

RT-MAE-9003

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CARICATURE OF
PHASE TRANSITION

by

Roberto H. Schonmann

and

Nelson I. Tanaka

Palavras Chaves: Ising model; zero temperature
(Key words) limit; sharp transition;
fluctuations; criticality

Classificação AMS: 82A05
(AMS Classification)

ONE-DIMENSIONAL CARICATURE OF PHASE TRANSITION

Roberto H. Schonmann* and Nelson I. Tanaka*

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
C. P. 20570 (Ag. Iguatemi)
01498-São Paulo-SP-Brazil.
e-mail: rhschonm@brusp.bitnet
nitnaka@brusp.bitnet

Abstract:

In the limit as the volume grows and the temperature vanishes, it is shown that the one-dimensional nearest neighbor ferromagnetic Ising model presents a sharp transition between two different regimes. Fluctuations are studied in one of these regimes and also in the critical case.

Key words and phrases:

Ising Model, zero temperature limit, sharp transition, fluctuations, criticality.

* Partially supported by CNPq (Brazil).

We show in this paper that the very simple one-dimensional nearest neighbor ferromagnetic Ising model presents a sharp transition between two different regimes if one considers limit in which the volume grows to infinity and the temperature vanishes simultaneously. The transition occurs with respect to a parameter which defines the relationship between the volume and the temperature.

Our motivation for considering such a subject comes from two sources. One is the fact that a similar phenomenon seems to occur in the much more elaborate model known as Bootstrap Percolation on the square lattice Z^2 (see [AL] and [ASA]). For this model if one lets the linear size of the system L grow to infinity as the parameter p (the initial density) goes to zero, keeping a relation of the type

$$L = \exp(\alpha/p),$$

then the asymptotic behavior has been proven to be different in the cases $\alpha < \alpha_1$ and $\alpha > \alpha_2$, where $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \infty$ are fixed constants. In fact, one expects a sharp threshold for α , separating the two regimes. One may argue that this sort of transition may have some physical significance yielding an apparent critical point at finite volume which varies slowly with L . This in part may explain why for other related models simulation have indicated the presence of non-trivial critical points at infinite volume, contrary to rigorous results (see [Fro], [Sch1],[Sch2] and references given therein).

Another source of motivation is the research on metastability for Ising models with Glauber type of dynamics, in the limit as the temperature goes to zero. In [NS] this problem was investigated in the two dimensional case for fixed volume. Related questions may be raised in the limit as the volume grows to infinity and the temperature vanishes. Here we start investigating this limit for the simpler one-dimensional Ising model in equilibrium. We consider a chain of N spins $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$, taking values -1 or $+1$ and interacting via the energy (free boundary conditions)

$$H_N(\sigma) = - (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}.$$

The corresponding Gibbs measure at inverse temperature β is given by

$$\mu(\sigma) = Z^{-1} \exp(-\beta H_N(\sigma)),$$

where

$$Z = \sum_{(\sigma \in (-1, +1)^N)} \exp(-\beta H_N(\sigma)).$$

As is well known, this probability distribution corresponds to a Markov Chain indexed by the sites $1, \dots, N$, with transition probabilities given by

$$\mu(\sigma_{i+1} = -1 / \sigma_i = -1) = 1 - p,$$

$$\mu(\sigma_{i+1} = +1 / \sigma_i = -1) = p,$$

$$\mu(\sigma_{i+1} = -1 / \sigma_i = +1) = p,$$

$$\mu(\sigma_{i+1} = +1 / \sigma_i = +1) = 1 - p,$$

where

$$p = \frac{\exp(-\beta)}{1 + \exp(-\beta)}.$$

The first spin, σ_1 , takes the values $+1$ or -1 with equal probabilities $1/2$.

We are interested in the limit when $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ and N is taken as

$$N = N(\beta) = [\exp(\alpha\beta)],$$

(where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part and $\alpha > 0$) which goes also to infinity. This means we are considering the asymptotic behavior of large systems at low temperature.

Let

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i$$

be the average spin. The symbol δ_x will denote the measure concentrated on the number x while \xrightarrow{D} will denote convergence in distribution.

