

# The 29th North American Catalysis Society Meeting (NAM29)

June 8-13, 2025 | Hyatt Regency Atlanta, GA

MORE

ABOUT	+
SPONSOR & EXHIBIT	+
PROGRAM	+
GENERAL INFORMATION	+

## The 29th North American Catalysis Society Meeting (NAM29)

June 8, 2025 to June 13, 2025

Hyatt Regency Atlanta, GA



[NACS Homepage](#)

STAY INFORMED ABOUT NAM29

ADD TO CALENDAR

### Technical Program Now Available!

See the Technical Program at the link here: [TECHNICAL PROGRAM](#)

Join other NAM29 attendees at social activities throughout the week of NAM29.

See scheduled activities here: [SOCIAL ACTIVITIES](#)

# SiO<sub>2</sub>-Coated Cu-Based Catalysts for Efficient CH<sub>3</sub>OH Production from CO<sub>2</sub>

Marco A. Rossi<sup>1</sup>, Letícia F. Rasteiro<sup>2</sup>, José M. Assaf<sup>3</sup>, Elisabete M. Assaf<sup>1</sup> and Luiz H. Vieira<sup>1\*</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>São Carlos Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, São Carlos, SP 13560-970, Brazil  
<sup>2</sup>Institute of Chemistry, São Paulo State University, Araraquara, SP 14800-900, Brazil  
<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Federal University of São Carlos, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil  
\*lhvieira@iqsc.usp.br

## Introduction

Methanol production is traditionally carried out from natural gas or coal via the syngas route. However, renewable methanol production through CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation emerges as a promising alternative to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. [1,2] Despite advances in Cu-based catalysts for this reaction, the aggregation of the active phase under reaction conditions remains a challenge. [3] Conventional catalysts like Cu/ZnO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> exhibit limitations in the direct conversion of CO<sub>2</sub>. [4] Recent research focuses on improving activity and stability with catalysts such as Cu/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Cu/CeO<sub>2</sub>, where metal-oxide interaction promotes the adsorption and activation of reagents. Chemical promotion with low loads of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been shown to enhance metal-support interaction. This work explored the improvement of the physical properties of these catalysts through coating with a mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub> layer.

## Materials and Methods

Nanoparticles of Cu/In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/CeO<sub>2</sub> and Cu/In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> were synthesized and coated with a mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub> shell, using CTAB and TEOS as precursors. The resulting catalysts were named CuCeIn@mSiO<sub>2</sub> and CuZrIn@mSiO<sub>2</sub>, and were compared with the uncoated materials CuCeIn and CuZrIn. The SiO<sub>2</sub> shell accounted for 80% by weight of the composition of the coated catalysts. Structural properties were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms. Reducibility was evaluated by temperature-programmed reduction (TPR), and morphology by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The metallic surface area was accessed through H<sub>2</sub> consumption after controlled oxidation of surface copper with N<sub>2</sub>O. Catalytic activity was assessed in CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation under various conditions of temperature, pressure, and WHSV. A chemometric analysis was conducted to optimize the reaction conditions.

## Results and Discussion

XRD and TEM analyses revealed that the mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub> coating limited nanoparticle size to up to 3.5 nm, preventing active phase aggregation during thermal treatment. N<sub>2</sub> isotherms showed a considerable increase in the BET surface area and pore volume of the coated catalysts, indicating the presence of the mesoporous structure. TPR profiles of the coated materials exhibited a single narrow peak, suggesting high homogeneity in particle size. Copper dispersion in the coated catalysts was 3 to 4 times higher than in the uncoated ones. The coated catalysts also demonstrated greater basicity. Turnover frequency (TOF) analysis indicated higher efficiency for the coated catalysts, with a significant increase in TOF for methanol and a decrease in TOF for CO, suggesting suppression of the rWGS reaction. Apparent activation energies showed that the chemical nature of the active site is consistent, but the SiO<sub>2</sub> layer prevents surface

restructuring. Chemometric analysis revealed that the coated catalysts are particularly advantageous at high temperatures, where particle aggregation is more intense in uncoated catalysts, maintaining high methanol selectivity and leading to significantly higher methanol productivity. Reuse tests under severe conditions confirmed the high productivity and stability of the coated catalysts, with nanoparticle size maintenance.

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical properties of SiO<sub>2</sub>-coated and uncoated CuZrIn and CuCeIn catalysts.

Catalyst	A <sub>BET</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> )	V <sub>meso</sub> (cm <sup>3</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> )	d <sub>pore</sub> (nm)	Cu dispersion (%)	Basicity (μmolCO <sub>2</sub> ·g <sup>-1</sup> )
CuCeIn@mSiO <sub>2</sub>	192	0.59	5.4	22.7	288
CuCeIn	46	0.05	-	6.5	242
CuZrIn@mSiO <sub>2</sub>	235	0.95	5.4	29.6	194
CuZrIn	29	0.03	-	10.0	126

**Table 2.** Turnover frequency (TOF) and apparent activation energies for rWGS and methanol synthesis over uncoated and SiO<sub>2</sub>-coated CuCeIn and CuZrIn catalysts.

Catalyst	TOF (10 <sup>-3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>			Apparent activation energy (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
	CO (rWGS)	CH <sub>3</sub> OH	Total	CO (rWGS)	CH <sub>3</sub> OH
CuCeIn@mSiO <sub>2</sub>	0.13	3.30	3.43	105.0	37.2
CuCeIn	0.81	1.31	2.12	116.3	43.1
CuZrIn@mSiO <sub>2</sub>	0.14	2.94	3.08	92.9	32.6
CuZrIn	0.93	2.23	3.16	97.1	36.0

<sup>a</sup>reaction conditions: T = 498 K, P = 2.5 MPa and WHSV = 14000 mL·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>, X<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> ≤ 4.2%.

## Significance

The significance of this work lies in the substantial improvement of Cu-based catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation to methanol through a mesoporous SiO<sub>2</sub> coating. This strategy limited nanoparticle aggregation, resulting in higher activity and selectivity, especially under severe reaction conditions, and significantly increased methanol yield. The study proposes a novel approach for designing more efficient and stable catalysts for renewable methanol production.

## References

- Bowker, M. *ChemCatChem* 11 (17), 4238 (2019).
- Zhong, J., Yang, X., Wu, Z. et al. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 49 (5), 1385 (2020).
- Zou, R., Shen, C., Sun, K. et al. *Journal of Energy Chemistry* 93, 135 (2024).
- Liang, B., Ma, J., Su, X. et al. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 58 (21), 9030 (2019).
- Rossi, M. A., Vieira, L. H., Rasteiro, L. F. et al. *React. Chem. Eng.* 7 (7), 1589 (2022).
- Rossi, M. A., Rasteiro, L. F., Vieira, L. H. et al. *Catal. Lett.* 153 (9), 2728 (2023).