

RT-MAT 2007 - 08

SINGULAR HOLONOMY OF
SINGULAR RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS
WITH SECTIONS

Marcos M Alexandrino

Abril 2007

Esta é uma publicação preliminar (“preprint”).

SINGULAR HOLONOMY OF SINGULAR RIEMANNIAN FOLIATIONS WITH SECTIONS

MARCOS M. ALEXANDRINO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we review some author's results about singular holonomy of singular riemannian foliation with sections (s.r.f.s for short) and also some results of a joint work with Töben and a joint work with Gorodski. We stress here that the condition that the leaves are compact, used in some of these results, can be replaced by the condition that the leaves are closed embedded. We also briefly recall some of Töben's results about blow-up of s.r.f.s. Then we use this technique to get conditions under which a holonomy map can be extended to a global isometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

A singular riemannian foliation \mathcal{F} on a complete riemannian manifold M is said to admit sections if each regular point of M is contained in a complete totally geodesic immersed submanifold Σ that meets every leaf of \mathcal{F} orthogonally and whose dimension is the codimension of the regular leaves of \mathcal{F} (see Definition 2.1).

Typical examples of a singular riemannian foliation with section (s.r.f.s for short) are the partition formed by the orbits of a polar action, partition formed by parallel submanifolds of an isoparametric submanifold and partition formed by parallel submanifolds of an equifocal submanifold (see definitions in Section 2). Others examples can be constructed by suspension of homomorphism, suitable changes of metric and surgery.

The property that s.r.f.s are equifocal (see Definition 2.8 and Theorem 2.9) allow us to extend the (regular) normal holonomy map of regular leaves to the so called *singular holonomy map* which is also defined on singular points (see Proposition 2.10).

Singular holonomy and the Slice Theorem (see Theorem 2.3) give us a complete description of a s.r.f.s on a neighborhood of a singular point.

On the other hand, singular holonomy turned out to be a useful tool to study global properties of s.r.f.s (e.g. see Corollary 3.2, Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.15).

In this paper we review some author's results about singular holonomy and also some results of a joint work with Töben and a joint work with Gorodski. We stress here that the condition that the leaves are compact, used in some of these results, can be replaced by the condition that the leaves are closed embedded (see Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.15). From this new remark we also infer a new result (see Corollary 4.13). Finally, we briefly recall some of Töben's results

Date: April 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C12, Secondary 57R30.

Key words and phrases. Singular riemannian foliations, singular holonomy, pseudogroups, equifocal submanifolds, polar actions, isoparametric submanifolds.

The author was supported by CNPq and FAPESP.

about blow-up of s.r.f.s (see Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.5). Then we use this technique to get conditions under which a holonomy map can be extended to a global isometry (see Proposition 5.8).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some facts about s.r.f.s and fix the notation. In Section 3 we recall an author's result concerning to Molino's conjecture and orbits of Weyl pseudogroups (see Theorem 3.1). In Section 4 we discuss the relation between the holonomy of a s.r.s.f and the fundamental group of the space. In particular, we prove Theorem 4.4 and reformulate previous results. Finally, in Section 5 we review Töben's results about blow-up of s.r.f.s and prove Proposition 5.8.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Dirk Töben for useful suggestions. The author acknowledge the support of CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - Brasil) and FAPESP (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo).

2. FACTS ABOUT S.R.F.S.

In this section, we recall some results about s.r.f.s that will be used in this text. Details can be found in [2]. Throughout this section, we assume that \mathcal{F} is a singular riemannian foliation with sections on a complete riemannian manifold M ; we start by recalling its definition.

Definition 2.1. A partition \mathcal{F} of a complete riemannian manifold M by connected immersed submanifolds (the *leaves*) is called a *singular riemannian foliation with sections* of M (*s.r.f.s.*, for short) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{F} is *singular*, i.e. the module $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of smooth vector fields on M that are tangent at each point to the corresponding leaf acts transitively on each leaf. In other words, for each leaf L and each $v \in TL$ with footpoint p , there exists $X \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ with $X(p) = v$.
- (b) The partition is *transnormal*, i.e. every geodesic that is perpendicular to a leaf at one point remains perpendicular to every leaf it meets.
- (c) For each regular point p , the set $\Sigma := \exp_p(\nu_p L_p)$ is a complete immersed submanifold that meets all the leaves and meets them always orthogonally. The set Σ is called a *section*.

Remark 2.2. The concept of s.r.f.s was introduced in [2] and continued to be studied by me in [1, 3, 4], by Töben in [17, 18], by Töben and I in [5], by Lytchak and Thorbergsson in [12] and recently by Gorodski and I in [6]. In [9] Boualem dealt with a singular riemannian foliation \mathcal{F} on a complete manifold M such that the distribution of normal spaces of the regular leaves is integrable. It was proved in [4] that such an \mathcal{F} must be a s.r.f.s. and, in addition, the set of regular points is open and dense in each section.

A typical example of s.r.f.s is the partition formed by the orbits of a polar action. An isometric action of a compact Lie group G on a complete riemannian manifold M is called *polar* if there exists a complete immersed submanifold Σ of M that meets all G -orbits orthogonally and whose dimension is equal to the codimension of a regular orbit.

Another typical example of a s.r.f.s is the partition formed by parallel submanifolds of an isoparametric submanifold N of an euclidean space. A submanifold N of

an euclidean space is called *isoparametric* if its normal bundle is flat and the principal curvatures along any parallel normal vector field are constant. Theorem 2.3 below shows how s.r.f.s. and isoparametric foliations are related to each other. In order to state this theorem, we need the concepts of slice and local section. Let $q \in M$, and let $\text{Tub}(P_q)$ be a tubular neighborhood of a plaque P_q that contains q . Then the connected component of $\exp_q(\nu P_q) \cap \text{Tub}(P_q)$ that contains q is called a *slice* at q and is usually denoted by S_q . A *local section* σ (centered at q) of a section Σ is a connected component $\text{Tub}(P_q) \cap \Sigma$ (which contains q).

Theorem 2.3 ([2]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a complete riemannian manifold M . Let q be a singular point of M and let S_q a slice at q . Then*

- (a) *Denote $\Lambda(q)$ the set of local sections σ centered at q . Then $S_q = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Lambda(q)} \sigma$.*
- (b) *$S_x \subset S_q$ for all $x \in S_q$.*
- (c) *$\mathcal{F}|_{S_q}$ is a s.r.f.s. on S_q with the induced metric from M .*
- (d) *$\mathcal{F}|_{S_q}$ is diffeomorphic to an isoparametric foliation on an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , where n is the dimension of S_q .*

From (d), it is not difficult to derive the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let σ be a local section. Then the set of singular points of \mathcal{F} that are contained in σ is a finite union of totally geodesic hypersurfaces. These hypersurfaces are mapped by a diffeomorphism to the focal hyperplanes contained in a section of an isoparametric foliation on an open subset of an euclidean space.*

We will call the set of singular points of \mathcal{F} contained in σ the *singular stratification of the local section σ* . Let M_r denote the set of regular points in M . A *Weyl Chamber* of a local section σ is the closure in σ of a connected component of $M_r \cap \sigma$. One can prove that a Weyl Chamber of a local section is a convex set.

