

RT-MAT 94-16

On two parameter envelopes  
of N-Spheres in a real space form

Rosa Maria B. Chaves

maio 1994

# On two parameter envelopes of $N$ -spheres in a real space form

by

Rosa Maria B. Chaves

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to study two-parameter envelopes of  $n$ -spheres  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) in a simply connected space form  $Q_c^{n+1}$  where  $c = 0, 1$  or  $-1$ . The euclidean case,  $c = 0$ , was studied in [AD] and a natural problem, not considered there, is to characterize these immersions having constant mean curvature  $H$  (zero included), constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $K$  or, more generally, satisfying a linear Weingarten relation  $A + BnH + CK = 0$ , where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are real constants. *We must point out that the results, presented here, are related to special types of two-parameter envelopes, which are constructed from a given two-dimensional focal set and a given function;* see (1.4), (1.6) and (1.10), below. In our approach, they play a fundamental role in the characterization of the envelope and in the construction of the examples. To state our results, we need some terminology.

Let  $Q_c^N$  be a space form, as above, having metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c$ . In particular  $Q_{-1}^N$  will be the hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^N$ , given by

$$\mathbb{H}^N = \{x \in \mathbb{L}^{N+1}; \langle x, x \rangle_{-1} = -1, x_1 > 0\},$$

where  $\mathbb{L}^{N+1}$  is the lorentzian space, i.e., the space  $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  with the metric

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{-1} = -x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \dots + x_{N+1}y_{N+1},$$

for  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N+1})$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{N+1})$

**1.1.** Let  $f : M^m \rightarrow Q_c^N$ ,  $2 \leq m < N$ , be an isometric immersion of a riemannian manifold  $M^m$  and  $\alpha : T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow (T_pM)^\perp$  the second fundamental form of  $f$  at  $p \in M$ ; here  $T_pM$  and  $(T_pM)^\perp$  are the tangent and the normal space of  $M$  at  $p$ . Let  $\eta$  be a unit field normal to the immersion and  $A_\eta$  the self adjoint map of tangent spaces corresponding to  $\alpha$  along  $\eta$ , that is  $\langle A_\eta(x), y \rangle_c = \langle \alpha(x, y), \eta \rangle_c$ , for all  $x, y \in T_pM$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c$

denotes, in this case, both the induced metric and the ambient space metric. We denote, as usual, by  $\nabla$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $M^m$  and  $Q_c^n$  and by  $\nabla^\perp$  the normal connection of  $f$ .

The following definitions can be found in [AD], for the case  $c = 0$ .

**1.2.** Let  $x : M^n \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  as in (1.1) and assume here and in the sequel that  $M^n$  is connected and orientable with a given orientation. In this case, we choose the unit normal vector  $N$  that gives the orientation of  $M$  and denote by  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  the real eigenvalues of  $A_N$ .

**1.3. Definition.** We say that an isometric immersion  $x : M^n \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$ , as above, with  $n \geq 4$ , is a  $p$ -parameter envelopes of  $n$ -spheres,  $p \leq n - 2$ , (briefly  $p$ -PES), if at each point of  $M$ ,  $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{n-p} = \lambda$  and  $k_j \neq \lambda$  if  $n-p+1 \leq j \leq n$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  if  $c \geq 0$  and  $|\lambda| > 1$  if  $c < 0$ .

We now describe how to construct a  $p$ -PES in  $Q_c^{n+1}$ , for all  $c$ .

**1.4.** Let  $L = L^p$  be a  $p$ -dimensional riemannian manifold and  $g : L \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  an isometric immersion. Let  $r$  be a function in  $C^\infty(L)$  satisfying:  $r$  is a positive function if  $c \leq 0$ ;  $r \neq (2k+1)\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $r \neq k\pi$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $c = 1$ ; and if  $r$  is not constant,  $0 < |\nabla r|^2 < 1$ , for all  $c$ . Now, take functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $C^\infty(L)$  given by

$$(1.5) \quad (\alpha, \beta) = (1, r), (\cos r, \sin r) \text{ or } (\cosh r, \sinh r)$$

according to  $c = 0, 1$  or  $-1$ .

Let  $\Lambda^1$  be the unit normal bundle over the immersion  $g$ , i.e., the set of the points  $(y, \xi_y)$ , where  $y \in L$  and  $\xi_y$  is a unit vector normal to  $g$ , at the point  $y$ . By (1.1), we know that for each point  $y \in L$ ,  $\xi_y$  describes a unit  $n-p$  sphere centered at the origin in the  $(n-p+1)$ -space, orthogonal to  $g$ . Define  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$ , by

$$(1.6) \quad \psi(y, \xi_y) = \alpha(y)g(y) - \beta(y)\nabla r(y) - \beta(y)\sqrt{1 - |\nabla r(y)|^2} \xi_y$$

**1.7. Theorem.** The hypersurface given by (1.6) is (in the open subset of the regular points) a  $p$ -parameter envelope of spheres. Conversely, every  $p$ -PES is locally of the form (1.6) where  $\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\cot^{-1} \lambda$  or  $\coth^{-1} \lambda$  according to  $c = 0, 1$  or  $-1$ .

**1.8.** Let  $x : M^n \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a  $p$ -PES and  $D_\lambda$  the smooth distribution given by taking at each  $p \in M$ , the  $(n-p)$ -dimensional eigenspace of  $A_N$ ,

corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Following [AD], we say that  $x$  is a *special p-parameter envelope of spheres* (briefly *p-SPES*) if the distribution  $D_\lambda^\perp$  is integrable.

Now, for each  $q \in L$ , let  $B(q) \subset T_q L$  be the *relative nullity subspace* of the immersion  $g$  (given by Theorem 1.7), defined by

$$B(q) = \{X \in T_q L : \alpha(X, Y) = 0, \forall Y \in T_q L\},$$

where  $\alpha$  stands for the second fundamental form of  $g$ .

