RT-MAT 93-21

Spherical CR-manifolds of dimension 3

Elisha Falbel
and
Nikolay Gusevskii

Novembro 1993

Spherical CR-manifolds of dimension 3

Elisha Falbel and Nikolay Gusevskii
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
CP20570-São Paulo-Brasil

Abstract

A spherical CR-structure on a smooth (2n-1)-manifold M is a maximal collection of distinguished charts modeled on the boundary $\partial H^n_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complex hyperbolic space where coordinate changes are restrictions of transformations from PU(n,1). There exists a development map $d : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \partial H^n_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\tilde{M}$ is the universal covering of M, which is local diffeomorphism. We study properties of the development maps and holonomy groups of spherical CR-structures on compact 3-dimensional manifolds. Also we give constructions of fundamental domains for some discrete subgroups of PU(2,1).

1 Introduction

A geometrical structure on a real analytic n-manifold M is a maximal collection of charts modeled on an n-dimensional homogeneous space X of a Lie group G whose coordinate changes are restrictions of transformations from G. We call such a structure an (X, G)-structure. We say in this case the manifold M is an (X, G)-manifold or is modeled on (X, G). Important examples of these structures include all locally homogeneous Riemannian structures as well as conformally flat, affinelly flat, projectively flat and spherical CR-structures. Recall that a geometrical structure on a n-manifold M is called conformally flat if X = S^n and G = SO(n+1, 1) is the group of conformal transformations of X where dim X $\geq$ 2. A geometrical structure is called a spherical CR-structure if X= S^{2n-1} is the boundary of the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^n$ and G = PU(n, 1) is the group of biholomorphisms of the unit ball acting on its boundary by CR-automorphisms. The analogy between both structures is clear as conformally flat structures are modeled on the boundary of real hyperbolic space and spherical CR-structures are modeled on the boundary of complex hyperbolic space.

Besides the many parallels between spherical CR-geometry and conformally flat geometry, they have important differences. For instance, it is known that the 3-dimensional torus has a conformally flat structure, but it has no spherical CR-structure. Another interesting example is a 2-torus bundle over the circle. This manifold admits a conformally flat structure if and only if the attaching map of the bundle is periodic and it admits a spherical CR-structure if and only if its attaching map $A \in SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ has infinite order but all its eigenvalues are ± 1 [G1]. There are also very interesting open questions. One knows that hyperbolic 3-manifolds are conformally flat, but we do not know any example of spherical CR-structure on any member of this class of manifolds. Similarly, trivial and some non-trivial circle bundles over a surface S of genus $g \geq 2$ have conformally flat structures [GLT,Ka] and some Seifert fiber spaces have spherical CR-structures [BS], but we know nothing about the existence of spherical CR-structures on the trivial circle bundle over S. One of the main tools in the study of a geometrical structure is the development map and its holonomy homomorphism. The purpose of this paper is to study the development map of 3-dimensional closed spherical CR-manifolds.

Recall now the notions of development map and holonomy of a (X, G)-manifold. For details and proofs, see [KP, Ku, T].

Development theorem. Let M be an (X, G)-manifold, and let $p : \tilde{M} \to M$ denote the universal covering of M with covering group $\pi_1(M)$. Then there exists a pair (d, d^*), where $d : \tilde{M} \to X$ is an (X,G)-local diffeomorphism and

$d^* : \pi_1(M) \to G$ is a homomorphism satisfying the equivariance condition

$$d \circ \gamma = d^*(\gamma) \circ d$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$.

The map d is called a development map for the (X, G)-structure. The homomorphism d^* is called the holonomy homomorphism and the group $\Gamma^* = d^*(\pi_1(M))$ is called the holonomy group for the (X, G)-structure.

A pair (d, d^*) is called a development pair and is a usefull globalization of an (X, G) -structure defined by local coordinates. The development map pulls back the (X, G)-structure from X to $\tilde{M}$ and thus defines an (X, G)-structure on $\tilde{M}$. The holonomy homomorphism d^* determines the action of $\pi_1(M)$ on $\tilde{M}$ by (X, G)-automorphisms. Thus a development pair completely determines the (X, G)-structure on M. Moreover, if $(\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}^*)$ is another pair for the same (X,G)-structure, then there exists $h \in G$ such that $d = h \circ \tilde{d}$ and $\tilde{d}^*(\gamma) = h \circ d(\gamma) \circ h^{-1}$ for all $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$.

There are some results describing development pairs for general (X, G)-structures, but the most complete picture has been obtained only for conformally flat structures.

As for spherical CR-structures we know only few results in this direction. First, we notice that the spherical homogeneous CR-manifolds have been classified by Burns and Shnider [BS]. Recently Miner [M] has classified spherical CR-structures on closed manifolds with amenable holonomy group. Finally, Kamishima and Tsuboi have obtained a classification of closed spherical CR-manifolds admitting nontrivial CR-vector fields [KT].

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a closed three-dimensional spherical CR-manifold with infinite fundamental group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

a) $d(\tilde{M}) = D \neq S^3$,

b) $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a covering map,

c) The holonomy group $\Gamma^* = d^*(\pi_1(M))$ acts discontinuously on D.

We will call geometric circles on the boundary of the complex hyperbolic space $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$ the intersections of S^3 with the boundaries of totally geodesic submanifolds of real dimension 2 in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$.

A spherical CR-structure on a 3-manifold M will be called special if the holonomy group Γ^* leaves invariant a geometric circle in S^3.

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a closed 3-manifold with a special spherical CR-structure. Suppose that $\pi(M)$ is infinite. Then d is not surjective and the holonomy group Γ^* is discrete.

These results show the difference between conformally flat and spherical CR-structures on closed 3-dimensional manifolds, see, for instance [Ka], [K], [GKam1], [GKam2].

As noted by Goldman [G2], in general, the development maps of conformally flat structures on closed 3-dimensional manifolds fail to be covering maps onto their images. Using the operation of connected sums on spherical CR-structures [BS], [F], we construct spherical CR-structures on closed 3-dimensional manifolds whose development maps are surjective but not covering onto their images.

Finally, we construct explicit fundamental domains for some discrete subgroups of PU(2, 1).

The first author had partial support by CNPq. The second author was supported by FAPESP.

2 Preliminaries.

2.1 Complex hyperbolic space and its boundary.

2.1.1 Let $\mathbf{C}^{n+2}$ denote the complex vector space, equipped with the Hermitian form

$$b(z,w) = -\bar{z}_1 w_1 + \bar{z}_2 w_2 + \cdots + \bar{z}_{n+2} w_{n+2}$$

Consider the following subspaces in $\mathbf{C}^{n+2}$.

$$V_0 = \{ z \in \mathbf{C}^{n+2} \ : \ b(z,z) = 0 \}$$

$$V = \{ z \in \mathbf{C}^{n+2} \ : \ b(z,z) < 0 \}$$

Let $P : \mathbf{C}^{n+2} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbf{C}P^{n+1}$ be the canonical projection onto the complex projective space. Then $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}} = P(V)$ equipped with the Bergman metric is the complex hyperbolic space. The orientation preserving isometry group of $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}$ is $PU(n+1,1)$ acting by linear projective transformations. Also $PU(n+1,1)$ is the group of biholomorphic transformations of $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Put $S^{2n+1} = P(V_0)$. Then S^{2n+1} is the boundary of $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}$. We may consider $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}$ and S^{2n+1} as the unit ball and the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. The group of CR-authomorphisms of S^{2n+1} is $Aut_{CR}(S^{2n+1}) = PU(n+1,1)$.

