nature ecology & evolution

PERSPECTIVE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0924-0

Climate change and cultural resilience in late pre-Columbian Amazonia

Jonas Gregorio de Souza[1,2*], Mark Robinson[2], S. Yoshi Maezumi[2,3], José Capriles[4], Julie A. Hoggarth[5], Umberto Lombardo[6], Valdir Felipe Novello[7], James Apaéstegui[8], Bronwen Whitney[9], Dunia Urrego[2], Daiana Travassos Alves[2], Stephen Rostain[10], Mitchell J. Power[11], Francis E. Mayle[12], Francisco William da Cruz Jr[7], Henry Hooghiemstra[13] and José Iriarte[2]

The long-term response of ancient societies to climate change has been a matter of global debate. Until recently, the lack of integrative studies using archaeological, palaeoecological and palaeoclimatological data prevented an evaluation of the relationship between climate change, distinct subsistence strategies and cultural transformations across the largest rainforest of the world, Amazonia. Here we review the most relevant cultural changes seen in the archaeological record of six different regions within Greater Amazonia during late pre-Columbian times. We compare the chronology of those cultural transitions with high-resolution regional palaeoclimate proxies, showing that, while some societies faced major reorganization during periods of climate change, others were unaffected and even flourished. We propose that societies with intensive, specialized land-use systems were vulnerable to transient climate change. In contrast, land-use systems that relied primarily on polyculture agroforestry, resulting in the formation of enriched forests and fertile Amazonian dark earth in the long term, were more resilient to climate change.

The consequences for the Indigenous populations of the Americas following their first encounter with Europeans cannot be overstated. Some estimate there was a 90–95% population decline due to epidemics and violence[1,2]. A population of up to 10 million inhabitants[3,4] is now postulated for Amazonia in late pre-Columbian times, and it is likely that the demographic losses following European contact reshaped landscapes and cultural geographies across the region. Prevailing popular opinion is that Indigenous cultures in the Americas were experiencing a trajectory of growth and increasing complexity that was interrupted by the arrival of Europeans, but periods of oscillation are expected to have occurred. In Amazonia, this question remains unresolved. Elsewhere in the Americas, there is mounting evidence of population declines and climate-driven collapse of complex societies preceding the Columbian encounter — from the Pueblos of the US Southwest[5], through the Classic Maya in Mesoamerica[6,7], to the Tiwanaku state in the Andean highlands[8,9]. In other parts of the globe, whether an ancient society is vulnerable or resilient to climate change has been shown to be mediated by distinct economic practices[10]. Yet, little is known about pre-Columbian responses of humans to climate change in Amazonia.

Here, we explore spatiotemporal patterns of climate and culture change in Amazonia to assess the role of distinct land-use systems in vulnerability or resilience to climate change. We review transformations observed in the archaeological record across six regions where research has been more intensive, chronologies are robust (Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Tables 1–6) and pre-Columbian land-use patterns are best understood (Fig. 1 and Table 1). We compare the archaeology of each region with palaeoecological records (pollen, charcoal). Given the heterogeneity of local climatic regimes, we consider each region separately and highlight the broader cultural and climatic patterns that emerged during the late Holocene in Amazonia (Box 1).

We argue that patterns of cultural change in pre-Columbian Amazonia can be understood from the perspective of risk management strategies and adaptive cycles. Two land-use strategies have been identified among late pre-Columbian Amazonian societies. One involves maximisation, specialisation in public infrastructure and immediate impact. The other focuses on cumulative, long-term impact through diversification, polyculture agroforestry and anthropogenic soil formation. From the point of view of risk management, maximisation strategies lead to short-term benefits in unstable environments but result in heavier losses during climatic oscillations, whereas low-intensity polyculture is more resilient to external stressors. The flexibility, or lack thereof, of these systems explains the decline of some societies and not others depending on their economic base[11]. We suggest that the societies that collapsed under climate change were approaching the end of an adaptive cycle

[1]Department of Humanities, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain. [2]Department of Archaeology, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. [3]Department of Geography and Geology, The University of the West Indies at Mona, Kingston, Jamaica. [4]Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA. [5]Department of Anthropology, Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA. [6]Institute of Geography, Universität Bern, Bern, Switzerland. [7]Institute of Geoscience, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil. [8]Instituto Geofísico del Peru, Lima, Peru. [9]Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK. [10]Department of Archaeology, French National Centre for Scientific Research, Paris, France. [11]Geography Department, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA. [12]Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Reading, UK. [13]Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands. *e-mail: jonas.gregorio@gmail.com



Fig. 1 | Regions, archaeological sites[94,128,129] and palaeoclimate records discussed in the text. CAR, Cariaco Basin[55]; PAR, Paraíso Cave[57]; PMA, Lake Pumacocha[56]; TOR, Torotoro[59]; PDA, Pau d'Alho Cave[58]. Only the dated sites for the respective regions and cultures are shown. Colours of dated sites correspond to different regional archaeological cultures as they appear in Fig. 3, and these are identified by labels using the same colour scheme. Dots with more than one colour represent multicomponent sites (occupied by more than one culture).

that progressed through phases of growth, accumulation, restructuring and renewal. These societies had accumulated rigidities and were less likely to absorb unforeseen disturbances, resulting in dramatic transformation[12–14].

Climate dynamics in Amazonia

Annual and daily temperature variability is low across the Amazon basin. Mean annual temperatures vary between 18 °C and 23 °C. Rainfall over the Amazon basin is sourced from two convective systems: the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and the South American summer monsoon (SASM) (Supplementary Discussion 2). The climate systems impacting Amazonia create a precipitation dipole at the borders of the monsoon, resulting in a climatic antiphase between the western and eastern Amazon basin[54].

Palaeoclimate records

To characterize the palaeoclimatic conditions over the six regions, we used the following palaeoclimatic archives: metal concentration (% titanium) from the sedimentary record from the Cariaco Basin[55], and oxygen isotope ($\delta^{18}O$) records from the Pumacocha Lake sediment core[56] and stalagmites collected at the Paraíso[57], Pau d'Alho[58] and Torotoro[59] cave systems (Supplementary Methods).

All records document that climatic conditions were different over Amazonia during the periods known as the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA; ~AD 900–AD 1250) and the Little Ice Age (LIA; ~AD 1450–AD 1850)[60] (Fig. 2). During the MCA, the ITCZ was shifted north of its current range, resulting in wet conditions over the Cariaco Basin and dry or neutral conditions in most of the sites under the influence of the SASM[54,61], with the exception of Paraíso Cave and Torotoro[59]. The LIA period, in contrast, was characterized by dry conditions in the east (Paraíso Cave) and wet conditions in the west (Pumacocha Lake), with regions in between (Pau d'Alho Cave) not showing significant anomalies[54].

The rise and fall of late pre-Columbian Amazonian cultures

In what follows, we describe the most significant ruptures and transformations seen in the archaeological record of the six chosen regions. We focus on changes in settlement patterns and land use when these are known. Supplementary Discussion 1 contains a summary of the material culture (ceramic typologies) associated with each culture.

Guianas Coast. In this region, the most important transition in late pre-Columbian times is the breakdown in socio-political complexity of the coastal Arauquinoid societies, concomitant with the expansion of the inland Koriabo tradition at ~AD 1300 (Fig. 3a). From Suriname to French Guyana, the Arauquinoid terraformed entire landscapes in coastal savannas starting at ~AD 700 (refs. [15,16]). Raised fields cover ~3,000 ha in French Guyana[15], with phytolith, starch grain and stable isotope evidence documenting the cultivation of maize, squash and manioc[17,18]. Charcoal records suggest that fire was used to a limited extent in land management[62].

At the peak of the Arauquinoid occupation, the coast was divided into territories centred around large platform mounds with ceremonial and domestic functions[15,16]. Residential mounds were surrounded by a network of roads and agricultural earthworks that extended for up to 5 km, with an estimated population of over 1,000 inhabitants[15]. The disruption of the Arauquinoid regional organization began at ~AD 1300, a period of upheavals marked by the spread of the Koriabo tradition. The earliest Koriabo sites are inland, with a progressive expansion toward the coast. Synchronicity between the Arauquinoid demise and the Koriabo expansion is clear across the Guianas Coast, especially along its western extent, where Arauquinoid earthwork density and complexity had been highest. In the eastern sector, there is continuity, interaction and emergence of hybrid traditions[15].