**1.9. Theorem.** *Let  $x : M^n \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a p-PES. Then  $x$  is a p-SPES if and only if  $g : L \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  has flat normal bundle and  $\nabla r(q) \in B(q)$  for all  $q \in L$ , where  $r$  is given by (1.7).*

We will not present the proof of this theorem here because it can be found in [AD], for  $c = 0$ . We remark that a 1-PES ( $p = 1$ ) is a general conformally flat hypersurface, defined and studied in [CDM]. Then, *from now on, we restrict ourselves to n-dimensional 2-PES,  $n \geq 4$ .*

**1.10.** Assume that the *index of relative nullity* of  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$ , defined by  $\mu(q) = \dim B(q)$ , is constant. The possibilities are  $\mu \equiv 0$ ,  $\mu \equiv 1$  or  $\mu \equiv 2$  and we can extend for the other ambients, the considerations found in [AD], for  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . By Theorem 1.9, if  $\nabla r(q) \neq 0$  then  $\mu(q) \neq 0$ , which implies that the gaussian curvature of the immersion  $g$  at the point  $q$ ,  $K_g(q)$ , is equal to  $c$ . On the other hand, if  $\mu \equiv 0$ , then  $\nabla r \equiv 0$  and so  $r$  must be constant. So, we can conclude that, locally, we have only three types of 2-SPES:

**Type I.** A normal bundle of spheres with radius  $r$  constant over a surface  $g : L \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$ , having  $\mu \equiv 0$  ( $K_g \neq c$  at every point).

**Type II.** A 2-SPES where  $g(L)$  is a ruled surface with  $K_g = c$  in  $Q_c^{n+1}$ , without umbilic points ( $\mu \equiv 1$ ).

**Type III.** A 2-SPES where  $g(L)$  is a part of a 2-totally geodesic submanifold in  $Q_c^{n+1}$  ( $\mu \equiv 2$ ).

Here, a *normal bundle of spheres with radius  $r$  constant* is a hypersurface given by taking the function  $r \equiv \text{constant}$  in (1.6). A *ruled surface*  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  with no umbilics is given by

$$(1.11) \quad g(s, t) = c x p_{r(s)} t v(s)$$

where  $\gamma(s)$  is a curve in  $Q_c^{n+1}$  and  $v$  is a normal vector field with  $|\dot{\gamma}| = |v| = 1$  (here  $\cdot = d/ds$ ). In this case, by Gauss equation, we have that  $K_g = c$  if and only if there exists a function  $\rho = \rho(s)$  such that  $\dot{v}(s) = \rho(s)\dot{\gamma}(s)$ .

Now we can state the results obtained for each one of the above types of 2-SPES. For type I, we have

**1.12. Theorem.** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type I, having neither  $H$  nor  $K$  constant. Then they satisfy the Weingarten linear relation*

$$(1.13) \quad -nc^2\alpha^{n-2}\beta^4 + n\alpha^{n+2} - 2\alpha^n + (c^2\alpha^{n-3}\beta^5 - \alpha^{n+1}\beta)nH + 2\beta^n K = 0$$

for all  $(y, \xi_y)$  in  $\Lambda^1$  if and only if  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  is a minimal surface.

As an example, take a *catenoid*, that is, a minimal rotation hypersurface  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^3 \subset Q_c^{n+1}$  (see [C'D]) and construct over it a normal bundle of spheres, with constant  $r$ , being carefull with the regularity condition, see 2.10.

For 2-SPES of type II, we have

**1.14. Theorem.** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$ ,  $c \neq 0$  be a 2-SPES of type II. If the function  $r$  is a constant satisfying (1.4) then the the Weingarten relation*

$$c\alpha^{-1}\beta - c(n-2)\alpha\beta^{-1} + cnH + \alpha^{-n+3}\beta^{n-3}K = 0$$

holds for all  $(y, \xi_y) \in \Lambda^1$ .

Now, let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type III. In this case the respective  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  is totally geodesic and we can assume that  $g = g(u_1, u_2)$ , where  $(u_1, u_2)$  are orthogonal parameters for  $L$ , i.e.,  $\left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_2} \right\rangle_c = 0$  (see

3.24). Let  $b_i := \left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i} \right\|^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and consider the operators  $P$  and  $T$  on  $TL$  given by (see also (2.2) and (2.5))

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} P(b) &= \alpha b - \alpha b(\tau)\nabla\tau - \beta \text{Hess}_\tau b, \\ T(b) &= -(\alpha \text{Hess}_\tau b + c\beta b + b(\alpha)\nabla\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b \in T_y L$  and  $\text{Hess}_\tau b := \nabla_b(\nabla\tau)$  is the hessian of  $\tau$  relative to  $b$ . Our main results for this type of envelope are

**1.16. Theorem.** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type III ( $n \geq 4$ ). Then  $H$  is a constant  $k$  if and only if*

$$(1.17) \quad ((n-2)\alpha - nk\beta)\det P + \beta(T_{11}P_{22} - T_{21}P_{12} - T_{12}P_{21} + T_{22}P_{11}) = 0$$

where, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ ,  $P_{ij} = \langle P(b_i), b_j \rangle$  and  $T_{ij} = \langle T(b_i), b_j \rangle$ .

The expression (1.17) can be considerably simplified with an additional hypothesis on  $r$ , if  $c = 0$ .

**1.18. Corollary.** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type III ( $n \geq 4$ ) and assume that  $r = r(u_1)$ . Then  $H$  is a constant  $k$  if and only if*

$$[(1 - kr)n - 2] \cdot \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_1} \right)^2 - r \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial u_1^2} \right) - r \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial u_1^2} = 0.$$

We can find examples that verifies this corollary in the following result.

**1.19. Theorem.** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type III ( $n \geq 4$ ) with  $r = r(u_1)$ . Then it is a cylinder over a rotation hypersurface  $M^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Furthermore, the mean curvature  $H$  of the 2-SPES is a constant  $k$  if and only if  $M^{n-1}$  has constant mean curvature  $H'$  equal to  $\frac{nk}{n-1}$ . In particular, the 2-SPES is minimal if and only if it is a cylinder over part of a catenoid  $M^{n-1}$  contained in  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .*

For the characterization of a rotation hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , having constant mean curvature, see [CD].

We can also show the non-existence of 2-SPES of types I and II under certain conditions on  $H$  and  $K$ . For technical reasons, for these results we assume that the leaves of  $D_\lambda$  are complete.

