

RESEARCH IN BRIEF

A risk model to predict probability of maternal intrapartum transfers from a free-standing birth centre: PROTRIP tool

Flora MB Silva, Sonia MJV Oliveira, Ruth H Osava, Fernando Auil, Debra Bick and Maria do Rosario DO Latorre

Accepted for publication: 3 September 2013

Aims

To generate a clinical model to assess the risk of intrapartum transfer in women who were admitted to a free-standing midwifery-led birth centre, using associated factors previously identified in a case-control study (da Silva *et al.* 2012).

Background

Birth care in out-of-hospital clinical settings, including alongside and free-standing midwifery-led birth centres, is a controversial topic internationally, especially with respect to issues of safety. A number of studies conducted in different country settings have found that maternal and neonatal outcomes following birth to low-risk women in out-of-hospital settings led by midwives are generally favourable when compared to results obtained from obstetric-led units (Birthplace in England Collaborative Group 2011). Notwithstanding, women who chose to give birth at these facilities and who need to be transferred to the obstetric unit represent a potential at-risk group in a population of low-risk women. Intrapartum transfers from midwifery-led birth centres have been associated with higher rates of Caesarean sections, operative vaginal births, episiotomy, lower infant Apgar scores at five min-

What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?

- A risk model can be used as a tool, available online, into which the professional at the birth centre enters the woman's characteristics to obtain the probability of transfer during the intrapartum period.
- Estimating the probability of transfer at the admission in a birth centre could be useful when discussing the most appropriate place to give birth with the woman and her family.

utes, higher number of infants with arterial cord blood pH values <7.10 and a higher number of infants admitted to neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) (David *et al.* 2006, Overgaard *et al.* 2011).

Few studies have investigated the outcomes of maternal transfers from birth centres, and no risk models have been identified in this area of health care. This is despite risk models being increasingly used in other areas of health, as care becomes more tailored to individual characteristics and needs. There is potential for an evaluation tool to be used when the woman arrives at the birth centre, as it will be based on her clinical presentation on admission, her pregnancy and previous medical history.

Authors: Flora MB Silva, PhD, Nurse Midwife and Senior Lecturer, School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, São Paulo; Sonia MJV Oliveira, PhD, Nurse Midwife and Associate Professor, School of Nursing, University of São Paulo, São Paulo; Ruth H Osava, PhD, Nurse Midwife and Senior Lecturer, School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, São Paulo; Fernando Auil, PhD, Mathematician and Senior Lecturer, School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; Debra Bick, PhD, Midwife and Professor, Florence Nightingale School of Nursing and Midwifery, King's College London,

London, UK; Maria do Rosario DO Latorre, PhD, Statistician and Full Professor, Public Health School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Correspondence: Flora Maria Barbosa da Silva, Nurse Midwife and Senior Lecturer, School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, University of São Paulo, Av. Dr. Arlindo Bettio, Room 334-G, 1.000 – CEP – 03828-000, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Telephone: +55 (11) 3061-1020.

E-mail: floramaria@usp.br

Methods

Data on 2726 women who gave birth in one free-standing birth centre (FBC) in Sao Paulo, Brazil, from March 2002–December 2009, with an overall transfer rate of 4.1%, were included in the case–control study. The birth centre is integrated with the Brazilian Health System and located in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. Care in the birth centre is provided by nurse-midwives. All women and/or their infants who require medical intervention are transferred to a tertiary hospital, located eight minutes drive from the birth centre (approximately 4 km), in an ambulance specifically available to the birth centre. Approval from ethics committee was obtained to undertake the study (Process number 223/2006/CEP/SMS).

Variables of interest included socio-demographic data, antenatal care, obstetric history, clinical findings on admission to the birth centre in labour, data on progress during labour, mode of birth and postnatal recovery of the woman and her infant. Logistic regression analysis with intrapartum transfer as the dependent variable was undertaken to identify variables associated with this event, with a *p*-value set as 0.05, using the SPSS statistical package, version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

For the computational implementation of the risk model, we developed a tool called PROTRIP (PRObability of TRansfer in Intrapartum Period). Prior to compute the probability, the program needs to determine the result of the correlation between the fundal height and the gestational age, which could be a normal, low or high. The core of this last calculation is codified in the header *mbz_table.h*, which is basically an implementation of the 10th and 90th percentiles for fundal height for the gestational age, based on a Brazilian population of women between 20–42 weeks of gestation (10). An advantage of the fact that the MBZ table is implemented as a header is that this flexible design allows the table to be revised as relevant for other populations (as the MBZ table is Brazilian specific) by replacing the header before compilation.

The suite includes an easy-to-use Web interface, which uses *protrip.c* as the back-end program. The Web interface is targeted at clinicians, and it is available at: [<http://143.107.59.106:9620/camille/ProTrip/clear.php>].