Theorem 2.3 also implies that a s.r.f.s. can be locally trivialized by a transnormal map, whose definition we recall now.

Definition 2.5 (Transnormal Map). *Let M^{n+q} be a complete riemannian manifold. A smooth map $F = (f_1, \dots, f_q) : M^{n+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ is called a *transnormal map* if the following assertions hold:*

- (0) *F has a regular value.*
- (1) *For each regular value c there exists a neighborhood V of $F^{-1}(c)$ in M such that $F|_V : V \rightarrow F(V)$ is an integrable riemannian submersion.*

In particular, a transnormal map F is said to be an *isoparametric map* if V can be chosen to be M and $\Delta f_i = a_i \circ F$, where a_i are smooth functions.

Remark 2.6. Recall that each isoparametric submanifold in an euclidean space can always be described as a regular level set of an isoparametric polynomial map (see Terng[15] or Terng and Palais [14]). On the other hand, the regular leaves of an analytic transnormal map on a complete analytic riemannian manifold are equifocal manifolds and leaves of a s.r.f.s (see [1]).

Proposition 2.7. *The plaques of a s.r.f.s. are always level sets of a transnormal map.*

In [16], Terng and Thorbergsson introduced the concept of equifocal submanifolds with flat sections in symmetric spaces in order to generalize the definition of isoparametric submanifolds in euclidean space. Next we review the slightly more general definition of equifocal submanifolds in riemannian manifolds.

Definition 2.8. A connected immersed submanifold L of a complete riemannian manifold M is called *equifocal* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) The normal bundle $\nu(L)$ is flat.
- (b) L has sections, i.e. for each $p \in L$, the set $\Sigma := \exp_p(\nu_p L_p)$ is a complete immersed totally geodesic submanifold.
- (c) For each parallel normal field ξ on a neighborhood $U \subset L$, the derivative of the map $\eta_\xi : U \rightarrow M$ defined by $\eta_\xi(x) := \exp_x(\xi)$ has constant rank.

The next theorem relates s.r.f.s. and equifocal submanifolds.

Theorem 2.9 ([2]). *Let L be a regular leaf of a s.r.f.s. \mathcal{F} of a complete riemannian manifold M .*

- (a) *Then L is equifocal. In particular, the union of the regular leaves that have trivial normal holonomy is an open and dense set in M provided that all the leaves are compact.*
- (b) *Let β be a smooth curve of L and ξ a parallel normal field to L along β . Then the curve $\eta_\xi \circ \beta$ belongs to a leaf of \mathcal{F} .*
- (c) *Suppose that L has trivial holonomy and let Ξ denote the set of all parallel normal fields on L . Then $\mathcal{F} = \{\eta_\xi(L)\}_{\xi \in \Xi}$.*

The above theorem allows us to define the singular holonomy map, which will be very useful to study \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 2.10 (Singular holonomy map). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a complete riemannian manifold M and q_0 and q_1 two points contained in a leaf L_q . Let $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow L_p$ be a smooth curve contained in a regular leaf L_p , such that $\beta(i) \in S_{q_i}$, where S_{q_i} is the slice at q_i for $i = 0, 1$. Let σ_i be a local section contained in S_{q_i} which contains $\beta(i)$ and q_i for $i = 0, 1$. Finally let $[\beta]$ denote the homotopy class of β . Then there exists an isometry $\varphi_{[\beta]} : U_0 \rightarrow U_1$, where the source U_0 and target U_1 are contained in σ_0 and σ_1 respectively, which has the following properties:*

- 1) $q_0 \in U_0$
- 2) $\varphi_{[\beta]}(x) \in L_x$ for each $x \in U_0$.
- 3) $d\varphi_{[\beta]}\xi(0) = \xi(1)$, where $\xi(s)$ is a parallel normal field along $\beta(s)$.

An isometry as in the above proposition is called the *singular holonomy map along β* .

We remark that, in the definition of the singular holonomy map, singular points can be contained in the domain U_0 . If the domain U_0 and the range U_1 are sufficiently small, then the singular holonomy map coincides with the usual holonomy map along β .

Theorem 2.3 establishes a relation between s.r.f.s. and isoparametric foliations. Similarly as in the usual theory of isoparametric submanifolds, it is natural to ask if we can define a (generalized) Weyl group action on σ . The following definitions and results deal with this question.

Definition 2.11 (Weyl pseudogroup W). The pseudosubgroup generated by all singular holonomy maps $\varphi_{[\beta]}$ such that $\beta(0)$ and $\beta(1)$ belong to the same local section σ is called the *generalized Weyl pseudogroup* of σ . Let W_σ denote this pseudogroup. In a similar way, we define W_Σ for a section Σ . Given a slice S , we define W_S as the set of all singular holonomy maps $\varphi_{[\beta]}$ such that β is contained in the slice S .

Remark 2.12. Regarding the definition of pseudogroups and orbifolds, see Salem [13, Appendix D].

Proposition 2.13. *Let σ be a local section. Then the reflections in the hypersurfaces of the singular stratification of the local section σ leave $\mathcal{F}|\sigma$ invariant. Moreover these reflections are elements of W_σ .*

By using the technique of suspension, one can construct an example of a s.r.f.s. such that W_σ is larger than the pseudogroup generated by the reflections in the hypersurfaces of the singular stratification of σ . On the other hand, a sufficient condition to ensure that both pseudogroups coincide is that the leaves of \mathcal{F} have trivial normal holonomy and be compact. So it is natural to ask under which conditions we can guarantee that the normal holonomy of regular leaves are trivial. This question will be answered in Section 4.

3. MOLINO'S CONJECTURE AND SINGULAR HOLONOMY

In this section we review an author's result concerning to Molino's conjecture.

In [13] Molino proved that, if M is compact, the closure of the leaves of a (regular) riemannian foliation form a partition of M which is a singular riemannian foliation. He also proved that the leaf closure are orbits of a locally constant sheaf of germs of (transversal) Killing fields. If the foliation is a singular riemannian foliation and M is compact, then Molino was able to prove (see [13] Theorem 6.2 page 214) that the closure of the leaves should be a transnormal system, but as he remarked, it remains to prove that the closure of the leaves is in fact a singular foliation. In [4] I proved the Molino's conjecture, when \mathcal{F} is a s.r.f.s. In addition I studied the singular holonomy of \mathcal{F} and in particular the transverse orbits of the closure of a leaf. In this work was not assumed that M should be compact.