**1.20. Theorem.** *i) There is not a 2-SPES of type I in  $Q_c^{n+1}$ , with constant  $H$  neither with constant  $K$ . In particular, there is not a minimal one*

*ii) There is not a 2-SPES of type II in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , with  $A + BnH + CK = 0$  ( $B \neq 0$ ). In particular, there is not an example having constant  $H$ . Also, we cannot obtain a 2-SPES of type II in  $Q_c^{n+1}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , with constant  $H$  neither with constant  $K \neq 0$ .*

However, there do exist examples of 2-SPES of type II with  $K \equiv 0$  (see Proposition 3.21).

The results of this paper are essentially chapters I and II of the author's doctoral dissertation [Ch], presented at IME-USP. There, in chapter III, we have also studied the 2-PES  $M^n$  in  $H^{n+1}$  which can be isometrically immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) and we obtained similar results to [AD]. But, at the same time, Dajczer and Tojero, see [DT] obtained a general theorem on composition of immersions that contains the results of chapter III mentioned.

She wants to thank her thesis advisor A. C. Asperti for his valuable collaboration, accessibility and encouragement. She also acknowledges to M. Dajczer, from IMPA, for suggestions in an earlier stage of this work.

## 2. Regular points of $\psi$ ; proof of Theorem 1.7.

2.1. Let  $g : L \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be an isometric immersion and  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection of  $L = L^p$ . Let  $A_\xi : T_y L \rightarrow T_y L$  be as in (1.1) and  $P : T_y L \rightarrow T_y L$  the linear operator given by

$$(2.2) \quad P(b) = \alpha b - \alpha b(\tau) \nabla \tau - \beta \text{Hess}_\tau b + \beta \sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2} A_\xi(b).$$

Taking a local orthonormal frame field  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p\}$  of  $TL$ , we can see that the space  $\psi_{*(y, \xi_y)}(T\Lambda^1)$  decomposes into a direct sum of subspaces  $\mathcal{H}$  (horizontal) and  $\mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the subspace spanned by

$$(2.3) \quad e_j = b_j + (-1)^{c+1} \frac{b_j(\tau)}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2}} \xi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

and  $\mathcal{V}$  is the  $n-p$  dimensional subspace orthogonal to  $\xi$  and to the immersion  $g$ .

Now, define the maps  $Q : T_y L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $T : T_y L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $U : T_y L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ , by

$$(2.4) \quad Q(b) = P(b) - \frac{\langle P(b), \nabla \tau \rangle_c}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2}} \xi,$$

$$(2.5) \quad T(b) = - \left[ \alpha \left( \text{Hess}_\tau b - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2} A_\xi(b) \right) + c\beta b + b(\alpha) \nabla \tau \right],$$

$$(2.6) \quad U(b) = T(b) - \frac{\langle T(b), \nabla \tau \rangle_c}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2}} \xi.$$

Now, we can state

### 2.7. Proposition.

(i)  $\psi : \Lambda^1 - Q_c^{n+1}$  has maximal rank  $n$  at the point  $(y, \xi_y)$  if and only if  $P$  is not singular (that is,  $Q$  is not singular)

(ii) In the regular points  $(y, \xi_y)$  given by (i), the unit normal field  $N$  of  $\psi$  is given by

$$(2.8) \quad N = c\beta g + \alpha(\nabla \tau + \sqrt{1 - |\nabla \tau|^2} \xi)$$

and the second fundamental form  $A_N$  of  $\psi$  has the following restrictions to the subspaces  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ :  $A_N|_{\mathcal{V}} = \lambda I$  and  $A_N|_{\mathcal{H}} = UQ^{-1}$ .

All eigenvalues of the map  $UQ^{-1}$  are different from  $\lambda$ , in any point.

**2.9. Proof.** To prove that all eigenvalues of  $UQ^{-1}$  are different from  $\lambda$ , the argument is exactly the same of the proof of Theorem 1.5 [AD, p.624]. To prove (i) and (ii) we follow closely the proof of Proposition 1.8 in [DG]. Since  $T\Lambda^1 = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ , to compute  $\psi_{*}(y, \xi_y)$ , we will first compute  $\psi_*|_{\mathcal{V}}$ . For this, take  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \Lambda^1$  the curve given by  $\gamma(t) = (y, \xi(t))$  with  $\gamma(0) = (y, \xi_y)$  and  $\dot{\xi}(0) = v$ . Then

$$(2.10) \quad \psi_{*}(y, \xi_y)(v) = \frac{d}{dt}(\psi \circ \gamma)(t)|_{t=0} = -\beta(y)\sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2(y)} v$$

Now to compute  $\psi_*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , we observe that any tangent vector in  $\mathcal{H}$  can be written as  $\xi_*(b)$  where  $b \in T_y L$  and  $\xi$  is a local section of  $\Lambda^1$ , through  $(y, \xi_y)$ . By identifying  $g_{*y}(b)$  with  $b$ , we can see that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_*(\xi_*(b)) &= \bar{\nabla}_b(\psi \circ \xi) = \\ &= \left( \alpha Id - \alpha \langle \nabla r, \cdot \rangle_c \nabla r - \beta \text{Hess}_r + \beta \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} A_\xi \right) (b) \\ &\quad - b \left( \beta \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \right) \xi - \beta \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \nabla_b^\perp \xi - \beta \alpha(b, \nabla r). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha_1(b, \nabla r) := \alpha(b, \nabla r) - \langle \alpha(b, \nabla r), \xi \rangle \xi$  and let  $R : T_y L \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be the operator given by  $R(b) = \alpha_1(b, \nabla r) + \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \nabla_b^\perp \xi$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi_*(\xi_*(b)) = Q(b) - \beta R(b)$  and then  $\text{Im } \psi_* = \text{Im } Q \oplus \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\dim \mathcal{V} = n - p$ , (i) follows.

