# A Journey through the Potential of Research to Drive Innovation in University Education

**EDGAR ROMARIO ARANIBAR RAMOS***
Universidade de São Paulo - Brazil

**ROBERTO GUILLERMO RAMOS CASTILLO****
Universidad Nacional del Altiplano - Perú

**LUIS CARLO ZANABRIA CABRERA*****
Universidad Tecnológica del Perú - Perú

Recibido el 30-03-23; primera evaluación el 22-07-23; aceptado el 27-07-23

**Abstract**

This essay aims to explore effective ways to utilize research in improving the quality of university education and address challenges that impede its potential. The authors conducted a multivocal literature review and approached six subtopics, including the role of interdisciplinary research and collaboration, the impact of research on teaching practices and student outcomes, the potential of emerging technologies, the importance of diversity, equity, and inclusion, fostering a culture of research and innovation, and the role of funding and resources. They recommend investment in research infrastructure, the promotion of collaboration, engagement, and ethical principles, and building a culture of research.

* Investigador Junior en la Universidad Católica de Manizales (Colombia). Encargado del área de investigación en Centro Pedagógico de Investigación y Proyección Social "César Guardia Mayorga" (Perú). Co-fundador de la asociación civil dedicada a la democratización de la enseñanza de lenguas extranjeras "Sembrando Lenguas" (Perú). Licenciado en Relaciones Internacionales por la Universidade de São Paulo (Brasil). Diplomado en Enseñanza de Inglés como lengua Extranjera por la Universidad Católica San Pablo (Perú). Correo electrónico: romario.aranibar@usp.br https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5926-8544

** Catedrático en la Universidad Nacional del Altiplano (Peru). Doctor en Ciencias Políticas y Gobernanza, Magíster en Ciencias Sociales con Mención en Promoción del Desarrollo por la Universidad Nacional del Altiplano (Perú) y Licenciado en Arqueología por la Universidad Católica de Santa María (Perú). Correo electrónico rgramos@unap.edu.pe https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1311-5793

*** Catedrático en la Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa (Perú). Investigador asociado a la Universidad Tecnológica del Perú (Perú) y Universidad Católica Boliviana (Bolivia). Doctorando en Ciencias Sociales por la Universidad de La Plata (Argentina), Magíster en Ciencias con Mención en Gerencia Social y Recursos Humanos y Licenciado en Antropología por la Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa (Perú). Correo electrónico: U20305896@utp.edu.pe https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3144-6158

Implementing these recommendations will enable universities to utilize research effectively in order to enhance the quality of university education, drive progress, and contribute to more inclusive, equitable, and sustainable societies.

**Keywords**: University education; research utilization; innovation in education; teaching-research; funding.

**Un recorrido por el potencial de la investigación para impulsar la innovación en la educación universitaria**

**Resumen**

Este ensayo explora formas efectivas de utilizar la investigación para mejorar la educación universitaria y abordar desafíos que obstaculizan su potencial. Se hizo una revisión multivocal y se abordaron seis subtemas: el papel de la investigación interdisciplinaria y la colaboración, el impacto de la investigación en la enseñanza y los resultados de los estudiantes, el potencial de las tecnologías emergentes, la importancia de la diversidad, la equidad y la inclusión, el fomento de una cultura de investigación e innovación y el papel de la financiación y los recursos. Se propone invertir en infraestructura, promover la colaboración, compromiso y principios éticos, así como construir una cultura de investigación. Implementar estas recomendaciones permitirá utilizar la investigación para mejorar la educación, impulsar el progreso y contribuir a sociedades más inclusivas y sostenibles.

**Palabras clave:** Educación universitaria; utilización de la investigación; innovación en educación; enseñanza-investigación; financiamiento.

**Uma Jornada pelo Potencial da Pesquisa para Impulsionar a Inovação na Educação Universitária**

**Resumo**

Este ensaio aborda de maneira profunda formas efetivas de utilizar a pesquisa para aprimorar a qualidade da educação universitária e enfrentar os desafios que podem limitar seu potencial. Através de uma revisão ampla e inclusiva, são abordados seis subtemas de relevância: o papel crucial da pesquisa interdisciplinar e colaborativa, o impacto da pesquisa tanto no ensino quanto nos resultados dos estudantes, o potencial significativo das tecnologias emergentes, a indispensável promoção da diversidade, equidade e inclusão, a importância de incutir uma cultura de pesquisa e inovação e, por fim, o papel vital do financiamento e dos recursos. São feitas propostas concretas para o fortalecimento desse ecossistema de pesquisa e inovação na educação universitária. Entre essas propostas estão o investimento em infraestrutura adequada, a promoção ativa da colaboração e do engajamento, sempre pautados em princípios éticos sólidos. Além disso, destaca-se a necessidade de construir uma cultura organizacional profundamente enraizada na pesquisa. A adoção dessas recomendações não apenas tem o potencial de elevar a qualidade

do ensino, mas também de impulsionar o progresso geral da sociedade em direção à inclusão e à sustentabilidade.

**Palavras-chave:** Educação universitária; pesquisa educacional; inovação na educação; integração ensino-pesquisa; financiamento educacional.