Proposition 1:

a) If $0 < \alpha < 1$ then $m \xrightarrow{D} (1/2) \delta_{-1} + (1/2) \delta_{+1}$.

b) If $\alpha > 1$ then $m \xrightarrow{D} \delta_0$.

Hence there is a sharp threshold at $\alpha = 1$. In order to describe what happens at the critical value $\alpha = 1$ we need some definitions first. Let X_k^1, \dots, X_k^k be k independent random variables uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. Let Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k be their order statistics, i.e.,

$$Y_k^1 = \min \{ X_k^i : i = 1, \dots, k \}$$

and

$$Y_k^r = \min \{ X_k^i : i = 1, \dots, k, X_k^i > Y_k^{r-1} \}, \quad r = 2, \dots, k.$$

Define the probability distributions $\mu_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$ on \mathbb{R} by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k((-\infty, x]) &= (1/2) P((Y_k^1) - (Y_k^2 - Y_k^1) + (Y_k^3 - Y_k^2) - \dots + (-1)^{k-1} (Y_k^k - Y_k^{k-1}) + (-1)^k (1 - Y_k^k) \leq x) \\ &+ (1/2) P(-(Y_k^1) + (Y_k^2 - Y_k^1) - (Y_k^3 - Y_k^2) + \dots + (-1)^k (Y_k^k - Y_k^{k-1}) + (-1)^{k+1} (1 - Y_k^k) \leq x). \end{aligned}$$

For each k, μ_k is clearly concentrated on $[-1, +1]$ and absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Proposition 2:

If $\alpha = 1$ then

$$m \xrightarrow{D} e^{-1} \left((1/2) \delta_{-1} + (1/2) \delta_{+1} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-1}}{k!} \mu_k.$$

Notice that in this case the limiting distribution has a discrete and an absolutely continuous part.

Part (b) of Proposition 1 suggests one should study the fluctuations of m around zero, in the limit. These fluctuations turn out to be normally distributed if m is properly rescaled. $N(0,1)$ below denotes the standard Normal distribution.

Proposition 3:

If $\alpha > 1$ then

$$m N^{(1/2)(1/2\alpha)} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1).$$

Note that the exponent $(1/2) - (1/2\alpha)$ is close to 0 for α close to 1 and approaches the classical value $1/2$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. As stated in Proposition 2, at $\alpha = 1$ the fluctuations are already present without rescaling and they are not normally distributed.

The proof of part (a) of Proposition 1 is trivial since in the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ the probability that all spins have the same sign converges to one. Part (b) of Proposition 1 and Proposition 3 follow from the fact that if $\alpha > 1$ the number of boundaries between $+1$ and -1 spins grows to infinity, so that m is the average over many blocks of spins with opposite signs. We will prove Proposition 3 in Section 3. Part (b) of Proposition 1 is then a corollary. If $\alpha = 1$ the number of boundaries between spins $+1$ and -1 converges to a Poisson distribution, the positions of the boundaries being distributed as the order statistics described above. This is the reason for the behavior described in Proposition 2. The technical details are left to Section 2.

Acknowledgement :

We are indebted to Eduardo J. Neves for many suggestions and discussions.

2. Proof of Proposition 2

For $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ set

$$\eta_i = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}.$$

The random variables η_i are independent, with a common distribution given by

$$P(\eta_i = -1) = p = 1 - P(\eta_i = +1).$$

Let K be the number of indices i for which $\eta_i = -1$. Then K is the number of successes in $N-1$ trials, each one with probability p of success. Therefore, since $\alpha = 1$,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P(K = k) = e^{-1/k} \quad (2.1)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

For fixed β , conditioned on $\{K = k\}$, the k indices $i: I_{\beta k}^1 < I_{\beta k}^2 < \dots < I_{\beta k}^k$ for which $\eta_i = -1$

have their joint distribution given by the following construction: Take $J_{\beta k}^1$ uniformly from the set of indices $\{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Then take $J_{\beta k}^2$ uniformly from the remaining set of indices, excluding $J_{\beta k}^1$. Proceed in this fashion by taking $J_{\beta k}^1$ uniformly from the remaining indices

after excluding $J_{\beta k}^1, \dots, J_{\beta k}^{r-1}$. Once we have $J_{\beta k}^1, \dots, J_{\beta k}^k$ the random variables