For part (ii), an easy computation shows that  $N$  is unitary and normal to the generators of both  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ . Now, to compute  $A_N|_{\mathcal{V}}$ , take  $\eta = \psi_*(v) \in \mathcal{V}$  as in (2.10) and  $\Gamma(t) = \psi(\gamma(t))$  a curve such that  $\eta = \dot{\Gamma}(0)$ . Since  $N(t) = N(\Gamma(t))$  can be view as a curve in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  ( $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , if  $c = 0$ ), we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad A_N(\eta) = -\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\Gamma}(0)} N = -N'(0) = -\alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} v = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \eta = \lambda \eta.$$

To compute  $A_N|_{\mathcal{H}}$ , take  $u = \psi_*(\xi_* b)$  as in (2.11). Since  $R(b) \in \mathcal{V}$ , on one hand we have

$$(2.13) \quad A_N(u) = A_N(Q(b) - \beta R(b)) = A_N(Q(b)) - \beta \lambda R(b).$$

On the other hand, looking  $N$  as a map of  $M^n$  into a vector space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_N(u) &= -N_*(u) = -(N \circ \psi \circ \xi)_*(u) \\
 &= -\nabla_b \left( c\beta g + \alpha \nabla r + \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \xi \right) \\
 (2.14) \quad &= -c\beta b - b(\alpha) \nabla r - \alpha \text{Hess}_r b - \alpha(\alpha(b, \nabla r)) \\
 &\quad - b \left( \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \right) \xi + \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} A_\xi(b) \\
 &\quad - \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \nabla_b \xi .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now (2.13) and (2.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad A_N(Q(b)) &= -c\beta b - b(\alpha) \nabla r - \alpha \text{Hess}_r b - b \left( \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \right) \xi \\
 &\quad + \alpha \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} A_\xi(b) - \alpha \langle A_\xi(b), \nabla r \rangle \xi ,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies by (2.6) that  $A_N(Q(b)) = U(b)$  and  $A_N|_{\mathcal{H}} = UQ^{-1}$ . This complete our proof.

**Remark.** We observe that the operators  $P$ ,  $T$  and  $U$  defined so far, appear naturally in the above computations.

By 2.7, we can see that the matrix of the operator  $A_N$ , relative to a basis on which the  $n - p$  first vectors are in  $\mathcal{V}$  and the last  $p$ -vectors are in  $\mathcal{H}$ , takes the form

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{bmatrix} [\lambda I]_{n-p \times n-p} & 0 \\ 0 & [UQ^{-1}]_{p \times p} \end{bmatrix} .$$

It follows that

$$(2.17) \quad H = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[A_N] = \frac{1}{n} \left[ (n - p)\lambda + \text{tr}[UQ^{-1}] \right] ,$$

$$(2.18) \quad K = \det[A_N] = (\lambda)^{n-p} \det[UQ^{-1}] .$$

For each  $(y, \xi_y)$  in  $\Lambda^1$ , let  $B = \{b_1(y), \dots, b_p(y)\}$  be any orthonormal basis of  $T_y L$  and let  $E = \{e_1(y), \dots, e_p(y)\}$  be the correspondent basis of  $\mathcal{H}_{(y, \xi_y)}$ , where the  $e_j$  are given by (2.3). We know that  $[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = [U]_{B,E} \cdot [Q^{-1}]_{E,B}$ , where, for instance,  $[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E}$  denotes the matrix of  $UQ^{-1}$  relative to the basis  $E$ .

Since  $[U]_{B,E} = [T]_{B,B}$ ,  $[Q]_{B,E} = [P]_{B,B}$  and  $[Q^{-1}]_{E,B} = [Q]_{B,E}^{-1}$ , we get  $[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = [T]_{B,B} \cdot [P]_{B,B}^{-1}$ .

When  $p = 2$ , we obtain

$$(2.19) \quad [UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = \frac{1}{\det P} \begin{bmatrix} T_{11}P_{22} - T_{21}P_{12} & -T_{11}P_{21} + T_{21}P_{11} \\ T_{12}P_{22} - T_{22}P_{12} & -T_{12}P_{21} + T_{22}P_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $T_{ij} = \langle T(b_i), b_j \rangle_c$  and  $P_{ij} = \langle P(b_i), b_j \rangle_c$ . Then

$$(2.20) \quad \det P = P_{11}P_{22} - P_{12}P_{21},$$

$$(2.21) \quad \text{tr}[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = (T_{11}P_{22} - T_{21}P_{12} - T_{12}P_{21} + T_{22}P_{11}) \cdot (\det P)^{-1}$$

and

$$(2.22) \quad \det[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = (T_{11}T_{22} - T_{12}T_{21}) \cdot (\det P)^{-1}.$$

### 2.23. Proof of Theorem 1.7.

We will only present here the case  $c = -1$ , the others being similar. In any case, our proof follows closely the proof of Theorem 1.5 in [AD].

Let  $g : L \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ ,  $r \in C^\infty(L)$  as in (1.4) and let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  be as in (1.6). By Proposition 2.7,  $\psi$  is a  $p$ -PES, in the regular points  $(y, \xi_y)$ .

We can see that  $\lambda > 1$  holds, because in this case we take  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha(r)}{\beta(r)}$  where  $\alpha(r) = \cosh r$  and  $\beta(r) = \sinh r$ .

For the converse, let  $x : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  be a  $p$ -PES and  $D_\lambda : M \rightarrow TM$  the distribution given by

$$D_\lambda(q) = \{X \in T_q M \mid A_N(X) = \lambda X\}$$

for each  $q \in M$ . It is known (see [R]) that  $D_\lambda$  is differentiable and involutive,  $\lambda$  is constant along each leaf  $\Sigma_\lambda$  of  $D_\lambda$  and  $\Sigma_\lambda$  is a  $n-p$ -umbilic submanifold of both  $M^n$  and  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . Then (see [Sp]),  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p}$  is either a totally geodesic submanifold, a horo-sphere, or an equidistant hypersurface in some totally geodesic submanifold  $\mathbb{H}^{n-p+1}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . In this way,  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p}$  is also umbilic in  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p+1}$ . Since  $\lambda > 1$ , it is not difficult to see (see [Sp]), that  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p}$  is actually a geodesic sphere. For this, we call briefly each  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p}$  a sphere.

