $\epsilon = \gamma r$. To prove this statement we fix $y_0 \in B_\epsilon(0, Y)$ and define the operator $\Gamma : B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y)) \to C([0,\infty) : Y)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma x(t) \quad = \quad & T(t)(y_0 + g(0, y_0)) - g(t, x(t)) + \int_0^t (-A)^{1-\beta} T(t-s)(-A)^\beta g(s, x(s)) ds \\ & + \int_0^t (-A)^\alpha T(t-s) \tilde{f}(s, x(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumptions on the functions $s \to (-A)^\alpha T(s)$ and $s \to (-A)^{1-\beta} T(s)$, the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^{1-\beta} T(s)(-A)^\beta g(s, x(s))\|_Y \quad & \leq \quad \|(-A)^{1-\beta} T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_g(r) r \\ & \leq \quad e^{\omega_1 s} H_\beta(s) L_g(r) r \\ \|(-A)^\alpha T(s) \tilde{f}(s, x(s))\|_Y \quad & \leq \quad \|(-A)^\alpha T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_{\tilde{f}}(r) r \\ & \leq \quad e^{\omega_2 s} H_\alpha(s) L_{\tilde{f}}(r) r, \end{aligned}$$

and the Bochner Theorem, we infer that $\Gamma x(t)$ is well defined and that $\Gamma x \in C([0,\infty);Y)$. Moreover, for $t \geq 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma x(t)\|_Y \\
&\leq \widetilde{M}(\|y_0\|_Y + L_g(r) \parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} \|y_0\|_Y) + L_g(r) \parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} \|x(t)\|_Y \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \parallel (-A)^{1-\beta} T(s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_g(r) r ds + \int_0^t \parallel (-A)^{\alpha} T(s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_{\tilde{f}}(r) r ds \\
&\leq \widetilde{M}(\gamma r + L_g(r) \parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} \gamma r) + J(r) r,
\end{aligned}$$

which from (8) implies that $\Gamma(B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))) \subset B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))$.

Next, we prove that Γ is a contraction on $B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))$. For functions $u, v \in B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Gamma u(t) - \Gamma v(t)\|_Y &\leq L_g(r) \parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_Y \\
&\quad + L_g(r) \int_0^t \parallel (-A)^{1-\beta} T(t-s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_Y ds \\
&\quad + L_{\tilde{f}}(r) \int_0^t \parallel (-A)^{\alpha} T(t-s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_Y ds \\
&\leq L_g(r) \left(\parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} + \int_0^\infty e^{\omega_1 s} H_\beta(s) ds \right) \|u - v\|_{0,t,Y} \\
&\quad + \left(L_{\tilde{f}}(r) \int_0^\infty e^{\omega_2 s} H_\alpha(s) ds \right) \|u - v\|_{0,t,Y} \\
&\leq J(r) \|u - v\|_{0,t,Y},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that Γ is a contraction on $B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))$ and that Γ has a unique fixed point $u(\cdot, y_0) \in B_r(0, C_b([0,\infty) : Y))$. Clearly, $u(\cdot, y_0)$ is a Y-mild solution of (2)-(3).

If $(-A)^\beta g$ and $\tilde{f}$ are pointwise asymptotically almost periodic, it follows from Lemma 5 and Proposition 1 that each solution $u(\cdot, y_0)$, $y_0 \in B_\epsilon(0, Y)$, is an asymptotically almost periodic Y-mild solution of (2)-(3). The proof is now complete. ■

In the next result we discuss the existence of almost periodic Y-mild solutions.

Theorem 2 *If the assumptions* $\mathbf{H_1}, \mathbf{H_2}, \mathbf{H_3}$ *are satisfied and the functions* $(-A)^\beta, \tilde{f}$ *are pointwise almost periodic, then there exits an almost periodic* Y*-mild solution of (2)-(2).*

Proof: Let $\Gamma : AP(Y) \to AP(Y)$ be the map defined by

$$\Gamma u(t) = -g(t, u(t)) - \int_{-\infty}^t AT(t-s) g(s, u(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t (-A)^\alpha T(t-s) \tilde{f}(s, u(s)) ds.$$