## 1. Introduction

The provision of quality university education is directly linked to the effective utilization of research for enhancing the quality of university education. Research generates new knowledge, refines existing theories, and advances the understanding of the world. In higher education, research plays a critical role in advancing teaching and learning, promoting academic excellence, and driving progress towards more inclusive, equitable, and sustainable societies (Roscoe, 2022; González & Crusat, 2019). By conducting research on best practices in pedagogy, learning outcomes, and assessment, educators can identify and implement new approaches that have been proven effective in enhancing student engagement, deepening learning, and promoting critical thinking (Lazowski & Hulleman, 2016). Moreover, research fosters a culture of intellectual curiosity, creativity, and innovation (Prado, 2023), which enhances the university's reputation and standing. Hence, overcoming the challenges in utilizing research to its full potential is crucial for enabling universities to provide high-quality education that can advance academic excellence and contribute to a nation's development, creating a more inclusive, equitable, and sustainable society (Naranjo-Africano et al., 2023). In this regard, several regions are striving to enhance and increase their academic production (Cisternas, 2023).

However, despite the immense potential of research for driving innovation in university education, there are significant challenges and barriers that must be overcome (Rivera & Chun, 2023). The need for greater investment in research infrastructure and resources, and collaboration and engagement across disciplines and sectors are two of the key challenges that need to be addressed (Devlin & Samarawickrema, 2022). Research in university education must also take ethical considerations into account (Flores-Vivar & García-Peñalvo, 2023), such as ensuring that it is conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, with due regard for the welfare and rights of research participants, and with integrity and transparency.

Some scholars have made recommendations for addressing these challenges, like investing in research infrastructure and resources (Li-Ying et al.,

2022), fostering collaboration and engagement across disciplines and sectors (Greenhow et al., 2022), and promoting a culture of research (Charrón & Rivera, 2020). Moreover, adhering to ethical principles and standards is crucial for building trust and credibility in the research enterprise (Flores-Vivar & García-Peñalvo, 2023).

In order to explore effective ways to utilize research to improve the quality of university education and address the challenges that stand in the way of realizing its potential, this essay poses the research question: what are the most effective strategies for utilizing research to enhance the quality of university education? To answer this question, the essay employs a multivocal literature review, which will delve into six subtopics.

The first subtopic explores the role of interdisciplinary research and collaboration in promoting innovation in higher education; the second focuses on the impact of research on teaching practices, student outcomes, and assessment in university education; the third investigates the potential of emerging technologies and data-driven approaches to inform and transform university education; the fourth analyzes the role of research in advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion in higher education policies and practices; the fifth discusses the importance of fostering a culture of research and innovation to drive progress in university education; and finally the sixth explores the role of funding and resources in supporting research and innovation for quality university education. Through these subtopics, the essay aims to provide insights into effective ways to utilize research and apply the recommendations for addressing the challenges that stand in the way of realizing its potential in improving university education.

## 2. Body

### 2.1. The role of interdisciplinary research and collaboration in promoting innovation in higher education

Interdisciplinary research and collaboration are critical components of promoting innovation in higher education. The complex challenges facing higher education nowadays, such as changing student demographics, technological advances and globalization, require a multidisciplinary approach that draws on diverse expertise and perspectives (Devlin & Samarawickrema, 2022).

In light of that, interdisciplinary research brings together researchers from different disciplines to deal with a common problem or question. It thereby becomes a fusion of disciplinary perspectives and methods and aims to create

new knowledge and insights that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries (National Research Council, 2014). It may in addition integrate multiple sources of data to create a more comprehensive understanding of complex phenomena (Klein, 2010).

Highlighting the importance of interdisciplinary research in driving innovation in higher education, Muños et al. (2022) argue that it helps to promote innovative teaching practices which integrate research and learning, and foster collaboration between faculty and graduate students. Similarly, Henderson et al. (2011) suggest that it promotes change in undergraduate instructional practices by drawing on multiple perspectives and methods, and creating new knowledge that can be applied in practice.

As mentioned, collaboration is essential for promoting innovation in higher education. This is understood as working together towards a common goal, and can involve individuals from different disciplines, organizations, or sectors (Khalifa, 2023). Lattuca and Stark (2011) point out that it may take many forms, such as joint research projects, interdisciplinary teams, or partnerships between universities and industry.

In addition, Greenhow et al. (2022) explain that collaboration among researchers, educators and industry helps to drive innovation in higher education by creating new knowledge, developing new technologies, and improving teaching and learning practices.

Although interdisciplinary research and collaboration have many potential benefits for promoting innovation in higher education, they also pose challenges that must be addressed (Meurer et al., 2023). One of the key challenges is the need to overcome disciplinary boundaries and establish common goals and methods (National Research Council, 2014). Purvis et al. (2023) add that levels of risk, disciplinary hierarchy, and knowledge can be described as asymmetries and generate conflicts. Consequently, those involved must have a commitment to open communication, mutual respect, and the willingness to engage with different perspectives and ways of thinking.

Fadda et al. (2022) also mention as another challenge the need to establish effective governance and funding mechanisms to support interdisciplinary research and collaboration. This demands a commitment to shared decision-making, transparent and equitable resource allocation, and a focus on creating sustainable partnerships and networks.

It is also important to recognize that despite the extensive advantages of team science, universities have not generally developed standards to acknowledge its importance in terms of promotion and tenure (Meurer et al., 2023).

This reveals a gap in the analysis of the participation of early-stage researchers and experienced researchers in team science.

### 2.2. The impact of research on teaching practices, student outcomes, and assessment in university education

Over the past decade, numerous studies have been conducted on the impact of research on teaching practices, student outcomes, and assessment in university education. Correspondingly, research has been shown to improve teaching practices by providing faculty members with new, digital, innovative and effective approaches to teaching (Sobaih et al., 2020). It was found that faculty members who engaged in research were more likely to use student-centered teaching methods, which resulted in better student engagement and higher academic achievement (Almeida et al., 2020; Delfino, 2019). Furthermore, research promotes interdisciplinary collaboration, which can lead to the development of new teaching methods and more effective approaches and student engagement.