Now we choose local coordinates  $(u_1, \dots, u_p, t_1, \dots, t_{n-p})$  for  $x$  such that, for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_p)$  fixed, the coordinates  $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-p})$  parametrize a leaf of  $D_\lambda$ . Then, we have

$$(2.24) \quad A_N \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t_i} \right) = \lambda \frac{\partial x}{\partial t_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-p.$$

Since  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $r = \coth^{-1}(\lambda)$  is well defined. Consider the focal set of  $x$  relative to  $\lambda$  given by  $g = \alpha x + \beta N$ , where  $N$  is a unit vector field normal to  $x$ . Clearly  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ . Since  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t_i} = 0$ , it follows that  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial t_i} = 0$  and by (2.24), we obtain  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t_i} = 0$ , that is  $g = g(u_1, \dots, u_p)$ . The fact that  $g$  is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  follows from [CR]. Now, we have

$$\left\langle N, \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle_{-1} = \alpha \frac{\partial r}{\partial u_j}, \quad \langle N, g \rangle_{-1} = \beta$$

and taking an orthonormal frame  $\{b_1, \dots, b_p\}$  tangent to  $g$ , we will obtain  $\langle N, b_i \rangle_{-1} = \langle \alpha \nabla r, b_i \rangle_{-1}$ . Then, in  $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$ ,  $N$  can be written in the form  $N = -\beta g + \alpha \nabla r + \gamma \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is a unit vector normal to  $g$  in  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ . Since  $\langle N, N \rangle_{-1} = 1$  we can choose  $\gamma = -\sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2}$ . Finally

$$N = -\beta g + \alpha \nabla r - \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \xi$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad x = \alpha g - \beta \nabla r + \beta \sqrt{1 - |\nabla r|^2} \xi.$$

Now, we take the bundle  $\Lambda^1$  over the focal submanifold  $g$  given above. We know that for each  $(u_1, \dots, u_p)$  fixed,  $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-p})$  parametrizes a leaf of  $D_\lambda$ ; this is equivalent to the fact that for each  $y \in L^p$ ,  $\xi_y$  describes a leaf  $\Sigma_\lambda^{n-p}$  of  $D_\lambda$ . Then,  $x$  given locally by (2.25) can be viewed locally as the map  $v: \Lambda^1 - \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  given by (1.6).

### 3. Proofs of the results about types I, II and III.

#### 3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.12.

In type I 2-SPES the function  $r$  is constant, which simplifies the expressions for  $P$ ,  $T$  and  $U$  and, consequently,  $UQ^{-1}$ , see (2.19). By bringing these simplified expressions into (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad nH = \frac{(n\alpha^2 - 2)\alpha + (n\alpha^2 - 1)\beta \operatorname{tr} A_\xi + n\alpha\beta^2 \det A_\xi}{\beta(\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta \operatorname{tr} A_\xi + \beta^2 \det A_\xi)},$$

$$(3.3) \quad K = \frac{c^2 \alpha^{n-2} \beta^2 - c \alpha^{n-1} \beta \operatorname{tr} A_\xi + \alpha^n \det A_\xi}{\beta^{n-2} (\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta \operatorname{tr} A_\xi + \beta^2 \det A_\xi)}.$$

Observe that in the regular points  $(y, \xi_y)$  we have

$$\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta \operatorname{tr} A_\xi + \beta^2 \det A_\xi \neq 0.$$

Now it is not difficult to conclude from (3.2) and (3.3) that (1.13) holds if and only if  $\operatorname{tr} A_\xi = 0$  for all  $(y, \xi_y) \in \Lambda^1$ , that is, if and only if  $g$  is minimal, which concludes the proof.

**3.4.** For type II, the surface  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  is given by (1.11) which, for each ambient, takes the particular form

$$(3.5) \quad g(s, t) = a(t)\gamma(s) + b(t)v(s)$$

where  $(a(t), b(t)) = (1, t)$ ,  $(\cos t, \sin t)$  or  $(\cosh t, \sinh t)$  according to  $c = 0$ , 1 or  $-1$ .

We claim that the function  $r : L^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of a 2-SPES of type II does not depend on the variable  $s$ , that is  $r = r(t)$ . In fact, taking an orthonormal frame  $\{b_1, b_2\}$  tangent to  $g$ , given by  $b_1 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} \right\|^{-1}$  and  $b_2 = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}$ , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} b_1 = \dot{\gamma}(s), \\ b_2 = a'(t)\gamma(s) + b'(t)v(s), \end{cases}$$

where  $'$  denotes the derivative with respect to the variable  $t$ . By Theorem 1.9 we have that  $\nabla r(q) \in B(q)$ ,  $\forall q \in L$ . Then  $\alpha(\nabla r, b_1) = 0$ , that is

$$(3.7) \quad b_1(r)\alpha(b_1, b_1) + b_2(r)\alpha(b_2, b_1) = 0.$$

Taking an orthonormal frame  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$  normal to  $g$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha(b_1, b_1) = \frac{1}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \langle \tilde{\gamma}, \xi_k \rangle_c \xi_k, \\ \alpha(b_i, b_j) = 0. \quad \text{for } i, j \neq 1, \forall c. \end{cases}$$

By substituting (3.8) in (3.7) and recalling that  $\alpha(b_1, b_1) \neq 0$  ( $g$  does not have umbilic points), we conclude that  $r = r(t)$ .

**3.9. Remark.** By (3.8) and the relation between the second fundamental form  $\alpha$  and the Weingarten operator  $A_\xi$ , for each unit vector  $\xi$ ,

normal to the immersion  $g$ , we obtain the matrix of  $A_\xi$  given by

$$[A_\xi] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\langle \ddot{\gamma}(s), \xi \rangle_c}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Writing  $H_{ij} = \langle \text{Hess}_r b_i, b_j \rangle$  and using (2.2) and (2.5) we obtain, after calculations,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} H_{11} = \frac{a'(t) + \rho(s)b'(t)}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} b_2(r), \\ H_{22} = b_2(b_2(r)), \quad H_{12} = H_{21} = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} P_{11} = \alpha - \beta \frac{a'(t) + \rho(s)b'(t)}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} b_2(r) - \beta \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2(r)}}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} \langle \ddot{\gamma}, \xi \rangle_c, \\ P_{22} = \alpha(1 - b_2^2(r)) - \beta(b_2(b_2(r))), \quad P_{12} = P_{21} = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} T_{11} = - \frac{\alpha \left[ (a'(t) + \rho(s)b'(t))b_2(r) + \sqrt{1-b^2(r)} \langle \ddot{\gamma}, \xi \rangle_c \right]}{a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)} - c\beta, \\ T_{22} = - \left[ \alpha b_2(b_2(r)) + c\beta(1 - b_2^2(r)) \right], \quad T_{12} = T_{21} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, the formulas (2.20) to (2.22) take the simpler form

