

## Correction to: Some results and examples concerning Whyburn spaces

OFELIA T. ALAS, MAIRA MADRIZ-MENDOZA AND RICHARD G. WILSON

### ABSTRACT

---

We correct the proof of Theorem 2.9 of the paper mentioned in the title (published in *Applied General Topology*, 13 No.1 (2012), 11-19).

---

2010 MSC: Primary 54D99; Secondary 54A25; 54D10; 54G99

KEYWORDS: Whyburn space, weakly Whyburn space, submaximal space, scattered space, semiregular, feebly compact

There is an error in the proof of Theorem 2.9. A correct proof is as follows.

**Theorem 2.9.** If  $X$  is weakly Whyburn, then  $|X| \leq d(X)^{t(X)}$ .

*Proof.* If  $X$  is finite, the result is trivial; thus we assume that  $X$  is infinite. Suppose that  $d(X) = \delta$ ,  $t(X) = \kappa$  and  $D \subseteq X$  is a dense (proper) subset of cardinality  $\delta$ . Let  $D = D_0$  and define recursively an ascending chain of subspaces  $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  as follows:

Suppose that for some  $\alpha \in \kappa^+$  and for each  $\beta < \alpha$  we have defined dense sets  $D_\beta$  such that  $|D_\beta| \leq \delta^\kappa$  and  $D_\gamma \subseteq D_\lambda$  whenever  $\gamma < \lambda < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then define  $D_\alpha = \bigcup\{D_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  and then  $|D_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| \cdot \delta^\kappa \leq \kappa^+ \cdot \delta^\kappa = \delta^\kappa$ . If on the other hand  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , and  $D_\beta \not\subseteq X$ , then since  $X$  is weakly Whyburn there is some  $x \in X \setminus D_\beta$  and  $B_x \subseteq D_\beta$  such that  $|B_x| \leq \kappa$ ,  $\text{cl}(B_x) \setminus D_\beta = \{x\}$ ; thus necessarily, we have that  $|\text{cl}(B_x)| \leq \delta^\kappa$  and we define

$$D_\alpha = \bigcup\{\text{cl}(B) : B \subseteq D_\beta, |B| \leq \kappa, |\text{cl}(B)| \leq \delta^\kappa\}.$$

Clearly  $D_\alpha \supsetneq D_\beta$  and since there are at most  $(\delta^\kappa)^\kappa$  such sets  $B$  it follows that  $|D_\alpha| \leq \delta^\kappa$ . If  $D_\alpha = X$  for some  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ , then we are done. If not, then we define  $\Delta = \bigcup\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ , and clearly  $|\Delta| \leq \kappa^+ \cdot \delta^\kappa = \delta^\kappa$ .

Thus to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\Delta = X$ . Suppose to the contrary; then, since  $\Delta$  is not closed and  $X$  is weakly Whyburn and has tightness  $\kappa$ , there is some  $z \in X \setminus \Delta$  and some set  $B \subseteq \Delta$  of cardinality at most  $\kappa$ , such that  $\text{cl}(B) \setminus \Delta = \{z\}$  and hence  $|\text{cl}(B)| \leq \delta^\kappa$ . Since the sets  $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  form an ascending chain and  $\text{cf}(\kappa^+) > \kappa$ , it follows that for some  $\gamma < \kappa^+$ ,  $B \subseteq \bigcup\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \gamma\}$  and hence  $z \in D_{\gamma+1} \subseteq \Delta$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

It should also be noted that Theorem 2.6 is not as claimed, an improvement on the cited result of Bella, Costantini and Spadaro, since a Lindelöf  $P$ -space is regular.

(Received October 2012 – Accepted October 2012)

O. T. ALAS (alas@ime.usp.br)

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, 05311-970 São Paulo, Brasil.

M. MADRIZ-MENDOZA, R. G. WILSON (seber@xanum.uam.mx, rgw@xanum.uam.mx)  
 Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Iztapalapa, Avenida San Rafael Atlixco, #186, Apartado Postal 55-532, 09340, México, D.F., México.