2.1.2 We notice that a maximal amenable subgroup of PU(n+1,1) is isomorphic to the semidirect product $H \bowtie (U(n) \times \mathbf{C}^*)$ where H is the Heisenberg group. $Aut_{CR}(H)$ may be identified with the stabilizer in PU(n+1, 1) of a point in S^{2n+1}. Then $Aut_{CR}(H)$ is a maximal amenable subgroup of PU(n+1,1) [BS].

2.1.3 The nontrivial elements of $PU(n+1,1)$ fall into three general conjugacy types, depending on the number and location of their fixed points. *Elliptic* elements have a fixed point in $H^{n+1}_{\mathbf{C}}$. *Parabolic* elements have a single fixed point on S^{2n+1}. *Loxodromic* elements have exactly two fixed points on S^{2n+1}. This exausts all possibilities, see [CG] for details.

2.1.4 Totally geodesic submanifolds in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$.

There are two kinds of totally geodesic submanifolds of real dimension 2 in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$: *complex geodesics* (represented by $H^1_{\mathbf{C}} \subset H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$) and *totally real geodesic 2-planes* (represented by $H^2_{\mathbf{R}} \subset H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$). Each of these totally geodesic submanifold is a model of the hyperbolic plane.

Theorem 2.1 **([CG])** *Let M be a totally geodesic submanifold in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$ and let $I(M)$ be the stabilizer of M in $PU(2,1)$. Then we have the following.*

i) If $M = H^1_{\mathbf{C}}$ then $I(M)$ is isomorphic to $U(1) \times PU(1,1)$,

ii) If $M = H^2_{\mathbf{R}}$ then $I(M)$ is isomorphic to $PSO(2,1)$.

We will need also the following

Theorem 2.2 ([CG]) *Let G be a subgroup of $SU_0(n,1)$, such that there is no point in $\overline{H_{\mathbf{C}}^{n+1}} = H_{\mathbf{C}}^{n+1} \cup S^{2n+1}$ or proper, totally geodesic submanifold in $H_{\mathbf{C}}^{n+1}$ which is invariant under G. Then G is either discrete or dense in $SU_0(n,1)$.*

2.2 Uniformization Theorems.

We recall that a manifold M of dimension 2n+1 has a spherical CR-structure if M is modeled by the pair $(S^{2n+1}, PU(n+1,1))$. Therefore we have the development pair

$$(d^*, d) : (Aut_{CR}\tilde{M}, \tilde{M}) \to (PU(n+1,1), S^{2n+1})$$

2.2.1 Consider an arbitrary subgroup G of $PU(n+1,1)$. Let $a \in H_{\mathbf{C}}^{n+1}$. The *limit set* of G is defined to be the set $L(G) = \overline{G(a)} \cap S^{2n+1}$. It is easy to see that $L(G)$ does not depend on a.

2.2.2 Let M be a spherical CR-manifold, $p : \tilde{M} \to M$ the universal covering with deck transformation group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$, $d : \tilde{M} \to S^{2n+1}$ a developing map and $d^* : \Gamma \to PU(n+1,1)$ a corresponding holonomy homomorphism. Set $D = d(\tilde{M})$, $\Gamma^* = d^*(\Gamma)$ and let $N(\Gamma^*) = S^{2n+1} \setminus L(\Gamma^*)$.

Theorem 2.3 (**cutting lemma**) *Suppose M is a closed manifold with a spherical CR-structure such that $L(\Gamma^*)$ contains more than one point. Let N_0 be the union of the components of $N(\Gamma^*)$ which have a non-empty intersection with D. Let $\tilde{N}_0 = d^{-1}(N_0)$. Then $d_{|\tilde{N}_0} : \tilde{N}_0 \to N_0$ is a covering map.*

Remark. For closed conformally flat manifolds this theorem was proved by Kulkarni-Pinkall [KP]. A slight modification of their arguments gives the proof for spherical CR-structures.

Theorem 2.4 ([M]) *Let M be a compact spherical CR-manifold with amenable holonomy group. Then M is finitely covered by the sphere S^{2n+1} or a Hopf manifold $S^1 \times S^{2n}$, or a compact infranilmanifold.*

Corollary 2.1 *Suppose M is a closed manifold with a spherical CR-structure such that $S^{2n+1} \setminus D$ consists of one or two points. Then $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a homeomorphism and M is finitely covered by a Hopf manifold or an infranilmanifold.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let M be a compact spherical CR manifold such that the limit set $L(\Gamma^*)$ is finite. Then $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a homeomorphism and M is finitely covered by S^{2n+1} or a Hopf manifold, or an infranilmanifold.*

3 Spherical CR-manifolds whose development maps are not surjective

Theorem 3.1 *Let M be a closed three-dimensional spherical CR-manifold with infinite fundamental group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

a) $d(\tilde{M}) = D \neq S^3$,

b) $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a covering map,

c) The holonomy group $\Gamma^* = d^*(\pi_1(M))$ acts discontinuously on D.

Proof : Step 1. We will show that a) implies b). Suppose that $S^3 \setminus D$ consists of only one point x_0. Then the holonomy group Γ^* fixes x_0. Applying corollary 2.1 (see section 2.2), we obtain that in this case M is finitely covered by either a Hopf manifold or an infranilmanifold and d is a homeomorphism.

Now suppose that $S^3 \setminus D$ contains at least two points. Since $S^3 \setminus D$ is closed and invariant under Γ^* it contains the limit set $L(\Gamma^*)$ of the group Γ^* [CG]. It follows from the cutting lemma that in this case $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a covering map.

Step 2. We will show that a) implies c). For the reasons explained above, we may assume that $S^3 \setminus D$ contains at least two points. Therefore, if the group Γ^* is discrete, it acts discontinuously on D [CG]. Hence, if c) is not satisfied, then Γ^* is not discrete. It follows from theorem 2.2 that we only have the following cases :

i) Γ^* has a fixed point in $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$,

ii) Γ^* is dense in $PU(2,1)$,

iii) Γ^* has a fixed point $x_0 \in S^3$,

iv) Γ^* leaves invariant a two point set $\{x_1, x_2\} \subset S^3$,

v) Γ^* leaves invariant some totally geodesic submanifold in $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ of real dimension 2.

Note that case i) is impossible, because M would then be modeled by the pair $(S^3, U(2))$. It would then be CR-equivalent to a spherical space form S^3/F, where F is a finite subgroup of U(2), which contradicts our assumption on the fundamental group.

Suppose that case ii) holds. Since $PU(2,1)$ acts transitively on S^3 and Γ^* is dense in $PU(2,1)$, it follows that for any two points $a, b \in S^3$, there exists a sequence $\{h_n\} \subset \Gamma^*$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(a) = b$. By taking $a \in S^3 \setminus D$ and $b \in D$, we obtain a contradiction to the openness and invariance of D under Γ^*.

Consider now case iii). Using an appropriate stereographic projection (see section 5.1) we may identify $S^3 \setminus \{x_0\}$ with the Heisenberg group H, where x_0 corresponds to ∞. We may suppose that Γ^* contains non-elliptic elements since case i) has already been considered. Thus there exists an element $h \in \Gamma^*$, h is either loxodromic or parabolic, such that $h(\infty) = \infty$. Suppose that $\infty \in D$. Take a point $a \in S^3 \setminus D$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} h^{\pm n}(a) = \infty$. It contradicts the openness and invariance of D. Therefore $\infty \in S^3 \setminus D$. By applying the arguments in the proof a) $\Rightarrow$ b), we deduce that $d : \tilde{M} \to D$ is a homeomorphism. This implies that Γ^* is discrete and hence, we have arrived to a contradiction.