The same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1 proves that $\Gamma u(t)$ is well defined and that $\Gamma u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}; Y)$. In order to prove that Γ is $AP(Y)$-valued, we fix $u \in AP(Y)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We know from Zaidman [13, pp. 30] and Lemma 4, that $z(t) = (\tilde{f}(t, u(t)), (-A)^\beta g(t, u(t))) \in AP(X \times X)$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{H}(\epsilon, z(\cdot), X \times X)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma u(t+\xi) - \Gamma u(t)\|_Y \\
&\leq \parallel (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \| (-A)^\beta g(t+\xi, u(t+\xi)) - (-A)^\beta g(t, u(t)) \| \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \|(-A)^{1-\beta} T(t-s) \left((-A)^\beta g(s+\xi, u(s+\xi)) - (-A)^\beta g(s, u(s)) \right) \|_Y ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_{-\infty}^{t} \|(-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\left(\tilde{f}(s+\xi,u(s+\xi))-\tilde{f}(s,u(s))\right)\|_Y ds \\
&\leq \epsilon[\|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}+\int_0^{\infty}(\|(-A)^{1-\beta}T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}+\|(-A)^{\alpha}T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)})ds] \\
&\leq \epsilon[\|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}+\int_0^{\infty}(e^{\omega_1 s}H_{\alpha}(s)+e^{\omega_2 s}H_{\beta}(s))\,ds],
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\Gamma u \in AP(Y)$. Thus, Γ is well defined and with values in $AP(Y)$.

We affirm that there exists $r_0 > 0$ small enough such that Γ is a contraction from $B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))$ into $B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))$. Let $r > 0$ and $u \in B_r(0, AP(Y))$. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Gamma u(t)\|_Y &\leq \|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\|\,g(t,u(t))\| \\
&\quad +L_g(r)\int_{-\infty}^{t}\|(-A)^{1-\beta}T(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\|u(s)\|_Y ds \\
&\quad +L_{\tilde{f}}(r)\int_{-\infty}^{t}\|(-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\|u(s)\|_Y ds \\
&\leq \|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\,L_g(r)r+L_g(r)r\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{\omega_1 s}H_{\beta}(s)ds \\
&\quad +L_{\tilde{f}}(r)r\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{\omega_2 s}H_{\alpha}(s)ds,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so that

$$
\|\Gamma u\|_{AP(Y)} \leq rJ(r),
$$

where

$$
J(r)=L_g(r)\left(\|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}+\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{\omega_1 s}H_{\beta}(s)ds\right)+L_{\tilde{f}}(r)\int_{-\infty}^{0}e^{\omega_2 s}H_{\alpha}(s)ds.
$$

Since $J(\cdot)$ is continuous and $J(0)=0$, we can fix $r_0 > 0$ such that $J(r_0) < 1$. Obviously, $\Gamma(B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))) \subseteq B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))$. Moreover, for $u, v \in B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma u(t)-\Gamma v(t)\|_Y \\
&\leq \|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\,\|(-A)^{\beta}g(t,u(t))-(-A)^{\beta}g(t,v(t))\| \\
&\quad +\int_{-\infty}^{t}\|(-A)^{1-\beta}T(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\|(-A)^{\beta}g(s,u(s))-(-A)^{\beta}g(s,v(s))\|ds \\
&\quad +\int_{-\infty}^{t}\|(-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\|\tilde{f}(s,u(s))-\tilde{f}(s,v(s))\|ds \\
&\leq L_g(r_0)\,\|\,(-A)^{-\beta}\,\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)}\,\|u-v\|_{AP(Y)} \\
&\quad +\|u-v\|_{AP(Y)}\left(L_g(r_0)\int_0^{\infty}e^{\omega_1 s}H_{\beta}(s)ds+L_{\tilde{f}}(r_0)\int_0^{\infty}e^{\omega_2 s}H_{\alpha}(s)ds\right), \\
&\leq J(r_0)\,\|\,u-v\|_{AP(Y)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves that Γ is a contraction on $B_{r_0}(0, AP(Y))$ and that there exists an almost periodic Y-mild solution of (2)-(3). The proof is finished. ■

4 Existence and regularity of mild solutions

In this section we establish conditions under which an Y-mild solution of (2)-(3) is a mild solution. Then, we apply theses results to prove the existence of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions for (2)-(3).

