

LINEAR AUTONOMOUS NEUTRAL FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN THE PHASE SPACE OF REGULATED FUNCTIONS

Luiz Fichmann

1. Introduction

This paper intends to present a result contained in [LF2], namely, the extension of the spectral results of [Henry 1] for the flow of the Linear Autonomous NFDEs to the context of regulated right-continuous functions.

If $[a, b]$ is an interval of the real line and X is a Banach space, we write $G([a, b], X)$ for the space of the functions $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ for which there exist the limits $\psi(t^+)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ and $\psi(t^-)$ for every $t \in]a, b]$. Such functions are called regulated functions.

In [LF2] we extend some results obtained by J. Hale ([Hale]) and D. Henry ([Henry 1]) for the so-called Neutral Functional Differential Equations (NFDEs), which have the form $\frac{d}{dt}(x(t) - f(t, x_t)) = g(t, x_t)$, from the context of continuous functions to the context of regulated functions. The motivation for this extension is the fact that the fundamental matrix, which appears in the variation-of-constants formula of the linear non-homogeneous NFDE ([Hale], [Henry 1]), is regulated and not continuous in t . So, the space of regulated functions appears as a natural context to include the fundamental matrix or the resolvent, in the case we consider a generic Banach space X . In this general context, Hönig ([H1], [H2]) studied the Volterra-Stieltjes linear Integral Equations. We applied this results, since the initial value problem of a linear NFDE leads to such an integral equation ([LF1]).

2. The main result

Let E^n denote the Euclidean space of real or complex n -vectors and let r be a fixed positive number. $G^+ = G^+([-r, 0], E^n)$ is the space of the regulated right-continuous functions $\varphi : [-r, 0] \rightarrow E^n$, which is complete with the norm $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{-r \leq \theta \leq 0} \|\varphi(\theta)\|$.

We call $C = C([-r, 0], E^n)$ the closed subspace of $\mathcal{G}^+$ of continuous functions. If x is a regulated right-continuous map of $[a - r, b]$ into E^n , then $x_t \in \mathcal{G}^+$ is given, for each $a \leq t \leq b$, by $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

Let D, L be fixed continuous linear functionals from $\mathcal{G}^+$ into E^n , with integrals representations given by $D\varphi = \varphi(0) - \int_{-r}^0 d\mu(\theta)\varphi(\theta)$ and $L\varphi = \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta)\varphi(\theta)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$; where μ, η are matrix-valued functions (from $[-r, 0]$ into $\mathcal{L}(E^n)$) of bounded variation which vanish at $\theta = 0$ and are left-continuous. For these representations, we utilize the Interior Integral which extends the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral (see [H1]). We assume here that μ has no singular part, i.e., $\int_{-r}^0 d\mu(\theta)\varphi(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \varphi(-r_k) + \int_{-r}^0 A(\theta)\varphi(\theta)d\theta$ $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$, where $0 < r_k \leq r$ and $A_k \in \mathcal{L}(E^n)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in L_1([-r, 0], \mathcal{L}(E^n))$.

In this situation, the initial value problem is well posed for the NFDE:

$$(N) \quad \frac{d}{dt} D x_t = L x_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

that is, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$ we have the unique regulated right-continuous solution $x = x(0, \varphi)$ of (N) for $t \geq 0$ with $x_0 = \varphi$. We have, then, well defined the flow of (N), $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, semigroup of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{G}^+$ given by $T(t)\varphi = x_t(0, \varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$ and $t \geq 0$.

Let D^0 be the jump part of D , that is, $D^0\varphi = \varphi(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \varphi(-r_k)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$. We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ the kernel of D^0 . The initial value problem is also well posed for the difference equation $(D)_0 : D^0 x_t = 0, t \geq 0$. This defines the flow of $(D)_0$, $\{T^0(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, semigroup of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$.

We known that C is invariant under $T(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) and $C_{D^0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+ \cap C$ is invariant under $T^0(t)$ ($t \geq 0$), that is, the solution of (N) or $(D)_0$ is continuous whenever the initial data is a continuous function.