Theorem 3.1 ([4]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a complete riemannian manifold M . Then*

- a) *the closure of the leaves of \mathcal{F} form a partition of M which is a singular riemannian foliation, i.e. $\{\bar{L}\}_{L \in \mathcal{F}}$ is a singular riemannian foliation.*
- b) *Each point q is contained in an homogenous submanifold O_q (possible with dimension 0). If we fix a local section σ that contains q , then O_q is a connected component of an orbit of the closure of the Weyl pseudogroup of σ .*
- c) *If q is a point of the submanifold \bar{L} , then a neighborhood of q in \bar{L} is the product of the homogenous submanifold O_q with plaques with the same dimension of the plaque P_q .*
- d) *Let q be a singular point and T the intersection of the slice S_q with the singular stratum that contains q . Then the normal connection of T in S_q is flat.*
- e) *Let q be a singular point and T defined as in Item d). Let v be a parallel normal vector field along T , $x \in T$ and $y = \exp_x(v)$. Then $O_y = \eta_v(O_x)$.*

One can construct examples that illustrate the above theorem by means of suspension of homomorphisms (see Example 3.4). In fact, the suspension technique is very useful to construct examples of s.r.f.s. with nonembedded leaves, with exceptional leaves and also inhomogeneous examples. Other techniques to construct examples of s.r.f.s on nonsymmetric spaces are suitable changes of metric and surgery (see [5] for details).

Corollary 3.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a complete manifold M and q a singular point. Let T denote the intersection of the slice S_q with the stratum that contains q . Suppose that $T = \{q\}$. Then all the leaves of \mathcal{F} are closed.*

Remark 3.3. According to the slice theorem (see Theorem 2.3) the restriction of the foliation \mathcal{F} to the slice S_q is diffeomorphic to an isoparametric foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on an open set of an euclidean space. Therefore the condition that T is a point is equivalent to saying that a regular leaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a full isoparametric submanifold.

Example 3.4. In what follows we construct a s.r.f.s such that the intersection of a local section with the closure of a regular leaf is an orbit of an action of a subgroup of isometries of the local section. This isometric action is not a polar action. This implies that *there exists a s.r.f.s \mathcal{F} such that the partition formed by the closure of the leaves of \mathcal{F} is a singular riemannian foliation without sections.*

First, define an homomorphism ρ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1, q_0) &\rightarrow \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \\ n &\rightarrow ((x, z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (x, e^{(in k)} \cdot z_1, e^{(in k)} \cdot z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

where k is an irrational number. Set $\tilde{M} := \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$ and define an action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1, b_0)$ on \tilde{M} by

$$[\alpha] \cdot (\hat{b}, t) := ([\alpha] \cdot \hat{b}, \rho(\alpha^{-1}) \cdot t),$$

where $[\alpha] \cdot \hat{b}$ denotes the deck transformation associated to $[\alpha]$ applied to a point $\hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $M := \tilde{M} / \sim$ be the orbit space, $\Pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ the canonical projection and $\hat{P}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ the covering map. Finally define a map $P: M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} P: M &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \\ \Pi(\hat{b}, t) &\rightarrow \hat{P}(\hat{b}) \end{aligned}$$

It is possible to prove that M is a total space of a fiber bundle, which has P as the projection over the basis \mathbb{S}^1 . Besides the fiber of this bundle is $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ and the structural group is given by the image of ρ .

Now let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_0$ be the singular foliation of codimension 5 on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ whose leaves are the product of points in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ with circles in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at $(0, 0)$. It is easy to see that the foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_0$ is a singular riemannian foliation with sections.

Finally set $\mathcal{F} := \Pi(\mathbb{R} \times \hat{\mathcal{F}}_0)$. It turns out that \mathcal{F} is s.r.f.s. such that the intersection of the section $\Pi(0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C})$ with the closure of a regular leaf is an orbit of an isometric action on the section. This isometric action is not a polar action, since the isometric action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \\ (s, z_1, z_2) &\rightarrow (s \cdot z_1, s \cdot z_2) \end{aligned}$$

is not a polar action.

4. HOLONOMY AND FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF M

In this section we review a result of a joint work with Töben [5], where we discuss the relation between holonomy of a s.r.f.s \mathcal{F} on M and the fundamental group of M . We stress here that the condition that the leaves are compact, which was used in [5], can be replaced by the condition that the leaves are embedded and closed (see Theorem 4.4). This remark allow us to generalize a previous result (see Theorem

4.14) and infer a new one (see Corollary 4.13). We also briefly recall a result of a joint work with Gorodski [6] (see Theorem 4.15).

4.1. Transversal Frame Bundle of a s.r.f.s. In [13] Molino associated an $O(k)$ -principal bundle, the *orthogonal transverse frame bundle* to a regular riemannian foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) of codimension k . A fiber of this bundle over a point p in M is defined as the set of orthonormal k -frames in $\nu_p L_p$, where L_p is the leaf through p . Proposition 4.1 generalize this notion for a s.r.f.s. of codimension k . Its restriction to the regular stratum M_r will coincide with the orthogonal transverse frame bundle in the sense of Molino. We will use this bundle to review the proof of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.12.

Proposition 4.1 ([5]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s on a complete riemannian manifold M .*

- a) *There exists a continuous principal $O(k)$ -bundle E over M that is associated to the s.r.f.s. \mathcal{F} . The restriction of E over M_r (denoted by E_r) coincides with the usual orthogonal transverse frame bundle of the riemannian foliation \mathcal{F}_r (the restriction of \mathcal{F} to M_r).*
- b) *There exists a singular C^0 -foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on E . The restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ to E_r coincides with the usual parallelizable foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_r$ on E_r , which is a foliation with trivial holonomy whose leaves cover the leaves of \mathcal{F}_r .*
- c) *There exist C^0 holonomy map associated to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$; hence we can define a Weyl pseudogroup $W_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$.*
- d) *There exist C^0 local trivializations of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.*
- e) *If the sections of \mathcal{F} are flat, the bundle E , the foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, holonomy maps and trivializations are smooth.*

Before we sketch the construction of the bundle E , we present a very simple example.

Example 4.2. Consider $M := \mathbf{R}^2$ foliated by circles centered at the origin. We denote it by \mathcal{F} . The only singular leaf is the origin and the sections are the lines through the origin. Excising the singular leaf we obtain a regular riemannian foliation \mathcal{F}_r of $M_r := \mathbf{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$. Let E_r be the orthogonal transverse frame bundle (in the sense of Molino) associated to \mathcal{F}_r . It is not difficult to see that $E_r = M_r^1 \amalg M_r^{-1}$, where $M_r^i := (\mathbf{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}) \times \{i\}$ for $i = 1, -1$. We can identify M_r^1 (respectively M_r^{-1}) with the unit normal field outward (respectively inward) oriented. Set $E = M^1 \cup M^{-1}$, where $M^i := M_r^i \cup (\{(0,0)\} \times \{i\})$. We will define E as the transverse frame bundle associated to \mathcal{F} . It is obvious that the restriction of E to $\pi^{-1}(M_r)$ is the orthogonal transverse frame bundle E_r . The set E can also be regarded as a set of equivalence classes, where the equivalence is defined as follows. Let (ζ_p^i, C_i) for $i = 1, 2$ be a pair of a vector tangential to some local sections σ through p with footpoint $p \in M$ and Weyl Chamber C_i in σ that contains p . Then (ζ_p^i, C_i) are defined to be equivalent if there exists a rotation φ (a holonomy map) such that $\varphi(C_1) = \varphi(C_2)$ and $\varphi_* \zeta^1 = \varphi_* \zeta^2$. We say that $\tilde{p} := [(\zeta_p, C)]$ belongs to M^1 (respectively M^{-1}) if a representative (ζ_p, C) induces the outward (respectively inward) orientation of \mathcal{F}_r by parallel transport along the Weyl chamber and the circles. Note that if p is not $(0,0)$ then there exists only one Weyl chamber C that contains p and hence this new definition coincides with the definition of a vector ζ_p with footpoint p , when p is regular.