In the next results, $u(\cdot) \in C([0,b] : Y)$ is a Y-mild solution of 2- 3 on $[0,b]$ and the next assumption is always verified.

Assumption $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})_1$ $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq 1$, $\| (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} L(\| u \|_{0,b,Y}) < 1$ *and there are constants* $0 < \sigma_1, \sigma_2 < 1$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^{\beta} g(t,x) - (-A)^{\beta} g(s,y)\| &\leq L_g(r)\left(|t-s|^{\sigma_1} + \|x-y\|_Y\right), \\ \|\tilde{f}(t,x) - \tilde{f}(s,y)\| &\leq L_{\tilde{f}}(r)\left(|t-s|^{\sigma_2} + \|x-y\|_Y\right), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $x, y \in B_r(0,Y)$.

Remark 2 *We observe that the solutions guaranteed by the Theorems 1 and 2 are such that* $\| (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)} L(\| u \|_{\sigma,\sigma+\mu,Y}) < 1$ *for every* $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ *and all* $\mu > 0$.

The next results are proved using the ideas in Rankin [7].

Proposition 2 *Let condition* $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})_1$ *be satisfied and assume that there are positive constants* d, d_1, d_2; $0 < \xi_1, \xi_2 < 1$ *such that*

$$\|(-A)^{1-\beta+\mu} T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \leq \frac{d_1}{s^{\xi_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|(-A)^{\alpha+\mu} T(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \leq \frac{d_2}{s^{\xi_2}}$$

for $s \in (0,b]$ *and* $\mu \in [0,d]$. *Then* $u \in C^{\sigma}((0,b];Y)$ *for* $\sigma = \min\{d, 1-\alpha, \sigma_1, 1-\xi_1, 1-\xi_2\}$.

Proof: Let $t \in (0,b)$ and $0 < h < 1$ such that $t + h \in (0,b]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_Y \\ &\leq \| (-A)^{\alpha} T(\frac{t}{2}) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \| (T(h) - I) T(\frac{t}{2}) (-A)^{-\alpha} (y_0 + g(0,y_0)) \| \\ &\quad + \|(-A)^{-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \|(-A)^{\beta} g(t+h, u(t+h)) - (-A)^{\beta} g(t,u(t))\| \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|(-A)^{1-\beta+\mu} T(t-s) (T(h) - I) (-A)^{\beta-\mu} g(s,u(s))\|_Y ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} \|(-A)^{1-\beta} T(t+h-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \|(-A)^{\beta} g(s,u(s))\| ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|(-A)^{\alpha+\mu} T(t-s) (T(h) - I) (-A)^{-\mu} \tilde{f}(s,u(s))\|_Y ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} \|(-A)^{\alpha} T(t+h-s) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \| \tilde{f}(s,u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\xi_2} d_2}{t^{\xi_2}} M C'_{\alpha} h^{1-\alpha} \| y_0 + g(0,y_0) \| \\ &\quad + \|(-A)^{-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \left(h^{\sigma_1} + \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_Y\right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \frac{d_1}{(t-s)^{\xi_1}} \|(T(h) - I)(-A)^{\beta-\mu} g(s,u(s))\| ds \\ &\quad + L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \| u \|_{b,Y} \frac{d_1 h^{1-\xi_1}}{1-\xi_1} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\int_0^t \frac{d_2}{(t-s)^{\xi_2}} \|(T(h)-I)(-A)^{-\mu}\tilde{f}(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&+L_{\tilde{f}}(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \| u \|_{b,Y} \frac{d_2 h^{1-\xi_2}}{1-\xi_2} \\
\leq\ & \frac{2^{\xi_2} d_2}{t^{\xi_2}} M C'_\alpha h^{1-\alpha} \| y_0 + g(0,y_0) \| \\
&+\|(-A)^{-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \left(h^{\sigma_1} + \|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_Y\right) \\
&+\tilde{d}_1 h^\mu \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\xi_1}} + \tilde{d}_2 h^{1-\xi_1} + \tilde{d}_3 h^\mu \int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\xi_2}} + \tilde{d}_4 h^{1-\xi_2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_Y \ \leq\ & L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \|(-A)^{-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} \|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_Y + \tilde{d}_5 h^{1-\alpha} \\
&+\tilde{d}_6 h^{\sigma_1} + \tilde{d}_7 h^\mu + \tilde{d}_2 h^{1-\xi_1} + \tilde{d}_4 h^{1-\xi_2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants $\tilde{d}_i$, $i = 1, 2, ...7$, are independent of t, h and $\mu \in [0, d]$. Since t, h, μ are arbitrary and $\| (-A)^{-\beta} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X;Y)} L(\| u \|_{b,Y}) < 1$, the last inequality proves that $u(\cdot) \in C^\sigma((0,b];Y)$ for $\sigma = \min\{d, 1-\alpha, \sigma_1, 1-\xi_1, 1-\xi_2\}$. The proof is finished.