Daniel Henry ([Henry 1], [Henry 2]) gives a complete description of the spectrum of the operators $T^0(t)|_{C_{D^0}}$ and $T(t)|_C$ for $t \geq 0$, using the infinitesimal generator A^0 of $\{T^0(t)|_{C_{D^0}}\}_{t \geq 0}$ and A of $\{T(t)|_C\}_{t \geq 0}$. The restriction of each flow, as above, is a strongly continuous semigroup of linear operators which admits a closed infinitesimal

generator with dense domain in C_{D^0} and C , respectively. For the spectrum of these generators, we have:

$$\sigma(A^0) = P\sigma(A^0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \det H(\lambda) = 0\}$$

$$\sigma(A) = P\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \det \Delta(\lambda) = 0\}$$

where $\det H(\lambda) = 0$ and $\det \Delta(\lambda) = 0$ are the respective characteristic equations of $(D)_0$ and (N) , i.e.:

$$H(\lambda) = I - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k e^{-\lambda \tau_k} = D^0(e^{\lambda \cdot} I)$$

$$\text{and } \Delta(\lambda) = \lambda H(\lambda) - \lambda \int_{-r}^0 A(\theta) e^{\lambda \theta} d\theta - \int_{-r}^0 d\eta(\theta) e^{\lambda \theta} = \lambda D(e^{\lambda \cdot} I) - L(e^{\lambda \cdot} I).$$

Henry shows that:

$$\sigma(T^0(t)|_{C_{D^0}}) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{t\sigma(A^0)}} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{a.e. in } t \geq 0$$

and $T(t)|_C - T^0(t) \circ \Psi|_C : C \rightarrow C$ is a compact operator for each $t \geq 0$, (where the map Ψ above is a continuous projection from $\mathcal{G}^+$ onto $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ such that $\Psi(C) \subset C_{D^0}$, defined in the next section), and with these facts he concludes that:

$$\sigma(T(t)|_C) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{t\sigma(A)}} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{a.e. in } t \geq 0.$$

The flows of $(D)_0$ and (N) are neither strongly continuous nor something like "strongly regulated". In fact, they have infinite oscillation around each $t \geq 0$ when we fix a non-continuous initial function, and then, we cannot extend the infinitesimal generators to dense domains in $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ and $\mathcal{G}^+$ respectively. Nevertheless, we still can show that the results obtained by Henry are extensibles for $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ and $\mathcal{G}^+$ respectively. This is done in the next sections.

3. The difference equation

Let $\mathcal{E}^+ \subset \mathcal{G}^+$ the subspace of step-functions, that is:

$$\mathcal{E}^+ = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+ \mid \varphi = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \chi_{[\theta_i, 0]} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}^*, c_i \in \mathbb{E}^n \text{ and } -r \leq \theta_i \leq 0, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

$\mathcal{E}^+$ is dense in $\mathcal{G}^+$ (see [H1]).

Let $G^-BV_0 = G^-BV_0([-r, 0], (E^n)')$ the space of applications $\alpha : [-r, 0] \rightarrow (E^n)' = \mathcal{L}(E^n, E)$ with bounded variation which vanish at $\theta = 0$ and are left-continuous.

We have the following immediate lemmas:

Lemma 1. For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^+$, there is a sequence $\{\varphi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{C}$, such that

$$\varphi_m(0) = \varphi(0), \quad \|\varphi_m\| = \|\varphi\| \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\theta) \varphi_m(\theta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\theta) \varphi(\theta) \quad \forall \alpha \in G^-BV_0.$$

Lemma 2. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$, if $\int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\theta) \varphi(\theta) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in G^-BV_0$, then $\varphi(\theta) = 0$ for $-r \leq \theta < 0$.

For a linear operator L , we denote by $\mathcal{N}(L)$ and $\mathcal{R}(L)$ the kernel and the range respectively.

Remark 1. In [Hale], cap. 12.3, it is given a continuous projection $\Psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{D^0}$ such that $\Psi = I_{\mathcal{C}} - \Phi D^0$, where $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$, $\phi_i \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfies $D^0 \Phi = I$, I is the identity matrix $n \times n$ and $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the identity of $\mathcal{C}$.

So, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{D^0} \oplus \mathcal{N}(\Psi)$ and $\dim \mathcal{N}(\Psi) = n$ because $\mathcal{N}(\Psi) = \mathcal{R}(\Phi D^0)$ has $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ as a basis. Putting $\varphi^0 = \Psi \varphi$, we have, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\varphi = \varphi^0 + \Phi D^0 \varphi = \varphi^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (D^0 \varphi)_i \phi_i = \varphi^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi(0)_i - \int_{-r}^0 d\bar{\mu}_i(\theta) \varphi(\theta)) \phi_i$$

where $(D^0 \varphi)_i$ is the i -th component of the vector $D^0 \varphi \in E^n$ and $\bar{\mu}_i(\theta)$ is the i -th line of the matrix $\bar{\mu}(\theta) = -\sum_{k=1}^n A_k \chi_{]-\infty, -r_k]}(\theta)$. Thus, $\bar{\mu}_i \in G^-BV_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$, it is also true that $D^0(\varphi - \Phi D^0 \varphi) = 0$, then we have Ψ extended to $\mathcal{G}^+$ and the same decomposition as above holds for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$.

From this remark and lemma 1, it follows easily the:

Lemma 3. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^+$, let $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as in lemma 1. Then

$$\int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \varphi_m^0(\beta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \varphi^0(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in G^-BV_0,$$

where $\varphi^0 = \Psi\varphi$ as in remark 1. We also have $\varphi_m^0(0) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^0(0)$.

Note that $\mathcal{E}_{D^0}^+ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi(\mathcal{E}^+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$.

Lemma 4. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+, \mathcal{G}^+)$ with $(T\varphi)(\theta) = \int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d_\beta K(\theta - \beta)\varphi(\beta)$, where we have $-\bar{r} \leq -\bar{r} < 0$ and $K : [-\bar{r}, \bar{r}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E^n)$ has bounded variation and is right-continuous; then, for each $\alpha \in G^-BV_0$, there is a $\tilde{\alpha} \in G^-BV_0$, such that:

$$\int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d\alpha(\theta)(T\varphi)(\theta) = \int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d\tilde{\alpha}(\beta)\varphi(\beta) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+.$$

Proof: We use the theorem 2.4 of [H2], which says that:

$$\int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d\alpha(\theta) \int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d_\beta K(\theta - \beta)\varphi(\beta) = \int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d_\beta \left[\int_{-\bar{r}}^0 d\alpha(\theta)K(\theta - \beta) \right] \varphi(\beta)$$

to construct a suitable $\tilde{\alpha} \in G^-BV_0$. ■

Remark 2. In [LF2] we show that the variation-of-constants formula for the linear NFDEs ([Hale], [Henry 1]) remains the same in the context of regulated functions. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$, the solution y of $(D)_0$, for $t \geq 0$, with $y_0 = \varphi$ is given by

$$(\rho_{D^0}) \quad y(t) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\tau_k}^0 d_\beta X(t - \beta - \tau_k) A_k \varphi(\beta), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where X is the fundamental matrix given by the conditions $D^0 X_t = I$ for $t \geq 0$, $X(0) = I$ and $X(t) \equiv 0$ for $t < 0$.

We have, by [Henry 1], lemma 3.5, the following result: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\det H(\lambda) \neq 0$ in some strip $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \alpha| \leq \delta$, $\delta > 0$, then we may decompose $X(t) = X^P(t) + X^Q(t)$ (if $\alpha = 0$ we will have $X(t) = X^P(t) + X^Q(t) + \text{etc.}$), X^P can be extended for $t \leq 0$ and we have the estimates:

$$\operatorname{Var}_{[t-r, q]}[X^Q] \leq M e^{(\alpha - \delta)t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0$$

$$\operatorname{Var}_{[t-r, q]}[X^P] \leq M e^{(\alpha + \delta)t} \quad \text{for } t \leq 0$$

for some constant M .