$$(3.13) \quad \det P = P_{11} P_{22},$$

$$(3.14) \quad \text{tr}[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = (T_{11}P_{22} + T_{22}P_{11}) \cdot (\det P)^{-1},$$

$$(3.15) \quad \det[UQ^{-1}]_{E,E} = (T_{11}T_{22}) \cdot (\det P)^{-1}.$$

Finally, by (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad nH = (n-2)\lambda + (T_{11}P_{22} + T_{22}P_{11}) \cdot (\det P)^{-1},$$

$$(3.17) \quad K = \lambda^{n-2} T_{11} \cdot T_{22} \cdot (\det P)^{-1}.$$

### 3.18. Proof of Theorem 1.14.

At this point, it is an easy consequence of the above formulas. In fact, if  $r$  is a constant, then (3.16) and (3.19) become

$$(3.19) \quad nH = \frac{[(n-2)\alpha^2 - 2c\beta^2\alpha^2] [a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)] - (\alpha^3\beta - c\beta^3\alpha) < \ddot{\gamma}, \xi >_c}{\beta\alpha[a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)] - \beta^2 < \ddot{\gamma}, \xi >_c}$$

$$(3.20) \quad K = \frac{c\alpha^{n-1}\beta < \ddot{\gamma}, \xi >_c + c^2\alpha^{n-2}\beta^2(a(t) + \rho(s)b(t))}{\alpha^2\beta^{n-2}(a(t) + \rho(s)b(t)) - \alpha\beta^{n-1} < \ddot{\gamma}, \xi >_c}$$

and from this we immediately get the desired Weingarten relation

**3.21. Proposition** *Let  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be a 2-SPES of type II in  $Q_c^{n+1}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $K = 0$  if and only if the function  $r = r(t)$  is a solution of the equation*

$$\alpha(r)b_2(b_2(r)) + c\beta(r)(1 - b_2^2(r)) = 0, \quad 1 - b_2^2(r) > 0$$

and satisfy (1.4). In particular, if  $c = 0$ ,  $r = r(t)$  is a linear function of the variable  $t$ , satisfying (1.4).

**Proof:** It follows easily from (3.12) and (3.17), if we observe that  $T_{11} \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

**3.22. Considerations about type III; the proofs of Theorems 1.16, 1.19 and 1.20.**

In the type III 2-SPES,  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  is an open piece of a 2-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold of  $Q_c^{n+1}$ . So  $g$  can be given by the intersection of  $Q_c^{n+1}$  with a 3-dimensional vector subspace  $P^3$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$  if  $c > 0$ , or  $\mathbb{L}^{n+2}$  if  $c < 0$ . If  $c = 0$ ,  $g$  is a piece of a 2-plane, which can be assumed through the origin and generated by two vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , we can assume that  $P^3$  is generated by  $\{p, v_1, v_2\}$ , where  $p$  is the vertex of  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  ( $c < 0$ ) or any point of  $S^{n+1}$  ( $c > 0$ ), in a way that  $\langle p, p \rangle_c = c$  in any case, and  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $T_p Q_c^{n+1}$ .

To give  $g$  in coordinates, let  $\gamma(u_1) = \exp_p u_1 v_1$  be the geodesic of  $Q_c^{n+1}$ , through  $p$ , in the direction of  $v_1$ . Precisely,

$$(3.23) \quad \gamma(u_1) = \begin{cases} p + u_1 v_1 & (c = 0), \\ \cos u_1 p + \sin u_1 v_1 & (c = 1), \\ \cosh u_1 p + \sinh u_1 v_1 & (c = -1). \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $p = 0$  if  $c = 0$ . Since  $\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle_c = 0$ ,  $v_2$  is normal to  $\gamma(u_1)$  at the point  $p$ . Let  $V_2 = V_2(u_1)$  be the parallel transport of  $v_2$  along  $\gamma$ , and in this case it is easy to see that  $V_2 = v_2$  (note that  $v_2 \in T_{\gamma(u_1)}Q_c^{n+1}$  and  $\langle v_2, \dot{\gamma}(u_1) \rangle = 0$ ).

Finally, taking  $g(u_1, u_2) = \exp_{\gamma(u_1)} u_2 v_2$ , we get

$$(3.24) \quad g(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} p + u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 & (c = 0), \\ \cos u_2 \cos u_1 p + \cos u_2 \sin u_1 v_1 + \sin u_2 v_2 & (c = 1), \\ \cosh u_2 \cosh u_1 p + \cosh u_2 \sinh u_1 v_1 + \sinh u_2 v_2 & (c = -1). \end{cases}$$

### 3.25. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.16.

Since  $A_\xi \equiv 0$  for all  $\xi$ , (2.2) and (2.5) take the simpler form (1.15). From the definition of  $b_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and (3.24), we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{cases} b_i = v_i, i = 1, 2 & (c = 0), \\ b_1 = -\sin u_1 p + \cos u_1 v_1 & (c = 1), \\ b_2 = -\sin u_2 \cos u_1 p - \sin u_2 \sin u_1 v_1 + \cos u_2 v_2 & (c = 1), \\ b_1 = \sinh u_1 p + \cosh u_1 v_1 & (c = -1), \\ b_2 = \sinh u_2 \cosh u_1 p + \sinh u_2 \sinh u_1 v_1 + \cosh u_2 v_2 & (c = -1). \end{cases}$$

Now following (3.10) to (3.12), we can compute by (1.15), the expressions of  $H_{ij}$ ,  $P_{ij}$  and  $T_{ij}$  for the type III, and the desired result follows from formulas (2.17) and (2.21).