Suppose that case iv) holds. Then, passing if necessary to a subgroup of index 2 and choosing again a suitable stereographic projection, we may assume that $\Gamma^*(0) = 0$ and $\Gamma^*(\infty) = \infty$. Also we may assume that Γ^* contains loxodromic elements since cases i) and iii) have been considered. Thus there exists a loxodromic element $h \in \Gamma^*$ such that $h(0) = 0$ and $h(\infty) = \infty$. When $\{0, \infty\} \subset D$ we take a point $a \in S^3 \setminus D$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} h^n(a) \in \{0, \infty\}$ and we have again a contradiction to the openness and invariance of D. Therefore we may assume that $\infty \in S^3 \setminus D$ and achieve a contradiction by applying the arguments in step 1.

The proof of the theorem will be finished in the next section.

3.1 Spherical CR-structures on 3-manifolds with special holonomy.

3.1.1 Consider the complex hyperbolic space $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$ and its boundary $\partial H^2_{\mathbf{C}} = S^3$. We will call **C**-*circles* the intersections of S^3 with the boundaries of totally geodesic complex submanifolds $H^1_{\mathbf{C}}$ in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$. Analogously, we call **R**-*circles* the intersections of S^3 with the boundaries of totally geodesic real submanifolds $H^2_{\mathbf{R}}$ in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$. A subset $K \subset S^3$ will be called a *geometric circle* if K is either a **C**-circle or a **R**-circle.

3.2 We will say that a spherical CR-structure on a 3-manifold M is *special* if the holonomy group Γ^* leaves invariant a geometric circle K in S^3.

Theorem 3.2 *Let M be a closed 3-manifold with a special spherical CR-structure. Suppose that $\pi(M)$ is infinite. Then d is not surjective and the holonomy group Γ^* is discrete.*

Proof: Let K be a geometrical circle invariant under Γ^* and $D = S^3 \setminus K$. We know that $L(\Gamma^*) \subset K$. If $L(\Gamma^*)$ is finite, then by applying corollary 2 in section 2.2 we obtain that $d : \tilde{M} \to S^3 \setminus L(\Gamma^*)$ is a homeomorphism and therefore Γ^* is discrete. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite, $L(\Gamma^*) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose now that $L(\Gamma^*)$ is infinite. We have two cases to consider.

If $L(\Gamma^*)$ is a proper subset of K, then $S^3 \setminus L(\Gamma^*)$ is simply connected. By applying the cutting lemma, we obtain that $d : \tilde{M} \to S^3 \setminus L(\Gamma^*)$ is a homeomorphism and Γ^* is discrete.

If $L(\Gamma^*) = K$, then it follows from the cutting lemma that $d : \tilde{M} \setminus d^{-1}(K) \to S^3 \setminus K$ is a covering map and thus, d induces a monomorphism $d_* : \pi_1(\tilde{M} \setminus d^{-1}(K)) \to \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Suppose that $d(\tilde{M}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Then, using remark 5.5 in [KP], we have that $d(\tilde{M}) = S^3$. A generator of $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$

can be presented by a circle lying in a small neighbourhood of $p \in K$. Since d is a local homeomorphism it implies that d_* is surjective. It follows that d_* is an isomorphism and therefore d must be one to one. A contradiction.

Thus, we have obtained that $d(\tilde{M}) \cap K = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that in this case $d(\tilde{M}) = S^3 \setminus K$ and $d : \tilde{M} \to S^3 \setminus K$ is a covering map.

3.2.1 In what follows we suppose that $d(\tilde{M}) = D$.

3.2.2 Case 1. Suppose that K is a C-circle. Then it follows that $Aut_{CR}D \cong U(1) \times PU(1,1)$ (see section 2.1.4). Let G be the restriction of $Aut_{CR}D$ onto K or, equivalently, to the totally geodesic submanifold in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$ with boundary K.

We have the following exact sequence

$$1 \to U(1) \to Aut_{CR}D \xrightarrow{p} G \to 1$$

The U(1)-orbit of any point $a \in D$ is a generator of $\pi_1(D) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Hence we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{R} \to Aut_{CR}\tilde{D} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} G \to 1$$

where $\tilde{D}$ is the universal covering of D. The exact sequences are related in the following way :

$$(1) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & \mathbf{R} & \to & Aut_{CR}\tilde{D} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & G & \to & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \to & U(1) & \to & Aut_{CR}D & \xrightarrow{p} & G & \to & 1 \end{array}$$

Since $d(\tilde{M}) = D$ and d is a covering map, we may identify the universal covering $\tilde{M}$ of the manifold M with $\tilde{D}$ and $Aut_{CR}\tilde{M}$ with $Aut_{CR}\tilde{D}$. Therefore we have the development pair

$$(dev^*, dev) : (Aut_{CR}\tilde{D}, \tilde{D}) \to (Aut_{CR}D, D)$$

Hence, we may think of $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ as a subgroup of $Aut_{CR}\tilde{D}$ and $d^* = dev^*_{|\Gamma}$.

Γ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $Aut_{CR}\tilde{D}$. Hence, in particular, the intersection $\mathbf{R} \cap \Gamma$ is cyclic and we have the following diagram

$$
(2) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & H & \to & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{p}(\Gamma^*) & \to & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \to & H^* & \to & \Gamma^* & \xrightarrow{p} & p(\Gamma^*) & \to & 1
\end{array}
$$

where $H = \mathbf{R} \cap \Gamma$ and $H^* = U(1) \cap \Gamma^*$.

Sometimes H^* will be called the *rotation component* of Γ^*.

Since U(1) acts trivially in $H^1_{\mathbf{C}}$, $g \in \Gamma^*$ and $p(g)$ have the same action in $H^1_{\mathbf{C}}$. In particular, $L(\Gamma^*) = L(p(\Gamma^*))$.

We will show next that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is discrete.

Assume that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is not discrete. Then, by applying theorem 2.2 in section 2.1.4 to $p(\Gamma^*)$ we obtain the following cases:

(i) $p(\Gamma^*)$ has a fixed point $x \in H^1_{\mathbf{C}}$,

(ii) $p(\Gamma^*)$ has a fixed point $x \in K$,

(iii) $p(\Gamma^*)$ leaves invariant some two-point set $\{x_1, x_2\} \subset K$,

(iv) $p(\Gamma^*)$ is dense in G.

As in step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1 , we obtain that cases (i)-(iii) are impossible.

Consider case (iv). Since $p(\Gamma^*)$ is finitely generated it follows from corollary 4.5.5 in [CG] that $p(\Gamma^*)$ contains elliptic elements of infinite order. Let h_* be an elliptic element of infinite order in $p(\Gamma^*)$ and let $\gamma_* = u_* h_*$ be an element in Γ^* such that $p(\gamma^*) = h_*$, where $u_* \in U(1)$. It is clear that γ_* is elliptic of infinite order and therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_*^n = 1$.

Take an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $d^*(\gamma) = \gamma^*$. Then it follows from diagram (1) that we can compose γ with an element $h \in \mathbf{R}$ to obtain the element $\gamma_1 = h\gamma$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma_1^n = 1$.

Since every element of $\mathbf{R}$ commutes with Γ, we have $[\gamma, \eta] = [\gamma_1, \eta]$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma$. Therefore, in particular, $[\gamma_1, \eta] \in \Gamma$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma$. As $\lim_{n\to\infty} [\gamma_1^n, \eta] = 1$ and Γ is discrete we obtain that $[\gamma_1, \eta] = 1$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma$. It follows that γ_* commutes with every element of Γ_*. Since $h_* \neq 1$, it follows that $p(\Gamma^*)$ must be abelian and hence we have again cases (i)-(iii) above, which, as shown, are impossible.