Theorem 1. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\det H(\lambda) \neq 0$ in some strip $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \alpha| \leq \delta$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+ = P \oplus Q$ the direct sum of closed subspaces P, Q , invariant under $T^0(t)$, $t \geq 0$. The semigroup $\{T^0(t)|_P\}_{t \geq 0}$ may be extended uniquely as a group $(-\infty < t < \infty)$ of operators on P . There exists a constant M' such that: $\|T^0(t)|_Q\| \leq M'.e^{(\alpha-\delta)t}$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\|T^0(t)|_P\| \leq M'.e^{(\alpha+\delta)t}$ for $t \leq 0$.

Proof. As in remark 2, we have the split of matrix X and, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$, we have the solution of $(D)_0, y(t)$, given by formula (p_{D^0}) . We may write: $y(t) = y^P(t) + y^Q(t)$, where $y^{P,Q}(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-r}^0 d_\beta X^{P,Q}(t - \beta - r_k) A_k \varphi(\beta)$, $t \geq 0$, and we can take $-\infty < t < \infty$ for y^P . From remark 2, we obtain also the estimates:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \|y^Q(t)\| \leq (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_k|) . M . e^{(\alpha-\delta)t} \|\varphi\| & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ \|y^P(t)\| \leq (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |A_k|) . M . e^{(\alpha+\delta)t} \|\varphi\| & \text{for } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Define $T^0(t)^P \varphi = y_t^P$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T^0(t)^Q \varphi = y_t^Q$ for $t \geq r$.

By the majorations above we see that $T^0(t)^{P,Q} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+, \mathcal{G}^+)$. Let $\pi_P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T^0(0)^P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+, \mathcal{G}^+)$.

In [Henry 1] theorem 3.1, it is shown that $\pi_P|_{C_{D^0}} \in \mathcal{L}(C_{D^0})$ and it is idempotent.

We will show that $\pi_P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+)$ and is also idempotent.

We begin with the step-functions. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^+$ and $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as in lemma 1. By remark 1, we have: $\varphi = \varphi^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi(0)_i - \int_{-r}^0 d\bar{\mu}_i(\theta) \varphi(\theta)) \phi_i$. Using the formula of $\pi_P \varphi^0 = y_0^P$ and lemmas 3 and 4 we obtain $\int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \pi_P \varphi_m^0(\beta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \pi_P \varphi^0(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in G^- B V_0$. By the formula of $\pi_P \varphi_m^0(\theta)$, for each $\theta \in [-r, 0]$, and the fact that $\|\varphi_m\| = \|\varphi\|$ (and then $\|\varphi_m^0\| \leq \|\Psi\| \cdot \|\varphi\| \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$), we obtain $\pi_P \varphi_m^0(\theta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P \varphi^0(\theta)$ and, in particular, $\pi_P \varphi_m^0(0) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P \varphi^0(0)$. So, $0 = D^0(\pi_P \varphi_m^0) = \pi_P \varphi_m^0(0) - \int_{-r}^0 d\bar{\mu}(\beta) (\pi_P \varphi_m^0)(\beta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \pi_P \varphi^0(0) - \int_{-r}^0 d\bar{\mu}(\beta) (\pi_P \varphi^0)(\beta) = D^0(\pi_P \varphi^0)$. Then $\pi_P \varphi^0 \in \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$, that is, $\pi_P(\mathcal{E}_{D^0}^+) \subset \mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ and taking the closure of $\mathcal{E}_{D^0}^+$,

we have $\pi_P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+)$. Now it makes sense to take π_P^2 . To show that π_P is a projection, we note first that $\int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \pi_P^2 \varphi_m^0(\beta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) \pi_P^2 \varphi^0(\beta)$, $\forall \alpha \in G^- BV_0$, a consequence of the formula of $\pi_P^2 \varphi^0(\beta)$ and lemma 4. We know that $\pi_P^2 \varphi_m^0 = \pi_P \varphi_m^0$, since $\varphi_m^0 \in C_{D^0}$. Therefore, $\int_{-r}^0 d\alpha(\beta) [\pi_P^2 - \pi_P] \varphi^0(\beta) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in G^- BV_0$ and from lemma 2 we have $\pi_P^2 \varphi^0(\theta) = \pi_P \varphi^0(\theta)$ for $-r \leq \theta < 0$. For $\theta = 0$, observe that $D^0(\pi_P^2 \varphi^0) = \pi_P^2 \varphi^0(0) - \int_{-r}^0 d\bar{\mu}(\theta) \pi_P^2 \varphi^0(\theta) = \pi_P^2 \varphi^0(0) - \pi_P \varphi^0(0) + D^0(\pi_P \varphi^0)$, but $D^0(\pi_P^2 \varphi^0) = D^0(\pi_P \varphi^0) = 0$ for $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$ is invariant under π_P . This completes the proof that π_P is idempotent in $\mathcal{E}_{D^0}^+$ and so in $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$.