### 3.27. Proof of Theorem 1.19.

By putting the expression of  $g(u_1, u_2)$  in (3.24) for  $c = 0$  into (1.6), we obtain

$$(3.28) \quad \psi(y, \xi_y) = u_1 b_1 + u_2 b_2 - r(u_1) r'(u_1) b_1 - r(u_1) \sqrt{1 - r'^2(u_1)} \xi_y.$$

Taking  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$  an orthonormal frame, normal to the plane spanned by  $\{b_1, b_2\}$ , we can write  $\xi_y = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi_k \xi_k(y)$  where  $\phi_k = \phi_k(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-2})$  is an orthogonal parametrization of the  $n - 2$  unit sphere. Observe that for each value of the variable  $u_2$ , we obtain the same rotation hypersurface  $M^{n-1}$  in the euclidean space spanned by  $\{b_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$ . Then the envelope is a cylinder over a rotation hypersurface. It is not difficult to see that  $n - 1$  eigenvalues of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  are the same

as the eigenvalues of  $M^{n-1}$ , the remainder being zero. Then  $H = \frac{n-1}{n} H'$ , where  $H'$  is the mean curvature of  $M^{n-1}$  and the proof follows by observing, once again, that minimal hypersurfaces of rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are catenoids.

**Remarks.** 1. It would be interesting and desirable to obtain a result similar to Theorem 1.19 for the cases  $c = \pm 1$ .

2. It is instructive to see what happens with the envelope of a line ( $p = 1$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Taking an orthonormal frame  $\{b_1, \xi_1, \xi_2\}$ , in such a way that the line is parametrized by  $c(s) = sb_1$ , the local parametrization of the 1-parameter envelope is

$$\psi(s, \xi(s, t)) = sb_1 - r(s)r'(s)b_1 - r(s)\sqrt{1 - r'^2(s)} \xi(s, t)$$

where  $\xi(s, t) = \cos t\xi_1 + \sin t\xi_2$ . Then

$$\psi(s, \xi_s) = \left( s - r(s)r'(s), -r(s)\sqrt{1 - r'^2(s)} \cos t, -r(s)\sqrt{1 - r'^2(s)} \sin t \right)$$

which is a rotation surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The conclusion is that the minimal envelope of a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is a catenoid. The main result in [B] is a generalization to greater dimensions  $n \geq 4$ , of this observation, since minimal conformally flat hypersurfaces (which are treated in [B]) can be seen as 1-parameter envelopes. We point out that our Theorem 1.19 can be seen as an extension of the above result for 2-parameter envelopes.

For the proof of Theorem 1.20 we will need the following

**3.29. Lemma.** *Let  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  be an isometric immersion with  $n \geq 4$ . If there is a point  $y \in L^2$  such that for all unit vector  $\xi \in (T_y L)^\perp$  we have  $\det A_\xi = k$  (constant), then  $k = 0$ .*

**3.30. Proof.** Since  $\dim(T_y L)^\perp = n - 1 \geq 3$ , there exist a unit vector  $\xi_0 \in (T_y L)^\perp$ , which is normal to the first normal space of  $g$ . Therefore  $\det A_{\xi_0} \geq 0$ , and consequently  $k \geq 0$ .

If  $k > 0$ , take  $\xi, \eta \in (T_y L)^\perp$  any orthonormal pair of vectors. Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{b_1, b_2\} \in T_y L$  such that

$$\begin{cases} A_\xi(b_1) = \alpha b_1, & A_\xi(b_2) = \beta b_2, \\ A_\eta(b_1) = \gamma b_1 + \delta b_2, & A_\eta(b_2) = \delta b_1 + \mu b_2. \end{cases}$$

From the hypothesis, we have  $\alpha\beta = k > 0$  and  $\gamma\mu = k + \delta^2 > 0$ .

Now, taking  $\xi' = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\xi + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\eta \in (T_y L)^\perp$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} A_{\xi'}(b_1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\alpha + \gamma)b_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\delta b_2, \\ A_{\xi'}(b_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\delta b_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(\beta + \mu)b_2. \end{cases}$$

So  $k = \det A_{\xi'} = k + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\mu + \beta\gamma)$ , which implies  $\alpha\mu + \beta\gamma = 0$ . It follows that  $k\mu^2 + \beta^2\gamma\mu = 0$  and  $\gamma\mu = -\frac{k\mu^2}{\beta^2} < 0$ , which is a contradiction. Then  $k = 0$ .

### 3.31. Proof of Theorem 1.20.

Since the proof of (ii) is similar, we will only present here the proof of (i).

Suppose there exists a 2-SPES  $\psi : \Lambda^1 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  with constant  $H$  (the proof for constant  $K$  is similar). By (3.4), if  $nH = k$ , we obtain

$$(n\alpha^2 - 2)\alpha - k\beta\alpha^2 + (n\alpha^2 - 1 - k\alpha\beta^2)\text{tr}A_\xi + (n\alpha - k)\beta^2 \det A_\xi = 0$$

for all regular point  $(y, \xi_y)$ . Since  $A_\xi$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix, we have  $\text{tr}A_{-\xi} = -\text{tr}A_\xi$  and  $\det A_{-\xi} = \det A_\xi$ , then putting  $-\xi$  in the place of  $\xi$  in the above expression, and adding up the results we get that  $\det A_\xi$  is constant, for all  $\xi_y \in (T_y L)^\perp$ . Now, by Lemma 3.29 we obtain  $\det A_\xi = 0$ ,  $\forall \xi$ , and the Gauss equation of  $g : L^2 \rightarrow Q_c^{n+1}$  reduces to  $K_g = c$ . Since these surfaces are excluded from type I, the result follows.

### REFERENCES

- [AD] ASPERTI, A. C. and DAJCZER, M., *N-Dimensional submanifolds of  $R^{N+1}$  and  $S^{N+2}$* , Illinois J. Math. **28** (1984), 621-645.
- [C] CARMO, M. P., *Geometria Riemanniana*, Projeto Euclides, Rio de Janeiro, 1979.
- [CD] CARMO, M. P. and DAJCZER, M., *Rotation hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*. Transactions of the A.M.S.(2). **277** (1983), 685-709.