Additionally, research represents a positive impact on student outcomes in university education. Lazowski and Hulleman (2016) found that students who were exposed to research-based teaching methods had higher academic achievement and were more likely to pursue advanced degrees. In this sense, research helps to identify factors that contribute to student success and provides insight into how to address challenges that impede student progress. For instance, it can identify the impact of socio-economic factors on student outcomes and provide recommendations on how to address these disparities (Avvisati, 2020). Besides, it is evidenced that research improves critical thinking and problem-solving skills in students, which are essential for success in both academic and professional contexts (Gormally et al., 2016). Moreover, Aranibar et al. (2022) and Fernández et al. (2022) point out that research contributes to student development in general from an entrepreneurial viewpoint. De Lucas and Gavrila (2023) and Gaunand et al. (2022) add that research helps students keep in touch with technology, digital transformation and develops social awareness.

It is widely acknowledged that research is important for assessment in university education. A study conducted by Lightfoot (2020) found that the use of research-based assessment practices led to more accurate and reliable assessments of student learning. This is because research-based assessments are typically more aligned with the learning objectives of a course and are therefore more effective at measuring student learning outcomes.

Despite the many benefits of research for teaching practices, student outcomes, and assessment in university education, there are still challenges that need to be addressed. For example, some faculty members may not have the necessary skills or training to engage in research-based teaching practices (Muir & Schwartz, 2009), and there may be a lack of funding or resources to support research in certain disciplines (Aranibar, 2023).

To overcome these challenges, universities need to provide faculty members with the necessary support and resources to engage in research-based teaching practices. This could mean offering professional development opportunities, providing funding for research projects, or promoting interdisciplinary collaboration among faculty members.

### 2.3. The potential of emerging technologies and data-driven approaches to inform and transform university education

Emerging technologies and data-driven approaches have the potential to transform university education by enabling educators to provide more personalized, engaging, and effective learning experiences. However, in order to effectively integrate a novel technological tool within a curriculum, a researcher should employ a systematic approach aimed at increasing the likelihood of successful adoption of the new tool within the educational framework (Supriani et al., 2022).

One of the emerging technologies with the potential to transform university education is artificial intelligence (AI). AI has the potential to enhance university education by offering customized and flexible learning opportunities, to streamline administrative duties, and to facilitate evidence-based decision-making. (Razia et al., 2022). By using AI, universities can analyze large amounts of data to identify patterns and trends in student performance, predict learning outcomes, and tailor instruction to individual students' needs. Additionally, AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants have the capacity to offer individualized assistance and direction to students, responding to their questions and providing feedback in real-time (Baabdullah et al., 2022). Furthermore, AI can streamline administrative tasks such as course scheduling, grading, and attendance tracking, allowing instructors to focus on teaching and interacting with students. However, the implementation of AI technologies in higher education requires careful consideration of ethical, social, and technical implications, including concerns about privacy, bias, and job displacement (Flores-Vivar & García-Peñalvo, 2023). Universities must develop comprehensive policies and guidelines to ensure that AI is used ethi-

cally, transparently, and equitably, and to address the digital divide that may limit some students' access to these technologies.

In addition, extended reality technologies – virtual reality, augmented reality and mixed reality- have emerged as promising tools to enhance university education by providing immersive and interactive learning experiences that can transform the traditional classroom setting (Rangel & Duart, 2023). These technologies have the potential to enable students to engage with course content in more meaningful ways and to develop skills in a safe and controlled environment. For instance, virtual reality simulations can provide students with experiential learning opportunities that allow them to practice skills and gain practical experience before applying them in real-world settings (Dalgarno & Lee, 2010). Moreover, extended reality technologies can promote collaboration, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills by enabling students to interact with each other and with instructors in a more dynamic and interactive learning environment.

Data-driven approaches also have a high potential to transform university education by providing insights and feedback to students and instructors, optimizing the use of resources, and enabling evidence-based decision-making (Aljohani et al. 2022). By the collection and analysis of data from a range of sources including learning management systems, student information systems, and social media, universities can acquire valuable insights into student behavior, performance, and engagement. This information can be used to tailor teaching methods, pinpoint areas where students might be encountering difficulties, and deliver specific interventions to enhance student achievements (Teng et al., 2022). Additionally, data-driven technologies can optimize resource allocation, such as course scheduling and faculty workload, based on student demand and performance (Serhani et al., 2020); and inform evidence-based decision-making at the institutional level, such as program evaluation and accreditation (Siemens & Baker, 2012). Nevertheless, the implementation of data-driven technologies also poses significant challenges, including data privacy and security, ethical considerations, and the need for specialized expertise.

Overall, while these approaches hold the potential to transform higher education, they face several challenges. One major issue is the lack of clear guidelines and policies on the ethical use and management of data, which raises concerns regarding privacy and data security (Florea & Florea, 2020). Another issue is the digital divide that exists among students, faculty, and institutions, with some lacking the necessary technology infrastructure, access to reliable internet, and digital literacy skills needed to leverage these emerging

tools (Cahyadi & Widyastuti, 2022). Moreover, faculty needs training and support in using these technologies effectively and ensuring that they align with course learning objectives and pedagogical approaches (Wu et al., 2023; García & Cárdenas, 2022). Addressing these challenges requires a collaborative effort among educators, administrators, and policymakers to develop comprehensive strategies for integrating emerging technologies and data-driven approaches in university education while mitigating their potential risks and ensuring equitable access for all students.