Climate change, documented in the Cariaco Basin record, could have been the ultimate driver of the Arauquinoid decline (Fig. 3a). Raised fields provide better drainage and moisture retention, allowing increased agricultural production in a region subject to a long rainy season and severe dry season[63]. If the Arauquinoid agricultural system was reliant on predictable seasonal precipitation, it is likely that their subsistence base was vulnerable to climate instability. The decline of mound centres at ~AD 1300 could have been instigated by prolonged droughts documented in the palaeoclimate records. Alternatively, pressure from the Koriabo expansion itself could have been responsible for conflicts leading to the Arauquinoid demise, or at least accelerating a process triggered by climate change.

Eastern Amazon. Major transformations in late pre-Columbian eastern Amazon are highlighted by the decline of complex polities on Marajó Island and the rise of Santarém culture in the lower Tapajós (Fig. 3b).

One of the best-documented archaeological cultures of Amazonia developed on Marajó Island at ~AD 400. Archaeological evidence suggests that society was stratified at the peak of the Marajoara phase (~AD 700–AD 1100). The elite lived on large mounds, controlling access to prestige ceramics and water-management systems[20,22,64]. Mounds in the flooded savannas reached ~3 ha in area and 7 m height. Population estimates are up to 2,000 for a mound group[20,21]. Unlike the Arauquinoid, there is no evidence that the subsistence of the Marajoara depended on cultivated plants. Macro-botanical remains of maize are absent[20], and human bone isotopic values indicate a diet based on non-domesticated C_3 plants and aquatic resources[65]. Marajoara earthworks include ponds for management of aquatic fauna[21].

The disintegration of Marajoara chiefdoms at ~AD 1200 led to abrupt changes in settlement patterns and material culture. Mound use declined, hierarchies between settlements disappeared, elaborate urn burials were abandoned and the polychrome Marajoara

Table 1 | Timespan and main characteristics of the archaeological cultures discussed in the text

Region	Archaeological tradition	Time period	Socio-political organization	Land use
Guianas Coast	Arauquinoid[15–18]	AD 650–AD 1400	Settlement hierarchy of residential and ceremonial mounds, well-defined chiefdom territories	Raised fields for cultivation of maize, *Cucurbita*, manioc
	Koriabo[15,19]	AD 1000 –AD 1600	Reoccupation of earlier sites	Possible ADE
Eastern Amazon	Marajoara[20–22]	AD 500–AD 1200	Settlement hierarchy of residential mounds, elite burials and prestige ceramics	Ponds for aquaculture; no evidence of maize
	Santarém[23–28]	AD 1050–AD 1650	Settlement hierarchy is debated	ADE polyculture; cultivation of maize, *Cucurbita*, manioc and sweet potato
Central Amazon	Paredão[29–32]	AD 750–AD 1250	Permanent mound villages	ADE polyculture; cultivation of maize, yam, *Cucurbita*
	Guarita[33,34]	AD 1200–AD 1600	Smaller settlements, rapid pan-Amazonian expansion	ADE
Southwestern Amazon	Geoglyphs[22,35–38]	400 BC–AD 950	Vacant ceremonial centres	Small clearings in bamboo forest; cultivation of maize and squash
	Mound villages[39]	AD 1000 –AD 1650	N/A	N/A
Llanos de Moxos	Lomas[40–46]	AD 450–AD 1400	Settlement hierarchy of residential mounds, elite burials	Drainage canals and reservoirs; savannah burning; cultivation of maize, manioc, yam, squash, peanuts, cotton
	Zanjas[44,47–50]	AD 1200–AD 1500	Fortified sites	Savanna burning; cultivation of maize
Southern Amazon	Xinguano[51–53]	AD 1050–AD 1650	Fortified settlement network, political–ceremonial hierarchy	ADE

For a complete list of the radiocarbon dates, see Supplementary Tables 1–6.

style became intermixed with foreign traditions[21,66]. The arrival of the Aruã nomadic foragers during this period has led to suggestions that the Aruã may have played a role in the Marajoara demise[21]. As in the Arauquinoid case, it is possible that the arrival of outsiders contributed to a process initiated by climate change.

While the Marajoara culture was in decline, another was flourishing in the lower Tapajós River. The Santarém culture, known for its elaborate effigy vessels, was established at ~AD 1100. Comprising an area of 23,000 km^2, over a hundred sites have been recorded that extend for hundreds of miles along river bluffs and interior plateaus. Historical accounts describe a chiefdom with a 'noble class'[23,24], but there is little archaeological evidence of social stratification[25–27]. Virtually all sites are composed of anthropogenically modified Amazonian dark earth (ADE)[26]. Recently published pollen and phytolith data suggest a diverse land-use strategy based on polyculture agroforestry, with the cultivation of maize, sweet potato, squash and manioc combined with the enrichment of forests with edible species[28].

The Paraíso cave speleothem record provides a high-resolution proxy for precipitation changes in the eastern Amazon[57,67] (Fig. 3b). An increase in $\delta^{18}O$ values following ~AD 1100 shows that the dissolution of the Marajoara chiefdoms coincided with decreased precipitation. A relationship between decreased river discharge, increased water salinity and the decline of the aquaculture-based Marajoara chiefdoms during this period have previously been suggested on the basis of pollen data[68]. High-status mounds are closely associated with water-management facilities, suggesting monopolisation of resources and surplus production by the elite[21]. Therefore, the land-use strategies that sustained the Marajoara chiefdoms would have been sensitive to prolonged droughts. During the same period, archaeological data indicate that the Santarém culture flourished in spite of the drier conditions. The regional charcoal curve for the eastern Amazon shows an increase in fire activity synchronous with the rise of the Santarém culture and the Marajoara decline. Regional-scale fire activity during the apex of the Santarém culture has been attributed to human as opposed to climate drivers[28] (Fig. 3b). We suggest that, as will be discussed at the end of the paper, the flourishing of the Santarém in spite of climate change may be explained by greater resilience offered by an economy based on polyculture agroforestry[28].

Central Amazon. At the confluence of the Negro and Solimões rivers, the millennium preceding the European contact saw the demise of Paredão mound villages and their replacement by smaller sites of the polychrome tradition (Fig. 3c). Emerging after ~AD 700, rings of house mounds at Paredão sites surrounded central plazas, indicative of well-planned village layouts[29–31]. Sites contain thick layers of ADE, and the recovery of phytoliths of maize, yam, squash and *Bactris* palm, coupled with archaeobotanical evidence of managed forests in the sites' catchment, suggest polyculture agroforestry associated with the development of fertile soils[32], similar to that employed in Santarém. Ultimately, Paredão sites were replaced by smaller, ephemeral settlements with polychrome Guarita ceramics[34], a pan-Amazonian tradition that originated in the southwestern part of the basin at ~AD 750 (ref. [69]).

In the central Amazon, the appearance of polychrome ceramics coincides with the disintegration of the Paredão complex at ~AD 1000 (ref. [30]). The homogeneity and rapid spread of polychrome ceramics point to demographic expansions[33]. Alternatively, it is possible that the style was diffused as a prestige technology among groups with access to floodplain resources[70]. What is clear is that the process of transition from Paredão to Guarita polychrome was not a peaceful one, as evidenced by defensive ditches and palisades built around Paredão sites, which are later reoccupied by the Guarita tradition[33,34].

Comparing climate and cultural change in the central Amazon is a challenge given the absence of local palaeoclimatic records and the fact that the region lies in the middle of an east–west precipitation dipole[67]. As in the case of the Marajoara, the decline of Paredão mound villages coincides with a drier period starting at ~AD 1100 in the Paraíso record[57] (Fig. 3c). However, it is unclear whether a similar change to drier conditions would have manifested

Box 1 | Synthesis and integration of data

Palaeoprecipitation. Metal concentration and oxygen isotopes, which respond to rainfall intensity and strength of the SASM, respectively, were used as proxies for past precipitation. We selected the records with the highest resolution that were most representative and closest to the archaeological sites of the six selected regions.