Then we have the closed subspaces of $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+ : P = \mathcal{N}(\pi_P)$, $Q = \mathcal{R}(\pi_P)$; $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+ = P \oplus Q$ and π_P is a projection on P along Q .

By [Henry 1] theorem 3.1, we have $T^0(t) \pi_P \varphi_m^0(\theta) = \pi_P T^0(t) \varphi_m^0(\theta) = T^0(t)^P \varphi_m^0(\theta) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in [-r, 0]$ and each of these expressions converges when $m \rightarrow \infty$ to the respective expression with φ^0 instead of φ_m^0 (this can be shown by using the formulas of π_P , $T^0(t)$, $T^0(t)^P$ and lemma 4). Therefore, $T^0(t) \pi_P = \pi_P T^0(t) = T^0(t)^P$ in $\mathcal{E}_{D^0}^+$ and in $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+$, for $t \geq 0$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we also obtain $0 = D^0(T^0(t)^P \varphi_m^0) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} D^0(T^0(t)^P \varphi^0)$ and this allows us to define $T^0(t) = T^0(t)^P$ in P for $t \leq 0$ and to obtain the group of isomorphisms $\{T^0(t)|_P\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$; for, when we have the backward continuation of the solution of equations like $(D)_0$ in the whole line, this continuation is unique (see [Henry 3]).

The inequalities stated in the theorem follow immediately from inequalities in (*). ■

Remark 3. The subspaces $P \cap C_{D^0}$ and $Q \cap C_{D^0}$ are characterized in [Henry 1] theorem 3.1, in terms of the generalized eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues of the infinitesimal generator A^0 which have the real parts bigger than α and smaller than α , respectively.

We can extend, now, the theorem 3.2 of [Henry 1],

Theorem 2. Let $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\text{Re} \lambda \mid \det H(\lambda) = 0\}$ be nonempty; then, for $t \geq 0$, we have:

$$\overline{\{e^{\lambda t} \mid \det H(\lambda) = 0\}} \subset \sigma(T^0(t)) \subset \{\mu \mid |\mu| = e^{\xi t}, \xi \in \overline{Z}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

If $\alpha \notin \bar{Z}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{D^0}^+ = P \oplus Q$ is the decomposition given by theorem 1, then

$$\sigma(T^0(t)|_P) \subset \{\mu \mid |\mu| = e^{\xi t}, \xi \in \bar{Z} \text{ and } \xi > \alpha\}$$

$$\sigma(T^0(t)|_Q) \subset \{\mu \mid |\mu| = e^{\xi t}, \xi \in \bar{Z} \text{ and } \xi < \alpha\}$$

If Z is empty, then $\sigma(T^0(t)) = \{0\}$ for $t > 0$; in fact, $T^0(t) = 0$ for $t \geq r.n.$

Proof. It is the same of theorem 3.2 of [Henry 1], using now theorem 1. We recall that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^+$ and $\varphi_m \in \mathcal{C}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as in lemma 1, we will have $T^0(t)\varphi_m^0(\theta) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} T^0(t)\varphi^0(\theta)$ and then $T^0(t)|_{\mathcal{C}_{D^0}} = 0 \Rightarrow T^0(t) = 0$. ■

Remark 4. From theorem 2 and from [Henry 2] theorem 5.1, we have that:

$$\sigma(T^0(t)) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{t\sigma(A^0)}} \setminus \{0\} \text{ a.e. in } t \geq 0.$$

4. The Neutral FDE

Passing now to equation (N) of section 2, we first generalize the lemma 4.1 of [Henry 1].