### 2.4. The role of research in advancing diversity, equity and inclusion in higher education policies and practices

Diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) have emerged as essential themes in higher education over the past few decades (Roscoe, 2022). A growing body of research indicates that a more diverse and inclusive campus climate is associated with improved student learning, academic achievement, and retention rates (Chang et al., 2014). Several universities and colleges have responded to this research by implementing various policies and practices aimed at promoting DEI. However, as pointed out by Lewis (2022), other universities are only focusing on conveying a DEI picture, but they are not working to pursue that aim.

Research plays a critical role in advancing DEI in higher education. It provides an evidence-based foundation for understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with promoting DEI and for identifying effective strategies to address them (Perez-Lopez, et al., 2022). For instance, a study conducted by Chang et al. (2014) found that student interaction with diverse peers was positively associated with gains in critical thinking, problem-solving, and leadership skills. Similarly, a review of research on diversity in STEM fields determined that exposure to diverse role models and mentors was associated with increased interest and persistence in STEM among underrepresented minority students (Cheryan et al., 2017).

Furthermore, research enables universities and colleges to identify and address the underlying causes of disparities in student outcomes. Matthews et al. (2021) have identified that minority students who are underrepresented in STEM majors are more likely to leave due to feelings of isolation and lack of support, highlighting the need for tailored interventions to support this group. In this regard, Bowen and Bok (2016) suggest that affirmative action policies can increase the enrollment of underrepresented students. Additionally, mentoring programs have been found to be effective in

enhancing the academic and social integration of underrepresented minority students on campus, as reported by Kolb et al. (2023). Tamtik (2023) also points that the inclusion of indigenous knowledge strengthens the systems towards innovation, offering a decolonizing perspective.

Moreover, research helps evaluate the effectiveness of DEI policies and practices and identify areas for improvement. As revealed by Devine and Ash (2022) although many universities have implemented diversity training programs for faculty and staff, the effectiveness of these programs in promoting DEI is mixed. Pizarro and Wijesingha (2023) argue that the lack of coercive enforcement mechanisms, skepticism, excessive regulation, and bureaucratic systems have impeded DEI practices.

Besides, Lahiri-Roy and Martinussen (2023) state that while DEI initiatives have become commonplace in Anglophone universities, the intersection of social class is often overlooked. Social class is a significant factor in shaping the experiences of marginalized groups within academia, particularly with respect to access and inclusion. DEI efforts should consider the complex interplay of race, gender, sexuality, and social class to create a more comprehensive and effective approach to promoting diversity and inclusion in higher education (Yang et al., 2021). This highlights the need to address the systemic barriers that prevent individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds from accessing higher education and the associated benefits.

In essence, although universities are implementing DEI policies because of the academic and professional advantages they bring, they do not always consider the ethical aspect (Burt et al., 2022), when clearly it is required. More research is therefore still needed to guide the development and implementation of effective DEI policies and practices in higher education.

### 2.5. The importance of fostering a culture of research and innovation to drive progress in university education

A culture of research and innovation is critical for progress in university education. Nevertheless, creating a culture of innovation and research requires a mindset shift among faculty and administrators (Charrón and Rivera, 2020; Zambrano, 2020). Faculty members should be encouraged to pursue research projects that are aligned with their interests and expertise, and to collaborate with colleagues across disciplines.

In addition, implementing innovation requires institutional support and resources. As discussed by Guzmán y Gómez (2019) universities must be willing to invest in research infrastructure and provide opportunities for

faculty development in research methods and technology. This can include providing access to funding, research support staff and technology resources.

By creating a culture of research and innovation, universities can also benefit students by promoting active learning and engagement. According to De Lucas and Gavrila (2023), involving students in research projects can enhance their critical thinking skills, improve their understanding of course material, and increase their overall satisfaction with their educational experience. Similarly, Supriani et al. (2022) found that incorporating research into undergraduate curricula can lead to increased student motivation and success.

It is also important for universities to create an environment which encourages and celebrates creativity and risk-taking (Aranibar et al., 2022). This means both supporting innovative approaches to teaching and learning and providing opportunities for faculty and students to experiment with new ideas and technologies. It also means promoting collaboration and interdisciplinary work, as research has shown that diverse perspectives and experiences lead to more innovative solutions. In this regard, Prado (2023) says that formative research across lessons is a powerful tool to engage students in research. Additionally, Gallardo-Cerón and Duque-Castaño (2022) indicate that research units and hotbeds of research are important for promoting research and engaging students with it. Nonetheless, Guberman and Zuzovky (2022) point out that power issues are common among organizations like these, especially regarding new participants.

Moreover, it is crucial to recognize and reward excellence in research and innovation (Cohen, 2022). This can include providing funding and resources for groundbreaking research, recognizing outstanding achievements through awards and honors, and providing opportunities for researchers and innovators to share their work with a broader audience.

Furthermore, partnerships and collaborations with external organizations, such as industry, government, and nonprofits, can be a powerful way to advance research and innovation in university education (Khalifa, 2023). These partnerships can provide access to new resources, expertise, and funding, and can lead to impactful research and innovation with real-world applications.

However, creating a culture of research and innovation can be challenging in universities which prioritize teaching over research. As noted by Pellegrini and Vivanet (2021), institutional policies that emphasize teaching over research can discourage faculty from pursuing research projects and hamper the development of a research culture. To address this, universities can implement policies that incentivize research and provide resources for faculty to balance their teaching and research responsibilities.