Proposition 3 *Let assumptions in Proposition 2 be satisfied. Then* $u(\cdot) \in C((0,b];X_\gamma)$ *for* $\gamma = \min\{1-\alpha, \beta\}$.

Proof: To prove this result we introduce the decomposition $u = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_1(t) &= T(t)(u(0) + g(0,u(0))) - g(t,u(t)), \\
u_2(t) &= \int_0^t (-A)^{1-\beta} T(t-s)(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s))ds, \\
u_3(t) &= \int_0^t (-A)^\alpha T(t-s)\tilde{f}(s,u(s))ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

It's obvious that $u_1 \in C((0,b];X_\beta)$. On the other hand, from Proposition 2 we know that $u(\cdot) \in C^\sigma((0,b];Y)$ for $\sigma = \min\{d, 1-\alpha, \sigma_1, 1-\xi_1, 1-\xi_2\}$ which from the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(-A)^{\gamma+1} T(t-s)\left(g(s,u(s)) - g(t,u(t))\right)\| \\
&\qquad \leq \|(-A)^{\gamma+1-\beta} T(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s)) - (-A)^\beta g(t,u(t)\| \\
&\qquad \leq \frac{C_{\gamma+1-\beta}}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-\beta}} L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y}) \left(|t-s|^{\sigma_1} + \|u(s)-u(t)\|_Y\right) \\
&\qquad \leq \frac{\tilde{d}_1}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-\beta-\sigma_1}} + \frac{\tilde{d}_2}{(t-s)^{\gamma+1-\beta-\sigma}},
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that the function

$$
v(s) = (-A)^{\gamma+1} T(t-s)\left(g(s,u(s)) - g(t,u(t))\right),
$$

is integrable on $[0,t)$, $t \in [a,b]$, when $\gamma < \min\{\beta+\sigma_1, \beta+\sigma\}$. In particular, for $\gamma = \beta$ we find that

$$
\int_0^t v(s)ds + (-A)^\beta g(t,u(t))
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t v(s)ds + (-A)\int_0^t (-A)^{\beta}T(t-s)g(t,u(t))ds + T(t)(-A)^{\beta}g(t,u(t)) \\
&= \int_0^t (-A)^{\beta+1}T(t-s)\left(g(s,u(s)) - g(t,u(t))\right)ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t (-A)^{\beta+1}T(t-s)g(t,u(t))ds + T(t)(-A)^{\beta}g(t,u(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $u_2(\cdot) \in C([0,b];X_\beta)$ since $(-A)^\beta$ is a closed operator.

Proceeding as in the previous case, we can prove that $u_3(\cdot) \in C([0,b];X_{1-\alpha})$.

From theses remarks we conclude that $u(\cdot) \in C((0,b];X_\gamma)$ for $\gamma = \min\{1-\alpha,\beta\}$. The proof is finished. ■

Theorem 3 *Assume that the hypotheses of Proposition 3 are verified. If $\alpha \leq 1-\alpha$, then $u(\cdot)$ is a mild solution of (2)-(2).*

Proof: The assertion is consequence of $\mathbf{H_3}$ and Lemma 1. ■

Next we establish conditions under which $u(\cdot)$ is a S-classical solution.

Proposition 4 *Let assumption in Theorem 3 be satisfied and assume that*

$$L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y})\|(-A)^{\alpha-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X:Y)}\|(-A)^{-\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < 1.$$

Then $u \in C^\sigma((0,b]:X_\alpha)$ for $\sigma = \min\{\beta-\alpha,\sigma_1\}$.