Thus, we have shown that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is discrete.

Suppose now that Γ^* is not discrete. Since $p(\Gamma^*)$ is discrete, it follows from diagram (2) that Γ^* is not discrete if and only if $Ker(d^* : \Gamma \to \Gamma^*)$ is trivial. In this case, the rotation component $H^* \cong \mathbf{Z}$.

As Γ and Γ^* are finitely generated, by passing to subgroups of finite index, we may assume that Γ^* is torsion-free.

Thus, we have that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is discrete, torsion-free, non-solvable, finitely generated subgroup of G. Then we know that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is either a finitely generated non-abelian free group or isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus ≥ 2.

Suppose that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is a free group. Then $L(p(\Gamma^*)) = L(\Gamma^*)$ is a Cantor set lying in K. This contradicts the fact that $L(\Gamma^*) = K$.

The final claim is that $p(\Gamma^*)$ cannot be isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus ≥ 2.

Assume that $p(\Gamma^*)$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus ≥ 2. Then it follows from diagram (2) that the manifold M is homeomorphic to a circle bundle over a closed hyperbolic surface [S]. On the other hand, under our hypothesis, M is modeled on the pair $(Aut_{CR}D, D)$ and therefore, as a circle bundle, it has nonzero Euler number [BS, G3]. It is well known that in this case Γ has no subgroups isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed surface of genus ≥ 2 [S]. Since $d^* : \Gamma \to \Gamma^*$ is an isomorphism, diagram (2) shows again that we have arrived to a contradiction.

Thus we have proved that in case 1 the holonomy group is discrete.

3.2.3 Case 2. Suppose now that K is a **R**-circle. Then it follows from theorem 2.1 in section 2.1.4 that $Aut_{CR}D$ is the image of the imbedding SO(2,1) → PU(2, 1) obtained by composing the imbedding SO(2,1)→ U(2, 1) with projectivization.

Let $\tilde{D}$ be a universal covering of D. As in Case 1, since $d(\tilde{M}) = D$, we may identify a universal covering $\tilde{M}$ of the manifold M with $\tilde{D}$ and $Aut_{CR}\tilde{M}$ with $Aut_{CR}\tilde{D}$.

The following has been obtained in [BS].

Proposition 3.1 *D is isomorphic to the unit tangent circle bundle of the two dimensional real hyperbolic space* $H^2_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Therefore we have that

$$(Aut_{CR}D, D) = (PSO(2,1), T_1 H^2_{\mathbf{R}})$$

and the result we need follows from theorem 7.2 in [KR].

3.3 End of proof of theorem 3.1

3.3.1 Suppose now that case v) in step 2 occurs. Note, first of all, that $L(\Gamma^*) \subset K$. For, if $L(\Gamma^*) = K$ we obtain that $d(\tilde{M}) = S^3 \setminus K$ and we can finish the proof applying Theorem 3.2. If $L(\Gamma^*)$ is a proper subset of K then $S^3 \setminus L(\Gamma^*)$ is simply-connected and we are in the situations of step 1. The proof there shows that Γ^* is discrete.

3.3.2 Step 3. b) implies a) and c) implies a). We note first that the implication b) $\Longrightarrow$ a) is trivial, since $\tilde{M}$ is noncompact, while S^3 is compact and simply-connected.

Let us show that c) $\Longrightarrow$ a). If $d(\tilde{M}) = S^3$, then since Γ^* acts discontinuously and S^3 is compact, Γ^* is a finite group. In this case Γ^* is purelly elliptic and consequently is a subgroup of the unitary group U(2). Thus M is modeled by the pair $(S^3, U(2))$. Since M is close, it implies that its fundamental group is finite, which contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem.

3.3.3 One sees that we proved that a$\Longleftrightarrow$ b and a$\Longleftrightarrow$ c. Thus the theorem is proved.

4 Spherical CR-structures on S^1- bundles over surfaces.

4.1 Standard spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles over surfaces.

Let H_g denote a group isomorphic to the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface S_g of genus $g \geq 2$. Suppose that $\rho : H_g \to P(U(2,1))$ is a homomorphism. We say that ρ is a *discrete embedding* if and only if ρ is injective and its image $\rho(H_g)$ is a discrete subgroup of PU(2, 1).

There are two special kinds of discrete imbeddings of H_g into PU(2,1).

4.1.1 Let $H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a totally geodesic complex submanifold in $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will consider $H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ as the set $\{(z_1, z_2) \in B^2 : z_1 = 0\}$.

Assume now that H_g is a discrete subgroup of SL(2,R) $\cong$ SU(1, 1) and suppose that H_g is generated by $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{2g}$, with

$$\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Let H_g act on $H^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$\gamma_i(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{z_1}{c_i z_2 + d_i}, \frac{a_i z_2 + b_i}{c_i z_2 + d_i}\right)$$

This action corresponds to the standard imbedding of SU(1, 1) into PU(2, 1) given by composing the embedding U(1,1)$\to$ U(2, 1)

$$A \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$$

with projectivization U(2, 1)$\to$ PU(2, 1). We denote $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ the image of H_g corresponding to this embedding.

Let $D_{\mathbb{C}} = S^3 \setminus K_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $K_{\mathbb{C}} = \partial H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts discontinuously on $D_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the limit set of $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ equals $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. It is well known [BS, G3], that the manifold $M(H_{\mathbf{C}}) = D_{\mathbf{C}}/H_{\mathbf{C}}$ is homeomorphic to the circle bundle over S_g whose Euler number is 1-g.

Thus we have that any S^1-bundle over S_g with Euler number e = 1-g admits a uniformizable spherical CR-structure.

4.1.2 Let $H^2_{\mathbf{R}}$ be a totally geodesic real submanifold in $H^2_{\mathbf{C}}$. As the identity component $SO(2,1)^0 \cong PSL(2,R)$, there exists a discrete embedding H_g into PU(2, 1) given by

$$H_g \to SO(2,1) \subset U(2,1) \to PU(2,1)$$

We denote $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ the image of H_g corresponding to this embedding.

Let $D_{\mathbf{R}} = S^3 \setminus K_{\mathbf{R}}$, where $K_{\mathbf{R}} = \partial H^1_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ acts discontinuously on $D_{\mathbf{R}}$ and the limit set of $H_{\mathbf{R}}$ equals $K_{\mathbf{R}}$. The manifold $M(H_{\mathbf{R}}) = D_{\mathbf{R}}/H_{\mathbf{R}}$ is homeomorphic to a circle bundle over S_g whose Euler number is 2g-2 [BS], [KR].

Thus we have that any circle bundle over S_g with Euler number e = 2g - 2 admits a uniformizable spherical CR-structure.

4.1.3 The spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles constructed above will be called *standard.*

4.1.4 Note that in both cases above the spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles over S_g are special and their holonomy groups coincide with discrete embeddings H_g constructed in 4.1.1 and 4.1.2.

4.2 Non-standard spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundl over surfaces.

In this section we will construct special spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles over closed orientable surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$ with arbitrary Euler numbers e$\neq$ 0.