$I_{\beta k}^1 < I_{\beta k}^2 < \dots < I_{\beta k}^k$ are their order statistics, i.e.,

$$I_{\beta k}^1 = \min \{ J_{\beta k}^1 : 1 = 1, \dots, k \}.$$

$$I_{\beta k}^r = \min \{ J_{\beta k}^1 : 1 = 1, \dots, k, J_{\beta k}^1 > I_{\beta k}^{r-1} \} \quad \text{for } r = 2, \dots, k.$$

It is also easy to see that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$P(m \leq x | K = k) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1/2) P(N^{-1} [(I_{\beta k}^1 - I_{\beta k}^2 - I_{\beta k}^1) + (I_{\beta k}^3 - I_{\beta k}^2) - \dots + (-1)^{k-1} (I_{\beta k}^k - I_{\beta k}^{k-1}) + (-1)^k (N - I_{\beta k}^k)] \leq x) \\ & + (1/2) P(N^{-1} [-(I_{\beta k}^1) + (I_{\beta k}^2 - I_{\beta k}^1) - (I_{\beta k}^3 - I_{\beta k}^2) + \dots + (-1)^k (I_{\beta k}^k - I_{\beta k}^{k-1}) + (-1)^{k+1} (N - I_{\beta k}^k)] \leq x) \\ & = (1/2) P(f_k((I_{\beta k}^1/N), \dots, (I_{\beta k}^k/N)) \leq x) + (1/2) P(g_k((I_{\beta k}^1/N), \dots, (I_{\beta k}^k/N)) \leq x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_k(a^1, \dots, a^k) = a^1 - (a^2 - a^1) + \dots + (-1)^{k-1} (a^k - a^{k-1}) + (-1)^k (1 - a^k),$$

and

$$g_k(a^1, \dots, a^k) = -a^1 + (a^2 - a^1) - \dots + (-1)^k (a^k - a^{k-1}) + (-1)^{k+1} (1 - a^k).$$

As $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ the joint distribution of $((I_{\beta k}^1/N), \dots, (I_{\beta k}^k/N))$ converges to that of (Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k) defined in the introduction. Since f_k and g_k are continuous functions from \mathbb{R}^k to \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P(m \leq x | K = k) &= (1/2) P(f_k(Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k) \leq x) + \\ & (1/2) P(g_k(Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k) \leq x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Now, using (2.1), (2.2) and the Proposition 18, Section 11-4, p. 232 of [Roy] we obtain

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P(m \leq x) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(m \leq x | K=k) P(K=k)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= e^{-1} \{ (1/2) \mathbb{1}_{[-1, -1]}(x) + (1/2) \mathbb{1}_{[+1, -1]}(x) \} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (e^{-1/k!}) \{ (1/2) P(f_k(Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k) \leq x) + (1/2) P(g_k(Y_k^1, \dots, Y_k^k) \leq x) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show.

3. Proof of Proposition 3

For convenience we will embed the N spins in a semi-infinite Markov Chain $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ with

$$P(\sigma_i = -1) = P(\sigma_i = +1) = 1/2$$

and the same transition matrix mentioned in the introduction. We look to the blocks of spins $+1$ and the blocks of spins -1 . As in the last section set $\eta_i = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$ and define

$$I^1 = \min \{ i = 1, 2, \dots : \eta_i = -1 \}$$

$$I^k = \min \{ i = 1, 2, \dots : \eta_i = -1, i > I^{k-1} \}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