Sketch of construction of the Transversal Frame Bundle E .

Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a complete riemannian manifold M . Let (ζ_p, C) be a pair of an orthonormal k -frame ζ with footpoint p tangential to a local section σ and the germ of a Weyl chamber C of σ at p . We identify (ζ_p^1, C_1) and (ζ_p^2, C_2) if there is a holonomy map $\varphi \in W_{S_p}$ (which fixes p) that maps C_1 to C_2 as germs in p and ζ_p^1 to ζ_p^2 at first order, where W_{S_p} is the set of all holonomy map $\varphi_{|\beta}$ such that β is contained in the slice S_p . In other words, the equivalence class $[(\zeta_p, C)]$ consists of the W_{S_p} -orbit $(\varphi_* \zeta_p, \varphi(C)), \varphi \in W_{S_p}$. We call an equivalence class $[(\zeta_p, C)]$ *transverse frame*, and the set E of transverse frames *transverse frame bundle*.

Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be the footpoint map. The fiber $F_q = \pi^{-1}(q)$ is equal to the set of transverse frames $[(\zeta_q, C)]$. There is a natural right action of $O(k)$ on E by $[(\zeta_q, C)] \cdot g := [(\zeta_q \cdot g, C)]$. This action is well-defined and simply transitive on the fiber. Note that in each equivalence class there is only one representative with a given Weyl chamber.

Given a transverse frame $\tilde{q} = [(\zeta_q, C)]$ over a point q it is possible to use parallel transport and equifocality of \mathcal{F} to find a neighborhood U of q in M and a map $\varsigma : U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ such that $\varsigma(q) = \tilde{q}$ and $\pi \circ \varsigma(x) = x$ for $x \in U$. With the cross section ς we can define a trivialization of $E|U$ as follows.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi : U \times O(k) &\rightarrow E|U \\ (x, g) &\mapsto \varsigma(x) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Let $E|U$ take the induced topology via ϕ . One has to show that this topology on E is coherently defined, i.e., the transition from one trivialization to another trivialization is a homeomorphism. This follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Consider two cross-sections $\varsigma_i : U_i \rightarrow E$ with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ and the corresponding trivializations $\phi_i : U_i \times O(k) \rightarrow E|U_i$. Define $h : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow O(k)$ by $\varsigma_1(x) = \varsigma_2(x) \cdot h(x)$. Then*

- (a) $\phi_2^{-1} \circ \phi_1(x, g) = (x, h(x) \cdot g)$.
- (b) h is constant along the plaques in $U_1 \cap U_2$.
- (c) The map $h : U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow O(k)$ is continuous at all points and differentiable at all regular points. If the sections are flat, h is locally constant.

Finally we define a singular foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on E as follows: Let $\phi : U \times O(k) \rightarrow E|U$ be a trivialization and P_x for $x \in U$ the plaque of \mathcal{F} in U . We define $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|U$ by the partition $\tilde{P}_{\phi(x, g)} := \phi(P_x, g)$. Since the transition map h is constant along the plaques, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is well-defined on E . We define a leaf \tilde{L} through a point x as the set of endpoints of continuous paths contained in plaques that start in x . The restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ to the bundle $E_r = E|M_r$ over the regular stratum M_r is the standard foliation described in [13].

4.2. Main result. In [5] Töben and I proved that the holonomy of the leaves of a s.r.f.s \mathcal{F} on a complete manifold M is trivial, if the leaves are compact and M is simply connected. I stress here that the condition that the leaves are compact is too strong. It is enough to assume that the leaves are embedded and closed.

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a simply connected riemannian manifold M . Suppose also that the leaves of \mathcal{F} are embedded and closed. Then each regular leaf has trivial holonomy.*

Proof. In this proof we need the concept of fundamental group of a pseudogroup, which we briefly recall below (for details see Salem [13, Appendix D]).

We start by recalling the definition of a W -loop of a pseudogroup W on a C^0 manifold Σ . A W -loop with base point $x_0 \in \Sigma$ is defined by

- (a) a sequence $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$,
- (b) continuous paths $c_i : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow \Sigma$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- (c) elements $w_i \in W$ defined in a neighborhood of $c_i(t_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $c_1(0) = w_n c_n(1) = x_0$ and $w_i c_i(t_i) = c_{i+1}(t_i)$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Two W -loops are in the same *homotopy class* if one can be obtained from the other by a series of subdivisions, equivalences and deformations. The homotopy classes of W -loops based at $x_0 \in \Sigma$ form a group $\pi_1(W, x_0)$ called *fundamental group of the pseudogroup W* at the point x_0 .

Remark 4.5. If the orbit space Σ/W is a connected orbifold, then $\pi_1(W, x) = \pi(\Sigma/W, \rho(x))$, where $\rho : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma/W$ is the natural projection.

The proof of Theorem 4.4 is basically the same proof of Theorem 1.6 of [5] apart from the modified Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7. Therefore we only sketch its main steps. For details (e.g. the proofs of Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.11) see [5].

Let L be a regular leaf, $p \in L$ and α a curve in L such that $\alpha(0) = p = \alpha(1)$. Let $\zeta(t)$ be the parallel transport of a orthonormal frame ζ in p along α . Note that $\zeta(t)$ is contained in a regular leaf of the singular foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in E .

We want to show that $\zeta(0) = \zeta(1)$.

Since M is simply connected we have a homotopy $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with

- (a) $G(0, t) = \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.
- (b) $G(s, 0) = G(s, 1) = p$ for all s .
- (c) $G(1, t) = p$ for all t .

We define $\tilde{p} := \zeta(0)$. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection of the transversal frame bundle E of \mathcal{F} . We can lift G to a homotopy $\tilde{G} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ with

- (a) $\tilde{G}(0, t) = \zeta(t)$ for all t .
- (b) $\tilde{G}(s, 0) = \tilde{p}$ for all s .
- (c) $\tilde{G}(1, t) = \tilde{p}$ for all t .
- (d) $\pi \circ \tilde{G}(s, 1) = p$ for all s .

Let Σ be the section of \mathcal{F} that contains p and define $\tilde{\Sigma} := \pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. Let $\tilde{\rho} : E \rightarrow E/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the natural projection.

Lemma 4.6. *Let \tilde{L} be the lift of a regular leaf L . Then \tilde{L} is closed and embedded in the frame bundle E_r .*

Proof. First we prove that \tilde{L} is embedded. Let $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{L}$ and set $x = \pi(\tilde{x})$. Let U be a neighborhood of x such that $U \cap L$ has only one connected component. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \pi^{-1}(U) \cap \tilde{L} = \pi^{-1}(U \cap L) \cap \tilde{L}$$

Note that the holonomy of L is finite, because the leaves of \mathcal{F} are closed and embedded. Since the holonomy of L is finite, \tilde{L} meets $\pi^{-1}(x)$ only a finite number of times. This fact and Equation 4.2 imply that \tilde{L} is embedded.