4.2.1 Before describing the constructions, we establish the following notations. E(g, e) will denote a circle bundle over a closed orientable surface of genus g$\geq$ 2 with Euler number e, Γ will denote the group of deck transformations of the universal covering space of E(g, e). Recall that Γ has a presentation :

$$\Gamma = < a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_g, b_g, h \ : \ \prod_{i=1}^{i=g}[a_i, b_i] = h^e \ , h \text{ central} >$$

4.2.2 Consider the standard spherical CR-structure on E(g, e) constructed in 4.1.1. Let $d^* : \Gamma \to \Gamma^* \subset PU(2,1)$ be the corresponding holonomy homomorphism, Γ^* be the holonomy group. Then d^* has a cyclic kernel, generated by h, and

$$\Gamma^* = d(\Gamma^*) \cong \Gamma / < h > = < a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_g, b_g \ : \ \prod_{i=1}^{i=g}[a_i, b_i] = 1 > = H_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Diagram (2) in this case becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & H & \to & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{p}(\Gamma) & \to & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \to & 1 & \to & \Gamma^* & \xrightarrow{p} & \Gamma^* & \to & 1 \end{array}$$

Let U_k be a cyclic subgroup of U(1) of order k$\geq$ 1. Consider the group $\Gamma_k^* = < \Gamma^*, U_k >$ generated by Γ^* and U_k. It is clear that Γ_k^* is a discrete subgroup of PU(2, 1), Γ_k^* acts discontinuously on $D_{\mathbb{C}}$, the limit set $L(\Gamma_k^*) = K_{\mathbb{C}}$, Γ_k^* is the direct product of U_k and Γ^*, Γ^* is a subgroup of Γ_k^* of index k, Γ_k^* acts without fixed points on $D_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Next note that Γ_k^* is the holonomy group of the spherical CR-manifold $M_k = D_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma_k^*$ which is uniformizable by Γ_k^* (see section 4.3).

Diagram (2) in this case becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & H & \to & \Gamma_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{p}(\Gamma_k) & \to & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \to & U_k & \to & \Gamma_k^* & \xrightarrow{p} & \Gamma^* & \to & 1 \end{array}$$

Let $M_1 = D_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma^*$. As was shown above $M_1 \cong E(g, 1-g)$.

Consider the covering $M_1 \to M_k$ induced by the inclusion $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma_k^*$. Then it is easy to see that the manifold M_1 is a k-fold cyclic covering of M_k obtained by dividing M_1 by the action of the cyclic subgroup of order k in S^1. Therefore, M_k is a S^1-bundle over S_g. It follows from lemma 3.5 in [S] that the Euler number of M_k equals k(1-g). Thus $M_k \cong E(g, k(1-g))$. Since 1-g≠0 for any $k \geq 1$, E(g,k) is a (g-1)-fold covering of M_k.

Lifting the spherical CR-structure on M_k to E(g, k) and noting that E(g, k) is homeomorphic to E(g, -k), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *Let E(g,e) be a circle bundle over a closed orientable surface of genus g≥2 with non zero Euler number e. Then E(g,e) admits a spherical CR-structure.*

Remark. In general, the spherical CR-structure on E(g, e) constructed above is not uniformizable. We will discuss this in the next section.

4.3 Kleinian and non-Kleinian structures.

The most natural class of (X,G)-structures arises as follows. Let D be an open connected subset of X and Γ be a subgroup of G which leaves D invariant and where it acts freely and discontinuously. Then the manifold M = D/Γ clearly admits a natural (X,G)-structure. We will call such a structure on M *Kleinian or uniformizable*. More generally, an (X,G)-structure on a manifold M will be called uniformizable or Kleinian if it is (X,G)-equivalent to a Kleinian structure defined as above. Two (X,G)-structures on M are called *commensurable* if they have (X,G)-equivalent finite coverings. We will call an (X,G)-structure on M *virtually uniformizable* or *virtually Kleinian* if it is commensurable to a Kleinian structure. Finally, we will call an (X,G)-structure on M *almost Kleinian* if $d : \tilde{M} \to d(\tilde{M})$ is a covering map.

A problem of basic geometric interest is to find criteria for an (X, G)-structure to be Kleinian, virtually Kleinian or almost Kleinian. For the case of conformally flat structures this problem was considered in [G1], [K], [GKam1], [GKam2], [KP], [GK]. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are the first step in this direction for spherical CR-structures.

4.3.1 The standard spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles over closed orientable surfaces S_g of genus g≥2 constructed in 4.1 provide examples of Kleinian structures.

4.3.2 Now we present examples of virtualy Kleinian but non-Kleinian spherical CR-structures on S^1-bundles over S_g.

Example 1. Let $M^1 = E(g, 1-g)$ be the S^1-bundle over S_g equipped with the standard spherical CR-structure constructed in 4.1.1. Then the holonomy group of this structure is $\Gamma^* = d^*(\Gamma) \cong \pi_1(S_g)$.

Take g-1 = kn, where k and n are positive integers greater then 1. Consider the k-fold covering $p_k : M^k \to M^1$ with the defining subgroup $\Gamma^k \subset \Gamma$,

$$\Gamma^k = < a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_g, b_g, h^k >$$

Then $M^k \cong E(g, -n)$.

Define the spherical CR-structure on M^k by lifting the spherical CR-structure on M^1. Let $d_k : \tilde{M} \to D_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the corresponding development map. It follows from the construction that $Kerd_k^* = Kerd^* \cap \Gamma^k = < h^k >$. Hence the holonomy group $\Gamma_k^* = d_k^*(\Gamma^*)$ of this spherical CR-structure on M^1 coincides with Γ^*. We see that the spherical CR-manifold M^1 is uniformized by its holonomy group Γ^*, while M^k is not. Thus M^k provides an example of virtually Kleinian structure which is not Kleinian.

Remark. It is easy to see that the same arguments work for the spherical CR-manifolds constructed in 4.1.2.

Example 2. Here we consider in more detail the spherical CR-manifolds constructed in the proof of theorem 4.2.

Let $\Gamma_k^* = < \Gamma^*, U_k >$, where Γ^* is the group constructed in 4.1.1 and $U_k \subset U(1)$ is a finite cyclic group of order $k \geq 1$. Then as was shown in section 4.2.2 the quotient $M_k = D_{\mathbb{C}}/\Gamma_k^*$ is a spherical CR-manifold homeomorphic to E(g, k(1-g)) and Γ_k^* is its holonomy group, that is, M_k is uniformizable.

Suppose that k and g-1 are both primitive integers. Then there are only three non-trivial finite covers of M_k which are S^1-bundles over S_g:

i) $p_k : E(g, 1-g) \to M_k$,

ii) $p_{g-1} : E(g, -k) \to M_k$,

iii) $p_{k(g-1)} : E(g, -1) \to M_k$.

Define the spherical CR-structure on these manifolds by lifting the spherical CR-structure on M_k. Then in cases i) and ii) the structures are Kleinian and their holonomy groups are subgroups of Γ_k^* of index k and g-1 respectively. In case iii) the structure is not Kleinian and its holonomy group coincides with Γ_k^*.

Example 3. Let $M \cong E(g, 2g-2)$ be the S^1-bundle over S_g equipped with the standard spherical CR-structure constructed in 4.1.2. We know that

$$\Gamma = \pi_1(M) = < a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_g, b_g, h : \prod_{i=1}^{g} [a_i, b_i] = h^{2g-2}, h \text{ central} > .$$

Consider the 2-fold covering $p_2 : M_2 \to M$ corresponding to the subgroup $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$,

$$\Gamma_2 = < a_1, b_1, \cdots, a_g, b_g, h^2 > .$$

Then $M_2 \cong E(g, g-1)$. Define a spherical CR-structure on M_2 using p_2.

We have that $E(g, g-1)$ is homeomorphic to $E(g, 1-g)$, so M_2 can be equipped with a standard spherical CR-structure as in 4.1.1.

Thus, we see that the manifold M_2 admits two spherical CR-structures: one of them is Kleinian, while the other is not. Of course, these structures are not CR-equivalent.