Proof: Using the fact that $u \in C((0,b]:X_\alpha)$ and Lemma 1, for $0 < \delta < t < b$ and $h > 0$ such that $t+h < b$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t+h) - u(t)\|_\alpha \\
&\quad \leq \| (-A)^\alpha (T(h)-I)T(t)(y_0 + g(0,y_0)) \| \\
&\qquad + \|(-A)^{\alpha-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}\|(-A)^\beta g(t+h,u(t+h)) - (-A)^\beta g(t,u(t))\| \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t \|(-A)^{1-\beta+\alpha}\left(T(h)-I\right)T(t-s)(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_t^{t+h} \|(-A)^{1-\beta+\alpha}T(t+h-s)\|\|(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t \|(-A)^{\alpha}\left(T(h)-I\right)T(t-s)f(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_t^{t+h} \|(-A)^{\alpha}T(t+h-s)\|\|f(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\quad \leq \frac{C'_{1-\alpha}h^{1-\alpha}}{\delta^\alpha} \| y_0 + g(0,y_0) \| \\
&\qquad + \|(-A)^{\alpha-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y})\left[h^{\sigma_1} + \|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_Y\right] \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t C'_{\beta-\alpha}h^{\beta-\alpha} \| (-A)^{1-\beta+\alpha}T(t-s)(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{C_{1-\beta+\alpha}}{(t+h-s)^{1-\beta+\alpha}}\|(-A)^\beta g(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_0^t C'_{1-\alpha}h^{1-\alpha} \| (-A)^{\alpha}T(t-s)f(s,u(s))\|ds \\
&\qquad + \int_t^{t+h} \frac{C_\alpha}{(t+h-s)^\alpha} \| f(s,u(s))\|ds
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \|(-A)^{\alpha-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} L_g(\| u \|_{b,Y})\|(-A)^{-\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}\|u(t+h)-u(t)\|_\alpha \\ &\quad +\tilde{d}_1 h^{\sigma_1}+\tilde{d}_2 h^{\beta-\alpha}+\tilde{d}_3 h^{1-\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

where the constants $\tilde{d}_i$ are independent of $t \geq \delta$ and h. This inequality completes the proof of this Proposition since $\beta > \alpha$.

The next result is consequence of Proposition 4, [6, Theorem 4.3.2] and [5, Lemma 2].

Theorem 4 *Assume that the hypotheses of Proposition 4 are verified. If $g \in C(\mathbb{R} \times X : X_1)$ and $\beta + \min\{\beta-\alpha, \sigma_1\} > 1$, then $u(\cdot)$ is a S-classical solution of (2)-(3).*

Remark 3 *It's clear that the previous results of regularity of Y-mild solutions are valid for every Y-mild solution $u \in C([\sigma, \sigma+\mu]; Y), \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0$.*

As consequence of the Theorems 3, 4 and Remarks 2 and 3, we obtain the following existence result of asymptotically almost periodic and almost periodic solutions of (2)-(3). The proof of the next result will be omitted.

Theorem 5 *Let assumptions $\mathbf{H_1}, \mathbf{H_2}, \mathbf{H_3}$ and condition $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})_1$ be satisfied and assume that $\alpha \leq 1-\alpha$ and $\beta + \min\{\beta-\alpha, \sigma_1\} > 1$. Then the following properties are verified.*

1. *If the functions $f, (-A)^\beta g : [0,\infty) \times Y \to X$ are pointwise asymptotically almost periodic, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $y_0 \in B_\epsilon(0, Y)$ there exits an asymptotically almost periodic S-classical solution, $u(\cdot, y_0)$, of the system (2)-(3) such that $u(0, y_0) = y_0$.*
2. *If the functions $f, (-A)^\beta g : [0,\infty) \times Y \to X$ are pointwise almost periodic, then there exits an almost periodic S-classical solution of the equation (2)-(2).*

5 Example

In this section we illustrate some of our results. Consider the first order evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[u(t,\xi)+\int_0^\pi a(t)b(\eta,\xi)u(t,\eta)d\eta\right] = \frac{\partial^2 u(t,\xi)}{\partial \xi^2}+F(t,u(t,\xi)),\ \ \xi \in I=[0,\pi] \tag{9}$$

$$u(t,0) = u(t,\pi)=0,\ \ t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}$$

where $a(\cdot) \in C_b(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, $a(0)=0$ and