X_1 and Y_1 will denote respectively the length of the 1-th block of spins $+1$ and of spins -1 . This means that on the event $\{ \sigma_1 = +1 \}$ we set

$$X_1 = I^1,$$

$$X_1 = I^{2l-1} - I^{2l-2}, \quad l = 2, 3, \dots$$

$$Y_1 = I^{2l} - I^{2l-1}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and on the the event $\{\sigma_1 = -1\}$ we interchange the definitions of X_1 and Y_1 above. Let also

$$Z_1 = X_1 + Y_1$$

be the length of the 1-th pair of blocks of spins +1 and -1. For fixed β the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots and Y_1, Y_2, \dots are all mutually independent and have the common Geometric distribution:

$$P(X_1 = k) = P(Y_1 = k) = (1-p)^{k-1}p, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We set

$$1_0 = N E(Z_1) = (p/2)N \sim (1/2) \exp((\alpha-1)\beta),$$

where $E(\cdot)$ denotes expectation and $A \sim B$ means that the ratio A/B approaches 1 as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Now we define

$$N_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{1_0} Z_1.$$

Observe that $E(N_0) = N$. We will show that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sigma_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2)\alpha} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1) \quad (3.1)$$

and we will finish the proof of Proposition 3 later by showing that

$$\frac{|\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sigma_i|}{N(1/2) + (1/2)\alpha} \xrightarrow{D} \delta_0. \quad (3.2)$$

To prove (3.1) we write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^{1_0} (X_i - Y_i) \quad (3.3)$$

and use a Central Limit Theorem for triangular arrays from [Gne] (Theorem 1, Section 49, p. 283). There is a minor technical detail we have to note: we are letting the continuous parameter β go to infinity, while the theorem we want to apply is stated for a discrete parameter. This is easy to overcome by observing that we can in fact consider that we are taking a generic sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ of values of β such that $\beta_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. With this in mind and using (3.3) we write

$$\xi_{\beta i} = (X_i - Y_i) / N^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)}$$

and

$$\zeta_{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{1_0} \xi_{\beta i} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sigma_i \right) / N^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)}$$

Theorem 1, p. 283 of [Gne] states that (3.1) follows once we show that

- i) The variables $\xi_{\beta i}$ have finite variances.
- ii) The variances of the sums ζ_{β} are bounded by a constant C not dependent on β .
- iii) $\text{Var}(\zeta_{\beta 1})$ goes to zero as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, where $\text{Var}(\cdot)$ denotes the variance.
- iv) $1_0 E(\zeta_{\beta 1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.
- v) For every $\tau > 0$,

$$1_0 \int_{|x| > \tau} x^2 dP(\zeta_{\beta 1} - E(\zeta_{\beta 1}) \leq x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.$$

- vi) For every $\tau > 0$,

$$1_0 \int_{|x| < \tau} x^2 dP(\zeta_{\beta 1} - E(\zeta_{\beta 1}) \leq x) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.$$

(i), (ii) and (iii) above are the conditions for the random variables $\{\xi_{\beta 1}\}$ to form an "elementary system" in the terminology of [Gne] (see Section 47, p.278). (iii), (iv), (v) and (vi) are simpler than the corresponding statements in [Gne] because in our case we have translation invariance for each fixed β .

(i), (ii) and (iii) are clearly true since

$$\text{Var}(\xi_{\beta 1}) = \frac{\text{Var}(X_1) + \text{Var}(Y_1)}{N^{1+1/\alpha}} = \frac{2(1-p)}{p^2 N^{1+1/\alpha}} = \frac{2}{e^{\beta(\alpha-1)}}.$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\zeta_{\beta}) = 1_0 \text{Var}(\xi_{\beta 1}) - 1.$$

(iv) is trivial since by symmetry $E(\xi_{\beta 1}) = 0$. To prove (v) we write

$$\int_{|x|>\tau} x^2 dP(\xi_{\beta 1} - E(\xi_{\beta 1}) \leq x) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\tau(j+1))^2 P(|X_1 - Y_1|/N^{1/2+1/2\alpha} > j\tau).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_1 - Y_1|/N^{1/2+1/2\alpha} > j\tau) &\leq 2 P(X_1 > j\tau N^{1/2+1/2\alpha}) \\ &= 2(1-p)^{j^{\alpha} N^{1/2+1/2\alpha}} \leq 2 \exp(-j\tau N^{1/2+1/2\alpha} p). \end{aligned}$$