4.3.3 In this section we present an example of a spherical CR-manifold N with infinite fundamental group which has a surjective development map, that is, a spherical CR-structure on the manifold N which is not almost Kleinian.

Let M^k be the spherical CR-manifold constructed in example 1 in 4.3.2, where $k > 1$.

Let $d_k : \tilde{M} \to D_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the development map and $p : \tilde{M} \to M^k$ be the universal cover of M.

Let $B \subset M^k$ be a small open ball in M^k. It is easy to see that $d_k(p^{-1}(M^k \setminus B)) = d_k(\tilde{M}) = D_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Take any closed 3-dimensional spherical CR-manifold M with infinite fundamental group. Let B′ be a small open ball in M. We may define a spherical CR-structure on the connected sum N = $M^k \sharp M$ along the boundaries B and B′ using the construction in [BS], [F].

Let $d : \tilde{N} \to S^3$ be the development map of this spherical CR-structure. Then it follows from the above that $d(\tilde{N}) \cap L(M) \neq \emptyset$, where $L(M)$ is the limit set of the holonomy group of the spherical CR-structure on M. Since the limit set $L(N)$ of the holonomy group of N is obviously infinite it follows from Remark 5.5 in [KP] that $d(\tilde{N}) = S^3$.

5 Fundamental domains.

In this section we give explicit constructions of fundamental domains for some discrete subgroups of the group of conformal transformations of the one-point compactification $\overline{H}$ of the Heisenberg group. For a notion of conformality on $\overline{H}$ the reader is refered to Koranyi and Reimann [KoR].

5.1 The stereographic projection and the Heisenberg group.

The mapping

$$C : \begin{cases} z_1 &= \frac{iw_1}{1+w_2} \\ z_2 &= \frac{1-w_2}{1+w_2} \end{cases}$$

is usually refered to as the *Cayley transform*. The Cayley transform maps the unit ball

$$B = \{\ w \in \mathbf{C}^2\ :\ |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 < 1\ \}$$

biholomorphically onto

$$V = \{\ z \in \mathbf{C}^2\ :\ Im z_2 > |z_1|^2\ \}$$

The Cayley transform leads to a generalized form of the *stereographic projection*. This mapping $\pi : S^3 \setminus \{-e_2\} \to \mathbf{R}^3$, where $S^3 = \partial B$ and $e_2 =$

$(1,0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, is defined as the composition of the Cayley transform restricted to $S^3 \setminus \{-e_2\}$ followed by the projection

$$\begin{cases} z_1 & \to & z_1 \\ z_2 & \to & Re z_2 \end{cases}$$

The stereographic projection π can be extended to a mapping from S^3 onto the one-point compactification $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^3$ of $\mathbf{R}^3$.

The *Heisenberg group* H is the set of pairs $[t, z] \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C}$ with the product

$$[t, z] \cdot [t', z'] = [t + t' + 2Im(z\overline{z}'), z + z']$$

Using the stereographic projection we can identify $S^3 \setminus \{-e_2\}$ with H and S^3 with the one-point compactification $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ of H.

5.2 The Heisenberg group acts on itself by left translations. Heisenberg translations by [0, v] for v$\in$ **R** are called *vertical translations.*

Positive scalars $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_+$ act on H by *Heisenberg dilations*:

$$d_\lambda : [t, z] \to [\lambda^2 t, \lambda z]$$

If $m \in U(1)$, then m acts on H by

$$m : [t, z] \to [t, mz]$$

m is called a *Heisenberg rotation.*

The *Heisenberg inversion* of H is defined on $H \setminus \{origin\}$ by

$$h : [t, z] \to [-\frac{t}{t^2 + |z|^4}, \frac{z}{it - |z|^2}]$$

Note that $h = \pi \circ j \circ \pi^{-1}$ where j is the involution

$$j : \begin{cases} w_1' & = & -w_1 \\ w_2' & = & -w_2 \end{cases} \qquad (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2.$$

The map $\hat{m}$ defined by

$$\hat{m} : [t, z] \rightarrow [-t, \bar{z}]$$

All these actions extend trivially to $\overline{H}$. It is well known that the group G of transformations of $\overline{H}$ generated by all Heisenberg translations, dilations, rotations and h coincides with $\pi^{-1} \circ PU(2,1) \circ \pi$ and the group $\hat{G} =< G, \hat{m} >$ is the group of all conformal transformations of $\overline{H}$ [KoR].

5.3 *The Heisenberg norm* assigns to g =[t, z] in H the nonnegative real number

$$|g| = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4}$$

The function $d(g, g') = |g^{-1} g'|$ defines a distance on H. Heisenberg translations and rotations are isometries with respect to this distance. Furthermore, $|d_\lambda g| = \lambda |g|$ and $|\hat{m} g| = |g|$.

5.4 We will call the *Heisenberg sphere* (H-sphere) with center a and radius ρ the set

$$S(a, \rho) = \{ g \in H : \ d(a, g) = \rho \ \}$$

5.5 Let S be the H-sphere with center at the origin and radius 1. It is easy to see that h(S) = S, h(ext S) = intS, where intS = $\{ g \in H \ : \ d(0, g) < 1 \ \}$, extS = $\{ g \in H \ : \ d(0, g) > 1 \ \}$. Thus, we see that h has some features of the usual euclidean inversions in spheres, and it is natural to call h the *inversion* in the H-sphere S.

Example 1. Let $\Gamma =< h >$ be the group generated by h. Then it follows from above that F = intS is a fundamental domain for Γ.

5.6 It is usefull to consider the following transformation

$$I = \hat{m} \circ h : [t, z] \rightarrow [\frac{t}{t^2 + |z|^4}, \frac{-\bar{z}}{it + |z|^2}].$$

Observe that I leaves invariant S as well as the circles $|z|^2 = \sqrt{1-t}$, $|t| < 1$, and I(intS) = extS.

Define $I_g = g \circ I \circ g^{-1}$, where g is either a Heisenberg translation or a Heisenberg dilation. It is easy to see that the H-sphere $S_g = g(S)$ is invariant under I_g and $I_g(intS_g) = extS_g$. We will also call I_g the inversion in S_g.

5.7 Example 2. Let S_1 and S_2 be the H-spheres of radius 1 centered at the points $o_1 = [-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0]$ and $o_2 = [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0]$ respectively. Consider the inversions $\gamma_1 = I_{g_1}$ and $\gamma_2 = I_{g_2}$ in S_1 and S_2, where $g_1 = [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0]$ and $g_2 = [-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0]$ are the vertical translations. A simple calculation shows that γ_i leaves invariant S_j, $i \neq j$, furthermore, γ_i leaves invariant the circle $c = S_1 \cap S_2$.

Let $\Gamma = <\gamma_1, \gamma_2>$. A direct verification gives that $F = ext(S_1) \cap ext(S_2)$ is a fundamental domain for Γ.

We also note the presentation of Γ:

$$\Gamma = <\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \ : \ {\gamma_1}^2 = {\gamma_2}^2 = (\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2)^2 = 1 \quad > .$$

5.8 Example 3. Let S(0,λ) be the H-sphere with radius λ centered at the origin, that is, S(0,λ) = d_λ(S), where d_λ is a Heisenberg dilation; and let S(h,1) be the H-sphere with radius 1 centered at the point [h,0], that is, S(h,1) = t_h(S), where t_h = [h,0] is a vertical translation.

Consider now the corresponding inversions

$$I_\lambda = d_\lambda \circ I \circ d_\lambda^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_h = t_h \circ I \circ t_h^{-1}$$

in S(0,λ) and S(h,1) respectively.