(a) The functions $b(\eta,\xi)$, $\dfrac{\partial^i b(\eta,\xi)}{\partial^i \xi}, i=1,2$, are measurable, $b(\eta,\pi)=b(\eta,0)=0$ for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$L_g = |\ a(\cdot)\ |_{C_b(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})} \max\{(\int_0^\pi\int_0^\pi (\frac{\partial^i b(\eta,\xi)}{\partial \xi^i})^2 d\eta d\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} : i=0,1,2\} < 1; \tag{11}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there is $\mu \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $\mu(0) = 0$ and

$$| F(t,x) - F(t,y) | \leq \mu(t) \mid x - y \mid,$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $X = L^2([0,\pi])$ and $A : D(A) \subset X \to X$ be the operator $Ax = x''$ where

$$D(A) := \{x(\cdot) \in L^2([0,\pi]) : x''(\cdot) \in L^2([0,\pi]),\ x(0) = x(\pi) = 0\}.$$

It's well known that A is the infinitesimal generator C_0-semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on X. Moreover, the next Theorem is valid.

Theorem 6 *Under the previous conditions, the following properties are verified.*

1. *A has discrete spectrum, the eigenvalues are $-n^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with corresponding eigenvectors $z_n(\xi) := \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sin(n\xi)$ and the set $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of X.*

2. *For every $x \in X$, $T(t)x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} < x, z_n > z_n$. Moreover, the semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is compact, analytic, self-adjoint and $\| T(t) \| \leq e^{-t}$ for every $t \geq 0$.*

3. *For $f \in X$, $(-A)^{-\theta} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\theta} < f, z_n > z_n$ and the operator $(-A)^{\theta}$ is given by $(-A)^{\theta} f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\theta} < f, z_n > z_n$ on*

$$D((-A)^{\theta}) = \{f \in X : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\theta} < f, z_n > z_n \in X\}.$$

Moreover, $\|(-A)^{-1/2}\| = 1$ and $\| (-A)^{\frac{1}{2}} T(t) \| \leq \frac{e^{\frac{-t}{2}} t^{-\theta}}{\sqrt{2}}$ for every $t > 0$.

4. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $\theta \in (0,1)$. Then $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L^p(I)} : \mathrm{L^q(I)})$ for every $t > 0$ and there exists $D_\theta > 0$ such that $\| (-A)^{\theta} T(t) \|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{L^p(I)}, \mathrm{L^q(I)})} \leq \frac{D_\theta e^{-t}}{t^{z+\alpha}}$ for every $t > 0$, where $z = \frac{n}{m}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})$.*

5. *There exits a bounded linear operator $C : X \to X$ such that $C(W^{1,2}(I)) \subset W_0^{1,2}(I)$ and $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi} = (-A)^{\frac{1}{2}} C$ on $W^{1,2}(I)$.*

Proof: The assertions (1)-(4) can be deduced from [3, Theorem 2.3.5] and [7, Theorem 4]. The property (5) is proved by using the steps in the proof of [1, Lemma 2.4]. The proof is finished.

By defining the functions $f(\cdot), g(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$

$$\begin{aligned} g(t,x)(\xi) &= a(t) \int_0^{\pi} b(\eta, \xi) x(\eta) d\eta, \\ f(t,x)(\xi) &= F(t, x(\xi)), \end{aligned}$$

the system (9)-(10) can be modelled as the abstract differential equation (2)-(3). Moreover, f, g are continuous function, g is $D(A)$-valued, $Ag : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$ is continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} \| (-A)^{\theta} g(t,\cdot) \|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &\leq | a(t) | L_g, \qquad \theta = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \\ \| f(t,x) - f(t,y) \| &\leq \mu(t) \| x - y \|, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $x, y \in X$.

Obviously, our results can be applied in the case $Y = X$. In this particular case we ge the next results which is consequence of the Theorem 5.