Now we have to prove that \tilde{L} is closed. Let $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be a sequence contained in \tilde{L} that converge to a point \tilde{x} . Since L is closed we conclude that $\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(L)$. Set $x = \pi(\tilde{x})$. Let U be a neighborhood of x such that $U \cap L$ has only one connected

component. As before, we can note that $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \tilde{L}$ has only a finite numbers of connected component. This fact and the fact that the sequence $\{\tilde{x}_n\} \subset \tilde{L}$ converge to \tilde{x} imply that $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{L}$. □

Lemma 4.7. $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\Sigma}_r \rightarrow E_r/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a covering map, where $\tilde{\Sigma}_r = \tilde{\Sigma} \cap E_r$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\Sigma}_r \rightarrow E_r/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is surjective. Now the result follows from Lemma 4.6 and the claim that we will prove below.

Claim 4.8. Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s with trivial holonomy and assume that the leaves are closed and embedded. Let L_p be a regular leaf and define $\text{Tub}_\epsilon(L_p) := \cup_{x \in L_p} D_x$, where $D_x := \exp_x(B_\epsilon(0))$ for a ball $B_\epsilon(0) \subset \nu_x L$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that

- a) for each $x \in L_p$ and $y \in D_x$ we have $D_x \cap L_y = \{y\}$.
- b) The map $\exp_x : B_\epsilon(0) \rightarrow D_x$ is a diffeomorphism.

Since L is embedded and has trivial holonomy we can find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that D_p is contained in a normal neighborhood of p and $D_p \cap L_y = \{y\}$ for each $y \in D_p$.

a) Suppose that there are two points y_1 and y_2 that belong to $D_x \cap L_y$. Then there are two vectors ξ_1 and $\xi_2 \in \nu L_x$ such that $\exp_x(\xi_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since the holonomy of L_p is trivial, we can extend ξ_i to a global parallel normal field. Now using the fact that \mathcal{F} is equifocal and that D_p is contained in a normal neighborhood of p , we conclude that $\exp_p(\xi_1(p))$ and $\exp_p(\xi_2(p))$ are two different points contained in $D_p \cap L_y$ and this contradicts our choice of ϵ .

b) We conclude that the map $\exp_x : B_\epsilon(0) \rightarrow D_x$ is a bijection, by the same argument used in the proof of Item a). Therefore it sufficies to prove that the map $\exp_x : B_\epsilon(0) \rightarrow D_x$ is a local diffeomorphism. We can extend a vector $\xi \in \nu L_x$ to a global parallel normal field. Gluing germs of holonomy maps along the curve $\gamma_p(t) = \exp_p(t\xi)$, ($0 \leq t \leq 1$), we can construct a local isometry $\varphi : U_p \rightarrow U_x$ where U_x (respectively U_p) is a neighborhood of the curve γ_x (respectively γ_p) in the section that contain x (repectively p). The existence of the local isometry φ implies that the vector $\xi(x)$ is not a critical point of the map \exp_x^\perp . The arbitrariness of choice of the vector $\xi(x)$ implies that $\exp_x^\perp|_{B_\epsilon(0)}$ is a local diffeomorphism. □

We define $R(s, t) = (1 - t, 1 - s)$ and $\gamma_0 := \tilde{G} \circ R(0, \cdot)$. $\tilde{G} \circ R$ is a homotopy between γ_0 and the constant curve $\gamma_{\tilde{p}} \equiv \tilde{p}$. We have $\gamma_0(0) = \tilde{p}$ and $\pi \circ \gamma_0 \equiv p$. These facts imply that γ_0 and $\gamma_{\tilde{p}}$ are contained in $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$.

It is easy to check that

Lemma 4.9. γ_0 is a $W_{\tilde{\Sigma}_r}$ -loop based at \tilde{p} and in particular a $W_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ -loop based at \tilde{p} .

Using the holonomy map and trivializations of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, we can project the homotopy $\tilde{G} \circ R$ to $W_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ -loop deformations on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and prove the next lemma.

Lemma 4.10. γ_0 and the trivial $W_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ -loop $\gamma_{\tilde{p}}$ belong to the same homotopy class of $\pi_1(W_{\tilde{\Sigma}}, \tilde{p})$.

Finally reflecting W -loops in the walls of Weyl chambers it is possible to prove the lemma below.

Lemma 4.11. *Consider two $W_{\bar{E}}$ -loops δ_0 and δ_1 based at \bar{p} that belong to the same homotopy class of $\pi_1(W_{\bar{E}}, \bar{p})$. Suppose that δ_0 and δ_1 are contained in $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$. Then δ_0 and δ_1 belong to the same homotopy class of $\pi_1(W_{\bar{E}_r}, \bar{p})$.*

Lemmas 4.9, 4.10, 4.11 and the fact that $\pi_1(W_{\bar{E}_r}, \bar{p}) = \pi_1(E_r/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \rho(\bar{p}))$ (see Remark 4.5) imply that $\rho \circ \gamma_0$ and the constant curve $\rho \circ \gamma_{\bar{p}}$ are homotopic in $E_r/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ fixing endpoints. The lift of this homotopy along the covering $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{\Sigma}_r \rightarrow E_r/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ (see Lemma 4.7) to the curve γ_0 in $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$ is a homotopy to a constant curve fixing endpoints. Thus $\zeta(0) = \gamma_0(0) = \gamma_0(1) = \zeta(1)$. □

4.3. Some applications.

Theorem 4.12 ([5]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a simply connected riemannian manifold M . Assume that the sections are flat. Then each regular leaf has trivial holonomy.*

Proof. If the sections of \mathcal{F} are flat, E is a smooth bundle and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a (smooth) singular foliation. Let $\varsigma : U \rightarrow E$ be the cross-section with respect to \tilde{q} , which was used in the construction of the bundle E (see Proposition 4.1). We can define a distribution H on E by $H_{\tilde{q}} := T_{\tilde{q}}\varsigma(U)$. It is not difficult to check that this distribution is integrable. This implies that E is foliated by submanifolds $\{\tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}}\}$ and for each $\tilde{x} \in E$ the map $\pi : \tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow M$ is a covering map. For each manifold $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}}$ the lift of \mathcal{F} along π coincides with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}|_{\tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}}}$. This is exactly what happens in Example 4.2. The covering map $\pi : \tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism if M is simply connected. This implies that the regular leaves of \mathcal{F} have trivial holonomy. □

Note that, in the above result, we do not assume that the leaves are embedded or closed.

Corollary 4.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s on a complete riemannian manifold M . Suppose that the cardinality of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is equal to n . Assume that one of the two conditions below is satisfied*

- (a) *The leaves of \mathcal{F} are embedded and closed.*
- (b) *The sections are flat.*

Then the cardinality of the holonomy of \mathcal{F} is lower or equal to n .