Now note that $N^{1/2+1/2\alpha} p \sim \exp((\alpha-1)/2)\beta$, which diverges as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for large β ,

$$r := \exp(-\tau N^{1/2+1/2\alpha} p) < 1$$

and then

$$\int_{|x|>\tau} x^2 dP(\xi_{\beta 1} - E(\xi_{\beta 1}) \leq x) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\tau(j+1))^2 r^j \leq Cr,$$

where C is a finite constant which does not depend on β , for large β . From the above we see that

$$\int_{|x|>\tau} x^2 dP(\xi_{\beta 1} - E(\xi_{\beta 1}) \leq x)$$

goes to zero as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ faster than any exponential of β , while 1_0 goes to infinity as the exponential $e^{(\alpha-1)\beta}$. This implies that (v) is satisfied.

(vi) follows from (v) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^2 dP(\xi_{\beta 1} - E(\xi_{\beta 1}) > x) &= \int_0^\infty E(\xi_{\beta 1})^2 \\ &= \int_0^\infty (E(X_1)^2 + E(Y_1)^2 - 2E(X_1)E(Y_1)) N^{-(1-1/\alpha)} = \int_0^\infty \left(2\frac{1-p}{p^2}\right) N^{-(1-1/\alpha)} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We turn now to the proof of (3.2). First, we note that by defining

$$\bar{\xi}_{\beta 1} = (X_1 + Y_1 - E(X_1) - E(Y_1)) / N^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)},$$

and

$$\bar{\xi}_{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{\xi}_{\beta i}$$

one can prove as above that

$$\frac{N_0 - N}{N^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)}} = \bar{\xi}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty.$$

But $N^{(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \sim \exp\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\beta\right)$, so that for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P(|N_0 - N| > \exp\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\beta + \delta\right)) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Set $\bar{N} = \lfloor \exp\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\beta + \delta\right) \rfloor$ and consider the sites

$$A = N - \bar{N}$$

$$B = N + \bar{N}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\frac{|\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sigma_i|}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} > \varepsilon\right) &\leq P(|N_0 - N| > \exp((\frac{1+\alpha}{2} + \delta)\beta)) \\
&+ P\left(\max_{(j=A, \dots, N)} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=j}^N \sigma_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon\right) + P\left(\max_{(j=N, \dots, B)} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=j}^1 \sigma_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon\right) \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

The last two terms are obviously identical. We will control the latter one. First we introduce blocks of spin +1 and -1 in the same fashion as we did before, but this time starting from the site N and going to the right.

Let U_1 be the length of the 1-th block of +1 spins and V_1 be the length of the 1-th block of -1 spins. Set also

$$K = \max \{ 1: (U_1 + V_1) + (U_2 + V_2) + \dots + (U_1 + V_1) < \bar{N} \}$$

and

$$k = \lfloor \exp((\frac{\alpha-1}{2} + 2\delta)\beta) \rfloor.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left(\max_{(j=N, \dots, B)} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=j}^1 \sigma_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon\right) &\leq P(K > k) + \\
P\left(\max_{(i=1, \dots, k)} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^i (U_i - V_i)}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon/2\right) &+ P\left(\max_{(i=1, \dots, k+1)} \left| \frac{U_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon/2\right) \\
P\left(\max_{(i=1, \dots, k+1)} \left| \frac{V_i}{N(1/2) + (1/2\alpha)} \right| > \varepsilon/2\right) &\quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

To control the first term on the RHS of (3.6) we observe that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \{(U_i+V_i) - E(U_i+V_i)\}}{kE(U_1 + V_1)} \xrightarrow{D} \delta_0. \quad (3.7)$$

To prove (3.7) one can show the stronger statement

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \{(U_i+V_i) - E(U_i+V_i)\}}{\sqrt{2} \exp\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+3}{4} + \delta\right)\beta\right)} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1) \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