### 2.6. The role of funding and resources in supporting research and innovation for quality university education

Research funding has been a persistent challenge for universities, and the government funding available has often been inadequate. Li-Ying et al. (2022) argue that to conduct research and innovation effectively, universities need to allocate resources such as personnel, equipment, and facilities. Without these resources, research and innovation efforts will be hampered, and universities may struggle to attract and retain top talent (van Donselaar et al., 2022). Furthermore, the unequal distribution of funding resources across institutions and regions can lead to disparities in research outcomes and delay progress in specific fields of study (Heo et al., 2022). It is therefore critical to ensure that funding and resources are allocated equitably and sustainably to support a diverse range of research endeavors. In this regard, interdisciplinary collaboration and partnerships between universities, industry and government can be an effective way of mobilizing additional resources and funding to support research and innovation, leading to more impactful and meaningful outcomes (Khalifa, 2023).

However, relying solely on government funding is not enough to support research and innovation in universities. Universities need to seek alternative sources of funding, such as private sector funding, philanthropic donations and international grants. In recent years, there has been an increase in the number of philanthropic donations to universities (Shaker & Nelson, 2022). In addition to funding, universities must allocate resources such as personnel, equipment, and facilities. It is essential to highlight that funding plays a crucial role in supporting research activities, including the acquisition of equipment and supplies and dissemination of research outcomes. Hence the need to ensure that universities have access to proper funding and resources to support their research and innovation efforts.

Moreover, the assessment of scientific research for funding resources is a critical process that requires careful consideration and evaluation. Madani (2019) argues that traditional metrics such as citation counts, and publication records are commonly used to evaluate the quality of research and allocate funding resources. Nevertheless, these metrics may not fully capture the impact and significance of research, as they do not account for factors such as collaboration, novelty, and interdisciplinary approaches. As a result, there has been a growing call for alternative metrics and evaluation methods that better capture the complex nature of scientific research. Funding agencies have started to incorporate more qualitative evaluation methods such as expert

review panels and assessments of broader impacts to evaluate the potential impact of research (Arellano-Rojas et al., 2022). By using more comprehensive and nuanced evaluation methods, funding resources can be allocated more effectively and fairly, resulting in more impactful and meaningful scientific research.

Furthermore, one critical aspect of funding research-practice partnerships is the power dynamics at play. Rivera and Chun (2023) argue that funders often hold significant power over such partnerships, influencing the research agenda and priorities. This can lead to a mismatch between the research conducted and the needs of the community or practice partners. Academic researchers often hold more power and access to resources, which can lead to unequal partnerships. To address these power imbalances, it is suggested that research-practice partnerships prioritize building strong relationships and trust between partners, engaging in collaborative decision-making, and valuing the unique perspectives and contributions of all partners (Kilpatrick et al., 2015). By acknowledging and addressing the power dynamics at play in funding research-practice partnerships, the partnerships can better serve the needs of the community and produce more meaningful research outcomes.

## 3. Conclusions

Research has played a crucial role in driving innovation and improving the quality of university education. Through the exploration of the different ways research has been utilized in the past, valuable lessons can be learned that can inform future efforts to improve university education.

Firstly, the complex challenges facing the higher education system today require a multidisciplinary approach that draws on diverse expertise and perspectives. Interdisciplinary research offers a promising solution by enabling researchers from different fields to come together and create new knowledge and insights that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries. Moreover, collaboration among individuals from different disciplines, organizations and sectors is essential for driving innovation. However, to fully harness these benefits several challenges must be addressed, such as overcoming disciplinary boundaries, establishing effective governance and funding mechanisms, and recognizing the importance of team science.

Secondly, research has a significant impact on teaching practices, student outcomes, and assessment in university education. It promotes effective teaching approaches, interdisciplinary collaboration, and critical-thinking skills in students, contributing to their personal and professional development.

Research-based assessment practices lead to more accurate and reliable student learning assessments. Nevertheless, challenges such as faculty members' lack of the skills and training they need and a shortage of funding and resources need to be addressed.

Thirdly, emerging technologies and data-driven approaches hold significant potential for transforming university education, by enabling personalized, engaging and effective learning experiences. AI, extended reality technologies and data-driven approaches offer innovative solutions to enhance student outcomes, streamline administrative tasks, and provide evidence-based decision-making. Even so, the successful implementation of these tools requires the careful consideration of ethical, social, and technical implications, including issues related to privacy, bias, and job displacement. Addressing these challenges will require a collaborative effort among educators, administrators, and policymakers to develop comprehensive strategies for integrating emerging technologies and data-driven approaches into university education, while mitigating their potential risks and ensuring equitable access for all students.

Fourthly, research provides an evidence-based foundation for understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with promoting DEI, identifies effective strategies to address them, and evaluates the effectiveness of DEI policies and practices. However, the intersection of social class is often overlooked in DEI initiatives, and the ethical aspect of implementing DEI policies must be considered. More research is therefore needed to guide the development and implementation of effective DEI policies and practices that address systemic barriers and to promote a more comprehensive approach to encouraging diversity and inclusion in higher education.

Fifthly, fostering a culture of research and innovation in university education is critical for progress and advancement. This requires a mindset shift among faculty and administrators, institutional support and resources, promotion of creativity and risk-taking, recognition and reward for excellence, and partnerships and collaboration with external organizations. Furthermore, involving students in research projects can enhance their critical thinking skills, their understanding of course material, and overall satisfaction with the educational experience. Policies that incentivize research and provide resources for faculty to balance their teaching and research responsibilities can also help overcome challenges in creating a research culture.

Sixthly, funding and resources are critical factors in supporting research and innovation for quality university education. Government funding is often insufficient, and universities must seek alternative sources of funding such as private sector funding, philanthropic donations and international grants.

Adequate resources such as staff, equipment, and facilities are also necessary to support research activities effectively. To allocate funding and resources more effectively and fairly, alternative metrics and evaluation methods that better capture the complex nature of scientific research must be considered. Moreover, addressing power imbalances in research-practice partnerships is crucial for producing meaningful research outcomes that serve the needs of the community.