Palaeofire. Where sufficient data were present, existing lake sediment charcoal records were compiled using standard methodologies (Supplementary Methods) to create regional charcoal curves to assess changes in past biomass burning with relative changes in climate, cultural phases and land-use strategies.

Cultural change. Periods of cultural change have been identified on the basis of discontinuities in material culture (ceramic typologies) and in the architecture, size and distribution of settlements. These are thought to reflect either the replacement of one population by another or deep transformations within the same society over time. Figure 3 compares the chronology of cultural changes with the palaeoprecipitation records of each region discussed in the text.

in the central Amazon. Palaeoclimate records, including those of Pumacocha Lake[56] in the Andes, are recurrently antiphased with the eastern records. These proxies show a period of drought during the MCA period, followed by strengthening of the monsoon during the LIA[58,61,71]. Given the western origin of the polychrome expansion, the MCA drought could have been one of the drivers of the Guarita incursions toward the eastern Amazon that ultimately lead to the Paredão collapse.

Southwestern Amazon. Starting at ~400 BC, the southwestern Amazon was transformed into a dense ceremonial landscape with geometric enclosures known as geoglyphs[35,37,38]. Over 500 geoglyphs have been recorded. They combine square and circular ditches surrounding areas of 1–3 ha with walled enclosures, avenues and other earthworks. The low ceramic density, presence of votive deposits and lack of occupation debris suggests that geoglyphs were public spaces used for repeated gatherings, rather than permanent settlements[22,36,37]. In spite of the grandeur of the earthworks, there is no evidence of large-scale clearance beyond their immediate vicinity[38]. Nothing is known about the domestic sites of the geoglyph-builders, but the absence of size hierarchies and relative spatial regularity of the geoglyphs suggests that populations were dispersed[22,35,72]. Phytolith evidence from the ceremonial centres points to the consumption of maize and squash combined with the management of palms[38]. The formative ceremonial network of the southwestern Amazon was dissolved at ~AD 1000 and replaced by a new architectural tradition with smaller mound villages, sometimes built on top of or adjacent to earlier geoglyphs (Fig. 3d). Discontinuity is also visible in the ceramics recovered from mound villages, which differ markedly from those of the earlier earthworks[73]. Carbonised macrobotanical remains from mound habitation strata include Brazil nuts, palm seeds (*Attalea* and *Euterpe*) and maize kernels, suggesting the persistence of polycrop cultivation[39].

The termination of geoglyph construction coincides with an increase in $\delta^{18}O$ values observed during the MCA period at Pumacocha Lake, indicating a weakening of the monsoon and a decrease of precipitation over the Andes and western Amazon[56,74] (Fig. 3d). Other palaeoclimate records from the Andean slopes and western Amazonia show precipitation minima at ~AD 940 and AD 1025 (refs. [56,74,75]). Any causal relationship between drought and the cessation of construction of ceremonial enclosures must



Fig. 2 | Palaeoclimate records discussed in the text (see location in Fig. 1). MCA and LIA intervals are highlighted, demonstrating the antiphase between the north and south of the ITCZ and between the western and eastern Amazon. VPDB, Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite.

remain tentative given that the settlement patterns of the geoglyph-builders are poorly understood, but the temporal coincidence between the two events is remarkable. Variability in the regional charcoal curve (RCC) from the southwestern Amazon is not always synchronous with changes in precipitation: there is an increase in regional burning after the driest period of the MCA (~AD 900–AD 1100; Fig. 3d), but this coincides with the earliest dates for the construction of mound villages. Anthropogenic ignitions during the transition from geoglyphs to mound villages following the MCA may have been associated with increased land clearance for the construction of new sites. However, site construction and regional burning were inversely correlated as conditions became progressively wetter during the LIA, suggesting that either climate was the main driver of regional fire activity or that burning for land clearance was only practiced during the initial establishment of mound villages in the region — perhaps taking advantage of a sparsely inhabited landscape due to the decline of the geoglyph-builders.

A strengthening of monsoons is documented in the Pumacocha record over the following centuries[56,74], coinciding with the development of mound ring villages. Ceramics from the latter differ from the ceramics of the geoglyphs. The situation of the southwestern Amazon could be similar to that of the Guianas, central Amazon and eastern Amazon in that waves of migrants may have



Fig. 3 | Periods of cultural change and palaeoclimate records for six regions of Greater Amazonia, and regional charcoal curves from the best-sampled regions (Supplementary Methods). The duration of each archaeological culture is represented by summed calibrated probability distributions (SPDs) of the radiocarbon dates (magenta and orange lines) (Supplementary Tables 1–6, Supplementary Note and Supplementary Methods). The 'wetter' and 'drier' arrows refer to the interpretation of the palaeoprecipitation records. For the location of each region and palaeoclimate record, see Fig. 1. For the location of charcoal records, see Supplementary Table 7 and Supplementary Fig. 1. HW, half-window width.

been a factor contributing to the decline of local cultures during events of climate change.

Llanos de Moxos. The flooded savannah–forest mosaics of the Llanos de Moxos extend over 150,000 km^2 and are one of the most intensely modified landscapes in Amazonia[76]. Different regions of the Llanos de Moxos experienced cultural transformations at the eve of the European contact, from the abandonment of large habitation mounds in the south to the emergence of fortified settlements in the northern part of the Moxos (Fig. 3e).

Monumental mound region. The monumental mound region, located in the surroundings of the modern city of Trinidad, Bolivia, is characterized by habitation mounds, or lomas, up to 21 m high and 20 ha in surface area[40]. Such sites were built starting at ~AD 400 and are part of a network of enclosures, causeways that connect settlements and reservoirs, and drainage and irrigation canals[40,43,44]. Agricultural raised fields, ubiquitous elsewhere in the Llanos de Moxos, are absent, which has been attributed to the relatively good natural drainage in this region[41,42]. Mounds were built on a sedimentary lobe with higher elevation, better drainage and more fertile soils. The canals, some with an extension of several km, were built for multiple purposes. Some connect areas with differences in elevation, suggesting that drainage was the main function, whereas others divert water from lakes to the surroundings of mounds, presumably for irrigation[77]. There is evidence of cultivation of maize, manioc, yam, squash, peanuts and cotton from phytoliths, fossil pollen grains and macro-botanical remains[45,46]. Beyond the construction of canals for drainage of cultivated areas[41], pre-Columbian land use in the monumental mound region also involved more extensive burning of the savannas than was practiced in historical times[45]. Funerary evidence points to a highly stratified society, with lavish burial goods reserved for few individuals[43,44]. A hierarchical organization of sites has also been noticed[40]. Importantly, the extension of some canals suggests that they were public infrastructure built under supra-regional political organization[77]. The abandonment of this system at ~AD 1400 precedes contact with Europeans and is accompanied by changes in land use with the decline of savannah burning[45].

Ring ditch region. Around AD 1200, settlements surrounded by ditches known as zanjas began to emerge in the northern portion of the Llanos de Moxos, near modern-day Iténez and Baures, Bolivia. The zanjas exhibit irregular layouts and clear evidence of habitation, including house floors, domestic debris and urn burials[44,47–49]. Canals and other earthworks connect different enclosures[44,49]. There is no evidence of a regional hierarchy of sites comparable to that of the lomas. Phytolith and pollen evidence show that maize was cultivated and burning was practiced to maintain an open savannah around the sites during a period of forest expansion[48]. Zanjas are likely the archaeological correlates of fortified settlements described in historical times, attributed by the early chroniclers to increased warfare provoked by incursions from the Guarayos, a Tupi–Guarani-speaking group[50].