(3.8) can be proven by the same method used to prove (3.1). It implies (3.7) because

$$k (E(U_1 + V_1)) - \exp\left(\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2} + 2\delta\right)\beta\right), \quad (3.9)$$

and $(\alpha+1)/2 > (\alpha+3)/4$. Now using (3.7) and (3.9) we have

$$\limsup_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P(K > k) \leq \limsup_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^k (U_i+V_i) < \bar{N}\right) = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

The second term on the RHS of (3.6) can be controlled using Kolmogorov's Inequality (see [Gne], Section 34, p.213):

$$P\left(\max_{(s=1, \dots, k)} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s (U_i - V_i)}{N^{1/2} + (1/2)\alpha} \right| > \epsilon/2\right) \leq 4 \frac{k \text{Var}(U_1 - V_1)}{\epsilon^2 N^{1+1/\alpha}} \\ - \frac{8}{\epsilon^2} \exp\left(-\frac{1-\alpha}{2} + 2\delta\right)\beta \quad (3.11)$$

which vanishes as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, provided we have chosen

$$0 < \delta < \frac{\alpha-1}{4} . \quad (3.12)$$

Finally, the last two terms on the RHS of (3.6) are identical and are easily controlled:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{(j=1, \dots, k+1)} \left| \frac{U_j}{N^{(1/2)+(\alpha/2)}} \right| > \epsilon/2\right) &\leq k P(U_1 > (\epsilon/2) N^{(1/2)+(\alpha/2)}) \\ &= k (1-p)^{\lfloor (\epsilon/2) N^{(1/2)+(\alpha/2)} \rfloor} \leq \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2} + 2\delta\right)\beta\right) \exp(-p \lfloor (\epsilon/2) e^{((\alpha-1)/2)\beta} \rfloor) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

which vanishes as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.

Now it is easy to see that (3.2) follows from (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13).

4. References

- [ASA] - J. Adler, D. Stauffer, A. Aharony: Comparison of bootstrap percolation models, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **22** (1989), L297-L301.
- [AL] - M. Aizenman, J. Lebowitz: Metastability effects in bootstrap percolation. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21**(1988), 3801-3813.
- [Fro] - K. Froböse: Is there a percolation threshold in a cellular automat for diffusion?, J. Stat. Phys. **55**(1989), 1285-1292.
- [Gne] - B.V. Gnedenko: The Theory of Probability, Mir, Moscow, English translation, (1978)
- [NS] - E.J. Neves. R.H. Schonmann: Critical droplets and metastability for a Glauber dynamics at very low temperature, preprint, (1989).
- [Roy] - H.L. Royden: Real Analysis, 2nd ed, MacMillan, NY., (1968).
- [Sch1] - R.H. Schonmann: On the behavior of some cellular automata related to bootstrap percolation, preprint, (1989).
- [Sch2] - R.H. Schonmann: Critical points of two dimensional bootstrap percolation like cellular automata, J. Stat. Phys., (to appear).

RELATÓRIO TÉCNICO
DO
DEPARTAMENTO DE ESTATÍSTICA

TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS EM 1987

- 8701 - ACHCAR, J.A. & BOLFARINE, H.; Constant Hazard Against a Change-Point Alternative: A Bayesian Approach with Censored Data, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 20p.
- 8702 - RODRIGUES, J.; Some Results on Restricted Bayes Least Squares Predictors for Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 16p.
- 8703 - LEITE, J.G., BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Exact Expression for the Posterior Mode of a Finite Population Size: Capture-Recapture Sequential Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 14p.
- 8704 - RODRIGUES, J., BOLFARINE, H. & LEITE, J.G.; A Bayesian Analysis in Closed Animal Populations from Capture Recapture Experiments with Trap Response, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 21p.
- 8705 - PAULINO, C.D.M.; Analysis of Categorical Data with Full and Partial Classification: A Survey of the Conditional Maximum Likelihood and Weighted Least Squares Approaches, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 52p.
- 8706 - CORDEIRO, G.M. & BOLFARINE, H.; Prediction in a Finite Population under a Generalized Linear Model, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 21p.
- 8707 - RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; Nonlinear Bayesian Least-Squares Theory and the Inverse Linear Regression, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 15p.
- 8708 - RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Bayesian Least-Squares Estimators of Time-Varying Regression Coefficients, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 11p.