In conclusion, research is essential for advancing university education and driving innovation. It offers solutions to complex challenges, promotes effective teaching practices, enhances student outcomes, fosters diversity, equity, and inclusion, and supports a culture of innovation. Nevertheless, to fully harness the benefits of research, universities must address various challenges, such as overcoming disciplinary boundaries, providing adequate funding and resources, addressing ethical and social implications, and promoting a culture of research. By doing so, universities can create a more robust research and innovation ecosystem that benefits students, faculty, and society as a whole.

## References

Aljohani, N., Aslam, A., Khadidos, A., & Hassan, S. (2022). Bridging the skill gap between the acquired university curriculum and the requirements of the job market: A data-driven analysis of scientific literature. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, *7*(3), 100190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100190

Almeida, E., Pacheco, S., Astudillo, A., & Fierro, R. (2020). Aprendizaje Basado en la Investigación como práctica docente en las aulas Universitarias y su relación con los procesos de titulación de los estudiantes. *Revista de Ciencias Humanísticas y Sociales*, *5*(1), 124-133. https://doi.org/10.33936/rehuso.v5i1.2308

Aranibar, E. (2023). Cienciometría: actividad científica de las universidades públicas del sur del Perú en Scopus. *Conrado*, *19*(91), 95-108 (2023). https://conrado.ucf.edu.cu/index.php/conrado/article/view/2928

Aranibar, E., Villavicencio, E., Tantaleán, F., Ríos, K., & Zanabria, L. (2022). Creatividad en el Desarrollo Empresarial desde un Análisis Teórico. *Comuni@cción*, *13*(4), 310-322. https://doi.org/10.33595/2226-1478.13.4.780

Arellano-Rojas, P., Calisto-Breiding, C., & Peña-Pallauta, P. (2022). Evaluación de la investigación científica: mejorando las políticas científicas en Latinoamérica. *Revista española de documentación científica*, *45*(3), e336-e336. https://doi.org/10.3989/redc.2022.3.1879

Avvisati, F. (2020). The measure of socio-economic status in PISA: A review and some suggested improvements. *Large-Scale Assessments in Education*, *8*(1), 1-37. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40536-020-00086-x

Baabdullah, A., Alalwan, A., Algharabat, R., Metri, B., & Rana, N. (2022). Virtual agents and flow experience: An empirical examination of AI-powered chatbots. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 181, 121772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121772

Bowen, W., & Bok, D. (2016). The Admissions Process and "Race-Neutrality". In *The Shape of the River* (pp. 15-52). Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882793-007

Burt, M., Haacker, R., Montaño, P., Vara, M., & Sloan, V. (2022). The ethics of diversity, equity, inclusion, and justice in the earth system sciences. *Frontiers in Physics*, 10, 1305. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.1085789

Cahyadi, A., & Widyastuti, S. (2022). COVID-19, emergency remote teaching evaluation: the case of Indonesia. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(2), 2165-2179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10680-3

Chang, M., Sharkness, J., Hurtado, S., & Newman, C. (2014). What matters in college for retaining aspiring scientists and engineers from underrepresented racial groups. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *51*(5), 555-580. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21146

Charrón, M., & Rivera, B. (2020). Fostering innovation and entrepreneurial culture at the business school: A competency-based education framework. *Industry and Higher Education*, *34*(3), 160-176. https://doi.org/10.1177/0950422219895209

Cheryan, S., Ziegler, S., Montoya, A., & Jiang, L. (2017). Why are some STEM fields more gender balanced than others? *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000052

Cisternas, C. (2023). La universidad de la Sudamérica hispanoparlante pierde terreno: un análisis comparado con Oriente Próximo en investigación e innovación. *Educación*, *32*(62), 52-76. https://doi.org/10.18800/educacion.202301.006

Cohen, J. (2022). Institutionalizing public engagement in research and innovation: Toward the construction of institutional entrepreneurial collectives. *Science and Public Policy*, *49*(5), 673-685. https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scac018

Dalgarno, B., & Lee, M. (2010). What are the learning affordances of 3-D virtual environments? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *41*(1), 10-32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.01038.x

De Lucas, A., & Gavrila, S. (2023). The Impact of Research and Development on Entrepreneurship, Innovation, Digitization and Digital transformation. *Journal of Business Research*, 157, 113566. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113566

Delfino, A. (2019). Student engagement and academic performance of students of Partido State University. *Asian Journal of University Education*, 15(1), n1. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1222588

Devine, P., & Ash, T. (2022). Diversity training goals, limitations, and promise: a review of the multidisciplinary literature. *Annual review of psychology*, 73, 403-429. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-060221-122215

Devlin, M., & Samarawickrema, G. (2022). A commentary on the criteria of effective teaching in post-COVID higher education. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *41*(1), 21-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2021.2002828

Fadda, N., Marinò, L., Pischedda, G., & Ezza, A. (2022). The effect of performance-oriented funding in higher education: Evidence from the staff recruitment budget in Italian higher education. *Higher Education*, *83*(5), 1003-1019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-021-00725-4

Fernández, D., Guevara, G., Dávila, T., & Cruz, J. (2022). Capital intelectual como factor del desempeño organizacional en las Micro y Pequeñas Empresas. *Comuni@cción*, *13*(1), 63-73. https://doi.org/10.33595/2226-1478.13.1.595

Florea, D., & Florea, S. (2020). Big Data and the ethical implications of data privacy in higher education research. *Sustainability*, *12*(20), 8744. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208744