Results

We have built an online interface to be used by clinicians when examining the woman at a free-standing birth centre. Examples of simulations using the PROTRIP tool are shown in Table 1. These simulations are based on clinical

Table 1 Results from simulations with the tool PROTRIP. Sapopemba birth center (SBC) Sao Paulo, Brazil, 2013

| Variables | Probability of transfer in the intrapartum period | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 98.7% | 37.1% | 21.5% | 1.3% |
| Number of appointments on SBC | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Number of previous births | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Maternal age (years) | 35 | 22 | 24 | 32 |
| To have a partner | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Dilation upon admission on SBC (cm) | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Gestational age (weeks) | 38 | 39 | 40 | 39 |
| Fundal height (cm) | 36 | 33 | 34 | 32 |
| Newborn weight (g) | 4.000 | 3.000 | – | 3.000 |

situations, and one of the advantages of this tool is providing the interaction that occurs between the variables, that is, the characteristics of women who are to be admitted in a birth centre.

The identification of risk factors is essential to appropriately identify women at the onset of labour who are likely to complete labour and give birth in a free-standing birth centre. All birthing women included in this study were classed as low risk on admission to the FBC. In this case–control study, the outcomes of which informed the PROTRIP tool, we observed that intrapartum transfer, which could potentially be determined by a single factor during labour, is also influenced by a number of factors that may be identified when a woman is admitted in labour. The clinical decision regarding the transfer is complex and often determined by a combination of factors, such as the presence of meconium-stained amniotic fluid and abnormalities in foetal heart rate. The phase of the labour during which the potential problem was identified can also influence the clinician's decision.

Conclusions

The capacity of this tool to lower the rates of intrapartum transfers from birth centres requires larger-scale evaluation, preferably across different birth centres and populations. Further research is required for establishing a 'cut-off point' on which the probability of transfer identified in the PROTRIP tool is optimal to support a clinical decision of transfer.

Relevance to clinical practice

This risk model can be used as a tool, available online, into which the professional at the birth centre enters the

woman's characteristics to obtain the probability of transfer during the intrapartum period. To know this probability could also be useful when discussing the most appropriate place to give birth for the woman and her family.

Key words

birth centres, labour, maternal health, maternal transfers, risk model

Disclosure

The authors have confirmed that all authors meet the ICMJE criteria for authorship credit (www.icmje.org/ethical_1author.html), as follows: (1) substantial contributions

to conception and design of, or acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data, (2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content and (3) final approval of the version to be published.

Funding

This research was funded by the CNPq (National Council of Technological and Scientific Development), Brazil.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

References

- Birthplace in England Collaborative Group (2011) Perinatal and maternal outcomes by planned place of birth for healthy women with low risk pregnancies: the Birthplace in England national prospective cohort study. *British Medical Journal* **343**, d7400.
- David M, Berg G, Werth I, Pachaly J, Mansfeld A & Kentenich H (2006) Intrapartum transfer from a birth center to a hospital – reasons, procedures, and consequences. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* **85**, 422–428.
- Overgaard C, Møller AM, Fenger-Grøn M, Knudsen LB & Sandall J (2011) Free-standing midwifery unit versus obstetric unit: a matched cohort study of outcomes in low-risk women. *British Medical Journal Open* **1**, e000262.
- da Silva FMB, de Oliveira SMJV, Bick D, Osava RH, Nobre MRC & Schneck CA (2012) Factors associated with maternal intrapartum transfers from a freestanding birth center in São Paulo, Brazil: a case control study. *Midwifery* **28**, 646–652.

The Journal of Clinical Nursing (JCN) is an international, peer reviewed journal that aims to promote a high standard of clinically related scholarship which supports the practice and discipline of nursing.

For further information and full author guidelines, please visit JCN on the Wiley Online Library website: <http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jocn>

Reasons to submit your paper to JCN:

High-impact forum: one of the world's most cited nursing journals, with an impact factor of 1.316 – ranked 21/101 (Nursing (Social Science)) and 25/103 Nursing (Science) in the 2012 Journal Citation Reports® (Thomson Reuters, 2012).

One of the most read nursing journals in the world: over 1.9 million full text accesses in 2011 and accessible in over 8000 libraries worldwide (including over 3500 in developing countries with free or low cost access).

Early View: fully citable online publication ahead of inclusion in an issue.

Fast and easy online submission: online submission at <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/jcnur>.

Positive publishing experience: rapid double-blind peer review with constructive feedback.

Online Open: the option to make your article freely and openly accessible to non-subscribers upon publication in Wiley Online Library, as well as the option to deposit the article in your preferred archive.