Calculations show that S(0,λ) is invariant under I_h if and only if $\lambda^4 = h^2 - 1$. On the other hand, it is also seen that under this condition on λ and h, S(h,1) is invariant under I_λ. Furthermore, the circle S(0,λ)$\cap$S(h,1) is invariant under both I_h and I_λ.

Also it is easy to see that S(j$\sqrt{2}$,1) is invariant under both $I_{(j-1)\sqrt{2}}$ and $I_{(j+1)\sqrt{2}}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. The circle S((j-1)$\sqrt{2}$,λ)$\cap$S(j$\sqrt{2}$,1) is invariant under both $I_{(j-1)\sqrt{2}}$ and $I_{j\sqrt{2}}$.

For each integer $n \geq 2$, consider the following family L of spheres:

$$S_0 = S(0,1)\ ,\ S_j = S(h_j,1),$$

$$S'_0 = S(0,\lambda)\ ,\ S'_j = S(-h_j,1),$$

where $1 \leq j \leq n$, $h_j = \sqrt{2}j$ and $\lambda = (2n^2-1)^{1/4}$.

Next define the transformations $\gamma_0, \gamma'_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \cdots \gamma_n, \gamma'_n$ as follows

$$\gamma_0 = I, \gamma'_0 = I_\lambda, \gamma_j = I_{h_j}, \gamma'_j = I_{-h_j}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Let $\Gamma(n) =< \gamma_0, \gamma'_0, \gamma_1, \gamma'_1, \cdots \gamma_n, \gamma'_n >$ be the group generated by the transformations defined above.

It is clear that Γ leaves invariant $D = H \setminus \{t-axis\}$.

Now let P be the "spherical polyhedron" bounded by the H-spheres S_j, S'_j for $0 \leq j \leq n$, that is , P = $\overline{F}$, where

$$F = extS_0 \cap intS'_0 \cap (\cap_{j=1}^{n} extS_j) \cap (\cap_{j=1}^{n} extS'_j).$$

We call an edge of P the circle c which is the intersection of two spheres in L. A part of the boundary of P lying on $S_j \in L$ between two edges will be called the side of P.

It follows from the construction that we have the following:

i) P is compact,

ii) For each side A of P there exists a transformation $\gamma_A \in \{\gamma_j, \gamma'_j\}$ such that $P \cap \gamma_A(P) = A$.

iii) For each side A of P there exists a side A' such that $\gamma_A \circ \gamma_{A'} = 1$ (of course , $A = A'$ and $\gamma_A = \gamma_{A'}$,

iv) For each edge c of P there exists a sequence $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ of sides of P such that $\gamma_{A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{A_k} = 1$ and

$$P \cap \gamma_{A_1}(P) \cap \gamma_{A_1} \circ \gamma_{A_2}(P) \cap \cdots \cap \gamma_{A_1} \cdots \gamma_{A_{k-1}}(P) = c,$$

v) The polyhedra $P, \gamma_{A_1}(P), \cdots, \gamma_{A_1} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{A_{k-1}}(P)$ do not have pairwise common interior points.

We know that the t-axis completed by ∞ is the image of a C-circle in S^3, it corresponds under the stereographic projection π to the set $\{(w_1, w_2) \in S^3\ ,\ w_2 = 0\ \}$. Therefore, one can introduce a complete Riemannian metric on D = $H \backslash \{$t-axis$\}$ invariant under the group Γ (see, for instance, [KT]).

Applying similar arguments to those in the proof of the Poincaré's Polyhedron theorem [Ma], we conclude that the construction above yields a fundamental domain F for Γ. Furthermore, the limit set $L(\Gamma)$ of Γ equals the t-axis completed by ∞.

Since Γ is finitely generated, there exists a torsion-free subgroup Γ_0 of finite index in Γ. Then $M(\Gamma_0) = D/\Gamma_0$ is a circle bundle over a closed hyperbolic surface with non-zero Euler number (see section 4).

5.9 Klein's combination theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be discrete subgroups of $PU(2,1)$ with fundamental domains F_1 and F_2. Suppose that $F_1 \cup F_2 = S^3$ and $F = F_1 \cap F_2$ is connected and non-empty. Then $\Gamma = < \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 >$ is discrete with fundamental domain F.*

5.10 Example 4. Consider the following conformal transformation of B:

$$(z_1, z_2) \to (z_2, z_1)$$

The action of this transformation on H under stereographic projection π corresponds to

$$\hat{h} : [t, z] \to [Rei\frac{1 + |z|^2 - it + 2iz}{1 + |z|^2 - it - 2iz}, i\frac{1 - |z|^2 + it}{1 + |z|^2 - it - 2iz}]$$

Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(n_0)$ be the group constructed in example 3 for any fixed $n_0 > 1$ and F_1 be its fundamental domain. Let $\Gamma_2 = \hat{h} \circ \Gamma_1 \circ \hat{h}^{-1}$ and $F_2 = \hat{h}(F_1)$. We see that the limit set $L(\Gamma_2)$ of the group Γ_2 is the unit circle centered at the origin

$$L(\Gamma_2) = \{\ g = [t, z]\ :\ ||g|| = 1\ ,\ t = 0\ \}$$

The boundary of F_2 is the boundary of the solid torus having $L(\Gamma_2)$ as its core. It is clear that there exists a Heisenberg dilation d_s such that $d_s(\partial F_2)$ lies in the complement of all the balls bounded by S_j, $j = 0, \cdots, n$, and S'_k, $k = 1, \cdots, n$. Having defined such s, choose n_1 such that $d_s(\partial F_2) \subset intS(0, \lambda_1)$, where $\lambda_1 = (2n_1^2 - 1)^{1/4}$. Let $\Gamma'_1 = \Gamma(n_1)$ be the group constructed in example 3 corresponding to $n = n_1$ and F'_1 be its fundamental domain. Let $\Gamma'_2 = d_s \Gamma_2 d_s^{-1}$. Then $F'_2 = d_s(F_2)$ is a fundamental domain for Γ'_2. One sees that the complement$(F'_i) \subset F'_j$, $i, j = 1, 2$, $i \neq j$. It follows that the conditions of Klein's combination theorem are satisfied and therefore $\Gamma =< \Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2 >$ is discrete with the fundamental domain $F = F'_1 \cap F'_2$.

The limit set $L(\Gamma)$ is quite complicated. In particular, it contains the Γ-orbit of $\{T \cup d_s \hat{h}(T)\}$, where T is the t-axis completed with ∞.

If Γ_0 is a subgroup of finite index in Γ without torsion, then $M(\Gamma_0) = R(\Gamma)/\Gamma_0$ is an aspheric manifold. Here, $R(\Gamma) = \overline{H} \setminus L(\Gamma)$ is the regular set of Γ.

One can show that $M(\Gamma_0)$ is a torus sum of two S^1-bundles over a compact hyperbolic surface.

$M(\Gamma_0)$ provides the first example of an aspheric manifold with a spherical CR-structure which is not a Seifert manifold.

References

[BS] D. Burns, S. Shnider ; *Spherical Hypersurfaces in Complex Manifolds* . Invent. Math. 33 (1976), 223-246.

[CG] S. Chen, L. Greenberg ; *Hyperbolic spaces.* Contribution to Analysis,. Academic Press. New York, . (1974), 49-87.

[F] E. Falbel ; *Non-embeddable CR-manifolds and Surface Singularities.* Inv. Math. (1992), 49-65.

[G1] W. Goldman ; *Conformally flat manifolds with nilpotent holonomy and the uniformization problem for 3-manifolds* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 573-583.