Climate change in the Llanos de Moxos can be inferred from the Umajalanta–Chiflonkhakha speleothem records from Torotoro Park, located in the tropical slopes of the eastern Andes[59] (Fig. 3e). Changes in precipitation are antiphased with those observed further north[59]. The depleted $\delta^{18}O$ values during the MCA show an anomalous wet period when compared to other records influenced by the SASM[59]. The following period, marked by a shift to drier conditions, coincides with an increase in fire activity in the RCC (Fig. 3e). However, the latter could also be explained by forest clearance[48]. Previous studies have argued that pre-Columbian fire management in the zanjas was used to clear agricultural lands from encroaching forests in response to the orbitally driven southward migration of the rainforest ecotone[78]. Importantly, the RCCs for both southwestern Amazon and the Llanos de Moxos suggest that human activity was the main driver of biomass burning in the late pre-Columbian period, supporting the hypothesis that late Holocene fires in this region were anthropogenic[79].

The abandonment of the lomas took place in the centuries between the MCA and the LIA, during which time conditions of prolonged drought reached their peak at ~AD 1300–AD 1500. This is in agreement with a trend of increased aridity recorded in Lake Titicaca's water levels[80] and documented in the Quelccaya ice cap[81], possibly linked to the collapse of the Tiwanaku state[8,9,82]. We argue that the same effects were felt in the Llanos de Moxos. In the monumental mound region, earthworks such as canals were possibly more important in the mitigation of seasonal floods than for irrigation[77], suggesting that those societies might have been more resilient to conditions of increased precipitation than to droughts. Unlike the previous case studies, the abandonment of the sites does not seem to have been followed or caused by the arrival of foreigners, reinforcing the role of climate change. However, concomitant with the demise of the lomas, and potentially related to their abandonment, settlements further north began to be enclosed by defensive ditches, signalling the intensification of warfare[83].

Southern Amazon. The transitional forests of the southern Amazon were densely settled with enclosed sites and other earthworks, as exemplified by the network of fortifications and roads in the upper Xingu (Xinguano tradition).

After ~AD 1100, settlements in this region were remodelled with the addition of ditches, walled plazas and causeways (Fig. 3f). Large, complex settlements constitute the hubs of a network of roads extending for ~20,000 km^2 that connect them to smaller villages, reflecting independent regional polities[51–53]. The largest sites, each over 20 ha in size, contain extensive ADE, occupation debris, house floors and middens, and are estimated to have had a population over 2,500 individuals[53]. The Xinguano system was probably heterarchical, revolving around political–ceremonial centres. Ditched enclosures that were further to the west and were associated with ADE in the Tapajós headwaters demonstrate spatial continuity from the upper Xingu to the Bolivian zanjas[84]. Together, these data indicate that the development of fortified sites must be understood as a large-scale phenomenon characterizing the southern Amazon.

The $\delta^{18}O$ record from the Pau d'Alho cave speleothem documents oscillations in the intensity of the SASM in western and central Brazil for the past 1,500 years[58] (Fig. 3f). Trends observed at Pau d'Alho are reflected elsewhere in central Brazil and are related to shifts in the mean position of the south Atlantic convergence zone (SACZ)[54]. Regions under significant influence of the SACZ do not show the same departures from the mean state of the monsoon during the MCA and LIA as western Amazonia or eastern Brazil, but rather a strong multi-decadal to centennial-scale variability in the transition between those two periods[54,58,85]. It was during this period of increased climatic volatility that settlements in the upper Xingu were fortified. Enclosures emerged elsewhere under different conditions. In the Llanos de Moxos, zanjas appeared during an episode of drought, suggesting a climatic driver of increased warfare and emergence of fortified sites. Overall, defensive structures must be understood in a broader context of warfare in Amazonia, whether or not this is related to climate change.

Discussion

Previous attempts to relate climate and culture in Amazonia postulated a deterministic link between environment and society[86]. The view that the environment imposed limitations to development in the tropics has been refuted by archaeological evidence of dense populations and complex societies in Amazonia, starting in the 1960s[87] and continuing today[3,84]. Due to this paradigm shift, we did not thoroughly explore correlations between climate change and cultural transformations, as the topic may be perceived to evoke outdated views. Here, we have identified temporal synchronicities between climate and cultural change in Amazonia. Elsewhere in the Americas, periods of abrupt change in the archaeological record have been shown to coincide with climatic events[88,89]. In Amazonia, however, the causality of these cultural changes is more difficult to ascertain. While some cultures were flourishing at the eve of the European encounter, sustaining dense populations and large settlements (for example, lower Tapajós), other societies with intensive landscape management systems, elaborate material culture and



Fig. 4 | Two models of land use in late pre-Columbian Amazonia. Not all the characteristics listed in each panel are present simultaneously in one society; for example, some may exhibit centralised political hierarchy but rely on polyculture agroforestry, while others may be decentralised but invest labour in raised fields and other earthworks. Nevertheless, late pre-Columbian Amazonian cultures tend to resemble more closely one of these ideal types or the other. Credit: http://phylopic.org/image/2dc5f2ee-1fda-4115-9fc6-b8aba1071348/ (right panel palms).

status inequalities had long disappeared and been replaced by smaller, mobile groups (for example, Marajó Island). There is growing evidence that the millennium preceding the European encounter was a period of transformations, with long-distance migrations, conflict, disintegration of complex societies and social reorganization across lowland South America[22,34,90].

Two models of land use. When differences in social organization and land use are taken into account, a pattern emerges (Fig. 4). Most of the pre-Columbian societies reviewed in this paper developed economic strategies that can be encompassed under the concept of 'landesque capital', which entails investment in infrastructure and landscape modifications that provide increased yields not only for the duration of one's lifespan, but also for future generations[91]. The construction of raised fields[92,93], the formation of ADEs[32], forest enrichment[28,94,95] and the creation of artificial ponds for management of aquatic resources[21] are all examples of landesque investment. Nevertheless, these are very distinct strategies, and it is unlikely that all of them would have been vulnerable to the same climatic fluctuations.

Here, we suggest that pre-Columbian societies with more intensive and specialized land-use systems were more vulnerable to transient (short-term but highly variable) events of climate change during the late Holocene. These societies also tended to exhibit greater social stratification and settlement hierarchies, as is clear from the examples of Marajó Island and the monumental mound region in the Llanos de Moxos, in conformity with the cross-cultural observation that intensification co-evolves with complex political structures[96–98]. The presence of status inequality and centralised decision-making may be key to understanding why those communities disappeared during periods of climate change, whereas other societies were unaffected. Political complexity may lead to rapid growth in the short term but also to increased vulnerability in the long term due to high interdependency of the constituent parts of the social system, so that changes in any component are likely to compromise the system as a whole and cause general collapse[99–101]. Furthermore, complex societies tend to promote and depend on the production of constant yields and surplus through intensification and specialization in resource exploitation, losing their ability to absorb unforeseen disturbances[12,13,102–104]. For example, economies depending on earthworks that changed hydrology, as in the case of drainage-enhancing canals found in the Llanos de Moxos, may become unstable during periods of drought. Vulnerability is further influenced by the environment in which the Llanos de Moxos societies (as well as the Marajoara chiefdoms) developed, since flooded ecosystems are prone to fire and erosion during drought[105].

We argue that Amazonian societies featuring high population densities, settlement hierarchy, ruling elites and intensive land-use systems (for example, the monumental mounds in the Llanos de Moxos, Marajoara in the eastern Amazon and Arauquinoid in the Guianas coast) became vulnerable to external factors, such as climate change. This is illustrated by the decline of the monumental mound region during the dry period (~AD 1300–AD 1500), at the peak of regional political complexity and settlement density. The same societies were unaffected by a more severe drought at ~AD 700 (Fig. 3e) when they were at the initial phases of their adaptive cycle (see below).

Alternatively, other pre-Columbian societies were experiencing a momentum of growth at the eve of the European encounter, as exemplified in the lower Tapajós and southern Amazonia. These regions had high population densities and large settlements spread over considerable areas. However, there is little evidence of political hierarchy. The Xinguano system in southern Amazonia has been described as a 'galactic' system with multiple political–ritual centres in a decentralised organization[51–53].