Flores-Vivar, J., & García-Peñalvo, F. (2023). Reflections on the ethics, potential, and challenges of artificial intelligence in the framework of quality education (SDG4). *Comunicar*, *31*(74), 37-47. https://doi.org/10.3916/C74-2023-03

Gallardo-Cerón, B., & Duque-Castaño, D. (2022). Semilleros de investigación como espacio de reconocimiento de personas con altas capacidades. *Revista Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales, Niñez y Juventud*, *20*(2), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.11600/rlcsnj.20.1.4962

García, D., & Cárdenas, N. (2022). Competencias investigativas en la formación profesional de los docentes universitarios. *Conrado*, *18*(89), 368-377. https://conrado.ucf.edu.cu/index.php/conrado/article/view/2745

Gaunand, A., Colinet, L., Joly, P., & Matt, M. Counting what really counts? Assessing the political impact of science. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 47, 699–721 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-017-9605-9

González, C., & Cruzat, M. (2019). Innovación educativa: La experiencia de las carreras pedagógicas en la Universidad de Los Lagos, Chile. *Educación*, *28*(55), 103-122. https://doi.org/10.18800/educacion.201902.005

Gormally, C., Brickman, P., Hallar, B., & Armstrong, N. (2009). Effects of inquiry-based learning on students' science literacy skills and confidence. *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, *3*(2), n2. https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/229068064.pdf

Greenhow, C., Graham, C., & Koehler, M. (2022). Foundations of online learning: Challenges and opportunities. *Educational Psychologist*, *57*(3), 131-147. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2022.2090364

Guberman, A., & Zuzovsky, R. (2022). The contribution of research units to research culture in Israeli teacher education colleges from unit members' perspective. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*, 50(4), 357-371. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2021.2012557

Guzmán, C., & Gómez, C. (2019). Advancing a knowledge ecology: Changing patterns of higher education studies in Latin America. *Higher Education*, 77, 115-133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-018-0264-z

Henderson, C., Beach, A., & Finkelstein, N. (2011). Facilitating change in undergraduate STEM instructional practices: An analytic review of the literature. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *48*(8), 952-984. https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20439

Heo, S., Peralta, P., Jin, L., Pereira, C., & Bell, M. (2022). Differences in self-perception of productivity and mental health among the STEM-field scientists during the COVID-19 pandemic by sex and status as a parent: a survey in six languages. *Plos one*, 17(7), e0269834. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269834

Khalifa, A. (2023). Impact of research and development and information, and communication technology on innovation and productivity evidence from Tunisian manufacturing firms. *Economics of Transition and Institutional Change*, *31*(2), 341-361. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecot.12340

Kilpatrick, S., Roholt, R., & Janssen, E. (2015). Power dynamics in community-based research partnerships. In S. Hesse-Biber (Ed.), *Handbook of Feminist Research: Theory and Praxis* (pp. 203-226). Sage Publications.

Klein, J. (2010). A Taxonomy of Interdisciplinarity. In R. Frodeman, J. Klein, & C. Mitcham (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Interdisciplinarity* (pp. 15-30). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kolb, H., Pineda, T., Sow, A., Hinton, M., Noguera, M., Ramirez, T., McCaslin, G., & Jones, C. (2023). DEI co-mentoring circles for clinical research professionals: A pilot project and toolkit. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, *7*(1), e25. https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2022.517

Lahiri-Roy, R., & Martinussen, M. (2023). Do our diversities count? Collaborative reflections on dwelling in academe's intersectional shadowlands. International *Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/09518398.2023.2178037

Lattuca, L., & Stark, J. (2011). *Shaping the college curriculum: Academic plans in context*. John Wiley & Sons.

Lazowski, R., & Hulleman, C. (2016). Motivation interventions in education: A meta-analytic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 602-640. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315617832

Lewis, N. (2022). What universities say versus do about diversity, equity and inclusion. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *6*(5), 610-610. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01339-1

Li-Ying, J., Sofka, W., & Tuertscher, P. (2022). Managing innovation ecosystems around big science organizations. *Technovation*, 116, 102523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102523

Lightfoot, S. (2020). Using Policy Briefs as Assessment to Integrating Research-Led Employability in Foreign Policy Courses. *Journal of Contemporary European Research*, *16*(1), 25-36. https://doi.org/10.30950/jcer.v16i1.1083

Madani, R. (2019). Analysis of Educational Quality, a Goal of Education for All Policy. *Higher Education Studies*, *9*(1), 100-109. https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v9n1p100

Matthews, A., Allen, P., Watson, K., Crooks, N., Smith, A., Hart, A., Mayra, E., & Kim, S. (2021). The use of strategies from the social sciences to inform pipeline development programs for under-represented minority faculty and students in the health sciences. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, *5*(1), e73. https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2020.566

Meurer, J., Fertig, J., Garrison, O., & Shaker, R. (2023). Team science criteria and processes for promotion and tenure of Health Science University Faculty. *Journal of Clinical and Translational Science*, *7*(1), e27. https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2022.523

Muir, M., & Schwartz, M. (2009). Academic research training for a non-academic workplace: a case study of graduate student alumni who work in conservation. *Conservation Biology*, *23*(6), 1357-1368. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01325.x

Muños, A., Bohórquez, M., & Diaz, G. (2022). Sociedad del conocimiento y sociedad de la información: dos paradigmas para un mismo referente epistemológico para el avance científico y tecnológico. *Revista de Filosofía*, *39*(102), 332-345. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7045609

Naranjo-Africano, G., Vega-Jurado, J. & Manjarres-Henríquez, L. (2023). Barriers to Third Mission: organizational and individual antecedents. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, *12*(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-023-00300-4