- 8709 - ACHCAR, J.A., BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Inverse Gaussian Distribution: A Bayesian Approach, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 20p.
- 8710 - CORDEIRO, G.M. & PAULA, G.A.; Improved Likelihood Ratio Statistics for Exponential Family Nonlinear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 26p.
- 8711 - SINGER, J.M.; PERES, C.A. & HARLE, C.E.; On the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium in Generalized ABO Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 16p.
- 8712 - BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; A Review and Some Extensions on Distribution Free Bayesian Approaches for Estimation and Prediction, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 19p.
- 8713 - RODRIGUES, J.; BOLFARINE, H. & LEITE, J.G.; A Simple Nonparametric Bayes Solution to the Estimation of the Size of a Closed Animal Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 11p.
- 8714 - BUENO, V.C.; Generalizing Importance of Components for Multistate Monotone Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1987, 12p.
- 8801 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. & WECHSLER, S.; On the Concept of P-value, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 22p.
- 8802 - ZACKS, S., PEREIRA, C.A.B. & LEITE, J.G.; Bayes Sequential Estimation of the Size of a Finite Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 23p.
- 8803 - BOLFARINE, H.; Finite Population Prediction Under Dynamic Generalized Linear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 21p.
- 8804 - BOLFARINE, H.; Minimax Prediction in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8805 - SINGER, J.M. & ANDRADE, D.F.; On the Choice of Appropriate Error Terms for Testing the General Linear Hypothesis in Profile Analysis, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 23p.
- 8806 - DACHS, J.N.W. & PAULA, G.A.; Testing for Ordered Rate Ratios in Follow-up Studies with Incidence Density Data, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8807 - CORDEIRO, G.M. & PAULA, G.A.; Estimation, Significance Tests and Diagnostic Methods for the Non-Exponential Family Nonlinear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 29p.

- 8808 - RODRIGUES, J. & ELIAN, S.N.; The Coordinate - Free Estimation in Finite Population Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 5p.
- 8809 - BUENO, V.C. & CUADRADO, R.Z.B.; On the Importance of Components for Continuous Structures, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 14p.
- 8810 - ACHCAR, J.A., BOLFARINE, H & PERICCHI, L.R.; Some Applications of Bayesian Methods in Analysis of Life Data, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 30p.
- 8811 - RODRIGUES, J.; A Bayesian Analysis of Capture-Recapture Experiments for a Closed Animal Population, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 10p.
- 8812 - FERRARI, P.A.; Ergodicity for Spin Systems, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 25p.
- 8813 - FERRARI, P.A. & MAURO, E.S.R.; A Method to Combine Pseudo-Random Number Generators Using Xor, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 10p.
- 8814 - BOLFARINE, H. & RODRIGUES, J.; Finite Population Prediction Under a Linear Functional Superpopulation Model a Bayesian Perspective, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 22p.
- 8815 - RODRIGUES, J. & BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Asymptotically Unbiased Designs in Survey Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 6p.
- 8816 - BUENO, V.C.; Bounds for the Availabilities in a Fixed Time Interval for Continuous Structures Functions, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 22 p.
- 8817 - TOLOI, C.M.C. & MORETTIN, P.A.; Spectral Estimation for Time Series with Amplitude Modulated Observations: A Review, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 16p.
- 8818 - CHAYES, J.T.; CHAYES, L.; GRIMMETT, G.R.; KESTEN, H. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; The Correlation Length for the High Density Phase of Bernoulli Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 46p.
- 8819 - DURRETT, R.; SCHONMANN, R.H. & TANAKA, N.I.; The Contact Process on a Finite Set, III: The Critical Case, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 31p.