- [CDM] CARMO, M. P., DAJCZER, M. and MERCURI, F., *Compact conformally flat hypersurfaces*, Transactions of the A.M.S.(1), 288 (1985), 189-203.
- [Ch] CHAVES, R. M. B., *Alguns aspectos locais de envoltentes de n-esferas a dois parametros em uma forma espacial*, Thesis, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo (1990).
- [CR] CECIL, T. and RYAN, P., *Focal sets of submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math.78 (1978), 27-39.
- [DG] DAJCZER, M. and GROMOLL, D., *Gauss parametrizations and rigidity aspects of submanifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 22 (1985), 1-12.
- [DT] DAJCZER, M. and TOJEIRO, R., *On composition of isometric immersions*, J. Diff. Geom. (1) 36 (1992), 1-18.
- [R] RECKZIEGEL, H., *Completeness of curvature of an isometric immersion*, J. Diff. Geom. 14 (1979), 7-20.
- [Sp] SPIVAK, M. *A comprehensive introduction to Differential Geometry*, Publish or Perish, Inc, Boston, Massachusetts, IV (1970).

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO  
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA  
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA

## TÍTULOS PUBLICADOS

- 93-01 COELHO, F.U. A note on preinjective partial tilting modules. 7p.
- 93-02 ASSEM, I. & COELHO, F.U. Complete slices and homological properties of tilted algebras. 11p.
- 93-03 ASSEM, I. & COELHO, F.U. Glueings of tilted algebras 20p.
- 93-04 COELHO, F.U. Postprojective partitions and Auslander-Reiten quivers. 26p.
- 93-05 MERKLEN, H.A. Web modules and applications. 14p.
- 93-06 GUZZO JR., H. The Peirce decomposition for some commutative train algebras of rank  $n$ . 12p.
- 93-07 PERESI, L.A. Minimal Polynomial Identities of Baric Algebras. 11p.
- 93-08 FALBEL E., VERDERESI J.A. & VELOSO J.M. The Equivalence Problem in Sub-Riemannian Geometry. 14p.
- 93-09 BARROS, L.G.X. & POLCINO MILIES, C. Modular Loop Algebras of R.A. Loops. 15p.
- 93-10 COELHO, F.U., MARCOS E.N., MERKLEN H.A. & SKOWRONSKI Module Categories with Infinite Radical Square Zero are of Finite Type. 7p.
- 93-11 COELHO S.P. & POLCINO MILIES, C. Automorphisms of Group Algebras of Dihedral Groups. 8p.
- 93-12 JURIAANS. O.S. Torsion units in integral group rings. 11 p.
- 93-13 FERRERO, M., GIAMBRUNO, A. & POLCINO MILIES, C. A Note on Derivations of Group Rings. 9p.
- 93-14 FERNANDES, J.C. & FRANCHI, B. Existence of the Green function for a class of degenerate parabolic equations, 29p.
- 93-15 ENCONTRO DE ÁLGEBRA - IME-USP/IMECC - UNICAMP. 41p.
- 93-16 FALBEL, E. & VELOSO, J.M. A Parallelism for Conformal Sub-Riemannian Geometry, 20p.
- 93-17 "TEORIA DOS ANEIS" - Encontro IME.USP. - IMECC-UNICAMP - Realizado no IME-USP em 18 de junho de 1993 - 50p.
- 93-18 ARAGONA, J. Some Properties of Holomorphic Generalized Functions on - Strictly Pseudoconvex Domains. 8p.
- 93-19 CORREA I., HENTZEL I.R. & PERESI L.A. Minimal Identities of Bernstein Algebras. 14p.
- 93-20 JURIAANS S.O. Torsion Units in Integral Group Rings II. 15p.
- 93-21 FALBEL E. & GUSEVSKII N. Spherical CR-manifolds of dimension 3. 28p.
- 93-22 MARTIN P.A. Algebraic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and deformations of complex structures. 9p.

93-23 OLIVEIRA L.A.F. de Existence and asymptotic behavior of poiseuille flows of isothermal bipolar fluids. 9p.

94-01 BIANCONI, R. A note on the construction of a certain class of Kleinian groups. 9p.

94-02 HENTZEL, I.R., JACOBS, D.P., PERESI, L.A. & SVERCHKOV, S.R. Solvability of the ideal of all weight zero elements in Bernstein Algebras. 11p.

94-03 CORREA, I. & PERESI, L.A. Bernstein-Jordan Algebras of dimension five, 6p.

94-04 ABADIE, B. The range of traces on the  $K_0$ -group of quantum Heisenberg manifolds. 13p.

94-05 BIANCONI, R., GUSEVSKII, N. and KLIMENKO, H. Schottky type groups and Kleinian groups acting on  $S^1$  with the limit set a wild Cantor set. 30p.

94-06 GORODSKI, C. Minimal Hyperspheres in Rank Two Compact Symmetric Spaces. 20 p.

94-07 BARROS, L.G.X. de and WATSON, B. On Modular Loop Algebras of RA2 Loops. 19p.

94-08 BARROS, L.G.X. de Loop Rings of I.P. Loops. 12p.

94-09 BIANCONI, R. A note on the non-interpretability of a discrete order in an o-minimal (dense) structure. 9p.

94-10 GOODAIRE, E.G. and POLCINO MILIES, C. On the loop of units of an alternative loop ring, 10p.

94-11 GOODAIRE, E.G. and POLCINO MILIES, C. Finite subloops of units in an alternative loop ring. 8p.

94-12 JURIAANS, S.O. Trace Properties of Torsion Units in Group Rings. 7p.

94-13 FALBEL, E. and GORODSKI, C. On Contact Sub-Riemannian Symmetric Spaces. 22p.

94-14 GORODSKI, C. Minimal Sphere Bundles in Euclidean Spheres. 32p.

94-15 COELHO, F.U., MARCOS, E.N., MERKLEN, H.A. and SKOWRONSKI, A. Module categories with infinite radical cube zero. 28p.

94-16 CHAVES, R.M.B. On two parameter envelopes of N-Spheres in a real space form. 17p.

NOTA: Os títulos publicados dos Relatórios Técnicos dos anos de 1980 a 1992 estão à disposição no Departamento de Matemática do IME-USP. Cidade Universitária "Armando de Salles Oliveira" Rua do Matão, 1010 - Butantã Caixa Postal - 20.570 (Aq. Iguatemi) CEP: 01498 - São Paulo - Brasil