National Research Council. (2014). *Convergence: Facilitating Transdisciplinary Integration of Life Sciences, Physical Sciences, Engineering, and Beyond*. National Academies Press (US). https://doi.org/10.17226/18722

Pellegrini, M., & Vivanet, G. (2021). Evidence-based policies in education: Initiatives and challenges in Europe. *ECNU Review of Education*, *4*(1), 25-45. https://doi.org/10.1177/2096531120924670

Perez-Lopez, E., Gavrilova, L., Disla, J., Goodlad, M., Ngo, D., Seshappan, A., Sharmin, F., Cisneros, J., Kello, C., & Asefaw, A. (2022). Ten simple rules for creating and sustaining antiracist graduate programs. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *18*(10), e1010516. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1010516

Pizarro Milian, R., & Wijesingha, R. (2023). Why do EDI policies fail? An inhabited institutions perspective? *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion*, *42*(3), 449-464. https://doi.org/10.1108/EDI-02-2022-0048

Prado, J. (2023). Investigación formativa como estrategias de enseñanza-aprendizaje. *HUMAN REVIEW International Humanities Review*, *16*(3), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.37467/revhuman.v12.4659

Purvis, B., Keding, H., Lewis, A., & Northall, P. (2023). Critical reflections of postgraduate researchers on a collaborative interdisciplinary research project. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *10*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01494-w

Rangel, G., & Duart, J. (2023). You Can Handle, You Can Teach It: Systematic Review on the Use of Extended Reality and Artificial Intelligence Technologies for Online Higher Education. *Sustainability*, *15*(4), 3507. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043507

Razia, B., Awwad, B., & Taqi, N. (2022). The relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and its aspects in higher education. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1108/DLO-04-2022-0074

Rivera, P., & Chun, M. (2023). Unpacking the power dynamics of funding research-practice partnerships. *Educational Policy*, *37*(1), 101-121. https://doi.org/10.1177/08959048221134585

Roscoe, J. (2022). The need for accelerated change in diversity, equity and inclusion in publishing and learned societies. *Learned Publishing*, *35*(4), 481-488. https://doi.org/10.1002/leap.1457

Serhani, M., El-Kassabi, H., Shuaib, K., Navaz, A., Benatallah, B., & Beheshti, A. (2020). Self-adapting cloud services orchestration for fulfilling intensive sensory data-driven IoT workflows. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 108, 583-597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2020.02.066

Shaker, G., & Nelson, D. (2022). A grounded theory study of major gift fundraising relationships in US higher education. *Nonprofit and voluntary sector quarterly*, *51*(5), 1054-1073. https://doi.org/10.1177/08997640211057437

Siemens, G., & Baker, R. (2012, April). Learning analytics and educational data mining: towards communication and collaboration. In *Proceedings*

*of the 2nd international conference on learning analytics and knowledge* (pp. 252-254). https://doi.org/10.1145/2330601.2330661

Sobaih, A., Hasanein, A., & Abu Elnasr, A. (2020). Responses to COVID-19 in higher education: Social media usage for sustaining formal academic communication in developing countries. *Sustainability*, *12*(16), 6520. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12166520

Supriani, Y., Meliani, F., Supriyadi, A., Supiana, S., & Zaqiah, Q. Y. (2022). The Process of Curriculum Innovation: Dimensions, Models, Stages, and Affecting Factors. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *5*(2), 485-500. https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v5i2.2235

Tamtik, M. (2023). Indigenous innovation and organizational change towards equitable higher education systems: the Canadian experience. *AlterNative: An International Journal of Indigenous Peoples.* https://doi.org/10.1177/11771801231170277

Teng, Y., Zhang, J., & Sun, T. (2022). Data-driven decision-making model based on artificial intelligence in higher education system of colleges and universities. *Expert Systems*, e12820. https://doi.org/10.1111/exsy.12820

van Donselaar, F., Geurts, M., & Hobbes, H. (2022). Attracting international students to the Netherlands. In *International Student Recruitment and Mobility in Non-Anglophone Countries* (pp. 82-102). Routledge.

Wu, M., Zhou, Y., & Li, L. (2023). The effects of a gamified online course on pre-service teachers' confidence, intention, and motivation in integrating technology into teaching. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11727-3

Yang, J., Sherard, M., Julien, C., & Borrego, M. (2021). Resistance and community-building in LGBTQ+ engineering students. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, *27*(4). https://doi.org/10.1615/JWomenMinorScienEng.2021035089

Zambrano, P. (2019). La innovación formativa en el proceso de enseñanza y aprendizaje basado en el modelo experiencial. *Revista de Ciencias Humanísticas y Sociales*, *4*(2), 94-102. https://doi.org/10.33936/rehuso.v4i2.2901

**Roles de autor: Aranibar-Ramos, E. R.:** Conceptualización, curación de datos, análisis formal, investigación, metodología, administración del proyecto, software, visualización, redacción (borrador original, revisión y edición). **Ramos-Castillo, R. G.:** Conceptualización, curación de datos, supervisión, validación, recursos, redacción (revisión y edición). **Zanabria Cabrera, L. C.:** Conceptualización, curación de datos, supervisión, validación, recursos, redacción (revisión y edición).

**Cómo citar este artículo**: Aranibar-Ramos, E. R., Ramos-Castillo, R. G., & Zanabria Cabrera, L. C. (2023). A Journey through the Potential of Research to Drive Innovation in University Education, *Educación, 32*(63), 237-258. https://doi.org/10.18800/educacion.202302.R002

**Primera publicación**: 10 de agosto de 2023.