Theorem 7 *Under the previous conditions, the next properties are verified.*

1. *Assume that $f : [0,\infty) \times X \to X$ is pointwise a.a.p. and that $a(\cdot)$ is asymptotically almost periodic. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $y_0 \in B_{\varepsilon}(0,X)$ there exists an asymptotically almost periodic S-classical solution, $u(\cdot, y_0)$, of (2)-(3) such that $u(0, y_0)) = y_0$.*

2. *If $f : [0,\infty) \times Y \to X$ is pointwise almost periodic and $a(\cdot)$ is almost periodic, then there exists an almost periodic classical solution of (2).*

Remark 4 *By using the results in this paper, in a forthcoming paper we will study the existence of almost periodic solutions for the Navier-Stokes equation*

$$u'(t,x) = Au(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t) + g'(t) \tag{12}$$

where $g \in C(\mathbb{R} : V)$ and $V = \{u \in H_0^1 : div\, u = 0\}$. (See [1] for details about this matter.)

References

[1] Bridges, Thomas J.(1-WPI) The Hopf bifurcation with symmetry for the Navier-Stokes equations in $(L_p(\Omega))^n$, with application to plane Poiseuille flow. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 106 (1989), no. 4, 335–376.

[2] Bahaj, Mohamed; Sidki, Omar Almost periodic solutions of semilinear equations with analytic semigroups in Banach spaces. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2002, No. 98, 11 pp. (electronic).

[3] Curtain, Ruth F.; Zwart, Hans An introduction to infinite-dimensional linear systems theory. Texts in Applied Mathematics, 21. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[4] Hagen, Thomas; Turi, Janos A semigroup approach to a class of semilinear parabolic differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 34 (1998), no. 1, 17–35.

[5] Hernndez, Eduardo; Existence results for a class of semi-linear evolution equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations.* Vol. 2001 (2001), No. 24, pp. 1-14.

[6] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, (1983).

[7] Rankin, Samuel M., III Semilinear evolution equations in Banach spaces with application to parabolic partial differential equations.*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 336 (1993), no. 2, 523–535.

[8] Yoshizawa, T. Stability theory and the existence of periodic solutions and almost periodic solutions. Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 14. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.

[9] Zaidman, S. Topics in abstract differential equations. II. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 321. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1995.

[10] S. Zaidman, A non linear abstract differential equation with almost-periodic solution, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* (4) **IO** (1984), 331-336.

[11] Zaidman, S. Topics in abstract differential equations. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 304. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.

[12] Zaidman, S. Topics in abstract differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 23 (1994), no. 7, 849–870.

[13] Zaidman, S. Almost-periodic functions in abstract spaces. Research Notes in Mathematics, 126. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.

Eduardo Hernández M, Jos P. C. dos Santos, Mauricio L. Pelicer.
Departamento de Matemática
Instituto de Ciências Matemáticas e de Computação
Universidade de São Paulo - Campus de São Carlos
Caixa Postal 668
13560-970 São Carlos, SP. Brazil
E-mail : lalohm@icmc.sc.usp.br,
E-mail :Zepaulo@icmc.sc.usp.br,
E-mail : mpellicer@icmc.sc.usp.br.

NOTAS DO ICMC

SÉRIE MATEMÁTICA

189/2003 GUTIERREZ, C.; PIRES, B. – On Peixoto's conjecture for flows on non-orientable 2-manifolds.

188/2003 GUTIERREZ, C.; RABANAL, R. – The Markus-Yamabe conjecture for differentiable vector fields of R^2.

187/2003 ARRAUT, J.L.; MAQUERA, C.A. – Local structural stability of actions of R^n on n-manifolds.

186/2003 LICANIC, S. – On foliations with rational first integral.

185/2003 BRITO, L.F.M.; MAQUERA, C.A. – Orbit structure of certain R^2 actions of the solid torus.

184/2003 CARBONE, V.L.; RUAS-FILHO, J.G. – Transversality of stable and unstable manifolds for parabolic problems arising in composite materials.

183/2003 RIEGER, J.H.; RUAS, M.A.S. – M-deformations of A-simple $\sum^{n-p+1}$ –germs from R^n to R^p, $n \geq p$.

182/2003 CARBINATTO, M. C.; RYBAKOWSKI, K.P. – Nested sequences of index filtrations and continuations of the connection matrix.

181/2003 DIAS, I.; MICALI, A.;PAQUES, A. – On tranversality for quadratic spaces over rings with many units.

180/2003 FEDERSON, M.; BIANCONI, R.; BARBANTI, L. – Linear Volterra integral equations as the limit of discrete systems.