The Santarém culture is an ambiguous case. Historical accounts describe a tribute-based chiefdom in the lower Tapajós[23,24] whose capital could be the large settlement under the modern city of Santarém[23]. However, no archaeological evidence of differential access to prestige goods, high-status burials or conflict has been found[26]. The cultural affiliation of sites at the periphery of the Santarém sphere of influence shed doubt on the territorial extent of the polity[27,106]. Recent reviews of the archaeology of the lower Tapajós propose heterarchical models of political organization, either with a centralised organization encompassing independent communities[27] or a non-centralised polity based on a regional

collaborative network[26,107]. As for the Guarita of Central Amazon, Koriabo of the Guianas coast or mound villages of the southwestern Amazon, there is little to no evidence of regional site hierarchies or social stratification.

Beyond their decentralised political structures, the unifying factor in the perseverance of these societies may have been their land-use systems. Although archaeobotanical data are still scarce for many of the case studies listed above, Amazonian cultures like Santarém are known to have combined (1) the exploitation of ADEs and (2) the enrichment of forests with plants of economic importance[28]. ADEs, estimated to cover up to 3.2% of the Amazon basin, have received considerable attention due to their persistent fertility, constituting a crucial resource for sustainable agricultural practices in modern-day Amazonia[108–110]. The mechanisms behind the formation of ADEs have been widely debated, with ethnographic analogues and experiments suggesting that the resilient fertility results from the long-term repeated incorporation of waste material and charred biomass[111–113]. Forests with hyperdominant edible and useful species are significantly associated with ADE sites throughout Amazonia, which has been interpreted as an imprint of pre-Columbian land use[94,114,115]. Confirmation is provided by fossil pollen evidence showing that modern composition of flora in ADE sites results from millennia of forest enrichment associated with prolonged human settlement, but that occurred in the absence of large-scale deforestation[28]. The creation of 'domesticated forests' through selection, transportation and encouragement of growth of useful species, often associated with improved soils, ensured permanently enriched environments and food security in the long term[94,95].

The present-day prevalence of clearance for slash-and-burn agriculture in Amazonia has been suggested to result from the availability of metal tools, with more intensive management of gardens and forests in different stages of succession being the pattern in pre-Columbian times[29]. Nevertheless, clearance and burning do seem to have played a role in the establishment of ADEs, with later fire management suppressing larger wildfires[28]. Furthermore, it is possible that an infield–outfield system, similar to that of Mesoamerica, combining polyculture gardens with less labour-intensive swidden further from the settlements also existed in Amazonia[116]. In line with previous arguments about the sustainability of polyculture agroforestry in the Neotropics[28], our review of the archaeological and palaeoclimate record suggests that these land-use systems provided pre-Columbian Amazonian societies with resilience to both transient and protracted (multi-year to multi-decade) climate variability when compared to specialized production maximisation strategies.

Risk management and adaptive cycles

The patterns summarised above are in agreement with the body of theory on risk management strategies and are comparable to other cases where different land-use strategies have triggered opposing responses in the face of environmental change. Populations residing in stable environments have been suggested to benefit, in the short term, from maximisation strategies, which provide high yields and surplus that can be diverted to the maintenance of political complexity and large populations. However, they were also found to suffer heavier losses in events of environmental perturbation. In contrast, populations practicing risk minimisation strategies (population control, mobility, diversification) are considered to be more stable in unpredictable environments over the long term[11]. In that regard, the economic and social disparities between the various regions of Amazonia resemble the Polynesian cases of Mangaia and Tikopia[10]. Mangaia was covered by old-growth forest that depended on thin organic soils that were soon depleted by the slash-and-burn agriculture of the initial colonists. Soil depletion resulted in the eventual need to develop irrigation systems on valley bottoms, leading to strong leadership and competitive warfare. In contrast, Tikopia offered a more resilient environment, but the determining factor in its success was the shift from slash-and-burn agriculture to a form of arboriculture that mimicked the diversity of the rainforest[10].

Beyond risk management, panarchy theory, through the concept of adaptive cycles, helps with understanding the patterns of growth and decline of late pre-Columbian Amazonian societies. Panarchy theory was devised to explain the dynamics of social–ecological systems, postulating the existence of interlinked adaptive cycles, observed at multiple independent spatial scales. The cycles involve stages of growth/exploitation (r), conservation/construction (k), release (Ω) and, ultimately, reorganization (α)[12–14]. The first two phases comprise a long period during which resources are accumulated, whereas the latter two phases develop over a short period of sudden energy release. In human societies, reorganization often involves rescaling of population toward smaller communities, only to begin a new cycle. Regionally integrated, hierarchical societies, however, tend to resist such fluctuations and artificially prolong the growth and conservation stages. By attempting to maintain constant yields through economic intensification, specialization and political centralisation, these societies accumulate rigidities. They may appear sustainable while, in fact, developing lower resilience and becoming prone to crisis under the stress of external agents, such as climate hazards[14]. We argue that archaeological cultures, including those of the monumental mound region in the Llanos de Moxos, Marajó Island and Guianas coast, with their high population densities, hierarchy of settlements, ruling elite and intensive land-use systems, were approaching the Ω phase and had become more vulnerable to climate change, causing their overall collapse and reorganization.

Finally, we highlight that the different intentionality and social organization behind the land-use systems, as discussed above, may have bearing on resilience. The construction of raised fields, artificial ponds, canals and other earthworks are voluntary practices of terraforming with the immediate aim of intensifying production. In Amazonia, as elsewhere, their construction both sustained and depended on complex political organizations[96]. Yet, productive earthworks were not reutilised after the European conquest. Despite improving agricultural potential in the short term, the raised fields of the Llanos de Moxos developed worse soil properties than the surrounding savannas due to leaching[41]. Rehabilitation of raised field agriculture has also failed due to social factors, reinforcing its dependence on a particular form of political organization[117]. In contrast, anthropogenic forests and ADEs continue to be exploited by local Amazonian communities, even though the actions that resulted in their formation, such as species selection and waste disposal into middens were part of broader economic strategies and not consciously intended for immediate advantage[95,118]. Crucially, anthropogenic forests enriched with useful flora and fertile soils were of benefit to societies regardless of social organization, one of the reasons behind the resilience of some Amazonian societies.

Migration and conflict are other drivers of change. Obvious exceptions to the above are the cases of the central and southwestern Amazon. In the southwestern Amazon, little is known about the domestic sites of the geoglyph-builders, but they were most likely small-scale communities practicing crop cultivation and forest enrichment[38]. No evidence has been found of ADEs[109], which reinforces the observation that, elsewhere, anthropogenic soil formation was the key to providing a successful and resilient form of agricultural production in the neotropics[28,109,119,120].

In the central Amazon, climate change may be less relevant in the explanation of the demise of the Paredão mound villages, which also practiced polyculture agroforestry and ADE formation, than conflict provoked by incursions of the Guarita groups[33,34]. Migrations have also been invoked in the case of Marajó and the Guianas coast. Population displacements were a phenomenon

documented throughout Amazonia during late pre-Columbian times[33]. Rather than suggesting climate change to be the only cause for all transformations reviewed in this paper, we recognize the turn of the second millennium AD as a period of widespread reorganization and population movement, among which climate change may have played a role. A similar scenario is exemplified by the 'crisis' of the Late Bronze Age (~1200 BC–1150 BC), when prolonged droughts set in motion migrations, warfare and upheavals throughout the eastern Mediterranean, leading to the dissolution of once powerful polities[121–123]. Societies otherwise unaffected by climate change could have faced challenges as part of a chain reaction set in motion by broader population relocations across South America — as in the case of the purported 'ripple effects' of the Tiwanaku collapse[124].

Conclusion

Considerable population declines followed the European encounter (AD 1492) in the Americas, but population dynamics preceding that date remain underexplored. While major cultural demises in the US Southwest, Mesoamerica and the Andes happened in response to climate change before the European arrival, similar changes in Amazonia remain poorly understood. By comparing archaeological data with data from palaeoclimate proxies and regional-scale burning, we show that some Amazonian cultures flourished during periods of climate change, whereas others collapsed. We argue that differences in land use and socio-political organization may be key to understanding vulnerability versus resilience to environmental stress.