Proof. Let \tilde{M} be the riemannian covering space of M and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the riemannian covering map. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as the lift of the foliation \mathcal{F} . Let x_0 be a regular point and consider a loop $\beta \subset L_{x_0}$ with $\beta(0) = x_0 = \beta(1)$. Finally define $\tilde{\beta}$ as the leaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\tilde{\beta}(0) = x_0$. We claim that

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_{[\beta]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}]}$$

In fact we can find a partition $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$ such that $\beta_i := \beta|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is contained in a distinguished neighborhood of a foliation chart of \mathcal{F} . We can also assume that β_i is contained in a neighborhood U such that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of open subsets U_α such that $\pi : U_\alpha \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism. Clearly

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi_{[\beta_i]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_i]}$$

for each i . Assume by induction that

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi_{[\beta_1 \circ \dots \circ \beta_1]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_1 \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\beta}_1]}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_{[\beta_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ \beta_1]} \circ \pi &= \varphi_{[\beta_{i+1}]} \circ \varphi_{[\beta_i \circ \dots \circ \beta_1]} \circ \pi \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Eq. 4.5}}{=} \varphi_{[\beta_{i+1}]} \circ \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_i \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\beta}_1]} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Eq. 4.4}}{=} \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_{i+1}]} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_i \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\beta}_1]} \\
 &= \pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{[\tilde{\beta}_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\beta}_1]},
 \end{aligned}$$

and this prove Equation 4.3.

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.12 that the holonomy of \mathcal{F} is trivial. Thus there exist only $n - 1$ holonomy $\varphi_{[\tilde{\beta}]}$ between \tilde{x}_0 and the others points $\tilde{x}_1 \dots \tilde{x}_{n-1} \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$. This fact and Equation 4.3 imply the result. \square

In [5] Töben and I proved the existence of fundamental domains in each section of a s.r.f.s. when the leaves are compact and M is simply connected. Due to Theorem 4.4 we can reformulate our result as follows.

Theorem 4.14. *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s. on a simply connected riemannian manifold M . Suppose also that the leaves of \mathcal{F} are closed embedded. Then*

- (a) M/\mathcal{F} is a simply connected Coxeter orbifold.
- (b) Let Σ be a section of \mathcal{F} and let $\Pi : M \rightarrow M/\mathcal{F}$ be the canonical projection. Denote by Ω a connected component of the set of regular points in Σ . Then $\Pi : \Omega \rightarrow M_r/\mathcal{F}$ and $\Pi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow M/\mathcal{F}$ are homeomorphisms, where M_r denotes the set of regular points in M . In addition, Ω is convex, i.e. for any two points p and q in Ω , every minimal geodesic segment between p and q lies entirely in Ω .

The existence of fundamental domain turns out to be a useful tool to study s.r.f.s. Indeed, this was one of the techniques used by Gorodski and I in [6] to prove that the leaves of a s.r.f.s are pre image of a transnormal map, when the leaves are compact, the sections are flat and M is simply connected (for the definition of transnormal map see Definition 2.5). Due to Theorem 4.14, we can reformulate our result as follows.

Theorem 4.15. *Let \mathcal{F} be a singular riemannian foliation with sections on a complete simply connected riemannian manifold M . Assume that the leaves of \mathcal{F} are closed embedded and that \mathcal{F} admits a flat section of dimension n . Then the leaves of \mathcal{F} are given by the level sets of a transnormal map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.*

The above theorem generalizes previous results of Carter and West [10], Terng [15] and Heintze, Liu and Olmos [11] for isoparametric submanifolds. It can also be viewed as a converse to the main result in [1], and as a global version of Proposition 2.7

5. BLOW-UP AND EXTENSION OF THE HOLONOMY MAP

In [17] Töben used the blow up technique to study equifocal submanifolds (which he called submanifold with parallel focal structure). He gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a closed embedded equifocal submanifold to induce a s.r.f.s (see [3] for an alternative proof).

The aim of this section is twofold. First we will briefly recall some of Töben's results about blow-up of s.r.f.s (see Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.5). Then we will use this technique to get conditions under which a holonomy map can be extended to a global isometry (see Proposition 5.8).

We start by recalling the blow-up technique.

Theorem 5.1 (Töben [17]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f on a complete riemannian manifold M . Then*

- a) *Set $\widehat{M} := \{T_p\Sigma \mid p \in N, \Sigma \text{ is a section of } \mathcal{F} \text{ through } p\}$. Then \widehat{M} carries a natural differentiable structure, for which the inclusion into the Grassmann bundle $G_k(TM)$ is an immersion. Moreover, \widehat{M} has a natural riemannian/totally geodesic bifoliation $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp)$, with respect to the pull-back metric. We have $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp = \{T\Sigma \mid \Sigma \text{ is a section of } \mathcal{F}\}$.*
- b) *The footpoint map $\widehat{\pi} : (\widehat{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (M, \mathcal{F})$ is foliated and maps each horizontal leaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ isometrically to the corresponding section Σ of \mathcal{F} .*

Remark 5.2. The result above is a strengthening of Boualem's result [9]. He stated it for some differentiable structure and some metric. Töben proved it for the natural differential structure and natural metric. Moreover he did not need that the leaves were relatively compact, as assumed by Boualem.

In order to study the singular holonomy of \mathcal{F} , Töben considered the universal covering space of \widehat{M} , which turns out to be diffeomorphic to $\widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$ as we recall below.

Lemma 5.3 ([7]). *Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^\perp)$ be the bifoliation on \widehat{M} defined in Theorem 5.1. Let \hat{x}_0 a point of \widehat{M} , $\widehat{\beta} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ be a curve contained in the leaf $\widehat{L}_{\hat{x}_0} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ a curve contained in the leaf $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\hat{x}_0} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ such that $\widehat{\gamma}(0) = \widehat{\beta}(0) = \hat{x}_0$. Then there exists a unique continuous map $\widehat{H} = \widehat{H}_{(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\gamma})} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ with*

- (a) $\widehat{H}(\cdot, 0) = \widehat{\beta}$.
- (b) $\widehat{H}(0, \cdot) = \widehat{\gamma}$.
- (c) $\widehat{H}(\cdot, t)$ is contained in a leaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (d) $\widehat{H}(s, \cdot)$ is contained in a leaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$.

The continuous map \widehat{H} is called *rectangle* with *initial vertical* (respectively *horizontal*) curve $\widehat{\beta}$ (respectively $\widehat{\gamma}$).

Remark 5.4. For a curve $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ in a regular leaf of \mathcal{F} and a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ in a section, both starting in a regular point x_0 , we can define the lift $\widehat{\beta}(t) := T_{\beta(t)}\Sigma_{\beta(t)}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}(t) := T_{\gamma(t)}\Sigma_{\gamma(0)}$. Clearly $\widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\beta} = \beta$ and $\widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{\gamma} = \gamma$. As remarked in [17], the above lemma is also true for a s.r.f.s \mathcal{F} . If we write $H_{(\beta, \gamma)}$ for the rectangle with initial vertical (respectively horizontal) curve β (respectively γ) we can note that $H_{(\beta, \gamma)} = \widehat{\pi} \circ \widehat{H}_{(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\gamma})}$.