Lemma 1. To the equations (N) and $(D)_0$ and their flows, given in section 2, we have: $T(t) - T^0(t) \circ \Psi : \mathcal{G}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$ is a compact operator for each $t \geq 0$, where Ψ is the projection given in remark 1 of section 3.

Proof: Analogous to the lemma 4.1 of [Henry 1]. Recall that $\mathcal{R}(I_{\mathcal{G}^+} - \Psi)$ has finite dimension. ■

We denote by $P\sigma(L)$, $R\sigma(L)$ and $C\sigma(L)$ the point, the residual and the continuous parts of the spectrum of a linear operator L .

We generalize now the theorem 4.1 of [Henry 1].

Theorem 1. With the notation of section 2, for the flow of equation (N), we have:

$$i) P\sigma(T(t)) \setminus \{0\} = P\sigma(T(t)|_{\mathcal{C}}) \setminus \{0\} = \{e^{\lambda t} \mid \det \Delta(\lambda) = 0\}$$

$$ii) R\sigma(T(t)) \cup C\sigma(T(t)) \subset \{\mu \mid |\mu| = e^{\xi t}, \xi \in \bar{Z}\} \cup \{0\}$$

where Z is given in theorem 2 of section 3.

iii) $\sigma(T(t)) \setminus \{0\} = \overline{e^{t\sigma(A)}} \setminus \{0\}$ a.e. in $t \geq 0$.

Proof: i) Suppose $t_0 > 0$, $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^+$ such that $T(t_0)\varphi = e^{\lambda_0 t_0}\varphi \neq 0$.

We show that there is a $c \in \mathbb{E}^n$ such that $y(t) = c.e^{\lambda t} \neq 0$ is the solution of (N) with initial data $\psi(\theta) = c.e^{\lambda\theta}$, where $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \frac{2\pi i n}{t_0}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is; we find a continuous (in fact, exponential) eigenvector for the eigenvalue $e^{\lambda_0 t_0}$ of $T(t_0)$.

Let $x_t = T(t)\varphi$, $t \geq 0$. The function $t \rightarrow e^{-\lambda_0 t} x(t)$ is periodic of period t_0 and then there is a $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the n -th Fourier coefficient is nonzero, that is,

$$c = \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n}{t_0} s} x(s) e^{-\lambda_0 s} ds = \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} x(s) e^{-\lambda_0 s} ds \neq 0$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} c e^{\lambda(t+\theta)} &= \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} x(s) e^{\lambda(t+\theta-s)} ds = \\ &= \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} x(t+u+\theta) e^{-\lambda u} du = \frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} [T(t)x_u](\theta) e^{-\lambda u} du = \\ &= [T(t) \left(\frac{1}{t_0} \int_0^{t_0} x_u(\cdot) e^{-\lambda u} du \right)](\theta) = [T(t)(c.e^{\lambda \cdot})](\theta). \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments of theorem 4.1 of [Henry 1] we prove ii), using the result of Gohberg and Krein in the version of lemma 2 of [Henry 1] and using theorem 2 of section 3 and lemma 1.

For iii) we use also theorem 5.1 of [Henry 2]. ■

In the same way of theorem 4.2 of [Henry 1] we can show that:

Theorem 2. Suppose $\alpha \notin \overline{\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A)}$, i.e., $\det \Delta(\lambda) \neq 0$ in some strip $|\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \alpha| < \delta$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\mathcal{G}^+ = P \oplus Q$, where P, Q are closed subspaces invariant under $T(t)$.

The restriction of the semigroup to P may be extended to a group $\{T(t)|_P\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of isomorphisms of P . Finally, there exists a constant M such that $\|T(t)|_Q\| \leq M e^{(\alpha-\delta)t}$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\|T(t)|_P\| \leq M e^{(\alpha+\delta)t}$ for $t \leq 0$ (see also [LF2], cap. II, §4, theorems 3 and 4.)

We now study the corresponding decomposition for the nonhomogeneous equation

$$(N)_H: \quad \frac{d}{dt}(Dx_t - H(t)) = Lx_t,$$

for a given regulated right-continuous forcing function H .

