




Article Navigation

PSX-26 Levels of forage and narasin inclusion on rumen fermentation in feedlot lambs

Lairana A Sardinha, Daniel M Polizel, Alexandre A Miszura, Arnaldo C Limede, José P R Barroso,  André M Ferreira, Nathalia R Eckermann,

Arnaldo C Limede

University of Sao Paulo

Search for other works by this author on:

[Oxford Academic](#) [PubMed](#)

[Google Scholar](#)

Supplement_3, December 2019, Pages 456–457,

Cite Permissions Share ▼

Abstract

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of forage levels and narasin inclusion in diets on the rumen parameters in feedlot lambs. Forty-four lambs were allotted in a randomized complete block design, defined by initial body weight, in a 2x2 factorial arrangement. The first factor was forage inclusion (10 or 20% of coastcross hay, DM basis) and the second factor was narasin (0 or 13 ppm). The experimental diets were isonitrogenous (17.4%, DM basis). At the end of the experimental period, the lambs were slaughtered without fasting, and the rumen fluid was collected to determinate pH and short chain fatty acid (SCFA). Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS and the LSMEANS option was used to obtain the means. The effects were considered significant when $P < 0.05$. There was an interaction between hay and narasin on the molar proportion of propionate ($P = 0.02$) and the acetate:propionate ratio ($P < 0.01$). Narasin inclusion in diets containing 20% forage increased propionate (25.4 vs 37.1 mM/100mM; $P = 0.02$); however, there was no effect in diets containing 10% forage (35.9 ± 1.75 mM/100Mm). Consequently, the narasin decreased acetate:propionate ratio in diets containing 20% forage (2.57 vs 1.42; $P < 0.01$), and no effect was observed 10% forage diets (1.40 ± 0.14). The inclusion of narasin increased the total SCFA (86.2 vs 115.4 mM; $P < 0.01$), ruminal pH (6.26 vs 6.49; $P = 0.05$) and decreased acetate (55.6 vs 47.6

mM/100mM; $P < 0.01$). The inclusion of 20% forage increased acetate when compared with 10% hay (54.99 vs 48.19 mM/100mM; $P < 0.01$) and the pH ruminal (6.25 vs 6.49; $P = 0.04$). The experimental diets did not affect the butyrate. In summary, the narasin inclusion and forage levels content change rumen parameters in feedlot lambs.

Issue Section: [Small Ruminant](#)

This content is only available as a PDF.

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society of Animal Science. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

This article is published and distributed under the terms of the Oxford University Press, Standard Journals Publication Model

(https://academic.oup.com/journals/pages/open_access/funder_policies/chorus/standard_publication_model)

You do not currently have access to this article.

Sign in

Don't already have an Oxford Academic account? [Register](#)

Oxford Academic account

Email address / Username [?]

Password

[Sign In](#)

[Forgot password?](#)

[Don't have an account?](#)

[Skip to Main Content](#)

American Society of Animal Science members



AMERICAN SOCIETY OF **ANIMAL SCIENCE**

[Sign in via society site](#)

Sign in via your Institution

[Sign in](#)

Purchase

[Subscription prices and ordering](#)

Rental



This article is also available for rental through DeepDyve.

[View Metrics](#)

Email alerts

[Article activity alert](#)

[Advance article alerts](#)

[New issue alert](#)

[Receive exclusive offers and updates](#)

[Skip to Main Content](#)

Related articles in

[Google Scholar](#)

Citing articles via

[Google Scholar](#)

[Crossref](#)

Latest | **Most Read** | **Most Cited**

Effect of anti-inflammatory compounds or antibiotic administration on receiving performance and physiological responses of transported heifers

The welfare and productivity of sows and piglets in group lactation from 7, 10 or 14 days postpartum

January 2020 Infographic

Board invited review: Biology, strategies, and fresh meat consequences of manipulating the fatty acid composition of meat

Dietary phytonutrients and animal health: regulation of immune function during gastrointestinal infections

[About Journal of Animal Science](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Facebook](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Purchase](#)

[Recommend to Your Librarian](#)

[Advertising and Corporate Services](#)

[Journals Career Network](#)

Journal of
ANIMAL SCIENCE

Online ISSN 1525-3163

Print ISSN 0021-8812

Copyright © 2020 American Society of Animal Science

[About Us](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Careers](#)

[Help](#)

[Access & Purchase](#)

[Rights & Permissions](#)

[Open Access](#)

Connect

[Join Our Mailing List](#)

[OUPblog](#)

[Twitter](#)

[Facebook](#)

[YouTube](#)

[Tumblr](#)

Resources

[Authors](#)

[Librarians](#)

[Societies](#)

[Sponsors & Advertisers](#)

[Press & Media](#)

[Agents](#)

Explore

[Shop OUP Academic](#)

[Oxford Dictionaries](#)

[Oxford Index](#)

[Epigeum](#)

[OUP Worldwide](#)

[University of Oxford](#)

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Copyright © 2020 Oxford University Press

[Cookie Policy](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Legal Notice](#)

[Site Map](#)

[Accessibility](#)

[Get Adobe Reader](#)