We recall that the universal cover \widetilde{M} of a manifold M is equal to the set of equivalence of curves starting from a fixed point x_0 , where the equivalence is given by homotopy fixing endpoints. Therefore, for a regular point x_0 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{L} &= \{[\beta] \mid \beta \text{ is vertical and } \beta(0) = x_0\}, \\ \widetilde{\Sigma} &= \{[\gamma] \mid \gamma \text{ is horizontal and } \gamma(0) = x_0\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\widetilde{\widehat{M}} = \{[\mu] \mid \mu \text{ is a curve in } \widehat{M} \text{ and } \mu(0) = \hat{x}_0 = T_{x_0}\Sigma_{x_0}\}.$$

Now consider the manifold $\widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$ provided with the natural bifoliation and the covering space $\widetilde{\widehat{M}}$ provided with the pull-back bifoliation of the covering map $\widetilde{\widehat{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$. It follows from Blumenthal and Hebda [8] that the map $\Phi: \widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow \widetilde{\widehat{M}}$, defined as $([\beta], [\gamma]) \rightarrow [t \rightarrow \widehat{H}_{(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})}(t, t)]$, is a bifoliated diffeomorphism (i.e., foliated with respect to both pairs of foliations). We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma} &\rightarrow \widetilde{\widehat{M}} \\ ([\beta], [\gamma]) &\rightarrow \widehat{H}_{(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma})}(1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

is a bifoliated universal covering map of \widehat{M} . Define $\psi: \widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma} \rightarrow M$ as $\psi := \hat{\pi} \circ \Psi$.

The above discussion and Theorem 5.1 imply the next proposition.

Proposition 5.5 ([17]). *The map Ψ is the universal covering map, and it is bifoliated with respect to the natural bifoliation of $\widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$ and to $(\widehat{M}; \widehat{F}, \widehat{F}^\perp)$. The map ψ is foliated with respect to the vertical foliation on $\widetilde{L} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $(M; \mathcal{F})$, and its restriction to a horizontal leaf is a riemannian covering to a section.*

Corollary 5.6 ([17]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s on a complete riemannian manifold M . Then the sections have the same riemannian universal cover. Similarly the regular leaves of \mathcal{F} have the same universal cover.*

Using Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.5, Töben proved the next result.

Proposition 5.7 ([17]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s on a complete riemannian manifold M . Assume that the sections are embedded. Then there exists a section Σ such that its Weyl pseudogroup W_Σ is in fact a group.*

Now we infer from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 that the each holonomy map can be extended to a global isometry, if the sections are embedded and if there exists a singular point q such that the leaf passing through this point is just q .

Proposition 5.8. *Let \mathcal{F} be a s.r.f.s on a complete riemannian manifold M . Assume that the sections of \mathcal{F} are embedded and that there is a leaf which is point q , i.e., $L_q = \{q\}$. Let $\varphi_{[\beta]}: \sigma_0 \rightarrow \sigma_1$ be a holonomy map where σ_0 (respectively σ_1) is a local section of a section Σ_0 (respectively Σ_1). Then there exists an isometry $\varphi: \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ such that $\varphi|_{\sigma_0} = \varphi_{[\beta]}$ and $\varphi(x) = L_x$.*

Proof. First we note that the leaves of \widehat{F}^\perp are embedded, since the sections of \mathcal{F} are embedded. Then we define $\widehat{L}_q := (\hat{\pi})^{-1}(q)$. The fact that $L_q = \{q\}$ implies that each leaf of \widehat{F}^\perp meets \widehat{L}_q once and only once. This implies that the holonomy of the leaves of \widehat{F}^\perp are trivial. Now the result follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 5.9. *If the leaves of \widehat{F}^\perp are embedded and have trivial holonomy, then each holonomy map of \mathcal{F} admits an extension to a global isometry.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that for each loop $\gamma \subset \Sigma_{x_0}$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x_0 := \hat{\beta}(0)$, the continuation of the germ of $\varphi_{[\beta]}$ along γ leads back to the initial germ.

Let $\hat{\beta}$ (respectively $\hat{\gamma}$) be the lift of the curve β (respectively γ) defined in Remark 5.4. Note that $\hat{\gamma}$ is also a loop, because there exists only one point \hat{x}_0 such that $\hat{\pi}(\hat{x}_0) = x_0$. Let \widehat{H} denote the rectangle with initial vertical (respectively horizontal) curve $\hat{\beta}$ (respectively $\hat{\gamma}$) (see Lemma 5.3).

We can find partitions $0 = s_0 < \dots < s_n = 1$ and $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n = 1$ so that $\widehat{H}|_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ is contained in a distinguished neighborhood of a foliation chart of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Set $\beta_i = \beta|_{[s_{i-1}, s_i]}$ and let Σ_i denote the section which contains $\beta(s_i)$.

Define a holonomy map $\varphi_1^j : \sigma_{0j} \rightarrow \sigma_{1j}$ such that

- (a) $\varphi_1^0 = \varphi|_{\beta_1}$,
- (b) $\varphi_1^j|_{\sigma_{0j-1} \cap \sigma_{0j}} = \varphi_1^{j-1}|_{\sigma_{0j-1} \cap \sigma_{0j}}$,

where σ_{0j} are local sections of Σ_0 centered at $\gamma(t_j)$ and σ_{1j} are local sections of Σ_1 .

We want to prove

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi_1^n|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}} = \varphi_1^0|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}}.$$

We note that each holonomy map φ_1^j is associated to an holonomy map $\hat{\varphi}_1^j : \hat{\sigma}_{0j} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{1j}$ of the regular foliation $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\hat{\sigma}_{i,j}$ is a neighborhood of $\hat{\Sigma}_i$ (the leaf of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ which contains $\hat{\beta}(s_i)$) such that

- (a) $\hat{\pi}(\hat{\sigma}_{i,j}) = \sigma_{i,j}$,
- (b) $\hat{\pi} \circ \hat{\varphi}_1^j = \varphi_1^j \circ \hat{\pi}$.

Note that $\hat{\sigma}_{0n} \cap \hat{\sigma}_{00} \neq \emptyset$ since $\hat{\gamma}$ is a loop.