For each $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \geq t_0$, we have a bounded linear operator $K(t, t_0) \in \mathcal{L}(G^+([t_0, t], E^n), G^+)$ such that the solution of $(N)_H$ for $t \geq t_0$ with $x_{t_0} = \varphi \in G^+$ is given by $x_t(t_0, \varphi, H) = T(t - t_0)\varphi + K(t, t_0)H$, where $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the flow of (N) as in section 2.

From [LF2] we have that $K(t, t_0)H = \chi_{\sigma} H(t) - T(t - t_0)\chi_{\sigma} H(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} T(t - \sigma)\chi_{\sigma} H(\sigma)$ where, for $p \in E^n$, we have $\chi_{\sigma} p(\theta) = 0$ for $-\tau \leq \theta < 0$ and $\chi_{\sigma} p(0) = p$ and $(\int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} T(t - \sigma)\chi_{\sigma} H(\sigma))(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} X(t + \theta - \sigma)H(\sigma)$, the integral being in E^n , and the fundamental matrix $X(t) \in \mathcal{L}(E^n)$ given by $X(t)p = T(t)\chi_{\sigma} p(0)$.

In [LF2] (see cap. II, §4, remark 7), we generalize the theorem 4.3 of [Henry 1] as a consequence of theorem 2 and the variation-of-constants formula for equation $(N)_H$. Then we have:

Theorem 3. Suppose $\alpha \notin \overline{\text{Re } \sigma(A)}$ and $G^+ = P \oplus Q$ is the decomposition provided by theorem 2. We write $\varphi = \varphi^P + \varphi^Q \in P \oplus Q$. Then, there exist matrix-functions of bounded variation X^P and X^Q , such that:

$$x_t^Q(t_0, \varphi, H) = T(t - t_0)\varphi^Q + (\chi_{\sigma} H(t))^Q - T(t - t_0)(\chi_{\sigma} H(t_0))^Q - \int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} T(t - \sigma)[\chi_{\sigma} H(\sigma)]^Q$$

and

$$x_t^P(t_0, \varphi, H) = T(t - t_0)\varphi^P + (\chi_{\sigma} H(t))^P - T(t - t_0)(\chi_{\sigma} H(t_0))^P - \int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} T(t - \sigma)[\chi_{\sigma} H(\sigma)]^P$$

for $t \geq t_0$, where

$$(\int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} T(t - \sigma)[\chi_{\sigma} H(\sigma)]^{P, Q})(\theta) = \int_{t_0}^t d_{\sigma} X^{P, Q}(t + \theta - \sigma)H(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \theta \in [-\tau, \theta].$$

Remark 2. The matrix functions X^Q and X^P are the same given in theorem 4.3 of [Henry 1] (he calls there $X^{P, Q}(t + \theta) = T(t)\chi_{\sigma}^{P, Q}(\theta)$) and we have the estimates given in that theorem.

References

- [Hale] Hale, J. *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Henry 1] Henry, D. *Linear Autonomous Neutral Functional Differential Equations*. Journal of Differential Equations 15 (106-128), 1974.
- [Henry 2] Henry, D. *A property of the exponential function*. Publ. Mat. UAB (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona), vol.31, n° 1, artigo 5 - Bellaterra, abril 1987.
- [Henry 3] Henry, D. *Small solutions of linear autonomous FDEs*. J.Differential Equations 8 (1970).
- [H1] Hönig, C.S. *Volterra-Stieltjes Integral Equations: Functional Analytic Methods, Linear Constraints*. Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1975 (North-Holland Math. Studies, 16).
- [H2] Hönig, C.S. *Equations Integrales généralisées et applications*. Probl. Mathématiques d'Orsay, Exposé n° 5, 1981-82.
- [LF1] Fichmann, L. *Equações integrais de Volterra-Stieltjes e Equações do tipo neutro*. Tese de mestrado, IME-USP, Brasil, 1984.
- [LF2] Fichmann, L. *Equações Diferenciais Neutras com condições iniciais descontínuas*. Tese de doutorado, IME-USP, Brasil, 1991.
- [S] Schwabick, S. *A survey of some new results for regulated functions*. 28º Seminário Brasileiro de Análise, 1988, SBM, p.201-209.

Luiz Fichmann
MAT-IME-USP