- 8820 - DURRET, R.; SCHONMANN, R.H. & TANAKA, N.I.; Correlation Lengths for Oriented Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 18p.
- 8821 - BRICMONT, J.; KESTEN, H.; LEBOWITZ, J.L. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; A Note on the Ising Model in High Dimensions, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 21p.
- 8822 - KESTEN, H. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; Behavior in Large Dimensions of the Potts and Heisenberg Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 61p.
- 8823 - DURRET, R. & TANAKA, N.I.; Scaling Inequalities for Oriented Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1988, 21p.
- 8901 - RODRIGUES, J.; Asymptotically Design - Unbiased Predictors to Two-Stage Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 9p.
- 8902 - TOLOI, C.M.C. & MORETTIN, P.A.; Spectral Analysis for Amplitude Modulated Time Series, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 24p.
- 8903 - PAULA, G.A.; Influence Measures for Generalized Linear Models with Restrictions in Parameters, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 18p.
- 8904 - MARTIN, M.C. & BUSSAB, W.O.; An Investigation of the Properties of Raking Ratio Estimators for Cell Frequencies with Simple Random Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 11p.
- 8905 - WECHSLER, S.; Yet Another Refutation of Allais' Paradox, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 6p.
- 8906 - BARLOW, R.E. & PEREIRA, C.A.B.; Conditional Independence and Probabilistic Influence Diagrams, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 21p.
- 8907 - BARLOW, R.E.; PEREIRA, C.A.B. & WECHSLER, S.; The Bayesian Approach to Ess, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 20p.
- 8908 - PEREIRA, C.A.B. & BARLOW, R.E.; Medical Diagnosis Using Influence Diagrams, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 13p.
- 8909 - BOLFARINE, H.; A Note on Finite Population Prediction Under Asymmetric Loss Functions, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.

- 8910 - BOLFARINE, H.; Bayesian Modelling in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.
- 8911 - NEVES, M.M.C & MORETTIN, P.A.; A Generalized Cochran-Orcutt-Type Estimator for Time Series Regression Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 29p.
- 8912 - MORETTIN, P.A., TOLOI, C.M.C., GAIT, N. & MESQUITA, A.R.; Analysis of the Relationships Between Some Natural Phenomena: Atmospheric Precipitation, Mean Sea Level and Sunspots, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 35p.
- 8913 - BOLFARINE, H.; Population Variance Prediction Under Normal Dynamic Superpopulation Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 7p.
- 8914 - BOLFARINE, H.; Maximum Likelihood Prediction in Two Stage Sampling, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 4p.
- 8915 - WECHSLER, S.; Exchangeability and Predictivism, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 10p.
- 8916 - BOLFARINE, H.; Equivariant Prediction in Finite Populations, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 13p.
- 8917 - SCHONMANN, R.H.; Critical Points of Two Dimensional Bootstrap Percolation Like Cellular Automata, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 6p.
- 8918 - SCHONMANN, R.H.; On the Behavior of Some Cellular Automata Related to Bootstrap Percolation, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 26p.
- 8919 - PEREIRA, P.L.V.; Local Nonlinear Trends, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 8p.
- 8920 - ANDJEL, E.D., SCHINAZI, R.B. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; Edge Processes of One Dimensional Stochastic Growth Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 20p.
- 8921 - MARKWALD, R, MOREIRA, A.R.B. & PEREIRA, P.L.V.; Forecasting Level and Cycle of the Brazilian Industrial Production Leading Indicators versus Structural Time Series Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 23p.

- 8922 - NEVES, E.J. & SCHONMANN, R.H.; Critical Droplets and Metastability for a Glauber Dynamics at Very Low Temperatures, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 33p.
- 8923 - ANDRÉ, C.D.S., PERES, C.A. & NARULA, S.C.; An Iterative Procedure for the MSAE Estimation of Parameters in a Dose-Response Model, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 9p.
- 8924 - FERRARI, P.A., MARTINEZ, S. & PICCO, P.; Domains of Attraction of Quasi Stationary Distributions, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1989, 20p.
- 9001 - JR., HODGES, J.L., RAMSEY, P.H. & WECHSLER, S.; Improved Significance Probabilities of the Wilcoxon Test, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1990, 30p.
- 9002 - PAULA, G.A., Bias Correction for Exponential Family Nonlinear Models, São Paulo, IME-USP, 1990, 10p.