Recent debates about the post-AD 1492 population collapse in the Americas have focused on consequences for forest regrowth, decrease of atmospheric CO_2 and exacerbation of climate change and impacts on biodiversity that occurred during the LIA[2,125–127]. As more archaeological, palaeoclimatological and palaeoecological data become available and integrated, we foresee a refinement of our understanding about land use and population densities across the Neotropics. As researchers continue to explore how different cultures responded to climate change, and document transformations before AD 1492, we expect major contributions will be made to understand the demographic and environmental consequences of the Columbian encounter.

Received: 29 July 2018; Accepted: 14 May 2019;
Published online: 17 June 2019

References

1. Dobyns, H. F. An appraisal of techniques with a new hemispheric estimate. *Curr. Anthropol.* **7**, 395–416 (1966).
2. Koch, A., Brierley, C., Maslin, M. M. & Lewis, S. L. Earth system impacts of the European arrival and Great Dying in the Americas after 1492. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **207**, 13–36 (2019).
3. Clement, C. R. et al. The domestication of Amazonia before European conquest. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **282**, 20150813 (2015).
4. Denevan, W. M. Estimating Amazonian Indian Numbers in 1492. *J. Lat. Am. Geogr.* **13**, 207–221 (2014).
5. Polyak, V. J. & Asmerom, Y. Late Holocene climate and cultural changes in the southwestern United States. *Science* **294**, 148–151 (2001).
6. Kennett, D. J. et al. Development and disintegration of Maya political systems in response to climate change. *Science* **338**, 788–791 (2012).
7. Douglas, P. M. J., Demarest, A. A., Brenner, M. & Canuto, M. A. Impacts of climate change on the collapse of lowland Maya civilization. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **44**, 613–645 (2016).
8. Ortloff, C. R. & Kolata, A. L. Climate and collapse: agro-ecological perspectives on the decline of the Tiwanaku state. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **20**, 195–221 (1993).
9. Binford, M. W. et al. Climate variation and the rise and fall of an Andean civilization. *Quat. Res.* **47**, 235–248 (1997).
10. Kirch, P. V. Microcosmic histories: island perspectives on "global" change. *Am. Anthropol.* **99**, 30–42 (1997).
11. Allen, M. S. Bet-hedging strategies, agricultural change, and unpredictable environments: historical development of dryland agriculture in Kona, Hawaii. *J. Anthropol. Archaeol.* **23**, 196–224 (2004).
12. Gunderson, L. H. & Holling, C. S. *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems* (Island Press, 2002).
13. Holling, C.S. in *Sustainable Development of the Biosphere* (eds Clark, W. C. & Munn, R. E.) 292–317 (Cambridge University Press, 1986).
14. Holling, C. S. Understanding the complexity of economic, ecological, and social systems. *Ecosyst. (N. Y.)* **4**, 390–405 (2001).
15. Rostain, S. *Islands in the Rainforest: Landscape Management in Pre-Columbian Amazonia* (Left Coast, 2013).
16. Versteeg, A.H. in *Handbook of South American Archaeology* (eds. Silverman, H. & Isbell, W. H.) 303–318 (Springer, 2008).
17. Iriarte, J. et al. Late Holocene Neotropical agricultural landscapes: phytolith and stable carbon isotope analysis of raised fields from French Guianan coastal savannahs. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **37**, 2984–2994 (2010).
18. McKey, D. et al. Pre-Columbian agricultural landscapes, ecosystem engineers and self-organized patchiness in Amazonia. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 7823–7828 (2010).
19. Van den Bel, M. in *Arqueologia Amazônica* (eds E. Pereira & V. Guapindaia) 61–93 (Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, 2010).
20. Roosevelt, A.C. *Moundbuilders of the Amazon: Geophysical Archaeology on Marajo Island, Brazil* (Academic Press, 1991).
21. Schaan, D.P. *The Camutins Chiefdom: Rise and Development of Social Complexity on Marajó Island, Brazilian Amazon* (University of Pittsburgh, 2004).
22. Schaan, D.P. *Sacred Geographies of Ancient Amazonia: Historical Ecology of Social Complexity* (Left Coast Press, 2011).
23. Roosevelt, A.C. in *Complex Polities in the Ancient Tropical World* (eds Elisabeth A. Bacus & Lisa J. Lucero) 13–33 (Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association, 1999).
24. Nimuendajú, C. Os Tapajó. *Bol. Mus. Para. Emilio Goeldi* **10**, 93–106 (1948).
25. Gomes, D. M. C. The diversity of social forms in pre-colonial Amazonia. *Revista de Arqueología Americana* **25**, 189–225 (2007).
26. Schaan, D.P. in *Beyond Waters: Archaeology and Environmental History of the Amazonian Inland* (ed. Stenborg, P. D.) 23–36 (University of Gothenburg, 2016).
27. Gomes, D. M. C. Politics and ritual in large villages in Santarém, lower Amazon, Brazil. *Camb. Archaeol. J.* **27**, 275–293 (2016).
28. Maezumi, S. Y. et al. The legacy of 4,500 years of polyculture agroforestry in the eastern Amazon. *Nat. Plants* **4**, 540–547 (2018).
29. Neves, E.G. in *Human–Environment Interactions: Current and Future Directions* (eds Brondízio, E. S. & Moran, E. F.) 371–388 (Springer Netherlands, 2013).
30. Neves, E.G. & Petersen, J.B. in *Time and Complexity in Historical Ecology: Studies in the Neotropical Lowlands* (eds Balée, W. & Erickson, C. L.) 279–310 (Columbia University Press, 2006).
31. Heckenberger, M. J., Petersen, J. B. & Neves, E. G. Village size and permanence in Amazonia: two archaeological examples from Brazil. *Lat. Am. Antiq.* **10**, 353–376 (1999).
32. Bozarth, S.R., Price, K., Woods, W.I., Neves, E.G. & Rebellato, R. in *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision* (eds Woods, W. I. et al.) 85–98 (Springer Netherlands, 2009).
33. Neves, E. in *Ethnicity in Ancient Amazonia: Reconstructing Past Identities from Archaeology, Linguistics, and Ethnohistory* (eds Hornborg, A. & Hill, J. D.) 31–56 (University of Colorado Press, 2011).
34. Moraes, C. P. & Neves, E. G. O ano 1000: adensamento populacional, interação e conflito na Amazônia Central. *Amazônica a* **4**, 122–148 (2012).
35. Pärssinen, M., Schaan, D. P. & Ranzi, A. Pre-Columbian geometric earthworks in the upper Purús: a complex society in western Amazonia. *Antiquity* **83**, 1084–1095 (2009).
36. Saunaluoma, S. & Schaan, D. Monumentality in Western Amazonian formative societies: geometric ditched enclosures in the Brazilian state of Acre. *Antiqua* **2**, 1 (2012).
37. Schaan, D. et al. New radiometric dates for precolumbian (2000–700 B.P.) earthworks in western Amazonia, Brazil. *J. Field Archaeol.* **37**, 132–142 (2012).
38. Watling, J. et al. Impact of pre-Columbian "geoglyph" builders on Amazonian forests. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 1868–1873 (2017).
39. Neves, E. G. et al. *Pesquisa e Formação nos Sítios Arqueológicos Espinhara e Sol de Campinas do Acre - PESC* (University of São Paulo, São Paulo, 2016).
40. Lombardo, U. & Prümers, H. Pre-Columbian human occupation patterns in the eastern plains of the Llanos de Moxos, Bolivian Amazonia. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **37**, 1875–1885 (2010).
41. Lombardo, U., Denier, S., May, J.-H., Rodrigues, L. & Veit, H. Human–environment interactions in pre-Columbian Amazonia: The case of the Llanos de Moxos, Bolivia. *Quat. Int.* **312**, 109–119 (2013).
42. Lombardo, U., Denier, S. & Veit, H. Soil properties and pre-Columbian settlement patterns in the Monumental Mounds Region of the Llanos de Moxos, Bolivian Amazon. *SOIL* **1**, 65–81 (2015).