[G2] W. Goldman ; *Projective structures with Fuchsian holonomy.* J. Diff. Geom. 25 (1987), 297-326

[G3] W. Goldman ; Complex Hyperbolic Geometry. (in preparation)

[GKam1] W. Goldman, Y. Kamishima ; *Topological rigidity of developing maps with applications to conformally flat structures.* Contemp. Math. 74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1988, 199-203.

[GKam2] W. Goldman, Y. Kamishima ; *Conformal automorphisms and conformally flat manifolds.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323 (1991), 797-810.

[GLT] M. Gromov, H.B. Lawson, W. Thurston ; *Hyperbolic 4-manifolds and conformally flat 3-manifolds.* Publ. IHES 68 27-45.

[GK] N. Gusevskii, M. Kapovich ; *Conformal structures on three-dimensional manifolds.* Soviet Math. Dokl. 34 (1987), 314-318.

[K] Y. Kamishima ; *Conformally flat manifolds whose development maps are not surjective.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 294 (1986), 607-621.

[Ka] M. Kapovich; *Flat conformal structures on 3-manifolds, I : Uniformization of closed Seifert manifolds.* J. Differential Geometry 38 (1993), 191-216.

[KT] Y. Kamishima, T. Tsuboi ;*CR-structures on Seifert manifolds.* 104 Invent. Math (1991), 149-163.

[KoR] A. Korányi, H. M. Reimann ; *Quasiconformal mappings on the Heisenberg group.* Invent. Math. 80(1985), 309-338 .

[Ku] R. Kulkarni; *On the principal of uniformization.* J. Differential Geometry 13 (1978), 109-138.

[KP] R. Kulkarni, U. Pinkall ; *Uniformization of Geometric Structures with Applications to Conformal Geometry.* Lecture Notes in Math., 1209, Springer, Berlin, (1986) 190-209.

[KR] R. Kulkarni , F. Raymond ; *Three dimensional Lorentz space forms and Seifert fiber spaces.* J. Differential Geometry 21 (1985) 231-268.

[Ma] B. Maskit ; Kleinian Groups. 287, Springer Verlag (1988).

[M] R. R. Miner ; *Spherical CR-manifolds with amenable holonomy.* Int. J. Math. 1 (1990), 479-510.

[S] P. Scott ; *The Geometries of 3-Manifolds.* Bull. London Math. Soc., 15 (1983), 401-487.

[T] W. Thurston ; The Geometry and Topology of 3-manifolds. Priceton lecture notes. 1979-81.

TRABALHOS DO DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

92-01 COELHO, S.P. The automorphism group of a structural matrix algebra. 33p.

92-02 COELHO, S.P. & POLCINO MILIES , C. Group rings whose torsion units form a subgroup. 7p.

92-03 ARAGONA, J. Some results for the operator on generalized differential forms. 9p.

92-04 JESPERS, E. & POLCINO MILIES, F.C. Group rings of some p-groups. 17p.

92-05 JESPERS, E., LEAL G. & POLCINO MILIES, C. Units of Integral Group Rings of Some Metacyclic Groups. 11p.

92-06 COELHO, S.P., Automorphism Groups of Certain Algebras of Triangular Matrices. 9p.

92-07 SCHUCHMAN, V., Abnormal solutions of the Evolution Equations, I. 16p.

92-08 SCHUCHMAN, V., Abnormal solutions of the Evolution Equations, II. 13p.

92-09 COELHO, S.P., Automorphism Groups of Certain Structural Matrix Rings. 23p.

92-10 BAUTISTA, R. & COELHO, F.U. On the existence of modules which are neither preprojective nor preinjectives. 14p.

92-11 MERKLEN, H.A., Equivalence modulo preprojectives for algebras which are a quotient of a hereditary. 11p.

92-12 BARROS, L.G.X. de, Isomorphisms of Rational Loop Algebras. 18p.

92-13 BARROS, L.G.X. de, On semisimple Alternative Loop Algebras. 21p.

92-14 MERKLEN, H.A., Equivalências Estáveis e Aplicações 17 p.

92-15 LINTZ , R.G., The theory of -generators and some questions in analysis. 26p.

92-16 CARRARA ZANETIC, V.L. Submersions Maps of Constant Rank Submersions with Folds and Immersions. 6p.

92-17 BRITO, F.G.B. & EARP, R.S. On the Structure of certain Weingarten Surfaces with Boundary a Circle. 8p.

92-18 COSTA, R. & GUZZO JR.,H. Indecomposable baric algebras, II. 10p.

92-19 GUZZO JR., H. A generalization of Abraham's example 7p.

92-20 JURIAANS, O.S. Torsion Units in Integral Group Rings of Metabelian Groups. 6p.

92-21 COSTA, R. Shape identities in genetic algebras. 12p.
92-22 COSTA, R. & VEGA R.B. Shape identities in genetic algebras II. 11p.
92-23 FALBEL, E. A Note on Conformal Geometry. 6p.

93-01 COELHO, F.U. A note on preinjective partial tilting modules. 7p.
93-02 ASSEM, I. & COELHO, F.U. Complete slices and homological properties of tilted algebras. 11p.
93-03 ASSEM, I. & COELHO, F.U. Glueings of tilted algebras 20p.
93-04 COELHO, F.U. Postprojective partitions and Auslander-Reiten quivers. 26p.
93-05 MERKLEN, H.A. Web modules and applications. 14p.
93-06 GUZZO JR., H. The Peirce decomposition for some commutative train algebras of rank n.12p.
93-07 PERESI, L.A. Minimal Polynomial Identities of Baric Algebras. 11p.
93-08 FALBEL E., VERDERESI J.A. & VELOSO J.M. The Equivalence Problem in Sub-Riemannian Geometry. 14p.
93-09 BARROS, L.G.X. & POLCINO MILIES, C. Modular Loop Álgebras of R.A. Loops. 15p.
93-10 COELHO.F.U., MARCOS E.N., MERKLEN H.A. & SKOWRONSKI Module Categories with Infinite Radical Square Zero are of Finite Type. 7p.
93-11 COELHO S.P. & POLCINO MILIES, C. Automorphisms of Group Algebras of Dihedral Groups. 8p.
93-12 JURIAANS. O.S. Torsion units in integral group rings. 11 p.
93-13 FERRERO, M., GIAMBRUNO, A. & POLCINO MILIES, C. A Note on Derivations of Group Rings. 9p.
93-14 FERNANDES, J.C. & FRANCHI, B. Existence of the Green function for a class of degenerate parabolic equations, 29p.
93-15 ENCONTRO DE ÁLGEBRA - IME-USP/IMECC - UNICAMP. 41p.
93-16 FALBEL, E. & VELOSO, J.M. A Parallelism for Conformal Sub-Riemannian Geometry, 20p.
93-17 "TEORIA DOS ANEIS" - Encontro IME.USP. - IMECC-UNICAMP - Realizado no IME-USP em 18 de junho de 1993 - 50p.
93-18 ARAGONA, J. Some Properties of Holomorphic Generalized Functions on - Strictly Pseudoconvex Domains. 8p.
93-19 CORREA I., HENTZEL I.R. & PERESI L.A. Minimal Identities of Bernstein Algebras. 14p.

93-20 JURIAANS S.O. Torsion Units in Integral Group Rings II. 15p.

93-21 FALBEL E. & GUSEVSKII N. Spherical CR-manifolds of dimension 3. 28p.

NOTA: Os títulos publicados dos Relatórios Técnicos dos anos de 1980 a 1991 estão à disposição no Departamento de Matemática do IME-USP. Cidade Universitária "Armando de Salles Oliveira" Rua do Matão, 1010 - Butantã
Caixa Postal - 20.570 (Ag. Iguatemi)
CEP: 01498 - São Paulo - Brasil