To prove Equation 5.1 it suffices to prove

$$(5.2) \quad \hat{\varphi}_1^n|_{\hat{\sigma}_{0n} \cap \hat{\sigma}_{00}} = \hat{\varphi}_1^0|_{\hat{\sigma}_{0n} \cap \hat{\sigma}_{00}}.$$

Now Equation 5.2 follows direct from the fact that the holonomy of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\perp$ is trivial and that $\widehat{H}|_{[s_{i-1}, s_i] \times [t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ is contained in a distinguished neighborhood of a foliation chart of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

By induction we can prove that

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_i^n|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}} = \varphi_i^0|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}}.$$

Defining $\varphi^j = \varphi_n^j \circ \dots \circ \varphi_1^j$, we conclude that

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi^n|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}} = \varphi^0|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}} = \varphi|_{\beta}|_{\sigma_{0n} \cap \sigma_{00}}.$$

Equation 5.4 implies that the continuation of the germ of $\varphi|_{\beta}$ along γ leads back to the initial germ. This completes the proof. \square

\square

REFERENCES

1. M. M. Alexandrino, *Integrable Riemannian submersion with singularities*, Geom. Dedicata, 108 (2004), 141-152.
2. M. M. Alexandrino, *Singular riemannian foliations with sections*, Illinois J. Math. 48 (2004) No 4, 1163-1182.
3. M. M. Alexandrino, *Generalizations of isoparametric foliations*, Mat. Contemp. 28 (2005), 29-50.
4. M. M. Alexandrino, *Proofs of conjectures about singular riemannian foliations* Geom. Dedicata 119 (2006) No. 1, 219-234.
5. M. M. Alexandrino, D. Töben, *Singular riemannian foliations on simply connected spaces*, Differential Geom. and Appl. 24 (2006) 383-397.
6. M.M. Alexandrino, C. Gorodaki, *Singular riemannian foliations with sections, transnormal maps and basic forms*, to appear in Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry.
7. R.A. Blumenthal, J. Hebda, *De Rham Decomposition theorem for foliated manifolds*, Ann.Inst. Fourier. 33(2) (1983) 133-198.

8. R.A. Blumenthal, J. Hebda, *Ehresmann connections for foliations*, Indiana Mathematical Journal. **33** (1984) 597-611.
9. H. Boualem, *Feuilletages riemanniens singuliers transversalement integrables*. Compos. Math. **95** (1995), 101-125.
10. S. Carter and A. West, *Generalised Cartan polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1985), 305-316.
11. E. Heintze, X. Liu and C. Olmos, *Isoparametric submanifolds and a Chevalley-Type restriction theorem*. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 36 AMS, 2006.
12. A. Lytchak and G. Thorbergsson, *Variationally complete actions on nonnegatively curved manifolds*, to appear in Illinois J. Math.
13. P. Molino, *Riemannian foliations*, Progress in Mathematics vol. 73, Birkhäuser Boston 1988.
14. R. S. Palais and C.-L. Terng, *Critical point theory and submanifold geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1353, Springer-Verlag 1988.
15. C.-L. Terng, *Isoparametric submanifolds and their Cozeter groups*, J. Differential Geom. **21** (1985) 79-107.
16. C.-L. Terng and G. Thorbergsson, *Submanifold geometry in symmetric spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **42** (1995), 665-718.
17. D. Töben, *Parallel focal structure and singular Riemannian foliations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), 1677-1704.
18. D. Töben, *Singular riemannian foliations on nonpositively curved manifolds*, Math. Z. **255**(2) (2007), 427-436.

MARCOS MARTINS ALEXANDRINO, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO (USP), RUA DO MATÃO, 1010, SÃO PAULO, SP 05508-090, BRAZIL

E-mail address: malax@ime.usp.br

E-mail address: marcosmalax@yahoo.de

TRABALHOS DO DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

- 2006-01 MIRANDA, J. C. S. Adaptive maximum probability estimation of multidimensional Poisson processes intensity function. 9p.
- 2006-02 MIRANDA, J. C. S. Some inequalities and asymptotics for a weighted alternate binomial sum. 7p.
- 2006-03 BELITSKII, G., BERSHADSKY, M., SERGEICHUK, V. and ZHARKO, N. Classification of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ matrices; criterion of positivity. 16p.
- 2006-04 FUTORNY, V. and SERGEICHUK, V. Classification of sesquilinear forms whit the first argument on a subspace or a factor space. 25p.
- 2006-05 DOKUCHAEV, M. A., KIRICHENKO, V. V., NOVIKOV, B.V. and PETRAVCHUK, A. P. On incidence modulo ideal rings. 41p.
- 2006-06 DOKUCHAEV, M., DEL RÍO, Á. and SIMÓN, J. J. Globalizations of partial actions on non unital rings. 10p.
- 2006-07 DOKUCHAEV, M., FERRERO, M. and PAQUES, A. Partial actions and Galois theory. 20p.
- 2006-08 KIRICHENKO, V. V. On semiperfect rings of injective dimension one. 25p.
- 2006-09 SHESTAKOV, I. and ZHUKAVETS. N. The free alternative superalgebra on one old generator. 30p.
- 2006-10 MIRANDA, J. C. S. Probability density functions of the wavelet coefficients of a wavelet multidimensional poisson intensity estimator. 7p.
- 2006-11 ALEXANDRINO, M. M and GORODSKI, C. Singular Riemannian foliations with sections, transnormal maps and basic forms. 17p.
- 2006-12 FERNANDEZ, J. C. G. On right-nilalgebras of index 4. 21p.
- 2006-13 FUTORNY, V. and OVSIENKO, S. Galois Algebras I: Structure Theory. 52p.
- 2006-14 FUTORNY, V. and OVSIENKO, S. Galois Algebras II: Representation Theory. 36p.

- 2006-15 DRUCK, I. O. Frações: uma análise de dificuldades conceituais. 16p.
- 2006-16 FUTORNY, V, HORN, R. A. and SERGEICHUK V. V. Tridiagonal canonical matrices of bilinear or sesquilinear forms and of pairs of symmetric, skew-symmetric, or Hermitian forms. 21p.
- 2006-17 MIRANDA, J. C. S. Infinite horizon non ruin probability for a non homogeneous risk process with time-varying premium and interest rates. 4p.
- 2007-01 GREBENEV, V. N., GRISHKOV, A. N. and OBERLACK, M. Lie algebra methods in Statistical Theory of Turbulence. 29p.
- 2007-02 FUTORNY, V. and SERGEICHUK, V. Change of the congruence canonical form of 2×2 and 3×3 matrices under perturbations. 18p.
- 2007-03 KASHUBA, I. and PATERA, J. Discrete and continuous exponential transforms of simple Lie groups of rank two. 24p.
- 2007-04 FUTORNY, V. and SERGEICHUK, V. Miniversal deformations of matrices of bilinear forms. 34p.
- 2007-05 GONÇALVES, D. L., HAYAT, C., MELLO, M.H.P.L. and ZIESCHANG, H. Spin-structures of bundles on surfaces and the fundamental group. 22p.
- 2007-06 GOODAIRE, E. G. and MILIES, C.P. Polynomial and group identities in alternative loop algebras. 7p.
- 2007-07 GOODAIRE, E. G. and MILIES, C.P. Group identities on symmetric units in alternative loop algebras. 8p.
- 2007-08 ALEXANDRINO, M. M. Singular holonomy of singular Riemannian foliations with sections. 16p.

Nota: Os títulos publicados nos Relatórios Técnicos dos anos de 1980 a 2005 estão à disposição no Departamento de Matemática do IME-USP.
 Cidade Universitária "Armando de Salles Oliveira"
 Rua do Matão, 1010 - Cidade Universitária
 Caixa Postal 66281 - CEP 05315-970