43. Prümers, H. ¿"Charlatanocracia" en Mojos? investigaciones arqueológicas en la Loma Salvatierra, Beni, Bolivia. *Bol. Arqueol. PUCP* **11**, 103–116 (2007).
44. Prümers, H. & Jaimes Betancourt, C. 100 años de investigación arqueológica en los Llanos de Mojos. *Arqueoantropológicas* **4**, 11–54 (2014).
45. Whitney, B. S., Dickau, R., Mayle, F. E., Soto, J. D. & Iriarte, J. Pre-Columbian landscape impact and agriculture in the Monumental Mound region of the Llanos de Moxos, lowland Bolivia. *Quat. Res.* **80**, 207–217 (2013).
46. Dickau, R. et al. Diversity of cultivars and other plant resources used at habitation sites in the Llanos de Mojos, Beni, Bolivia: evidence from macrobotanical remains, starch grains, and phytoliths. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **39**, 357–370 (2012).
47. Prümers, H., Jaimes Betancourt, C. & Plaza Martinez, R. Algunas tumbas prehispanicas de Bella Vista, Prov. Iténez, Bolivia. *Z. f.ür. Arch.äologie Außereuropäischer Kult.* **1**, 251–284 (2006).
48. Carson, J. F. et al. Environmental impact of geometric earthwork construction in pre-Columbian Amazonia. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 10497–10502 (2014).
49. Prümers, H. in *Amazonía: Memorias de las Conferencias Magistrales del 3er Encuentro Internacional de Arqueología Amazónica* (ed Rostain, S.) 73–89 (Ekseption Publicidad, 2014).
50. Erickson, C.L. in *Arqueología de las Tierras Bajas* (eds Coirolo, A. D. & Boksar, R. B.) 207–226 (Comisión Nacional de Arqueología, 2000).
51. Heckenberger, M. J. et al. Amazonia 1492: pristine forest or cultural parkland? *Science* **301**, 1710–1714 (2003).
52. Heckenberger, M.J. *The Ecology of Power: Culture, Place, and Personhood in the Southern Amazon, A.D. 1000–2000* (Routledge, 2005).
53. Heckenberger, M. J. et al. Pre-Columbian Urbanism, Anthropogenic Landscapes, and the Future of the Amazon. *Science* **321**, 1214–1217 (2008).
54. Novello, V. F. et al. Two millennia of south Atlantic convergence zone variability reconstructed from isotopic proxies. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **45**, 5045–5051 (2018).
55. Haug, G. H., Hughen, K. A., Sigman, D. M., Peterson, L. C. & Rohl, U. Southward migration of the intertropical convergence zone through the Holocene. *Science* **293**, 1304–1308 (2001).
56. Bird, B. W. et al. A 2,300-year-long annually resolved record of the South American summer monsoon from the Peruvian Andes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 8583–8588 (2011).
57. Wang, X. et al. Hydroclimate changes across the Amazon lowlands over the past 45,000 years. *Nature* **541**, 204 (2017).
58. Novello, V. F. et al. Centennial-scale solar forcing of the South American Monsoon System recorded in stalagmites. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24762 (2016).
59. Apaéstegui, J. et al. Precipitation changes over the eastern Bolivian Andes inferred from speleothem (δ18O) records for the last 1400 years. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **494**, 124–134 (2018).
60. Mann, M. E. et al. Global signatures and dynamical origins of the Little Ice Age and medieval climate anomaly. *Science* **326**, 1256–1260 (2009).
61. Vuille, M. et al. A review of the South American monsoon history as recorded in stable isotopic proxies over the past two millennia. *Clim* **8**, 1309 (2012).
62. Hammond, D. S., Steege, Ht & Van Der Borg, K. Upland soil charcoal in the wet tropical forests of central Guyana. *Biotropica* **39**, 153–160 (2007).
63. Iriarte, J. et al. Fire-free land use in pre-1492 Amazonian savannas. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 6473–6478 (2012).
64. Schaan, D.P. in *The Handbook of South American Archaeology* (eds Silverman, H. & Isbell, W. H.) 339–357 (Springer New York, 2008).
65. Hermenegildo, T., O'Connell, T. C., Guapindaia, V. L. C. & Neves, E. G. New evidence for subsistence strategies of late pre-colonial societies of the mouth of the Amazon based on carbon and nitrogen isotopic data. *Quat. Int.* **448**, 139–149 (2017).
66. Meggers, B.J. & Evans, C. *Archaeological Investigations at the Mouth of the Amazon* (Smithsonian Institution, 1957).
67. Cheng, H. et al. Climate change patterns in Amazonia and biodiversity. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1411 (2013).
68. Lara, R. J. & Cohen, M. C. L. Palaeolimnological studies and ancient maps confirm secular climate fluctuations in Amazonia. *Clim. Change* **94**, 399–408 (2009).
69. Almeida, F.O. & Neves, E.G. in *Antes de Orellana: Actas del 3er Encuentro Internacional de Arqueología Amazónica* (ed. Rostain, S.) 175–182 (Ekseption Publicidad, 2014).
70. Oliveira, E. in *Cerâmicas Arqueológicas da Amazônia: Rumo a Uma Nova Síntese* (eds Barreto, C., Lima, H. P. & Betancourt, C. J.) 387–396 (IPHAN/Ministério da Cultura, 2012).
71. Novello, V. F. et al. Multidecadal climate variability in Brazil's Nordeste during the last 3000 years based on speleothem isotope records. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L23706 (2012).
72. Schaan, D. P., Pärssinen, M., Ranzi, A. & Piccoli, J. Geoglifos da Amazônia ocidental: Evidência de complexidade social entre povos da terra firme. *Rev. de. Arqueol.* **20**, 67–82 (2007).
73. Saunaluoma, S. Pre-Columbian earthworks in the Riberalta region of the Bolivian Amazon. *Amazônica* **2**, 104–138 (2010).
74. Apaéstegui, J. et al. Hydroclimate variability of the northwestern Amazon basin near the Andean foothills of Peru related to the South American monsoon system during the last 1600 years. *Clim* **10**, 1967–1981 (2014).
75. Kanner, L. C., Burns, S. J., Cheng, H., Edwards, R. L. & Vuille, M. High-resolution variability of the South American summer monsoon over the last seven millennia: insights from a speleothem record from the central Peruvian Andes. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **75**, 1–10 (2013).
76. Erickson, C.L. in *Time and Complexity in Historical Ecology: Studies in the Neotropical Lowlands* (eds Balée, W. & Erickson, C. L.) 235–278 (Columbia University Press, 2006).
77. Lombardo, U., May, J.-H. & Veit, H. Mid- to late-Holocene fluvial activity behind pre-Columbian social complexity in the southwestern Amazon basin. *Holocene* **22**, 1035–1045 (2012).
78. Mayle, F. E., Burbridge, R. & Killeen, T. J. Millennial-scale dynamics of southern Amazonian rain forests. *Science* **290**, 2291–2294 (2000).
79. Maezumi, S. Y., Whitney, B. S., Mayle, F. E., Gregorio de Souza, J. & Iriarte, J. Reassessing climate and pre-Columbian drivers of paleofire activity in the Bolivian Amazon. *Quat. Int.* **488**, 81–94 (2017).
80. Abbott, M. B., Binford, M. W., Brenner, M. & Kelts, K. R. A. 3500 ^{14}C yr high-resolution record of water-level changes in Lake Titicaca, Bolivia/Peru. *Quat. Res.* **47**, 169–180 (1997).
81. Thompson, G., Mosley-Thompson, E., Bolzan, J. F. & Koci, B. R. A. 1500-year record of tropical precipitation in ice cores from the Quelccaya Ice Cap, Peru. *Science* **229**, 971–973 (1985).
82. Kolata, A.L. & Ortloff, C.R. in *Tiwanaku And Its Hinterland: Archaeology And Paleoecology On An Andean Civilization Smithsonian Series In Archaeological Inquiry* 181–201 (Smithsonian Institution Press, 1996).
83. Eder, F.J. *Breve Descripción de las Reducciones de Mojos* (J. Barnadas, 1985).
84. de Souza, J. G. et al. Pre-Columbian earth-builders settled along the entire southern rim of the Amazon. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1125 (2018).
85. Wortham, B. E. et al. Assessing response of local moisture conditions in central Brazil to variability in regional monsoon intensity using speleothem ^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr values. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **463**, 310–322 (2017).
86. Meggers, B. J. Environmental limitation on the development of culture. *Am. Anthropol.* **56**, 801–824 (1954).
87. Denevan, W. M. Pre-Spanish earthworks in the Llanos de Mojos of northeastern Bolivia. *Rev. Geogr.áfica* **33**, 17–25 (1964).
88. deMenocal, P. B. Cultural responses to climate change during the Late Holocene. *Science* **292**, 667–673 (2001).
89. Hodell, D. A., Curtis, J. H. & Brenner, M. Possible role of climate in the collapse of ancient Maya civilization. *Nature* **357**, 391–394 (1995).
90. Iriarte, J., DeBlasis, P., De Souza, J. G. & Corteletti, R. Emergent complexity, changing landscapes, and spheres of interaction in southeastern South America during the Middle and Late Holocene. *J. Archaeol. Res.* **25**, 251–313 (2017).
91. Håkansson, N. T. & Widgren, M. *Landesque Capital: The Historical Ecology of Enduring Landscape Modifications* (Left Coast Press, 2014).
92. Whitney, B. S. et al. Pre-Columbian raised-field agriculture and land use in the Bolivian Amazon. *Holocene* **24**, 231–241 (2014).
93. Rodrigues, L., Lombardo, U. & Veit, H. Design of pre-Columbian raised fields in the Llanos de Moxos, Bolivian Amazon: differential adaptations to the local environment? *J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep.* **17**, 366–378 (2018).
94. Levis, C. et al. Persistent effects of pre-Columbian plant domestication on Amazonian forest composition. *Science* **355**, 925–931 (2017).
95. Levis, C. et al. How people domesticated Amazonian forests. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 171 (2018).
96. Sheehan, O., Watts, J., Gray, R. D. & Atkinson, Q. D. Coevolution of landesque capital intensive agriculture and sociopolitical hierarchy. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 3628–3633 (2018).
97. Earle, T.K. *How Chiefs Come to Power: the Political Economy in Prehistory* (Stanford University Press, 1997).
98. Turchin, P. et al. Quantitative historical analysis uncovers a single dimension of complexity that structures global variation in human social organization. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E144–E151 (2017).
99. Dark, K.R. *Waves of Time: Long Term Change and International Relations* (Continuum, 1998).
100. Johnson, N. *Simply Complexity: a Clear Guide to Complexity Theory* (OneWorld Publications, 2007).
101. Renfrew, C. in *Transformations, Mathematical Approaches to Culture Change* (eds Renfrew, C. & Cooke, K. L.) 481–506 (Academic Press, 1979).
102. Redman, C. L. & Kinzig, A. P. Resilience of past landscapes: resilience theory, society, and the longue durée. *Conserv. Ecol.* **7**, 14 (2003).
103. Redman, C. L. Resilience theory in archaeology. *Am. Anthropol.* **107**, 70–77 (2005).
104. Hegmon, M. et al. Social transformation and its human costs in the prehispanic U.S. Southwest. *Am. Anthropol.* **110**, 313–324 (2008).

105. Flores, B. M. et al. Floodplains as an Achilles' heel of Amazonian forest resilience. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 4442–4446 (2017).
106. Gomes, D. C. Cronologia e Conexões Culturais na Amazônia: as Sociedades Formativas na Região de Santarém. *Pa. Rev. Antropol.* **54**, 268–314 (2011).
107. Quinn, E. *Excavating "Tapajó" Ceramics at Santarém: Their Age and Archaeological Context* PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Chicago (2004).
108. Woods, W. I. et al. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision* (Springer, 2009).
109. McMichael, C. H. et al. Predicting pre-Columbian anthropogenic soils in Amazonia. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **281**, 20132475 (2014).
110. Glaser, B. & Woods, W. I. *Amazonian Dark Earths: Explorations in Space and Time* (Springer, 2004).
111. Schmidt, M.J. & Heckenberger, M.J. in *Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision* (eds Woods, W. I. et al.) 163–191 (Springer, 2009).
112. Glaser, B. Prehistorically modified soils of central Amazonia: a model for sustainable agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* **362**, 187–196 (2007).
113. Neves, E.G., Petersen, J.B., Bartone, R. & Augusto Da Silva, C. in *Amazonian Dark Earths: Origins, Properties, Management* (eds Lehmann, J., Kern, D. C., Glaser, B. & Woods, W. I.) 29–50 (Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2004).
114. Levis, C. et al. historical human footprint on modern tree species composition in the Purus-Madeira Interfluve, Central Amazonia. *PLoS One* **7**, e48559 (2012).
115. ter Steege, H. et al. Hyperdominance in the Amazonian tree flora. *Science* **342**, 1243092 (2013).
116. Kunen, J.L. *Ancient Maya Life in the Far West Bajo: Social and Environmental Change in the Wetlands of Belize* (The University of Arizona Press, 2004).
117. Rodrigues, L. et al. An insight into pre-Columbian raised fields: the case of San Borja, Bolivian lowlands. *SOIL* **2**, 367–389 (2016).
118. Balée, W.L. *Footprints of the Forest: Ka'apor Ethnobotany — The Historical Ecology of Plant Utilization by an Amazonian People* (Columbia University Press, 1994).
119. Arroyo-Kalin, M. The Amazonian formative: crop domestication and anthropogenic soils. *Divers. (Basel)* **2**, 473–504 (2010).
120. Woods, W.I., Denevan, W.M. & Rebellato, L. in *Soils, Climate and Society: Archaeological Investigations in Ancient America* (eds Wingard, J. D. & Hayes, S. E.) 1–20 (University Press of Colorado, 2013).
121. Kaniewski, D. et al. Environmental roots of the Late Bronze Age crisis. *PLoS One* **8**, e71004 (2013).
122. Drake, B. L. The influence of climatic change on the Late Bronze Age Collapse and the Greek Dark Ages. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* **39**, 1862–1870 (2012).
123. Cline, E.H. *1177 BC: The Year Civilization Collapsed* (Princeton University Press, 2015).
124. Dillehay, T. D. et al. Cultivated wetlands and emerging complexity in south-central Chile and long distance effects of climate change. *Antiquity* **81**, 949–960 (2015).
125. Dull, R. A. et al. The Columbian encounter and the Little Ice Age: abrupt land use change, fire, and greenhouse forcing. *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr.* **100**, 755–771 (2010).
126. Nevle, R. J., Bird, D. K., Ruddiman, W. F. & Dull, R. A. Neotropical human–landscape interactions, fire, and atmospheric CO_2 during European conquest. *Holocene* **21**, 853–864 (2011).
127. Power, M. J. et al. Climatic control of the biomass-burning decline in the Americas after ad 1500. *Holocene* **23**, 3–13 (2012).
128. WinklerPrins, A. Locating Amazonian dark earths: creating an interactive GIS of known locations. *J. Lat. Am. Geogr.* **9**, 33–50 (2010).
129. IPHAN. *National Register of Archaeological Sites (CNSA)* (IPHAN, 2018); http://portal.iphan.gov.br/sgpa/?consulta=cnsa

Acknowledgements

This paper is the result of the 2016 international workshop "Land use and climate changes at the eve of conquest: an interdisciplinary approach", part of the PAST project funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. ERC_Cog 616179). F.W.C. was supported by a São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant: 2017/50085-3. V.F.N. was supported by a São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant: 2016/15807-5. We thank the members of the PAGES sponsored Global Paleofire Working Group for their support for the global charcoal database.

Author contributions

J.I., J.G.d.S. and M.R. designed the research. J.G.d.S., M.R., J.C., J.A.H., U.L., D.T.A., S.R. and J.I. compiled and interpreted archaeological data. V.F.N., J.A. and F.W.d.C. compiled and interpreted palaeoclimatic data. S.Y.M. and M.J.P. compiled and interpreted palaeofire data. B.W., D.U., F.E.M. and H.H. compiled and interpreted palaeoecological data. J.G.d.S. led the writing of the paper, with inputs from all other authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0924-0.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Correspondence should be addressed to J.G.d.S.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.